

Oxygen isotope exchange between water and carbon dioxide in soils is controlled by pH, nitrate and microbial biomass through links to carbonic anhydrase activity

Sam P. Jones<sup>1,3</sup>, Aurore Kaisermann<sup>1</sup>, Jérôme Ogée<sup>1</sup>, Steven Wohl<sup>1</sup>, Alexander W. Cheesman<sup>2</sup>, Lucas A. Cernusak<sup>2</sup> and Lisa Wingate<sup>1</sup>

5 <sup>1</sup> INRAE, UMR ISPA, 33140, Villenave d'Ornon, France

<sup>2</sup> College of Science and Engineering, James Cook University, Cairns, Queensland, Australia

<sup>3</sup> Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia, Manaus – AM, Brasil

10 *Correspondence to:* Sam P. Jones (sam.p.jones@hotmail.co.uk)

**Abstract.** The oxygen isotope composition of atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) is intimately linked to large-scale variations in the cycling of CO<sub>2</sub> and water across the Earth's surface. Understanding the role the biosphere plays in modifying the oxygen isotope composition of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> is particularly important as this isotopic tracer has the potential to constrain estimates of important processes such as gross primary production at large-scales. However, constraining the atmospheric mass budget for the oxygen isotope composition of CO<sub>2</sub> also requires that we understand better the contribution of soil communities and how they influence the rate of oxygen isotope exchange between soil water and CO<sub>2</sub> ( $k_{iso}$ ) across a wide range of soil types and climatic zones. As the carbonic anhydrases (CAs) group of enzymes enhances the rate of CO<sub>2</sub> hydration within the water-filled pore spaces of soils it is important to develop understanding of how environmental drivers can impact  $k_{iso}$  through changes in their activity. Here we estimate  $k_{iso}$  and measure associated soil properties in laboratory incubation experiments using 44 soils sampled from sites across western Eurasia and northeastern Australia. Observed values for  $k_{iso}$  always exceeded theoretically-derived uncatalysed rates, indicating a significant influence of CAs on the variability of  $k_{iso}$  across the soils studied. We identify soil pH as the principal source of variation, with greater  $k_{iso}$  under alkaline conditions suggesting that shifts in microbial community composition or intra-extra cellular dissolved inorganic carbon gradients induce the expression of more or higher activity forms of CAs. We also show for the first time in soils that the presence of nitrate under naturally acidic conditions reduces  $k_{iso}$ , potentially reflecting a direct or indirect inhibition of CAs. This effect appears to be supported by a supplementary ammonium nitrate fertilisation experiment conducted on a subset of the soils. Greater microbial biomass also increased  $k_{iso}$  under a given set of chemical conditions highlighting a putative link between CA expression and the abundance of soil microbes. These data provide the most extensive analysis of spatial variations in soil  $k_{iso}$  to date and indicate the key soil trait datasets required to predict variations in  $k_{iso}$  at large spatial scales, a necessary next step to constrain the important role of soil communities in the atmospheric mass budget of the oxygen isotope composition of CO<sub>2</sub>.

15  
20  
25  
30

## 1 Introduction

35 Quantifying the carbon storage potential of terrestrial ecosystems and its sensitivity to climate change relies on our ability to obtain observational constraints of photosynthesis and respiration at large scales (Beer et al., 2010). Over recent decades there has been increasing interest in using the oxygen isotope composition ( $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  and  $\delta^{17}\text{O}$ ) of atmospheric carbon dioxide ( $\text{CO}_2$ ) to trace these large and opposing  $\text{CO}_2$  fluxes. This is possible because the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  of leaf-atmosphere  $\text{CO}_2$  exchange is relatively enriched in  $^{18}\text{O}$  compared to that of atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  and the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  of soil-atmosphere  $\text{CO}_2$  exchange (Francey & 40 Tans, 1987; Wingate et al., 2009; Welp et al., 2011). Similarly, photochemical processes in the stratosphere cause anomalies between the  $\delta^{17}\text{O}$  and  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  of atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  that are subsequently reset during leaf-atmosphere  $\text{CO}_2$  exchange (Hoag et al., 2005; Koren et al., 2019; Adnew et al., 2020). However, the routine use of these tracers to constrain the photosynthetic term of the atmospheric mass budget for the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  and  $\delta^{17}\text{O}$  of  $\text{CO}_2$  has been hampered by an incomplete understanding of how the influence of soil-atmosphere  $\text{CO}_2$  exchange varies across different soil types and environmental conditions. Here we 45 focus on  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  but the key challenges to understanding these variations are also relevant to considerations of  $\delta^{17}\text{O}$ .

