

Table S1 Site characteristics from a global meta-analysis of 59 studies.

Reference	Country	Site	Vegetation type	MAT ^a (°C)	MAP ^b (mm)	Elevation (m asl)
Abid and Lal (2009)	USA	The Waterman Farm of The Ohio State University, Columbus	Maize	11	1016	
Abu and Abubakar (2013)	Nigeria	The experimental field of the Institute for Agricultural Research, Ahmadu Bello University	Soybean		1011 ± 161	686
Afyuni and Wagger (2006)	USA	Lower Coastal Plain Research Station, North Carolina	Corn and Soybean			
Alletto and Coquet (2009)	France	An agricultural field in the large alluvial corridor of the Garonne River	Maize			
Anikwe and Ubochi (2007)	Nigeria	Faculty Research Farm of Faculty of Agriculture and Natural Resources Management, Enugu State University of Science and Technology	Sweet potato		1700~2010	450
Azooz and Arshad (1996)	Canada	Dawson Creek site and Rolla site	Barley and canola	0.9	504	
Azooz et al. (1996)	Canada	Dawson Creek site and Rolla site	Barley and canola	0.9	504	
Blanco-Canqui et al. (2017)	USA	The University of Nebraska's Rogers Memorial Farm	Corn and soybean		693	368
Blanco-Canqui et al. (2004)	USA	Midwest Research Claypan Farm (McCredie), Kingdom City, MO	Corn and soybean			
Buczko et al. (2006)	Germany	Two agricultural sites in Northern Germany: Lietzen in Brandenburg and Adenstedt in Lower Saxony	Rye and winter wheat	8	550~700	55~185
Busari (2017)	Nigeria	The Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta	Maize	30~37	1058	
Cai et al. (2012)	China	An experimental field in the Dingxi County	Wheat and pea	6.4	390.9	2000

Cameira et al. (2003)	Portugal	An Experimental Farm located in the Sorraia River Watershed	Maize			
Celik and Ersahin (2011)	Turkey	A field experiment at the Agricultural Experimental Station of Cukurova University, Adana	Wheat, soybean and corn	20	670	32
Cui et al. (2013)	China	A field experiment in Ningxiang County of Hunan Province	Rice	16.8	1360	80
Curtis and Claassen (2009)	USA	Three research sites in northern California	Grass	2.6~6.3	937~1669	583~1601
Du et al. (2011)	China	Luancheng Agro-Ecosystem Experimental Station of Chinese Academy of Sciences	Wheat and maize	12.5	536	50.1
Fasinmirin (2011)	Brazil	An experimental site of the Department of Soils, Federal University of Santa Maria		22		
Gao and Zhang (2010)	China	Dry farming experimental station of Gansu Agricultural University	Maize	6.4	390.9	2000
Gómez et al. (1999)	Spain	An experimental olive orchard in Santaella	Olive		606	
Haruna et al. (2018)	USA	Lincoln University's Freeman Center	Corn			166
Hati et al. (2015)	India	The research farm of Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal	Soybean and wheat		1130	485
He et al. (2009)	China	A long-term tillage experiment located in the village of Chenghuang	Winter wheat	10.7	555	456
Huang et al. (2015)	China	An experimental site in Beiqiu, the YRD	Wheat and maize	13.5	600	
Iqbal et al. (2005)	Pakistan	The Research Area of Institute of Soil and Environmental Sciences, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad	Wheat			
Jabro et al. (2016)	USA	The North Dakota State University Williston Research Extension Center irrigated research farm	Wheat			

