

# Tropical Andosol organic carbon quality and degradability in relation to soil geochemistry as affected by land use

Sastrika Anindita<sup>1</sup>, Peter Finke<sup>1</sup>, Steven Sleutel<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Environment, Ghent University, Ghent, 9000, Belgium

5 *Correspondence to:* Sastrika Anindita (sastrika.anindita@ugent.be)

**Abstract.** Land use is recognized to impact soil geochemistry on the centennial to millennial time scale, with implications for the distribution and stability of soil organic carbon (SOC). Juvenile volcanic soils in tropical areas are subject to much faster pedogenesis, with then also possibly a significant mediation by land use on much shorter centennial or even decadal scale. Very scarce observational evidence exists and so such indirect implications of land use on SOC cycling are largely unknown.

10 We here investigated SOC fractions, substrate specific mineralization (SOC or added plant residue), and net priming of SOC in function of forest or agricultural land use on Indonesian volcanic soils. The content of oxalate-extracted Al (Al<sub>o</sub>) and exchangeable Ca correlated well with OC associated with sand-sized aggregates. The concomitant more than tripling of the proportion of SOC in sand-sized 400 J ml<sup>-1</sup> ultrasonication resistant aggregates compared to forest and likewise contrasts in Al<sub>o</sub> suggest that enhanced formation of Al-(hydr)oxides promoted aggregation and physical occlusion of OC. This was

15 importantly also consistent with a relatively lesser degradability of SOC in the agricultural sites, though the net priming of SOC and degradability of added <sup>13</sup>C-labelled ryegrass was not found to depend on land use. We expected that amorphous Al content under agricultural land use would mainly have promoted mineral-association of SOC compared to under pine forest but found no indications for this. Improved small scale aggregation of tropical Andosols caused by conversion to agriculture and high carbon input via organic fertilizer may thus partially counter the otherwise expectable decline of SOC stocks

20 following cultivation. Such indirect land use effects on the SOC balance appeared relevant for correct interpretation and prediction of the long-term C-balance of (agro)ecosystems with soil subject to intense development, like the here studied tropical Andosols.

## 1 Introduction

Storage of soil organic carbon (SOC) in terrestrial ecosystems can improve ecosystem services such as soil health, agricultural

25 productivity, and climate change mitigation (Baldock, 2007; Lehmann and Kleber, 2015). The storage of SOC is influenced by the interaction of ecological processes with net primary productivity and heterotrophic respiration usually being most important terms of the SOC balance. Land use determines the net-C input to soil and in doing so often bears an overriding control on the SOC balance, compared to other drivers of the C-cycle at ecosystem level. Forest ecosystems usually have relatively high net primary productivity compared to agricultural land use (Smith et al., 2014). The net result of cultivation

30 then typically leads to a decline in SOC stock and increased human-induced CO<sub>2</sub> emission (Don et al., 2011; Wei et al., 2014).  
The long-term SOC balance of ecosystems also depends on the capacity of soils to stabilize newly entering OC against  
microbial decomposition, resulting from heterotrophic respiration. Physical occlusion of OC at the microaggregate scale as  
well as binding of OC onto reactive mineral surfaces constitute two major mechanisms for stabilization of SOC (Matus et al.,  
2014). Soil characteristics such as content of poorly crystalline minerals, specific surface area, clay content and degree of soil  
35 micro-aggregation have all been linked to the capacity of soils to accumulate SOC (Hernández et al., 2012; Kleber et al., 2015;  
Mikutta et al., 2006, 2009; Poirier et al., 2020). While soil mineralogy itself is thus a well-recognized controller of SOC  
stabilization, surprisingly little attention has gone into understanding how land use history, through its impact on soil  
geochemistry might in turn indirectly control stability of SOC and organic matter (OM) entering soil.

40 The geochemistry of the reactive mineral phase of a soil is the resultant of both the composition of its parent material and soil  
weathering status (Mikutta et al., 2009). Weathering status is crucially driven by the time since the onset of weathering, local  
climate and hydrologic conditions, resilience of minerals to weathering and vegetation cover (Doetterl et al., 2015). Land use,  
through its control on vegetation, can impact the weathering process by modifying the pH, influencing soil biological activity  
and nutrient levels, and releasing organic complexing compounds (Van Breemen et al., 1983; Cronan, 2018). On agricultural  
45 lands, moreover fertilizer addition and soil disturbance caused by tillage have also been reported to significantly enhance  
weathering (Churchman and Lowe, 2012; Li et al., 2021; Taylor et al., 2016). While an extensive and still growing number of  
studies considered land use impacts on SOC stock, soil OM quality and its degradability (Covaleda et al., 2011; Cusack et al.,  
2013; Huygens et al., 2005), just very few of have considered the potential indirect impact of land use on SOC stability through  
its effect on the soil mineral phase. Moreover, the coverage remains limited for several soil groups, including tropical Andosols.  
50 A recent study on the Gálápagos islands demonstrated that even after maximum of fifteen years conversion of native forest to  
cultivated land strikingly accelerated soil weathering (Gerzabek et al., 2019). In particular, formation of secondary minerals  
should impact SOC retention in volcanic soils as their high capacity to do so is acknowledged to emerge from abundantly  
present poorly crystalline Al and Fe oxides. Indeed, Asano and Wagai (2014) concluded the importance of short-range order  
minerals or organo-Al complexes for SOC stabilization in Andosols from correlations with OC stock (Miyazawa et al., 2013),  
55 mean residence time (reviewed by Parfitt (2009)), and by chemical characterization of organo-mineral associations (Basile-  
Doelsch et al., 2007; Mikutta et al., 2009). Next to a large specific surface area, also microaggregation and occlusion therein  
of intra-microaggregate particulate OM (iPOM) is known to grant a degree of protection against its microbial decay (Six et al.,  
2000a), particularly in Andosols (Asano et al., 2018; Asano and Wagai, 2014). Introduction of tillage and removal of permanent  
vegetative coverage usually adversely affect iPOM-induced physical protection. However, differences between untilled native  
60 vegetation or secondary forest on the one hand and tilled cropland might not be significant for volcanic soils (Dörner et al.,  
2012; Huygens et al., 2005; Linlin et al., 2016) as abundant nano sized organo-mineral composites overridingly act as binding  
agents in microaggregates in Andosols (Asano and Wagai, 2015).

In Indonesia, the Sunda volcanic arc region (Mt. Tangkuban Perahu and Mt. Burangrang) in West Java, was covered by native forest until only about two centuries back but has since then been largely replaced by secondary pine forest or agricultural land use. With differently aged volcanic deposits and co-occurrence of native forest, secondary forest and cultivated land this region forms a useful means to understand how Andosol development would impact SOC storage and stability. A first objective was to further investigate how cultivation history in this region would have resulted in differential soil aggregation and levels of iPOM, and mineral associated OM vs. free relatively unprotected OM. We did so by assessing soil OM fractions for a set of 6 Indonesian volcanic soil (Cambisols and Andosols) with native forest, secondary pine forest or agricultural land use. A second goal was to infer how OM degradability in the topsoil of these tropical volcanic soils itself differs in function of forest vs. agricultural land use. Assessing the indirect effect of land use on SOC degradability through its mediation of soil mineralogy is, however, complicated by the fact that quality of native SOC itself is also function of land use. We therefore assessed the degradability of a single model exogenous OM source (<sup>13</sup>C-labelled ryegrass residues) to see how land use changes affect stability of OC in soil. We hypothesize that the enhanced formation of pedogenic poorly crystalline Al under agricultural land use, as we previously confirmed (Anindita et al., 2022), results in a relative stabilization of the exogenous OM as compared to native forest or secondary forest. In doing so we take account for the well-known phenomenon that labile OC-inputs can accelerate the decomposition of native SOC, a process called positive priming (Chen et al., 2014), or conversely restrain decomposition of SOC and induce negative priming (Blagodatskaya et al., 2014; Qiao et al., 2014). By using a C-isotope mixing model, mineralization of the exogenous OM (i.e., ryegrass) and native SOC are distinguished in the soil incubation experiments.

## 2 Materials and methods

### 2.1 Site description and soil sampling

Our study covered soils from the Mount Tangkuban Perahu and Mount Burangrang regions that are part of the Sunda Volcanic complex in West Java, Indonesia. Six sites were selected to represent the dominant land use types in the area, viz. primary forest (NF-y), pine forest (PF-i and PF-o) and horticulture (AG-y, AG-i, and AG-o). The mean annual temperature in the study sites is 19–21°C and mean annual precipitation ranges around 2000–3000 mm per year. Soils at sites NF-y and PF-i are andic Cambisols and at the PF-o, AG-y, AG-i, AG-o sites aluandic Andosols (Anindita et al., 2022). All soils contain silicate minerals (i.e. quartz, cristobalite, tridymite), gibbsite, albite, hornblende, 1:1 clay minerals, and non-crystalline materials (Anindita et al., 2022). The proportion of primary minerals is higher in the younger NF-y soil as it is closer (within 1.5 km) to the crater of Mt. Tangkuban Perahu and received ash more recently. The pine forest and agricultural sites were originally all under the primary forest vegetation. At PF-i and PF-o, secondary forests were planted in 1962 for restoration purposes, following earlier deforestation. Agricultural land use at AG-y, AG-i, and AG-o dates back to just 30–50 years ago with mainly diverse horticultural crop rotations with crops potatoes (*Solanum tuberosum*), cabbage (*Brassica oleracea*), green bean (*Phaseolus*

95 *vulgaris*), tomatoes (*Solanum lycopersicum*), and chayote (*Sechium edule*). Detailed information about land use history, and land management are given in (Anindita et al., 2022).

At every site, soil samples were taken at 0–20, 20–40, and 60–80 cm depth. At each depth, the bulk samples were mixed into composite ones, which were then dried to the air, homogenised and sieved (< 2 mm) until further analysis at the Dept. of  
 100 Environment of Ghent University (Belgium). The pH H<sub>2</sub>O was measured using a glass electrode pH meter in a 1:5 soil solution slurry. The estimated clay content was determined using the pipet method after full soil dispersion with Na<sup>+</sup>-resin (Bartoli et al., 1991), after testing several other procedures for soil texture analysis that proved incompatible with the investigated set of volcanic soils. The concentrations of total C and N were determined by dry combustion at 1100°C using a LECO 928 series CN-analyser. Aluminum extracted by 0.1 M pyrophosphate solution (Al<sub>p</sub>) was taken as measure of complexed Al to OM  
 105 (McKeague, 1967). Iron and aluminum extractable by ammonium oxalate (Al<sub>o</sub>, Fe<sub>o</sub>) were used as estimates of X-ray amorphous Al and Fe. We previously quantified crystalline minerals using X-ray diffraction analysis. The amount of amorphous materials undetectable by X-ray diffraction was semi-quantitatively estimated from the difference between the obtained and the real amount of internal standard (Zincite 20%) after semi-quantification of crystalline minerals using BGMN Rietveld and Profex as user interface. Soil specific surface area (SSA) was assessed from adsorption-desorption of N<sub>2</sub> at 77°C  
 110 following Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) approach. Detailed methods and the results of geochemical analyses of the samples were documented in (Anindita et al., 2022) Selected physicochemical soil properties are given in Table 1. More detailed information of geochemical soil properties can be found in Supplement 1.