Jarecki and Lal (2005)	USA	Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center Western and North Western Branch Research Farm	Corn and soybean	9.9~10.8	845~1043	
Jemai et al. (2012)	Tunisia	Hamrounia region, near the municipality of Mateur	Wheat	18.2	560	153
Johnson-Maynard et al. (2007)	USA	The University of Idaho's Kambitsch Research Farm	Pea, wheat and barley		695	
Kahlon et al. (2013)	USA	The Waterman Farm of the Ohio State University	Wheat	11	1016	
Kahlon and Chawla (2017)	India	The Research Farm of the Department of Soil Science, Punjab Agricultural University	Wheat and maize			247
Kreiselmeier et al. (2020)	Germany	An experimental field with a Haplic Luvisol in Eastern Germany	Wheat and sugar beet			275
Liebig et al. (2004)	USA	The long-term cropping system experiments in Morton County	Wheat and sunflower	4	409	
Liu et al. (2018)	China	Fengqiu County, Henan Province	Wheat and poplar trees	13.9	615	65~73
Lozano et al. (2016)	Argentina	An experimental field in the Pampas region	Wheat and soybean		1000	
Luo et al. (2005)	China	An experimental field in the Dingxi County	Wheat and pea	6.4	390.9	2000
Martínez et al. (2008)	Chile	The Antumapu Experimental Station of the University of Chile	Wheat and maize		330	608
Moebius-Clune et al. (2008)	USA	A long-term controlled experiment located at Chazy	Maize			
Moebius et al. (2007)	USA	Cornell University research farms in Willsboro, Chazy and Aurora in New York	Maize			
Nouri et al. (2018)	USA	An experimental field at the University of Tennessee's West Tennessee Research and Education Center	Wheat and soybean	15.6	1350	
Obalum and Obi (2010)	Nigeria	Three experimental plots at Nsukka	Sorghum and soybean		1600	

Osunbitan et al. (2005)	Nigeria	Teaching and Research Farm of the Obafemi Awolowo University			1350		
Ouellet et al. (2008)	Canada	Agricultural fields located throughout eastern Ontario	Corn and soybean				
Pan et al. (2016)	China	Changwu State Key Loess Plateau Agro-Ecological Experimental Station of the Chinese Academy of Sciences	Maize	9.1	578.5		
Park and Smucker (2005)	USA	Wooster and Hoytville research sites of the Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center	Corn				
Parvin et al. (2014)	Sweden	An experimental site managed by the Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala	Barley				
Sasal et al. (2006)	Argentina	The Pergamino Experimental Station of the Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria	Soybean		1000		
Schlüter et al. (2020)	Germany	The long-term field in Lüttewitz	Wheat and sugar beet	8.1	643	275	
Sharma et al. (2005)	India	A field experiment at Hayathnagar Research Farm	Sorghum and castor	25.7	746	515	
Sharma et al. (2009)	India	A field experiment at Hayathnagar Research Farm	Sorghum and mung bean		750	515	
Singh et al. (1996)	Canada	The Ellerslie Research Station	Barley		455	694	
Singh and Malhi (2006)	Canada	Experiments were located at Innisfail in central Alberta and at Rimbey in north-central Alberta	Spring barley		450~500	912~945	
Soracco et al. (2010)	Argentina	An experiment site near the town of San Antonio de Areco	Soybean		1100		
Stone and Schlegel (2010)	USA	An experimental field near Tribune, Kansas	Wheat and sorghum	11.2	425	1108	
Tan et al. (2002)	Canada	Two 2-ha field sites	Soybean				

Villarreal et al. (2017)	Argentina	The experiment was carried out near the town of Chascomús	Soybean			1000	
Vogeler et al. (2009)	Germany	The long-term fertilization trial at the Institute for Crop and Soil Science, called Feldversuch 4	Wheat and beans	9		620	
Wu et al. (2014)	China	Dry farming experimental station of Gansu Agricultural University	Wheat and pea	6.4		390.9	2000
Xu and Mermoud (2001)	China	An experimental site in the Xiongqian area	Summer maize			540	

^aMean annual temperature; ^b Mean annual precipitation.

Table S2 Experimental conditions from a global meta-analysis of 59 studies.

Reference	N^a	Measurement technique ^b	Measurements		OMC ^e (%)	Time interval from tillage conversion to measurement (yr)	General descriptions
			IR^c	K_{sat}^d			
Abid and Lal (2009)	2	Double ring (15~24)	√		2.59~3.79	13 (1994~2007)	The infiltration measurements were done at the initial soil water content corresponding to approximately field capacity.
Abu and Abubakar (2013)	6	Constant head		√		1 (2010~2011)	Cores were collected at three depths (0–5, 5–15, and 15–30 cm).
Afyuni and Wagger (2006)	18	Constant head		√	0.91~1.40		Cores were collected at three depths (0–15, 15–30, and 30–45 cm).
Alletto and Coquet (2009)	9	Tension disc (8)	√	√	1.38~2.24	5 (2000~2005)	Infiltrations were done at –1.5, –1.0, –0.6, –0.3 and –0.1 kPa matric potentials.
Anikwe and Ubochi (2007)	3	Not provided		√	2.67	1 (2005~2006)	
Azooz and Arshad (1996)	2	Single ring (20)	√	√	1.72~4.31	15 (1978~1993)	The single ring was inserted 0.04 m into the soil.
Azooz et al. (1996)	8	Guelph		√	1.72~4.31	15 (1978~1993)	The K_{sat} to a depth of 300 mm in 75-mm depth increments was determined.
Blanco-Canqui et al. (2017)	2	Constant head		√		34 (1980~2014)	Two intact soil cores (7.5 cm diam. and 7.5 cm long) per plot were collected using a hammer-driven core sampler for depths of: 0–7.5, 7.5–15, 15–22.5, and 22.5–30 cm.
Blanco-Canqui et al. (2004)	4	Either constant or falling head		√	1.45~1.63	13 (1982~1995)	Cores were collected vertically from 0 to 100 and 100 to 200 mm from trafficked and nontrafficked