115 **Table 1. Physicochemical soil properties of 6 sampled soil profiles in the Sunda Volcanic complex in West Java, Indonesia (partly taken from Anindita et al. (2022))**

Depth (cm)	pH H <sub>2</sub> O	BD <sup>a</sup> (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	OC stock <sup>a</sup> Mg ha <sup>-1</sup>	δ <sup>13</sup> C ‰	C/N ratio	Clay %	Exch. Ca cmol kg <sup>-1</sup>	NH <sub>4</sub> -oxalate extractable		Al <sub>p</sub> <sup>a</sup> mg g <sup>-1</sup>	SSA <sup>a</sup> m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup>	Amorphous materials g kg <sup>-1</sup>	Land use & estimated annual C-inputs <sup>b</sup>
								Al <sub>o</sub> <sup>a</sup> mg g <sup>-1</sup>	Fe <sub>o</sub> <sup>a</sup> mg g <sup>-1</sup>				
Primary forest (NF-y)													
0–20	4.1	0.77	56.9	-27.0	15.1	18.70	0.2	3.5	15.5	3.4	9	288	Natural vegetation (estimated plant C input: 6.7 – 12.2 Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> )
20–40	4.4	0.78	43.1		20.2	19.81	0.1	2.3	25.4	3.5	27	254	
60–80	4.3	0.65	37.4		20.0	29.51	0.1	4.8	52.4	2.8	69	378	
Pine forest (PF-i)													
0–20	4.5	0.64	30.4	-24.3	10.8	67.27	1.8	8.1	11.9	41.0	91	471	Pine forest (estimated plant C input: 3.6 – 9.1 Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> )
20–40	4.4	0.61	15.9		10.2	71.56	0.5	10.4	12.2	32.2	117	429	
70–90	4.4	0.69	8.1		10.0	64.35	0.2	13.7	21.1	13.7	124	481	
Pine forest (PF-o)													
0–20	4.7	0.50	33.0	-24.2	12.6	55.48	0.7	28.5	17.5	10.1	107	497	Pine forest

20–40	4.9	0.56	20.4		12.2	46.43	0.4	27.7	17.2	9.8	145	506	(estimated plant C input: 3.6 –
60–80	4.4	0.60	18.8		11.9	48.82	0.4	25.9	17.5	9.4	127	447	9.1 Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> )
Agriculture (AG-y)													
0–20	5.1	0.67	40.2	-13.6	18.2	68.21	7.7	14.4	21.1	26.3	114	548	Intensive horticulture ± 50 years.
20–40	4.9	0.66	17.3		11.9	63.06	5.7	16.5	13.0	19.7	125	523	(estimated plant C input = 1.8
60–80	4.8	0.64	14.4		10.8	61.43	4.7	22.3	18.1	12.9	134	547	Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> , organic fertilizer C-input = 11.5 Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> )
Agriculture (AG-i)													
0–20	5.4	0.66	71.4	-15.4	14.7	27.24	6.6	31.4	12.3	7.6	48	623	Intensive horticulture ± 40 years
20–40	5.8	0.47	39.6		17.9	35.62	10.0	33.2	12.1	5.8	128	645	(estimated plant C input = 1.6
50–70	5.9	0.52	28.1		16.9	47.07	7.0	36.4	11.6	5.1	185	650	Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> , organic fertilizer C-input = 6.42 Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> )
Agriculture (AG-o)													
0–20	5.4	0.65	61.1	-24.0	10.5	54.91	10.5	17.1	9.7	9.0	74	531	Horticulture < 30 years
20–40	5.6	0.61	35.0		9.8	50.02	7.0	15.6	9.5	8.6	91	502	(estimated plant C input = 0.98
60–80	5.8	0.62	9.7		8.4	62.81	6.0	9.1	8.0	10.7	108	460	Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> , organic fertilizer C-input = 6.8 Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> )

<sup>a</sup>BD = bulk density; OC = organic carbon; Al<sub>o</sub> = aluminum extracted by NH<sub>4</sub>-oxalate; Fe<sub>o</sub> = iron extracted by NH<sub>4</sub>-oxalate; Al<sub>p</sub> = aluminum extracted by Na-pyrophosphate; SSA = specific surface area

<sup>b</sup> C-inputs from vegetation (forest or annual crops) and organic amendments. Forest C-inputs estimated from studies on Indonesian pine forest (Bruijnzeel, 1985) and Indonesian tropical primary forest (Guillaume et al., 2018; Hertel et al., 2009). Crop C-inputs based on farmer interviews and SSB & UGhent (2008). C-inputs from organic amendments were based on farmer interviews and lab-analyses.

## 2.2 Soil organic matter fractionation

Soils were fractionated using size, density and chemical separation steps according to a modified version of a fractionation scheme proposed by Zimmermann et al. (2007) (Supplement 2, Fig. S1). This procedure separates SOC into five pools, namely into i) OC contained in soil aggregates and associated with sand (S+A), ii) in free particulate organic matter (POM), iii) in water dissolvable OC (DOC), iv) in oxidizable OC associated with the silt and clay (s+c – rSOC) fraction, and v) in a chemically resistant SOC (rSOC) pool. Briefly, 30 g of equivalent dry soil (< 2 mm) was initially dispersed in water by a calibrated ultrasonic probe with an output energy of 22 J ml<sup>-1</sup>. The resulting slurries were wet-sieved over a 63 µm sieve and rinsed with deionized water until the rinsing water became clear. In the original Zimmermann et al. (2007) method the > 63 µm fraction is further separated into S+A and POM based on density differences using sodium polytungstate at 1.8 g cm<sup>-3</sup>. However, several samples could not be well separated into free OM on the one hand and predominantly mineral material of the S+A fraction on the other. After pre-tests we instead used a 1.5 g cm<sup>-3</sup> sodium polytungstate solution to separate free POM from the S+A soil fraction, as per Cerli et al. (2012)'s advise to per soil optimize density cut-off for best separation of free and occluded POM. A subsample of the < 63 µm suspension (± 10 ml) derived from the wet sieving step was filtered through a

0.45  $\mu\text{m}$  nylon membrane filter. The filtrate was analyzed for its dissolved OC concentration using a HT-I Formacs TOC-analyzer (Skalar, The Netherlands). The DOC pool was calculated by multiplying this OC concentration with the volume of flush water used in the preceding wet sieving step. The  $< 63 \mu\text{m}$  suspension was dried at  $40^\circ\text{C}$  and weighted to constitute the overall silt+clay (s+c) fraction. A chemically inert share of the SOC (rSOC) was isolated by subjecting the s+c fraction to oxidation by 6 % NaOCl. To this end, one gram of s+c material was weighted inside a 65 ml Nalgene centrifuge tube and 50 ml 6 % NaOCl adjusted to pH 8 was added and allowed to react for 18 h at  $25^\circ\text{C}$ . After centrifugation, remnant NaOCl was discarded by decantation. This procedure was repeated three times, the pellet was washed three more times with deionized water, transferred to a pre-weighted aluminum cup, dried at  $40^\circ\text{C}$  and weighted. The obtained S+A fraction remains composite as it contains silt+clay associated OC next to occluded POM. In addition to the original scheme proposed by Zimmermann et al. (2007) and Poepflau et al. (2013) a further fractionation of the S+A into s+c and POM and sand-associated OM was included: A 3 g subsample of the obtained S+A fraction was further dispersed by ultrasonication at  $400 \text{ J ml}^{-1}$  and then separated into two size fractions by passing the obtained slurries over a  $63\mu\text{m}$  sieve. The obtained  $<63\mu\text{m}$  fraction contains s+c OM and the  $>63\mu\text{m}$  fraction primarily occluded POM and sand-bound OM contained in S+A as well as OM contained in  $>63\mu\text{m}$  aggregates resistant to dispersal at  $400 \text{ J ml}^{-1}$ . The S+A s+c fraction was further on considered as to constitute a single joint OM fraction with s+c obtained after the first  $22 \text{ J ml}^{-1}$  and  $63\mu\text{m}$  sieving step. All fractions were analyzed for their C concentration using a LECO 928 series CN-analyzer.

### 150 **2.3 Soil incubation experiment and isotopic signature measurements**

Soils were incubated in a standardized way to compare degradability of a model plant-C substrate and SOC in the topsoil (0–20cm) of the 6 considered sites. Decomposition of either substrate was derived by regular measurement of the soil  $\text{CO}_2$  efflux and inference of its  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  signature. Soil mesocosms were prepared by repacking approximately 150 g of soil (depending on bulk density) into PVC tubes (diameter: 6.8 cm, height: 7 cm) to reach a height of 6.2 cm and bulk density as encountered at the field sites. Soil moisture content was set to 50 % water-filled pore space by addition of deionized water. A  $^{13}\text{C}$ -labelled plant-substrate, i.e. pulse-labelled ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*) with  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  of  $+53.7 \text{ ‰}$ , was applied at a dose of  $1 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$  of dry matter. The contrast in  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  with SOC (Table 1) allowed to distinguish emitted  $\text{CO}_2$  into parts stemming from either native SOC or grass-C mineralization. The  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  of topsoil (expressed as  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  value (‰) vs. the international Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite standard) was measured using a PDZ Europe ANCA-GSL elemental analyser, interfaced with a Sercon 20–22 IRMS with SysCon electronics (SetCon, Ceshire, UK).