Buczko et al. (2006)	4	Hood (16) and single rings (20)	√	√		10 (1990~2000)
Busari (2017)	2	Constant head		√	2.09	2 (2008~2010)
Cai et al. (2012)	6	Tension disc		√		6 (2001~2007)
Cameira et al. (2003)	1	Tension disc (20)	√	√	0.47~1.26	5 (1998~2003)
Celik and Ersahin (2011)	6	Double ring (30~60)	√	√	1.47~1.54	3 (2006~2009)
Cui et al. (2013)	6	Constant head		√	3.49	6 (2005~2011)
Curtis and Claassen (2009)	4	A drop-forming rainfall simulator		√	0.03~7.00	1 (2003~2004)
Du et al. (2011)	3	Constant head		√		6 (2001~2007)
Fasinmirin (2011)	4	Falling head		√		16
Gao and Zhang	2	Tension disc		√	0.36~0.59	4 (2005~2009)

interrow positions.

Infiltration was recorded consecutively for the three hydraulic heads -5, -3, and 0 cm H₂O in ascending order for hood. Ring Measurements were initiated with a ponded depth of about 20 cm, until all the water in the ring had infiltrated.

Undisturbed soil samples using cylindrical cores (5 cm in diameter and 5 cm in height) were taken in duplicate from the soil surface.

An infiltration sequence was performed corresponding to water tensions of 0, 3, 6 and 15 cm.

Water level in the inner ring was measured at 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, 70, 100, 160, 220 and 280th min during an infiltration test.

Three replicated cores were randomly collected with a stainless steel cylinder (4 cm long and 6.18 cm in diameter) at the 0- to 5-, 5- to 10-, 10- to 20-, 20- to 40-, 40- to 60-, and 60- to 80-cm depths.

Cores were collected at three depths (0–5, 5–10, and 10–20 cm).

Soil samples were collected at depths 0–10, 10–20, 20–30, 30–40 and 40–50 cm.

(2010)							
Gómez et al. (1999)	2	Tension disc (25)	√	√	1.00~2.50	15 (1982~1997)	The K_{sat} was calculated at four water tensions; -15, -10, -5 and 0 cm of H ₂ O.
Haruna et al. (2018)	6	Constant head		√	1.65~1.68	4 (2010~2014)	Cores were collected at four depths of 10-cm increments from the soil surface to a depth of 40 cm.
Hati et al. (2015)	4	Falling head		√	0.86~1.79	7 (2000~2007)	Undisturbed core samples of 5 cm height and 5 cm diameter were collected from the 0 to 15 cm soil layer at 7.5 cm intervals.
He et al. (2009)	2	Constant head		√		16 (1991~2007)	Soil samples were collected at depths 0–5, 5–10, 10–20 and 20–30 cm.
Huang et al. (2015)	2	Double ring (30~50)	√		0.52~2.22	9 (2003~2012)	Measurements were conducted over 180 min.
Iqbal et al. (2005)	2	Guelph		√			
Jabro et al. (2016)	2	Single ring and constant head	√	√		4 (2008~2012)	Ring measurements for the surface layer (0- to 10 cm) and constant head measurements for the subsurface layers (10- to 20, 20- to 30, and 30- to 40 cm) were determined.
Jarecki and Lal (2005)	2	Constant head		√	0.67~10.67	16 (1987~2003)	Soil cores from the 0 to 5-cm layer were used to determine K_{sat} .
Jemai et al. (2012)	10	Constant head		√	1.55~3.10	7 (2000~2007)	Soil samples were collected at depths 0–10, 10–20, 20–30, 30–40 and 40–50 cm.
Johnson-Maynard et al. (2007)	2	Constant head		√	3.07	3 (2000~2003)	cores were sampled in 10-cm increments to a depth of 50 cm.
Kahlon et al. (2013)	2	Double ring (30~60) and constant head	√	√	1.64~2.59	21 (1989~2010)	Soil cores were collected at depths 0–10 and 10–20 cm.
Kahlon and Chawla (2017)	4	Constant head		√		1 (2014~2015)	Soil cores were collected at depths 0–7.5 and 7.5–15 cm.