Soils were incubated at  $20^\circ\text{C}$  for 120 days. On days 1, 3, 5, 8, 12, 17, 24, 32, 38, 47, 59, 73, 87, 101, 111, 120 soil  $\text{CO}_2$  emission was inferred by measuring  $\text{CO}_2$  build-up in a cylindrical closed-chamber attached consecutively on top of each PVC tube for at least 10 min. The evolution of the headspace  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration and its  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  was measured every 4 sec by connecting the closed-chamber with a cavity ring-down spectrometer (G2201-i CRDS isotopic  $\text{CO}_2$  analyser, Picarro, USA) in a loop via Teflon tubing. Soil  $\text{CO}_2$  efflux rate in  $\text{mg C kg}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$  was calculated from the slope of the accumulating  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration in

function of time using the ideal gas law. The  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  of emitted  $\text{CO}_2$  was estimated from the y-axis intercept of derived Keeling plots (Keeling, 1958). The fraction of  $\text{CO}_2$  derived from grass was calculated using the following equations introduced by Werth and Kuzyakov (2010):

$$170 \quad f_{\text{grass-CO}_2} = \frac{\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{total-CO}_2} - \delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{SOC-CO}_2}}{\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{grass-CO}_2} - \delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{SOC-CO}_2}} \quad (1)$$

$$\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{grass-CO}_2} = \delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{grass}} - \epsilon_{\text{grass}} \quad (2)$$

$$\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{SOC-CO}_2} = \delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{SOC}} - \epsilon_{\text{SOC}} \quad (3)$$

with  $\epsilon_{\text{SOC}}$  (in ‰) the net C-isotopic fractionation resulting from native SOC mineralization and diffusive  $\text{CO}_2$  transport in soil until its efflux into the headspace air. The  $\epsilon_{\text{SOC}}$  was obtained from  $\text{CO}_2$ -efflux monitoring parallel triplicate sets of control soils  
 175 without grass added. A third parallel set of soils were amended with a very high dose of grass (viz. 6 g  $\text{kg}^{-1}$  added grass, i.e. about 4 t C  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ) to estimate  $\epsilon_{\text{grass}}$ . This value was operationally calculated by subtracting  $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{grass}}$  from the peak  $\text{CO}_2$  emission  $\delta^{13}\text{C-CO}_2$  of soil with 6 g  $\text{kg}^{-1}$  grass under the assumption that virtually any emitted  $\text{CO}_2$  was then derived from grass mineralization.

180 Using equations 1, 2 and 3 the fraction of emitted  $\text{CO}_2$  derived from grass-C mineralization was calculated per soil and per point in time. The rate of grass-C mineralization ( $C_{\text{grass-min}}$ , in mg C  $\text{kg}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ ) for each measurement day was calculated by multiplying the  $f_{\text{grass}}$  value with the total  $\text{CO}_2$  emission. The rate of SOC mineralization ( $C_{\text{SOC min}}$ , in mg C  $\text{kg}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ ) was calculated by subtracting the rate of  $C_{\text{grass-min}}$  from the total  $\text{CO}_2\text{-C}$  emission rate. Cumulative  $\text{CO}_2$  emissions derived from native SOC-C and grass-C were obtained by consecutively summing up  $C_{\text{SOC-CO}_2}$  and  $C_{\text{grass-CO}_2}$  respectively per time increment  
 185 between flux measurements. The % of mineralized  $C_{\text{SOC}}$  was then derived from dividing  $C_{\text{SOC-CO}_2}$  by  $C_{\text{SOC}}$ .

## 2.5 Statistics

Independent samples t-tests were used to compare both land uses (native and pine forest vs. agriculture, or alternatively agriculture vs. pine forests only) for the distribution of OC over soil fractions and for the cumulative amounts of grass-C or native SOC mineralized. Pearson correlation coefficients were used to investigate relations between soil carbon fraction  
 190 proportions and geochemical properties. The non-parametric test was used if the data were not normally distributed or if the condition of homoscedasticity was unmet. Analyses were completed in IBM SPSS Statistics 27.

## 3 Results

### 3.1 Organic carbon in soil fractions

Across the studied soils a greater part of soil material ( $\pm 70\%$ ) was found as s+c fraction (Table 2). There were particularly  
 195 large proportions of s+c in the PF-i, PF-o, and AG-o soils ( $> 80\%$ ). Conversely, in the AG-i subsoil, the S+A fraction was largest. The OC concentration of soil fractions decreased in the order: POM  $>$  s+c (including rSOC)  $>$  S+A  $>$  DOC and was

generally higher in the topsoil than subsoil. The OC concentration of the S+A fraction was higher under agricultural than under forest land use ( $p < 0.01$ ), particularly in topsoils of the sites under agricultural land use ( $27\text{--}50 \text{ g C kg}^{-1}$  fraction). No such difference in OC concentration existed between SOC in the s+c, POM, DOC and rSOC fractions under agriculture compared to forest (i.e., including primary forest). However, the OC concentration of s+c, s+c - rSOC, and rSOC fractions was higher ( $p < 0.01$ ) in the agricultural when compared to the pine forest soils solely. The size of the DOC fraction was negligibly small ( $\pm 0.002 \text{ g C kg}^{-1}$  fraction) and is further disregarded. The total SOC content was not significantly different under forest ( $29.6 \pm 15.1 \text{ g C kg}^{-1}$  soil) and agricultural ( $29.6 \pm 15.1 \text{ g C kg}^{-1}$  soil) land use, but it was higher under agriculture than pine forest ( $16.3 \pm 7.7 \text{ g C kg}^{-1}$  soil) ( $P < 0.01$ ).

205

Overall, the bulk of SOC was present in the s+c ( $\pm 79 \%$ ) with higher proportion in rSOC ( $\pm 44 \%$ ) than in the s+c - rSOC ( $\pm 35 \%$ ) fraction, followed by S+A ( $\pm 19 \%$ ) and POM ( $\pm 1 \%$ ) fractions (Fig. 1). The distribution of SOC across soil fractions between both land uses. The amount of OC in the S+A fraction was higher in the agricultural ( $11 \pm 10 \text{ g C kg}^{-1}$  soil) than forest ( $2 \pm 1 \text{ g C kg}^{-1}$  soil;  $p < 0.01$ ) soils. Likewise, its relative contribution to SOC was larger in the agricultural soils (32 % of SOC), both when compared to all three forest sites (8% of SOC) ( $P < 0.01$ ) or to just the two pine forest sites (9% of SOC) ( $P < 0.01$ ). Particularly in topsoil of AG-y ( $\pm 13 \text{ g C kg}^{-1}$  soil) and AG-i ( $31 \text{ g C kg}^{-1}$  soil) a large share of the SOC was in the S+A fraction. The s+c followed an opposite trend with a larger SOC proportion under forest (all three (89.5 % of SOC) or just the two pine forests (89.8 % of SOC)) than under agricultural land-use (68 % of SOC) ( $P < 0.05$ ). Out of the s+c the resistant SOC (rSOC) fraction accounted for approximately a third to half of SOC and its proportion (44 % of SOC) was likewise higher under forest than agricultural land use ( $p < 0.05$ ). Free POM contributed on average less than 5 % of SOC and constituted a larger share of SOC in topsoil compared to both subsoil depth layers ( $p < 0.05$ ). The occasional low POM C-concentrations (Table 2) ~~indicate that some mineral matter was~~ indicate that some mineral matter was left in the isolated POM fraction. However, given its very small mass proportion (median 0.1%) this artefact has negligible further impact on the overall distribution of SOC across soil fractions. The SOC proportion of free POM (% of SOC) was also higher under forest than agricultural land use ( $P < 0.01$ ).


220

**Table 2.** Mass proportion, C concentration and total content of SOC contained in soil fractions isolated by a modified version of the Zimmermann et al. (2007) method

Depth (cm)	Mass proportion (%)			C concentration (g kg <sup>-1</sup> fraction)				Amount of C (g kg <sup>-1</sup> soil)				
	POM	S+A	s+c	POM	S+A	s+c	DOC	POM	S+A	s+c	s+c - rSOC	rSOC
Primary forest (NF-y)												
0–20	0.2 ± 0.1	37.6 ± 1.9	62.2 ± 2.0	412.5 ± 33.5	4.6 ± 3.6	31.1 ± 9.4	30.5 ± 3.3	1.0 ± 0.5	0.9 ± 0.3	1.7 ± 1.3	19.4 ± 6.3	18.9 ± 2.0
20–40	1.1 ± 0.3	31.0 ± 1.1	67.9 ± 1.4	393.0 ± 0.0	2.9 ± 1.3	12.9 ± 2.4	24.9 ± 2.2	0.7 ± 0.7	4.4 ± 1.2	0.9 ± 0.4	8.8 ± 1.6	16.9 ± 1.7



60–80	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$27.3 \pm 2.0$	$72.6 \pm 2.0$	$367.5 \pm 88.5$	$12.9 \pm 4.8$	$16.0 \pm 8.1$	$36.3 \pm 1.5$	$0.8 \pm 0.5$	$0.5 \pm 0.4$	$3.6 \pm 1.6$	$11.5 \pm 5.9$	$26.3 \pm 1.3$
Pine forest (PF-i)												
0–20	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$9.2 \pm 1.4$	$90.7 \pm 1.5$	$227.0 \pm 6.0$	$11.5 \pm 0.4$	$12.2 \pm 1.3$	$9.8 \pm 1.0$	$1.9 \pm 0.5$	$0.3 \pm 0.2$	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	$11.1 \pm 1.3$	$8.9 \pm 1.0$
20–40	$0.1 \pm 0.0$	$16.5 \pm 2.4$	$83.5 \pm 2.4$	$162.0 \pm 9.5$	$11.6 \pm 0.2$	$5.6 \pm 0.5$	$7.1 \pm 0.3$	$1.0 \pm 0.4$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$1.9 \pm 0.3$	$4.7 \pm 0.4$	$6.0 \pm 0.4$
70–90	$0.0 \pm 0.0$	$7.1 \pm 1.9$	$92.9 \pm 1.9$	$103.6 \pm 7.5$	$3.6 \pm 0.1$	$2.9 \pm 1.5$	$4.1 \pm 0.2$	$0.4 \pm 0.2$	$0.1 \pm 0.0$	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	$2.7 \pm 1.4$	$3.8 \pm 0.2$
Pine forest (PF-o)												
0–20	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$16.3 \pm 1.6$	$83.3 \pm 1.4$	$188.3 \pm 43.2$	$19.0 \pm 1.5$	$12.9 \pm 3.4$	$17.6 \pm 1.3$	$1.7 \pm 0.6$	$0.8 \pm 0.1$	$3.1 \pm 0.4$	$10.8 \pm 2.9$	$14.6 \pm 0.8$
20–40	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	$15.5 \pm 3.7$	$84.3 \pm 3.8$	$148.7 \pm 47.3$	$10.3 \pm 1.3$	$7.4 \pm 1.1$	$10.4 \pm 0.1$	$1.5 \pm 0.4$	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	$1.6 \pm 0.6$	$6.2 \pm 0.7$	$8.8 \pm 0.3$
60–80	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$11.5 \pm 2.2$	$88.4 \pm 2.2$	$135.6 \pm 48.9$	$9.6 \pm 0.8$	$3.3 \pm 0.9$	$8.0 \pm 0.3$	$1.1 \pm 0.0$	$0.1 \pm 0.0$	$1.1 \pm 0.2$	$2.9 \pm 0.8$	$7.1 \pm 0.4$
Agriculture (AG-y)												
0–20	$0.1 \pm 0.0$	$39.6 \pm 6.3$	$60.3 \pm 6.3$	$206.0 \pm 4.0$	$32.2 \pm 0.7$	$12.7 \pm 2.0$	$17.8 \pm 0.1$	$1.5 \pm 0.9$	$0.1 \pm 0.5$	$12.8 \pm 2.1$	$7.7 \pm 1.6$	$10.7 \pm 1.2$
20–40	$0.0 \pm 0.0$	$34.8 \pm 1.5$	$65.2 \pm 1.5$	$63.7 \pm 0.9$	$15.5 \pm 0.4$	$6.6 \pm 0.5$	$9.5 \pm 0.3$	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	$0.02 \pm 0.0$	$5.4 \pm 0.2$	$4.3 \pm 0.5$	$6.2 \pm 0.1$
60–80	$0.0 \pm 0.0$	$30.5 \pm 3.5$	$69.4 \pm 3.5$	$15.6 \pm 2.4$	$12.2 \pm 0.3$	$5.8 \pm 0.5$	$7.6 \pm 0.2$	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$0.01 \pm 0.0$	$3.7 \pm 0.4$	$4.0 \pm 0.5$	$5.2 \pm 0.4$
Agriculture (AG-i)												
0–20	$0.1 \pm 0.0$	$45.7 \pm 1.0$	$54.2 \pm 1.1$	$350.0 \pm 52.0$	$48.7 \pm 2.4$	$26.7 \pm 3.6$	$36.1 \pm 3.2$	$0.6 \pm 0.1$	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	$22.3 \pm 1.3$	$14.5 \pm 2.1$	$19.6 \pm 1.6$
20–40	$0.0 \pm 0.0$	$66.3 \pm 1.4$	$33.7 \pm 1.4$	$401.0 \pm 88.0$	$46.2 \pm 0.3$	$16.1 \pm 2.3$	$31.4 \pm 1.8$	$1.1 \pm 0.7$	$0.04 \pm 0.0$	$30.6 \pm 0.8$	$5.5 \pm 1.0$	$10.6 \pm 2.6$
50–70	$0.0 \pm 0.0$	$64.1 \pm 1.8$	$35.8 \pm 1.8$	$587.5 \pm 240$	$26.3 \pm 0.1$	$10.4 \pm 0.9$	$19.7 \pm 0.7$	$0.8 \pm 0.4$	$0.1 \pm 0.0$	$16.9 \pm 0.4$	$3.7 \pm 0.3$	$7.1 \pm 0.4$
Agriculture (AG-o)												
0–20	$0.2 \pm 0.0$	$9.2 \pm 0.3$	$90.6 \pm 0.3$	$420.7 \pm 88.3$	$29.6 \pm 2.6$	$21.7 \pm 5.4$	$17.2 \pm 0.8$	$1.8 \pm 0.4$	$0.8 \pm 0.3$	$2.7 \pm 0.3$	$19.7 \pm 5.0$	$15.6 \pm 0.7$
20–40	$0.2 \pm 0.0$	$8.2 \pm 0.5$	$91.6 \pm 0.5$	$311.3 \pm 39.9$	$19.0 \pm 0.9$	$14.4 \pm 0.8$	$12.5 \pm 1.1$	$1.3 \pm 0.4$	$0.5 \pm 0.1$	$1.6 \pm 0.1$	$13.2 \pm 0.8$	$11.5 \pm 1.0$
60–80	$0.0 \pm 0.0$	$4.6 \pm 0.7$	$95.4 \pm 0.7$	$92.6 \pm 19.2$	$7.1 \pm 1.2$	$7.0 \pm 1.3$	$3.0 \pm 0.3$	$1.2 \pm 0.9$	$0.1 \pm 0.0$	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	$6.7 \pm 1.3$	$2.8 \pm 0.3$



**Figure 1.** The distribution of OC over soil fractions obtained by a modified version of the Zimmerman et al. (2007) procedure for 6 sampled (0-60cm) soil profiles in the Sunda Volcanic complex in West Java, Indonesia. Vertical bars indicate standard deviations for three lab-replicates (n = 3)

### 230 3.2 C:N ratio, amorphous aluminum and iron in the sand-aggregates (S+A) and silt-clay (s+c) fractions

The ratio of C:N ranged from 6 – 20 in S+A and s+c fractions (Table 3) and their mean values did not differ. The S+A C:N-ratio was higher in the agriculture than in the forest soils ( $p < 0.05$ ). The content of  $Al_o$  varied between 1.5 to 57  $g\ kg^{-1}$  and 3.2 to 47  $g\ kg^{-1}$  in the S+A and s+c fractions, respectively, whereas  $Fe_o$  ranged from 9.6 to 30  $g\ kg^{-1}$  and 7.6 to 74  $g\ kg^{-1}$  in the S+A and s+c fractions, respectively. A much lower  $Al_o$  content in the NF-y site s+c and S+A fractions compared to all other soils was in line with its distinctive total elemental composition previously reported by Anindita et al. (2022). The S+A  $Al_o$  content was higher under agriculture ( $32 \pm 12\ mg\ g^{-1}$ ) than pine forest ( $20\ mg\ g^{-1}$ ) ( $p < 0.05$ ) use. The s+c fraction  $Fe_o$  content was higher under pine forest ( $21.6 \pm 4.7\ mg\ g^{-1}$ ) than under agricultural land-use ( $17 \pm 7.2\ mg\ g^{-1}$ ) ( $p < 0.01$ ).

**Table 3.** Ratio of C:N, oxalate extractable-aluminum ( $Al_o$ ) and iron ( $Fe_o$ ) in S+A and s+c fractions

Depth	S+A fraction			s+c fraction		
	C:N ratio	$Al_o$ $g\ kg^{-1}$	$Fe_o$	C:N ratio	$Al_o$ $g\ kg^{-1}$	$Fe_o$
Primary forest (NF-y)						
0-20	$6.5 \pm 2.9$	$1.7 \pm 0.5$	$17.5 \pm 1.6$	$15.5 \pm 0.4$	$4.2 \pm 0.6$	$26.6 \pm 0.3$
20–40	$6.6 \pm 1.6$	$1.7 \pm 0.3$	$28.1 \pm 4.0$	$19.8 \pm 0.5$	$3.2 \pm 0.6$	$39.3 \pm 5.7$

60–80	15 ± 2.2	1.5 ± 0.5	20.0 ± 7.6	20.1 ± 0.6	7.6 ± 0.4	74.2 ± 3.6
Pine forest (PF-i)						
0–20	9.5 ± 0.2	11.0 ± 0.6	19.9 ± 1.3	9.3 ± 0.7	11.1 ± 0.6	15.8 ± 0.7
20–40	9.1 ± 0.6	15.3 ± 0.2	21.1 ± 0.9	8.9 ± 0.2	15.3 ± 1.9	20.3 ± 5.2
70–90	7.3 ± 0.5	10.2 ± 0.2	28.5 ± 5.0	9.7 ± 2.8	16.7 ± 0.3	29.8 ± 1.1
Pine forest (PF-o)						
0–20	12.0 ± 0.5	34.6 ± 3.6	24.9 ± 3.5	11.2 ± 0.2	37.1 ± 0.8	21.6 ± 0.6
20–40	10.5 ± 0.3	28.5 ± 2.6	27.9 ± 1.5	10.7 ± 1.2	34.9 ± 1.1	20.2 ± 0.5
60–80	10.6 ± 0.3	10.6 ± 0.3	26.1 ± 1.9	10 ± 0.6	28.1 ± 2.8	21.9 ± 2.1
Agriculture (AG-y)						
0–20	18.7 ± 0.7	19.9 ± 0.3	30.1 ± 3.5	17.0 ± 0.8	18.6 ± 2.1	31.9 ± 4.2
20–40	12.1 ± 0.6	25.7 ± 1.5	24.0 ± 1.9	11.1 ± 0.6	19.3 ± 0.4	16.5 ± 1.5
60–80	11.0 ± 0.8	29.4 ± 1.0	22.1 ± 2.8	10.6 ± 0.3	26.5 ± 1.0	20.5 ± 2.5
Agriculture (AG-i)						
0–20	19.6 ± 0.3	44.8 ± 1.2	11.8 ± 1.1	11.7 ± 0.2	44.5 ± 1.5	13.7 ± 0.3
20–40	19.8 ± 0.4	53.0 ± 3.6	11.0 ± 1.8	15.6 ± 0.3	45.7 ± 0.5	10.2 ± 0.2
50–70	18.0 ± 0.9	56.6 ± 1.2	9.6 ± 0.5	16.2 ± 0.4	46.6 ± 2.2	7.6 ± 0.7
Agriculture (AG-o)						
0–20	12.6 ± 0.5	21.7 ± 2.5	27.4 ± 1.5	9.4 ± 0.9	22.0 ± 0.4	14.0 ± 0.9
20–40	9.5 ± 0.5	23.1 ± 1.6	34.3 ± 5.0	8.1 ± 0.2	21.6 ± 0.8	15.3 ± 1.7
60–80	7.5 ± 0.04	16.1 ± 1.0	33.5 ± 2.9	7.5 ± 0.6	14.5 ± 0.5	22.7 ± 1.2


240

### 3.3 Correlations between SOC fractions and selected chemical soil properties

The proportion of several of the isolated SOC fractions correlated to some of the geochemical soil properties (Fig. 2). Across all land uses and depths, the SOC proportion of the S+A fraction was positively correlated with  $Al_o$  (in bulk soil as well as in the S+A fraction),  $Al_o+1/2Fe_o$ , pH  $H_2O$ , exchangeable Ca, C:N ratio of S+A fraction, and content of amorphous materials ( $p < 0.01$ ). These soil properties were also positively correlated with the amount of OC in S+A fraction ( $g\ C\ kg^{-1}\ soil$ ) and OC concentration in S+A fraction ( $g\ C\ kg^{-1}\ fraction$ ). When considering only the pine forest and agricultural soils, SOC proportion of the S+A fraction was still positively correlated to those soil properties. The SOC proportion in s+c fraction was positively correlated with exchangeable Al and negatively correlated with pH  $H_2O$  ( $p < 0.01$ ). When considering only pine forest and agriculture, positive correlations existed between SOC proportion in s+c fraction with the amount of  $Fe_o$  s+c fraction ( $p < 0.01$ ). The proportion of rSOC in s+c fraction (i.e.  $rSOC / s+c \times 100$ ) correlated positively ( $P < 0.05$ ) to  $Al_o$  content ( $r = 0.67$ ), SSA ( $r = 0.54$ ), the micropore volume ( $r = 0.66$ ) and the  $Fe_o$  content ( $r = 0.52$ ).