Kreiselmeier et al. (2020)	2	Hood	√		1.95~3.19	25 (1992~2017)	The aim was to set between three to four h in descending order from close to saturation down to the bubbling pressure (BP) of the soil. The BP marks the h-limit until which hood measurements can be done.
Liebig et al. (2004)	1	Single ring	√			17 (1984~2001)	
Liu et al. (2018)	1	Constant head	√		11.35~15.8 3	5 (2006~2011)	Soil cores were collected at depth 0–10 cm.
Lozano et al. (2016)	1	Tension disc (12.5)	√	√	4.00~5.60	> 5	Infiltration runs were performed at three values of soil water pressure head, h (namely, -0.06, -0.03, and 0.0 m).
Luo et al. (2005)	4	Tension disc	√			3 (2001~2004)	
Martínez et al. (2008)	1	Double ring (25)	√	√		7	A two-ring infiltrometer was inserted into the soil to a depth of 15 cm. The quasi-steady water flux was determined when the infiltration rate became constant with time (4 h).
Moebius-Clune et al. (2008)	1	Constant head	√			31 (1973~2004)	Soil cores were collected at depth 5–66 mm.
Moebius et al. (2007)	2	Constant head	√			12 (1992~2004)	Soil cores were collected at depth 5–66 mm.
Nouri et al. (2018)	3	Double ring (15.3~30.5)	√	√		36 (1979~2015)	The infiltrometer was inserted into the soil at a depth of 40 mm, and the constant head of water was maintained at both rings 50 mm above the soil surface.
Obalum and Obi (2010)	3	Constant head	√		1.06~1.48	1 (2006~2007)	Soil cores were collected at depth 0–10 cm.
Osunbitan et al. (2005)	2	Constant head	√		0.30~1.60		Soil cores were collected at depth 0–5 cm.

Ouellet et al. (2008)	1	Single ring (10)	√	√	5.50		Pressure infiltrometers were used to measure K_{sat} at the soil surface and at 0.15 m depth soil benches using 0.10 m diameter by 0.06 m deep rings. Multiple head analyses were performed using 0.10 and 0.40 m heads.
Pan et al. (2016)	3	Constant head		√	1.34~1.59	12 (2002~2014)	Soil cores were collected at depth 0–10 cm.
Park and Smucker (2005)	6	Constant head		√	3.29~7.07		Soil cores were collected at depth 0–5 cm.
Parvin et al. (2014)	3	Constant head		√	3.29~4.48	37 (1974~2011)	Soil samples were collected at depths 15–20, 25–30 and 35–40 cm.
Sasal et al. (2006)	1	Tension disc	√		2.30~4.40	20	Tension disc measurements were performed on the surface at 0.10 m depth for 60 min to reach steady-state conditions.
Schlüter et al. (2020)	2	Hood (24.8) and tension disc (8)		√	2.09~2.21	26 (1992~2018)	For hood, at least half a reservoir had to be infiltrated or 10 min had to pass to move to the next pressure head. Readings were done every 30 s and steady state was assumed after water level decline in the reservoir did not differ by more than 2 mm for three consecutive steps.
Sharma et al. (2005)	1	Constant head		√	0.64	6 (1995~2001)	Soil cores were collected at depth 0–15 cm.
Sharma et al. (2009)	1	Constant head		√	0.97~1.21	7 (1998~2005)	Soil cores were collected at depth 0–20 cm.
Singh et al. (1996)	4	Constant head		√	9.80	9 (1979~1988)	Soil samples were collected at depths 0–7.5 and 7.5–15 cm.
Singh and Malhi (2006)	4	Double ring (30~55)	√		3.10~6.50	5 (1984~1989)	Rings were 25 cm in height and installed to a depth of 10 cm. Tests, using tap water, were continued until a steady-state infiltration rate was achieved or for 1 h,

Soracco et al. (2010)	6	Constant head		√		7	whichever occurred later. The longest measurement was 90 min.
Stone and Schlegel (2010)	2	Double ring (92~124)	√		1.90	11 (1989~2000)	Soil samples were collected at depths 0–15 and 15–30 cm.
Tan et al. (2002)	2	Constant head		√		4 (1995~1999)	Rings were positioned to avoid vehicle traffic paths, driven 13 cm deep, and filled twice with water. At sunup 2 d later, water was added to the infiltrometers, and ponding was maintained at a depth of ~3 to 10 cm.
Villarreal et al. (2017)	1	Tension disc (12.5)	√	√		15 (2000~2015)	Soil cores were collected at depth 0–30 cm.
Vogeler et al. (2009)	2	Hood (12.4) and double ring (30~55)	√		2.00~2.50	21 (1985~2006)	Infiltration runs were performed at three values of soil water pressure head, h (namely, -6, -3 and 0 cm, applied in this order and at the same place).
Wu et al. (2014)	6	Tension disc		√		12	A double-ring infiltrometer with a constant head of 100 mm was used. Hood was placed directly onto the soil surface, and a pressure head of zero in the hood was regulated via a Mariotte water supply.
Xu and Mermoud (2001)	3	Guelph		√	0.34~1.10	1	Measurements were performed in holes of diameter 6 cm and of depths 15 or 35 cm.

^aNumber of paired observation for K_{sat} ; ^bThe parentheses indicate the diameter (cm) of the device. For double ring method, the diameters of inner and outer rings are provided; ^cInfiltration rate; ^dSaturated hydraulic conductivity; ^eOrganic matter content. If the literature only provided the organic carbon content, the organic matter content was estimated using the 1.724 conversion factor.

Reference list for meta-analysis

Abid, M., Lal, R., 2009. Tillage and drainage impact on soil quality: II. Tensile strength of aggregates, moisture retention and water infiltration. *Soil & Tillage Research* 103, 364-372.

Abu, S.T., Abubakar, I.U., 2013. Evaluating the effects of tillage techniques on soil hydro-physical properties in Guinea Savanna of Nigeria. *Soil & Tillage Research* 126, 159-168.

Afyuni, M., Wagger, M.G., 2006. Soil physical properties and bromide movement in relation to tillage system. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* 37, 541-556.

Alletto, L., Coquet, Y., 2009. Temporal and spatial variability of soil bulk density and near-saturated hydraulic conductivity under two contrasted tillage management systems. *Geoderma* 152, 85-94.

Anikwe, M.A.N., Ubochi, J.N., 2007. Short-term changes in soil properties under tillage systems and their effect on sweet potato (*Ipomea batatas* L.) growth and yield in an Ultisol in south-eastern Nigeria. *Australian Journal of Soil Research* 45, 351-358.

Azooz, R.H., Arshad, M.A., 1996. Soil infiltration and hydraulic conductivity under long-term no-tillage and conventional tillage systems. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science* 76, 143-152.

Azooz, R.H., et al., 1996. Pore size distribution and hydraulic conductivity affected by tillage in Northwestern Canada. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 60, 1197-1201.

Blanco-Canqui, H., et al., 2017. Long-term tillage impact on soil hydraulic properties. *Soil & Tillage Research* 170, 38-42.

Blanco-Canqui, H., et al., 2004. Tillage and Crop Influences on Physical Properties for an Epiaqualf. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 68, 567-576.

Buczko, U., et al., 2006. Tillage effects on hydraulic properties and macroporosity in silty and sandy soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 70, 1998-2007.

Busari, M.A., 2017. Soil physical properties in relation to maize (*Zea mays*) yield after tillage and application of organic and inorganic fertilisers in Abeokuta, southwestern Nigeria. *Soil Research* 55, 704-714.

Cai, L., et al., 2012. Effect of different tillage methods on soil water retention and infiltration capability of rainfed field. *Journal of Desert Research* 32, 1362-1367. (in Chinese)

Cameira, M.R., et al., 2003. Soil macropore dynamics affected by tillage and irrigation for a silty loam alluvial soil in southern Portugal. *Soil & Tillage Research*

70, 131-140.