245

250




**Figure 2.** Scatter plots of the SOC proportion of the S+A fraction and selected geochemical soil properties, with Pearson correlation coefficients and corresponding statistical significance level indicated. Sites under agriculture and forest are indicated by  $\Delta$  and  $\bullet$  respectively.

255

### 3.4 Carbon mineralization experiment

#### 3.4.1 Gross soil carbon mineralization

Across all sites, C-mineralization (C-min) rates were highest for AG-i and AG-o and peaked already during the first day of incubation, while for the other soils rates peaked at day 3 or 5 only. After day 38, the C-min rates stabilized across time. The cumulative total  $\text{CO}_2\text{-C}$  emission and derived amount of C-mineralized (SOC + ryegrass) generally followed a sequence  $\text{NF-y} < \text{AG-y} < \text{AG-i} < \text{PF-i} < \text{AG-o} < \text{PF-o}$ . Pine forest soils displayed higher cumulative C mineralization than agricultural soils ( $p < 0.05$ ). Overall, the 120-day cumulative C mineralization was  $194\text{--}419 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  higher in soil with grass added than without (Fig. 3).




265

**Figure 3.** Gross cumulative amount of C mineralized in soil with and without 1 g C kg<sup>-1</sup> ryegrass added. Vertical bars indicate standard deviations of three lab replicates (n = 3) and are presented for the grass amended soils only. Sites under forest and agriculture are indicated by ● and Δ, respectively.

### 3.4.2 Grass C-mineralization

270 The  $C_{\text{grass-min}}$  rates peaked within 12 days after the start of the incubation, and they dropped thereafter to just half to one third of the initial rates. The  $C_{\text{grass}}$  mineralization rate was conspicuously high on the first day of incubation in soils AG-i and AG-o, whereas for the other soils mineralization peaked on day 5 only. From day 38 onwards, the  $C_{\text{grass-min}}$  rate had become more or less similar in all six sites. By the end of the 120-days incubations, 22-38 % of the added ryegrass had been mineralized. The highest cumulative  $C_{\text{grass-min}}$  was found for PF-o (389 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), followed by AG-i > AG-o ≈ PF-i ≈ AG-y > NF-y. There

275 were no significant differences in  $C_{\text{grass-min}}$  between the sets of agricultural and forest soils.




**Figure 4.** The percentage of  $C_{\text{grass}}$  (left) and  $C_{\text{SOC}}$  (right) mineralized during 120 days of incubation in soils with  $1 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$  grass or no grass added, respectively. Vertical bars indicate standard deviations of triplicate lab repetitions. Sites under forest and agriculture are indicated by  $\bullet$  and  $\Delta$ , respectively.

### 280 3.4.3 Native SOC mineralization

Mineralization of SOC ( $C_{\text{SOC-min}}$ ) contributed more to gross soil C mineralization than  $C_{\text{grass-min}}$  did. There were large differences in  $C_{\text{SOC-min}}$  between the 0-20 cm soil layers of the six sites. By the end of the incubation, the highest cumulative amount of SOC mineralized was found for PF-o ( $953 \pm 13 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ ) while a fourfold lower amount was mineralized in case of NF-y ( $195 \pm 17 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ ). Significantly less SOC was mineralized in the agricultural soils ( $517 \pm 90 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ ) than in the pine forest soils ( $813 \pm 156 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ ) ( $p < 0.01$ ). Relatively, 0.5–2.9 % of the SOC had been mineralized within the 120 days incubations (Fig. 4). A larger % of SOC was mineralized in the pine forest soils ( $2.9 \% \pm 0.1$ ) than in the agricultural soils ( $1.2 \% \pm 0.3$ ) ( $p < 0.01$ ).

Priming of native SOC mineralization was assessed by comparing  $C_{\text{SOC-min}}$  between the soils with and without  $1 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$  grass added (Fig. 5). During the first week, grass addition tended to increase the  $C_{\text{SOC-min}}$  rate in most soils, i.e. there was positive priming of native SOC. Along the 120-days experiment, however, the direction and magnitude of the net cumulative priming effect varied strongly among soils. Little or no net priming of  $C_{\text{SOC-min}}$  occurred in the NF-y soil, as a result of initial positive priming until day 17 but negative priming afterwards. In the pine forest soils likewise periods of positive and negative priming alternated, with at the end of the 120-days period an alike limited net positive priming of SOC at both PF sites. Priming of SOC was diverse among the three agricultural soils. While strong positive priming throughout the 120-days period in the AG-o soil, while the opposite was true for AG-y and no net priming occurred in case of AG-i. On average, the net 120-days

cumulative priming of SOC in forest ( $19.3 \text{ mg CO}_2\text{-C kg}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$ ) was lower than agricultural ( $31.2 \text{ mg CO}_2\text{-C kg}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$ ) land use, but due to wide variation between replicate sites this difference proved insignificant and moreover net priming rates were not significantly different from zero.



300

**Figure 5.** Net effect of grass addition ( $1 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$ ) on cumulative native SOC mineralization. Positive and negative figures denote net positive or net negative cumulative priming of SOC mineralization. Dotted lines present the temporal evolution of mean net cumulative priming effect for either forest or agricultural land use, with respectively only upper or lower error bars representing the standard deviations on the data.

## 305 4 Discussion

### 4.1 Land use effects on free and aggregate protected OC

Overall, the portion of S+A fraction material (i.e.,  $> 63\mu\text{m}$   $400 \text{ J ml}^{-1}$  ultrasonication resistant aggregates and sand particles) was nearly double in the agricultural ( $34 \pm 22 \%$ ) than forest sites ( $19 \pm 10 \%$ ) ( $p < 0.05$ ). This indicated stronger soil aggregation and aggregate stability under agriculture. In line, a fourfold share of SOC resided in the S+A fraction under agriculture ( $32 \pm 22 \%$ ) than forest ( $7.7 \pm 4 \%$ ) ( $p < 0.05$ ). The important role of soil microaggregates for SOC storage has been displayed by numerous studies (Dungait et al., 2012; Rabbi et al., 2016; Six et al., 2002). We expected a lesser share of SOC to be occluded inside aggregates in the tilled agricultural soils, as absence of tillage results in less disruption of macroaggregates with increased formation of microaggregates and occlusion of POM (Six et al., 2000b; Zheng et al., 2018). However, tillage is not the only determinant of soil aggregate formation and breakdown as aggregate stability also depends on presence of binding agents that cluster mineral and organic particles into aggregates. Repeated addition of labile C via manure and compost amendments was shown to result in greater formation of macroaggregate (Du et al., 2014; Mikha and Rice, 2004;


315

Yu et al., 2012) by increased production of microbial-derived binding agents. Manure and compost amendments to the AG sites were vast (Table 1), and so the enhanced addition of labile C as compared to the forest sites may have boosted aggregate formation with more SOC storage in the S+A fraction under agricultural land use. Next to organic binding agents, Wagai et al. (2018) also found reactive metal phases to be important binding agents in Allophanic Andisols which was in line with the higher amount of  $Al_o$  S+A in agricultural than forest soils ( $p < 0.05$ ). With high to very high levels of  $Al_o$  (up to 4% of the soil mass), it seems very likely that amorphous to poorly crystalline Al-containing minerals would have been prominent binding agents in our set of soils. The bulk soil and S+A fraction contents of  $Al_o$  were also larger in the agricultural than in the forest soils ( $p < 0.05$ ). Moreover, the SOC proportion of the S+A fraction and its OC concentration ( $g\ C\ kg^{-1}$  S+A fraction) correlated positively with contents of  $Al_o$  and  $Al_o+1/2Fe_o$ , and with the % of X-ray amorphous materials. This indicates that higher levels of  $Al_o$  under agriculture than forest would have enhanced aggregation, and in doing so occluded a larger share of SOC inside these aggregates. When comparing the agricultural sites and only the pine forest sites likewise differences existed. In other words the results were not biased by the deviating mineralogical composition and texture of the NF site. Other than aluminium oxides, the content of exchangeable Ca also correlated positively to S+A fraction mass proportion ( $r = 0.40$ ) and the SOC proportion of the S+A fraction ( $r = 0.61$ ;  $p < 0.01$ ). Soil Ca is also well known for its positive effects on aggregation via formation of clay–polyvalent cation–OM complexes (de Kerchove and Elimelech, 2007; Six et al., 2004). This mechanism might have co-promoted aggregate occlusion of OC and its accumulation (Bertrand et al., 2007; Paul et al., 2003), but given the high  $Al_o$  levels, likely  $Ca^{2+}$  would have played a smaller role. The higher levels of exchangeable Ca under agriculture compared to forest ( $p < 0.05$ ) evidently result from liming which increases the pH. The concomitant difference in  $Al_o$ , exchangeable  $Ca^{2+}$  and S+A OC content between agricultural and forest sites makes it impossible to derive the relative importance of these various binding agents in stimulation of aggregate OC occlusion under agricultural land use. Notwithstanding, when considering only pine forest and agricultural sites, the S+A SOC proportion sole best correlated to the S+A  $Al_o$  content ( $r = 0.80$ ;  $p < 0.01$ ), strengthening the view that to a substantial extent enhanced  $Al_o$  content under agriculture promoted storage of SOC inside stable aggregates. By expanding the Zimmerman et al. (2007) fractionation procedure with a stronger ( $400\ J\ ml^{-1}$ ) ultrasonic dispersion step we attempted to further isolate s+c associated OC from POM contained in the S+A fraction. The intermediate C:N ratio (mean:  $12 \pm 4.7$ ) of the final  $400\ J\ ml^{-1}$  S+A fraction indicates that next to POM it still also contained other, likely mineral associated, OM, complicating further interpretation of mechanisms for S+A SOC storage in the agricultural sites. Nevertheless, the higher S+A fraction C:N ratio of the agricultural sites vs. the forests ( $P < 0.05$ ) demonstrates that at least to some extent enhanced SOC storage in aggregates under agricultural land-use resulted from extra occluded POM storage. Particularly for the 0 – 20 cm layer the contrast in the S+A C:N ratio (agriculture: 17.0 vs. forest: 9.3) supports this view. Lastly, less free POM was found in the agricultural than forest soils ( $p < 0.01$ ), likely as a logical consequence of more POM occlusion under agriculture. However, we cannot exclude that also a better degradability of crop-derived residues vs. pine or native forest litter co-explains these trends. It is well established that litter rich in cutin, waxes and lignin such as derived from pine forests is less degradable than plant litter richer in carbohydrates and organic N like most crop residues (Berg and McLaugherty, 2014).