Celik, I., Ersahin, S., 2011. Evaluation of tillage influence on infiltration characteristics in a clay soil. *Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment* 9, 653-658.

Cui, S., et al., 2013. Tillage effects on nitrogen leaching and nitrous oxide emission from double-cropped paddy fields. *Agronomy Journal* 106, 15-23.

Curtis, M.J., Claassen, V.P., 2009. Regenerating topsoil functionality in four drastically disturbed soil types by compost incorporation. *Restoration Ecology* 17, 24-32.

Du, Z., et al., 2011. Effect of conservation tillage on soil quality in the piedmont plain of Mount Taihang. *Chinese Journal of Eco-Agriculture* 19, 1134-1142. (in Chinese)

Fasinmirin, J.T., 2011. Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity ($K_{\theta s}$) of a Sub-Tropical Ultisol under different Tillage Systems. *Soil and Tillage Research Conference, Nigeria, At Nigeria, Volume: 1*.

Gao, J., Zhang, C., 2010. The effects of different conservation tillage on soil physical structures of dry farmland in the Loess Plateau. *Agricultural Research in Arid Areas* 28, 192-196. (in Chinese)

Gómez, J.A., 1999. Effects of tillage method on soil physical properties, infiltration and yield in an olive orchard. *Soil & Tillage Research* 52, 167-175.

Haruna, S.I., et al., 2018. Soil Hydraulic Properties: Influence of Tillage and Cover Crops. *Pedosphere* 28, 430-442.

Hati, K.M., et al., 2015. Effects of Tillage, Residue and Fertilizer Nitrogen on Crop Yields, and Soil Physical Properties Under Soybean–Wheat Rotation in Vertisols of Central India. *Agricultural Research*, DOI: 10.1007/s40003-014-0141-7.

He, J., et al., 2009. Soil physical properties and infiltration after long-term no-tillage and ploughing on the Chinese Loess Plateau. *New Zealand Journal of Crop and Horticultural Science* 37, 157-166.

Huang, M., et al., 2015. Effects of no-tillage systems on soil physical properties and carbon sequestration under long-term wheat–maize double cropping system. *Catena* 128, 195-202.

Iqbal, M., et al., 2005. Residual Effect of Tillage and Farm Manure on Some Soil Physical Properties and Growth of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *International Journal of Agriculture & Biology* 7, 54-57.

Jabro, J.D., et al., 2016. Physical and hydraulic properties of a sandy loam soil under zero, shallow and deep tillage practices. *Soil & Tillage Research* 159, 67-72.

Jarecki, M.K., Lal, R., 2005. Soil organic carbon sequestration rates in two long-term no-till experiments in Ohio. *Soil Science* 170, 280-291.

Jemai, I., et al., 2012. On-farm assessment of tillage impact on the vertical

distribution of soil organic carbon and structural soil properties in a semiarid region in Tunisia. *Journal of Environmental Management* 113, 488-494.

Johnson-Maynard, J.L., et al., 2007. Earthworm dynamics and soil physical properties in the first three years of no-till management. *Soil & Tillage Research* 94, 338-345.

Kahlon, M.S., et al., 2013. Twenty two years of tillage and mulching impacts on soil physical characteristics and carbon sequestration in Central Ohio. *Soil & Tillage Research* 126, 151-158.

Kahlon, M.S., Chawla, K., 2017. Effect of tillage practices on least limiting water range in Northwest India. *International Agrophysics* 31, 183-194.

Kreiselmeier, J., et al., 2020. Temporal variations of the hydraulic conductivity characteristic under conventional and conservation tillage. *Geoderma* 362, 114127.

Liebig, M.A., et al., 2004. Tillage and cropping effects on soil quality indicators in the northern Great Plains. *Soil & Tillage Research* 78, 131-141.

Liu, Z., et al., 2018. Land use dependent variation of soil water infiltration characteristics and their scale-specific controls. *Soil & Tillage Research* 178, 139-149.

Lozano, L.A., et al., 2016. Soil Physical Quality and Soybean Yield as Affected by Chiseling and Subsoiling of a No-Till Soil. *Revista Brasileira De Ciencia Do Solo* v40, e0150160.

Luo, Z., et al., 2005. Effects of conservation tillage on bulk density and water infiltration of surface soil in semi-arid area of west Loess Plateau. *Agricultural Research in the Arid Areas*, 23, 7-11. (in Chinese)

Martínez, E., et al., 2008. Soil physical properties and wheat root growth as affected by no-tillage and conventional tillage systems in a Mediterranean environment of Chile. *Soil & Tillage Research* 99, 232-244.

Moebius-Clune, B.N., et al., 2008. Long-Term Effects of Harvesting Maize Stover and Tillage on Soil Quality. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 72, 960-969.

Moebius, B.N., et al., 2007. Evaluation of laboratory-measured soil properties as indicators of soil physical quality. *Soil Science* 172, 895-912.

Nouri, A., et al., 2018. Soil Physical Properties and Soybean Yield as Influenced by Long-Term Tillage Systems and Cover Cropping in the Midsouth USA. *Sustainability* 10, 4696.

Obalum, S.E., Obi, M.E., 2010. Physical properties of a sandy loam Ultisol as affected by tillage-mulch management practices and cropping systems. *Soil & Tillage Research* 108, 30-36.

Osunbitan, J.A., et al., 2005. Tillage effects on bulk density, hydraulic conductivity and strength of a loamy sand soil in southwestern Nigeria. *Soil & Tillage Research* 82, 57-64.

Ouellet, G., et al., 2008. A heuristic model to predict earthworm biomass in agroecosystems

- based on selected management and soil properties. *Applied Soil Ecology* 39, 35-45.
- Pan, Y., et al., 2016. Effects of long-term tillage and mulching methods on properties of surface soil and maize yield in tableland region of the Loess Plateau. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Fertilizer* 22, 1558-1567. (in Chinese)
- Park, E.J., Smucker, A.J.M., 2005. Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity and Porosity within Macroaggregates Modified by Tillage. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 69, 38-45.
- Parvin, N., et al., 2014. Effect of mouldboard ploughing and shallow tillage on sub-soil physical properties and crop performance. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 60, 38-44.
- Sasal, M.C., et al., 2006. Soil porosity characteristics and water movement under zero tillage in silty soils in Argentinian Pampas. *Soil & Tillage Research* 87, 9-18.
- Schlüter, S., et al., 2020. Long-term effects of conventional tillage and no-tillage on saturated and near-saturated hydraulic conductivity – Can their prediction be improved by pore metrics obtained with X-ray CT? *Geoderma* 361, 114082.
- Sharma, K.L., et al., 2005. Long-term soil management effects on crop yields and soil quality in a dryland Alfisol. *Soil & Tillage Research* 83, 246-259.
- Sharma, K.L., et al., 2009. Influence of Tillage and Nutrient Sources on Yield Sustainability and Soil Quality under Sorghum-Mung Bean System in Rainfed Semi-arid Tropics. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* 40, 2579-2602.
- Singh, B., et al., 1996. Soil hydraulic properties of an Orthic Black Chernozem under long-term tillage and residue management. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science* 76, 63-71.
- Singh, B., Malhi, S.S., 2006. Response of soil physical properties to tillage and residue management on two soils in a cool temperate environment. *Soil & Tillage Research* 85, 143-153.
- Soracco, C.G., et al., 2010. Anisotropy of Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity in a soil under conservation and no-till treatments. *Soil & Tillage Research* 109, 18-22.
- Stone, L.R., Schlegel, A.J., 2010. Tillage and Crop Rotation Phase Effects on Soil Physical Properties in the West-Central Great Plains. *Agronomy Journal* 102, 483-491.
- Tan, C.S., et al., 2002. Effect of long-term conventional tillage and no-tillage systems on soil and water quality at the field scale. *Water Science & Technology* 46, DOI: 10.2166/wst.2002.0678.
- Villarreal, R., et al., 2017. Temporal variation of soil sorptivity under conventional and no-till systems determined by a simple laboratory method. *Soil & Tillage Research* 168, 92-98.
- Vogeler, I., et al., 2009. Effect of tillage systems and P-fertilization on soil physical and chemical properties, crop yield and nutrient uptake. *Soil & Tillage Research* 103, 137-143.
- Wu, J., et al., 2014. Effects of conservation tillage on soil physical properties of rained field of the Loess Plateau in Central of Gansu. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation* 28, 112-117. (in Chinese)

Xu, D., Mermoud, A., 2001. Topsoil properties as affected by tillage practices in North China. *Soil & Tillage Research* 60, 11-19.