## 4.2 Effect of land use on SOC in the silt and clay fraction

Interaction of SOC with silt and clay provides long-term stabilization to SOC against decomposition and therefore forms a key mechanism for SOC sequestration (De Clercq et al., 2015). SOC sorbs to phyllosilicate clays, Al-, Fe- and Mn-oxides, poorly crystalline minerals, as well as polyvalent cations that form a bridge between minerals and organic constituents (Blanco-Canqui and Lal, 2004). However, for our soils we found that despite higher concentrations of Al<sub>o</sub> under agriculture, a lesser share of the SOC was present in the s+c fraction (as % of SOC) compared to the forest soils ( $p < 0.05$ ). ~~thus~~ association of OC with Al<sub>o</sub>-containing minerals could not explain the higher SOC proportion of OC in s+c under forest. As the amount of s+c OC (in g C kg<sup>-1</sup> soil) was in fact similar between both land-uses it appears that a lower s+c SOC contribution in the agricultural soils was instead simply mirroring trends in the S+A fraction. The s+c fraction proportion to SOC correlated negatively to soil pH and positively to exchangeable Al content but likewise these correlations probably do not point to a causal relationship but rather result from the higher SOC proportion of S+A OC under agriculture alongside liming-caused lifted soil pH and lowered exchangeable Al. A weak positive correlation between the s+c SOC proportion and Fe<sub>o</sub> in s+c ( $r = 0.45$ ;  $p < 0.01$ ) could indicate that association with poorly crystalline Fe stabilizes OM in the silt and clay fraction. But, again as s+c SOC content did not differ between the agricultural and the forest sites while Fe<sub>o</sub> did, such land-use control on s+c Fe<sub>o</sub> associated SOC seems unlikely. The s+c fraction was per the Zimmerman et al. (2007) fractionation procedure subdivided into oxidizable and resistant parts, expected to coincide roughly with SOC stabilized by mineral-association as opposed to its own biochemical inertness, respectively. Significant positive correlations existed between the share of s+c OC that was oxidizable by 6 % NaOCl (i.e., the rSOC / s+c x 100) with the contents of Al<sub>o</sub>, the bulk soil SSA, and micropore volume (Fig. 6). Especially in subsoils the correlations with Al<sub>o</sub> was strong (Fig. 6). These correlations suggest that the oxidizable s+c OC-fraction indeed at least partially coincides with mineral-associated SOC, in spite that on the opposite association of SOC with Fe and Al (hydr)oxides has just as well before been found to limit oxidation of SOC by 6 % NaOCl (Mikutta et al., 2006). As neither chemical inertness of the s+c OC (agriculture: 53 % of s+c vs. forest: 59% of s+c), nor the s+c Al<sub>o</sub>, bulk soil SSA and micropore volume differed between both land uses it appears that land-use history did not impact the degree of mineral association of OC and its content in these Andosols. This outcome further contradicts that more s+c OC would accumulate under forest because of a more biochemical inertness of the OC inputs compared to agriculture.



380 **Figure. 6** Relation between the proportion of rSOC of the s+c fraction in the pine forest and agricultural soils with the soil  $Al_0$  (all dots jointly,  $n = 30$ ) and for the set of subsoil observations (in blue) specifically; and likewise relations with the soil specific surface area and the micropore volume ( $n = 15$ , SSA and micropore volume not replicated). Sites under agriculture and pine forest are indicated by  $\Delta$  and  $\bullet$ , respectively. Pearson correlation coefficients in black and blue represent correlations for the total dataset and for the set of subsoils, respectively.

### 4.3 Land use effects on native SOC degradability

385 The percentage of SOC mineralized after 120 days of incubation was less than half in the agricultural soils (1.2 %) than in the pine forest soils (2.9 %) ( $p < 0.01$ ). This result was rather unexpected given the lower soil pH by 0.8 under pine forest, as acidic conditions are well known to retard SOC decomposition of SOC (Högberg et al., 2007; Malik et al., 2018) in line with Anda and Dahlgren (2020). To understand the apparent relative stabilization of SOC in the agricultural soils, we again consider the distribution of SOC across soil fractions. We (Anindita et al., 2022) previously hypothesized that the nearly doubled  $Al_0$  content of the agricultural soils ( $21.8 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$  on average) compared to the pine forest soils ( $13.9 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$ ) would partially explain the relatively elevated SOC stocks under agriculture for our study area due to enhanced organo–mineral association. Basile-Doelsch et al. (2005) likewise found that volcanic ash soil horizons containing much poorly crystalline material (proto–imogolite and proto–imogolite allophane) store large amounts of organic matter which turns over very slowly. But as no negative correlations existed between the amount or proportion of SOC mineralized and  $Al_0$  content, this hypothesis was not confirmed. As mentioned above, we did find a higher % of SOC to be present in the rSOC fraction under forest but its content ( $\text{g kg}^{-1}$  soil) was not higher than under agricultural land use and again no correlation existed with SOC mineralization. Mikutta et al. (2006) indeed demonstrated that a larger chemical recalcitrance of SOC does not really translate into a larger biological stability. Once more, it appears that the larger SOC proportion of rSOC seems to simply result from less accumulation of SOC in the S+A fraction under forest.

400 The proportion and concentration of the S+A fraction OC were higher under agriculture, so enhanced soil aggregation and occlusion of SOC might have significantly physically protected SOC against microbially mediated decomposition compared

to pine forest land use. A study of Andosols in Colombia by Gijsman and Sanz (1998) also reported that aggregation holds considerable control on SOC decomposability as these authors observed a significant increase of CO<sub>2</sub> mineralization after crushing large and small macroaggregates (> 53 μm). Indeed, we found that the amount of OC (g C kg<sup>-1</sup> soil) in the S+A  
405 fraction negatively correlated to % SOC mineralized for the set of soils with agricultural and pine forest land use (r = -0.78; p < 0.01). It then appears that enhanced soil aggregation and occlusion of SOC under agricultural land use in part limited degradability of SOC, rather than enhanced association of SOC with soil minerals, including poorly crystalline or amorphous Al-containing minerals. As argued in 4.2, we can add to this that formation of amorphous materials and Al<sub>o</sub> in the agricultural soils most likely promoted soil aggregation. Hence, we hypothesize that enhanced pedogenesis forms a relevant indirect  
410 mechanism via which conversion to agricultural land use impacts the SOC balance of these relatively young tropical volcanic soils. However, since large amounts of exogenous OM are annually being applied to the in this study investigated agricultural fields (Table 1), we cannot unequivocally exclude that these instead explain the high SOC stocks and contribution of aggregate associated OC here and more observations on an expanded set of sites will be required to test this hypothesis.

#### **4.4 Land use effects of exogenous organic matter decomposition and net priming of SOC**

415 In contrast to native SOC mineralization, added grass C mineralization did not differ between forest and agricultural soils. Therefore, apparently protection of ryegrass OC either by mineral association or occlusion inside aggregates must have been similar between both land uses and, in any case, did not impact its degradability in soil. Laboratory incubations with disturbed soils do not necessarily adequately reproduce field conditions in terms of soil structure, microclimate, and food webs. The observed similar degradability of ryegrass-C does not suggest a likewise enhanced storage of freshly added OM like for native  
420 SOC under agriculture than under forest. Possibly, such impact only emerges on the longer term when the added OM has been subject to sufficient diminution into smaller POM that could be occluded in microaggregates. Six et al. (2002) summarized that particularly 50–250 μm scaled microaggregates grant sizable physical protection to POM, while the macroaggregate (> 250 μm) structure exerts little control on POM decomposability. Adding ryegrass to the 0–20 cm soils initially seemed to impact mineralization of native SOC with a general positive priming effect in first week of incubation, in line with many other  
425 studies, e.g. Liu et al. (2017). Thereafter, however, in four of the six studied soils net negative priming countered this initial stimulation of SOC mineralization. Such adverse impact of adding fresh OM on SOC mineralization could be due to a preferential utilization of the added substrate by microorganisms (Derrien et al., 2014). Regardless, the net result was that after 120 days SOC mineralization was not significantly stimulated (on average by 1.6 %). There was also no land use effect on the net priming of SOC after 120 days. Hence, in spite of differences in SOC quality under both land uses, as inferred from  
430 different SOC proportions of S+A and free POM, no differential stimulation of SOC mineralization by addition of a relatively labile plant-C substrate existed between agricultural or forest land use. These observations imply that no adverse effect is to be expected of the addition of generally relatively labile C (above ground crop residues, animal manure) on stability of the native SOC present under agricultural land use.

## 5 Conclusions

435 Following the fractionation of SOC by Zimmermann et al., (2007), the silt and clay associated OC forms the dominant SOC pool in tropical volcanic soils in Indonesia, regardless of land-use. But land-use strongly impacts SOC contained within 400 J m<sup>-1</sup> ultrasonic dispersion resistant soil aggregates as its contribution to SOC rose from 9% of SOC in forest to as much as 32% of SOC several decades after conversion to agricultural use, with alongside also more OC stored in this fraction. The S+A SOC proportion was positively related to Al<sub>o</sub>, Al<sub>o+1/2Fe<sub>o</sub></sub>, exchangeable Ca, pH, and the % of amorphous materials. Our study

440 thus indicates agricultural land use to stimulated development of poorly crystalline Al, which in turn promoted soil aggregation and physical occlusion of OC compared to forest land use. A negative correlation between relative decomposability of the SOC and the portion of SOC that is physically occluded further suggests that enhanced soil aggregation under cropland effectively stabilizes part of the SOC compared to under forest land use. Contrary to our hypothesis, however, we found no proof that stimulated formation of Al<sub>o</sub> and amorphous minerals would have increased association of SOC with soil minerals

445 under agriculture. Based on the present study we postulate that the enhanced formation of amorphous minerals and Al<sub>o</sub> under agriculture with high OM inputs promoted development of stable soil aggregates and OC occlusion therein and this would in part counter otherwise expected losses of SOC compared to primary and secondary forest. However, the contribution of large OM inputs vs. land-use conversion per sé could not be elucidated here and this will require study of other tropical Andosol forest-agricultural land use pairs with detailed inventory of OC inputs. To the least, our study points at the overall need to

450 account for potential indirect land use effects on stability of SOC via its control on pedogenesis. Especially, this is so for pedoclimatic combinations where weathering can be very fast, like in tropical Andosols that have only relatively recently been cultivated.

## Appendix

No Appendix

## 455 Data availability

The data generated in this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request

## Author contributions

SS, PF, and SA conceptualized the study. SA conducted soil sampling and performed the experiment. SA prepared the manuscript with contributions from all the co-authors.

## 460 **Competing interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest

## **Acknowledgments**

We thank Indonesia Endowment Fund for Education (LPDP) for the internal support of this research, Orly Mendoza, Haichao Li, and Heleen Dero from Soil Fertility Research Group (Ghent University) for laboratory assistance.

## 465 **Financial support**

This research has been supported by Indonesia Endowment Fund for Education (LPDP).

## **References**

- Anda, M. and Dahlgren, R. A.: Long-term response of tropical Andisol properties to conversion from rainforest to agriculture, *Catena*, 194, 104679, doi:10.1016/j.catena.2020.104679, 2020.
- 470 Anindita, S., Sleutel, S., Vandenberghe, D., Grave, J. De, Vandenhende, V. and Finke, P.: Land use impacts on weathering, soil properties, and carbon storage in wet Andosols, Indonesia, *Geoderma*, 423(May), 115963, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2022.115963, 2022.
- Asano, M. and Wagai, R.: Evidence of aggregate hierarchy at micro- to submicron scales in an allophanic andisol, *Geoderma*, 216, 62–74, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2013.10.005, 2014.
- 475 Asano, M. and Wagai, R.: Distinctive organic matter pools among particle-size fractions detected by solid-state  $^{13}\text{C}$ -NMR,  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  analyses only after strong dispersion in an allophanic andisol, *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.*, 61(2), 242–248, doi:10.1080/00380768.2014.982492, 2015.
- Asano, M., Wagai, R., Yamaguchi, N., Takeichi, Y., Maeda, M., Suga, H. and Takahashi, Y.: In Search of a Binding Agent: Nano-Scale Evidence of Preferential Carbon Associations with Poorly-Crystalline Mineral Phases in Physically-Stable, Clay-
- 480 Sized Aggregates, *Soil Syst.*, 2(2), 32, doi:10.3390/soilsystems2020032, 2018.
- Baldock, J. A.: Composition and Cycling of Organic Carbon in Soil, in *Nutrient Cycling in Terrestrial Ecosystems*, edited by P. Marschner and Z. Rengel, pp. 1–35, Springer Berlin, Heidelberg., 2007.
- Bartoli, F., Burtin, G. and Herbillon, A. J.: Disaggregation and clay dispersion of Oxisols: Na resin, a recommended methodology, *Geoderma*, 49(3–4), 301–317, doi:10.1016/0016-7061(91)90082-5, 1991.
- 485 Basile-Doelsch, I., Amundson, R., Stone, W. E. E., Borschneck, D., Bottero, J. Y., Moustier, S., Masin, F. and Colin, F.: Mineral control of carbon pools in a volcanic soil horizon, *Geoderma*, 137(3–4), 477–489, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2006.10.006, 2007.

- Berg, B. and McLaugherty, C.: *Plant litter: decomposition, humus formation, carbon sequestration*, Third., Springer Berlin Heidelberg, New York., 2014.
- 490 Bertrand, I., Delfosse, O. and Mary, B.: Carbon and nitrogen mineralization in acidic, limed and calcareous agricultural soils: Apparent and actual effects, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 39(1), 276–288, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2006.07.016, 2007.
- Blagodatskaya, E., Khomyakov, N., Myachina, O., Bogomolova, I., Blagodatsky, S. and Kuzyakov, Y.: Microbial interactions affect sources of priming induced by cellulose, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 74, 39–49, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.02.017, 2014.
- Blanco-Canqui, H. and Lal, R.: Mechanisms of carbon sequestration in soil aggregates, *CRC. Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.*, 23(6), 481–  
495 504, doi:10.1080/07352680490886842, 2004.
- Van Breemen, N., Mulder, J. and Driscoll, C.: Acidification and alkalization of soils, *Plant Soil*, 75(3), 283–308 [online] Available from: <http://www.jstor.com/stable/42934465>, 1983.
- Bruijnzeel, L. A.: Nutrient Content of Litterfall in Coniferous Forest Plantations in Central Java , Indonesia, *J. Trop. Ecol.*, 1(4), 353–372 [online] Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2559453>, 1985.
- 500 Cerli, C., Celi, L., Kalbitz, K., Guggenberger, G. and Kaiser, K.: Separation of light and heavy organic matter fractions in soil - Testing for proper density cut-off and dispersion level, *Geoderma*, 170, 403–416, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2011.10.009, 2012.
- Chen, R., Senbayram, M., Blagodatsky, S., Myachina, O., Dittert, K., Lin, X., Blagodatskaya, E. and Kuzyakov, Y.: Soil C and N availability determine the priming effect: Microbial N mining and stoichiometric decomposition theories, *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, 20(7), 2356–2367, doi:10.1111/gcb.12475, 2014.
- 505 Churchman, G. J. and Lowe, D. J.: Alteration, formation, and occurrence of minerals in soils, in *Handbook of Soil Sciences*, vol. 1, edited by P. M. Huang, Y. Li, and M. E. Sumner, pp. 20.1-20.72, CRC Press (Taylor & Francis), Boca Raton, FL., 2012.
- De Clercq, T., Heiling, M., Dercon, G., Resch, C., Aigner, M., Mayer, L., Mao, Y., Elsen, A., Steier, P., Leifeld, J. and Merckx, R.: Predicting soil organic matter stability in agricultural fields through carbon and nitrogen stable isotopes, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 88, 29–38, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.05.011, 2015.
- 510 Covalada, S., Gallardo, J. F., García-Oliva, F., Kirchmann, H., Prat, C., Bravo, M. and Etchevers, J. D.: Land-use effects on the distribution of soil organic carbon within particle-size fractions of volcanic soils in the Transmexican Volcanic Belt (Mexico), *Soil Use Manag.*, 27(2), 186–194, doi:10.1111/j.1475-2743.2011.00341.x, 2011.
- Cronan, C. S.: *Ecosystem Biogeochemistry*, Springer. [online] Available from: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-66444-6>, 2018.
- 515 Cusack, D. F., Chadwick, O. A., Ladefoged, T. and Vitousek, P. M.: Long-term effects of agriculture on soil carbon pools and carbon chemistry along a Hawaiian environmental gradient, *Biogeochemistry*, 112(1–3), 229–243, doi:10.1007/s10533-012-9718-z, 2013.
- Derrien, D., Plain, C., Courty, P. E., Gelhaye, L., Moerdijk-Poortvliet, T. C. W., Thomas, F., Versini, A., Zeller, B., Koutika,  
520 L. S., Boschker, H. T. S. and Epron, D.: Does the addition of labile substrate destabilise old soil organic matter?, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 76(November 2017), 149–160, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.04.030, 2014.

- Doetterl, S., Stevens, A., Six, J., Merckx, R., Van Oost, K., Casanova Pinto, M., Casanova-Katny, A., Muñoz, C., Boudin, M., Zagal Venegas, E. and Boeckx, P.: Soil carbon storage controlled by interactions between geochemistry and climate, *Nat. Geosci.*, 8(10), 780–783, doi:10.1038/ngeo2516, 2015.
- 525 Don, A., Schumacher, J. and Freibauer, A.: Impact of tropical land-use change on soil organic carbon stocks - a meta-analysis, *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, 17(4), 1658–1670, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02336.x, 2011.
- Dörner, J., Dec, D., Feest, E., Vásquez, N. and Díaz, M.: Dynamics of soil structure and pore functions of a volcanic ash soil under tillage, *Soil Tillage Res.*, 125, 52–60, doi:10.1016/j.still.2012.05.019, 2012.
- Du, Z. liu, Wu, W. liang, Zhang, Q. zhong, Guo, Y. bin and Meng, F. qiao: Long-Term Manure Amendments Enhance Soil  
530 Aggregation and Carbon Saturation of Stable Pools in North China Plain, *J. Integr. Agric.*, 13(10), 2276–2285, doi:10.1016/S2095-3119(14)60823-6, 2014.
- Dungait, J. A. J., Hopkins, D. W., Gregory, A. S. and Whitmore, A. P.: Soil organic matter turnover is governed by accessibility not recalcitrance, *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, 18(6), 1781–1796, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02665.x, 2012.
- Gerzabek, M. H., Bajraktarevic, A., Keiblinger, K., Mentler, A., Rechberger, M., Tintner, J., Wriessnig, K., Gartner, M.,  
535 Valenzuela, X. S., Troya, A., Couenberg, P. M., Jäger, H., Carrión, J. E. and Zehetner, F.: Agriculture changes soil properties on the Galápagos Islands-two case studies, *Soil Res.*, 57(3), 201–214, doi:10.1071/SR18331, 2019.
- Gijsman, A. J. and Sanz, J. I.: Soil organic matter pools in a volcanic-ash soil under fallow or cultivation with applied chicken manure, *Eur. J. Soil Sci.*, 49(3), 427–436, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2389.1998.4930427.x, 1998.
- Guillaume, T., Kotowska, M. M., Hertel, D., Knohl, A., Krashevskaya, V., Murtillaksono, K., Scheu, S. and Kuzyakov, Y.:  
540 Carbon costs and benefits of Indonesian rainforest conversion to plantations, *Nat. Commun.*, 9(1), doi:10.1038/s41467-018-04755-y, 2018.
- Hernández, Z., Almendros, G., Carral, P., Álvarez, A., Knicker, H. and Pérez-Trujillo, J. P.: Influence of non-crystalline minerals in the total amount, resilience and molecular composition of the organic matter in volcanic ash soils (Tenerife Island, Spain), *Eur. J. Soil Sci.*, 63(5), 603–615, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2389.2012.01497.x, 2012.
- 545 Hertel, D., Moser, G., Culmsee, H., Erasmi, S., Horna, V., Schuldt, B. and Leuschner, C.: Below- and above-ground biomass and net primary production in a paleotropical natural forest (Sulawesi, Indonesia) as compared to neotropical forests, *For. Ecol. Manage.*, 258(9), 1904–1912, doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2009.07.019, 2009.
- Högberg, M. N., Högberg, P. and Myrold, D. D.: Is microbial community composition in boreal forest soils determined by pH, C-to-N ratio, the trees, or all three?, *Oecologia*, 150(4), 590–601, doi:10.1007/s00442-006-0562-5, 2007.
- 550 Huygens, D., Boeckx, P., Van Cleemput, O., Godoy, R. and Oyarzun, C.: Aggregate structure and stability linked to carbon dynamics in a south Chilean Andisol, *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, 2(1), 203–238, doi:10.5194/bgd-2-203-2005, 2005.
- Keeling, D.: The concentration and isotopic abundances of atmospheric carbon dioxide in rural areas, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 13, 322–334, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(58)90033-4, 1958.
- de Kerchove, A. J. and Elimelech, M.: Formation of polysaccharide gel layers in the presence of Ca<sup>2+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> ions:  
555 Measurements and mechanisms, *Biomacromolecules*, 8(1), 113–121, doi:10.1021/bm060670i, 2007.

- Kleber, M., Eusterhues, K., Keiluweit, M., Mikutta, C., Mikutta, R. and Nico, P. S.: Mineral-Organic Associations: Formation, Properties, and Relevance in Soil Environments, in *Advances in Agronomy*, vol. 130, edited by D. . Sparks, pp. 1–140, Elsevier Ltd., 2015.
- 560 Lehmann, J. and Kleber, M.: The contentious nature of soil organic matter, *Nature*, 528(7580), 60–68, doi:10.1038/nature16069, 2015.
- Li, J., Du, J., Zhong, S., Ci, E. and Wei, C.: Changes in the profile properties and chemical weathering characteristics of cultivated soils affected by anthropic activities, *Sci. Rep.*, 11(1), 20822, doi:10.1038/s41598-021-00302-w, 2021.
- Linlin, G., Taku, N., Hiromi, I. and Zhigang, S.: Carbon Mineralization Associated with Soil Aggregates as Affected by Short-Term Tillage, *J. Resour. Ecol.*, 7(2), 101–106, doi:10.5814/j.issn.1674-764x.2016.02.004, 2016.
- 565 Liu, X. J. A., Sun, J., Mau, R. L., Finley, B. K., Compson, Z. G., van Gestel, N., Brown, J. R., Schwartz, E., Dijkstra, P. and Hungate, B. A.: Labile carbon input determines the direction and magnitude of the priming effect, *Appl. Soil Ecol.*, 109, 7–13, doi:10.1016/j.apsoil.2016.10.002, 2017.
- Malik, A. A., Puissant, J., Buckeridge, K. M., Goodall, T., Jehmlich, N., Chowdhury, S., Gweon, H. S., Peyton, J. M., Mason, K. E., van Agtmaal, M., Bland, A., Clark, I. M., Whitaker, J., Pywell, R. F., Ostle, N., Gleixner, G. and Griffiths, R. I.: Land use driven change in soil pH affects microbial carbon cycling processes, *Nat. Commun.*, 9(1), 1–10, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-05980-1, 2018.
- 570 Matus, F., Rumpel, C., Neculman, R., Panichini, M. and Mora, M. L.: Soil carbon storage and stabilisation in andic soils: A review, *Catena*, 120, 102–110, doi:10.1016/j.catena.2014.04.008, 2014.
- McKeague, J. A.: An evaluation of 0.1 M pyrophosphate and pyrophosphate-dithionite in comparison with oxalate as extractants of the accumulation products in podzols and some other soils, *Can. J. Soil Sci.*, 47(2), 95–99, doi:10.4141/cjss67-017, 1967.
- Mikha, M. M. and Rice, C. W.: Tillage and Manure Effects on Soil and Aggregate-Associated Carbon and Nitrogen, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 68(3), 809–816, doi:10.2136/sssaj2004.8090, 2004.
- 580 Mikutta, R., Kleber, M., Torn, M. S. and Jahn, R.: Stabilization of soil organic matter: Association with minerals or chemical recalcitrance?, *Biogeochemistry*, 77(1), 25–56, doi:10.1007/s10533-005-0712-6, 2006.
- Mikutta, R., Schaumann, G. E., Gildemeister, D., Bonneville, S., Kramer, M. G., Chorover, J., Chadwick, O. A. and Guggenberger, G.: Biogeochemistry of mineral-organic associations across a long-term mineralogical soil gradient (0.3-4100 kyr), Hawaiian Islands, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 73(7), 2034–2060, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2008.12.028, 2009.
- 585 Miyazawa, M., Takahashi, T., Sato, T., Kanno, H. and Nanzyo, M.: Factors controlling accumulation and decomposition of organic carbon in humus horizons of Andosols: A case study for distinctive non-allophanic Andosols in northeastern Japan, *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 49(7), 929–938, doi:10.1007/s00374-013-0792-8, 2013.
- Parfitt, R. L.: Allophane and imogolite: role in soil biogeochemical processes, *Clay Miner.*, 44(1), 135–155, doi:10.1180/claymin.2009.044.1.135, 2009.
- Paul, E. A., Morris, S. J., Six, J., Paustian, K. and Gregorich, E. G.: Interpretation of Soil Carbon and Nitrogen Dynamics in



- 590 Agricultural and Afforested Soils, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 67(5), 1620–1628, doi:10.2136/sssaj2003.1620, 2003.
- Poeplau, C., Don, A., Dondini, M., Leifeld, J., Nemo, R., Schumacher, J., Senapati, N. and Wiesmeier, M.: Reproducibility of a soil organic carbon fractionation method to derive RothC carbon pools, *Eur. J. Soil Sci.*, 64(6), 735–746, doi:10.1111/ejss.12088, 2013.
- Poirier, V., Basile-Doelsch, I., Balesdent, J., Borschneck, D., Whalen, J. K. and Angers, D. A.: Organo-Mineral Interactions  
595 Are More Important for Organic Matter Retention in Subsoil Than Topsoil, *Soil Syst.*, 4(1), 4, doi:10.3390/soilsystems4010004, 2020.
- Qiao, N., Schaefer, D., Blagodatskaya, E., Zou, X., Xu, X. and Kuzyakov, Y.: Labile carbon retention compensates for CO<sub>2</sub> released by priming in forest soils, *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, 20(6), 1943–1954, doi:10.1111/gcb.12458, 2014.
- Rabbi, S. M. F., Daniel, H., Lockwood, P. V., Macdonald, C., Pereg, L., Tighe, M., Wilson, B. R. and Young, I. M.: Physical  
600 soil architectural traits are functionally linked to carbon decomposition and bacterial diversity, *Sci. Rep.*, 6(September), doi:10.1038/srep33012, 2016.
- Six, J., Elliott, E. T. and Paustian, K.: Soil macroaggregate turnover and microaggregate formation: A mechanism for C sequestration under no-tillage agriculture, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 32(14), 2099–2103, doi:10.1016/S0038-0717(00)00179-6, 2000a.
- 605 Six, J., Paustian, K., Elliott, E. T. and Combrink, C.: Soil Structure and Organic Matter I. Distribution of Aggregate-Size Classes and Aggregate-Associated Carbon, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 64(2), 681–689, doi:10.2136/sssaj2000.642681x, 2000b.
- Six, J., Conant, R. T., Paul, E. A. and Paustian, K.: Stabilization mechanisms of soil organic matter: Implications for C-saturation of soils, *Plant Soil*, 241(2), 155–176, doi:10.1023/A:1016125726789, 2002.
- Six, J., Bossuyt, H., Degryze, S. and Denef, K.: A history of research on the link between (micro)aggregates, soil biota, and  
610 soil organic matter dynamics, *Soil Tillage Res.*, 79(1), 7–31, doi:10.1016/j.still.2004.03.008, 2004.
- Smith, W. ., Cleveland, C. ., Reed, S. . and Running, S. .: Agricultural conversion without external water and nutrient inputs reduces terrestrial vegetation productivity, *Geophys. Prospect.*, (April), 6298–6305, doi:10.1002/2013GL058857, 2014.
- SSB & UGhent: Study LA BOD/STUD 2006 01 04 for the Flemish Government - Development of an expertsystem for C-management advise for cropland soils: part 1 literature study & part 2 development of an advice system (*in Dutch*). Dept.  
615 Environment, Nature and Energy of the Flemish Government. Brussels, <https://publicaties.vlaanderen.be/view-file/20207>, 2008.
- Taylor, M. D., Lowe, D. J., Hardi, P., Smidt, G. A. and Schnug, E.: Comparing volcanic glass shards in unfertilised and fertilised Andisols derived from rhyolitic tephra, New Zealand: Evidence for accelerated weathering and implications for land management, *Geoderma*, 271(November 2018), 91–98, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2016.01.035, 2016.
- 620 Wagai, R., Kajiura, M., Uchida, M. and Asano, M.: Distinctive roles of two aggregate binding agents in allophanic andisols: Young carbon and poorly-crystalline metal phases with old carbon, *Soil Syst.*, 2(2), 1–23, doi:10.3390/soilsystems2020029, 2018.
- Wei, X., Shao, M., Gale, W. and Li, L.: Global pattern of soil carbon losses due to the conversion of forests to agricultural

- land, *Sci. Rep.*, 4, 6–11, doi:10.1038/srep04062, 2014.
- 625 Werth, M. and Kuzyakov, Y.: 13C fractionation at the root-microorganisms-soil interface: A review and outlook for partitioning studies, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 42(9), 1372–1384, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.04.009, 2010.
- Yu, H., Ding, W., Luo, J., Geng, R., Ghani, A. and Cai, Z.: Effects of long-term compost and fertilizer application on stability of aggregate-associated organic carbon in an intensively cultivated sandy loam soil, *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 48(3), 325–336, doi:10.1007/s00374-011-0629-2, 2012.
- 630 Zheng, H., Liu, W., Zheng, J., Luo, Y., Li, R., Wang, H. and Qi, H.: Effect of long-term tillage on soil aggregates and aggregate-associated carbon in black soil of northeast China, *PLoS One*, 13(6), 1–18, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0199523, 2018.
- Zimmermann, M., Leifeld, J., Schmidt, M. W. I., Smith, P. and Fuhrer, J.: Measured soil organic matter fractions can be related to pools in the RothC model, *Eur. J. Soil Sci.*, 58(3), 658–667, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2389.2006.00855.x, 2007.