Both soil respiration and leaf photosynthesis influence the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  of atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  because of the exchange of oxygen isotopes between water and  $\text{CO}_2$  molecules during the reversible hydration of  $\text{CO}_2$  to bicarbonate (Mills & Urey, 1940). In a closed system at chemical equilibrium,  $\text{CO}_2$  will reach isotopic equilibrium with water after some time depending on the rate 50 of this oxygen isotope exchange,  $k_{\text{iso}}$  ( $\text{s}^{-1}$ ) (Uchikawa & Zeebe, 2012). Predicting variations in  $k_{\text{iso}}$  within soils is one of the key uncertainties in estimating the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  of soil-atmosphere  $\text{CO}_2$  exchange at large scales. As a consequence of this isotopic exchange, any  $\text{CO}_2$  molecules invading soils from the atmosphere or being produced in the soil during respiration or organic matter decomposition will gradually inherit the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  of the soil water pool as it diffuses within the soil profile (Tans, 1998). The degree to which the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  of  $\text{CO}_2$  inherits the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  of a given soil water pool is determined by the residence time of 55 dissolved  $\text{CO}_2$  and the apparent  $k_{\text{iso}}$  (Miller et al., 1999). Longer residence times or greater  $k_{\text{iso}}$  move the system closer to isotopic equilibrium. As  $k_{\text{iso}}$  results from the interconversion of aqueous  $\text{CO}_2$  and bicarbonate,  $k_{\text{iso}}$  is expected to vary as a function of the combined rates of  $\text{CO}_2$  hydration,  $k_{\text{h}}$ , and hydroxylation reactions as well as the pH dependent speciation of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) (Uchikawa & Zeebe, 2012) (Fig. 1).

60 The rate of  $\text{CO}_2$  hydration,  $k_{\text{h}}$ , is enhanced in the presence of enzymes known as carbonic anhydrases (CAs). Currently, at least seven distinct CA gene families have been identified, with each catalysing the reversible hydration of  $\text{CO}_2$  to bicarbonate (Jensen et al., 2019). Whilst this reaction occurs abiotically (Fig. 1a),  $k_{\text{h}}$  is generally considered too slow for metabolic processes (Bar-Even et al., 2011; Merlin et al., 2003; Smith & Ferry, 2000). Consequently, the need for organisms

to rapidly control the transport and availability of CO<sub>2</sub>, bicarbonate and protons in numerous metabolic pathways is considered the main driver underlying the convergent evolution of these enzymes (Smith & Ferry, 2000). Various lines of evidence indicate that a wide diversity of microbes carry the genes for multiple CAs (Smith et al., 1999) and that these genes are expressed in soils (Meredith et al., 2019). The presence of active CAs in soils, through the influence of enhanced  $k_h$  on  $k_{iso}$ , helps explain variations in the  $\delta^{18}O$  of soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> exchange observed under field (Seibt et al., 2006; Wingate et al., 2008, 2009, 2010) and laboratory conditions (Jones et al., 2017; Meredith et al., 2019; Sauze et al., 2017, 2018). The size and composition of microbial communities present may thus be an important control on the apparent  $k_{iso}$  of soils (Wingate et al., 2009).

Soil pH strongly regulates the capacity of soils to store and supply nutrients and exerts control on the productivity of terrestrial ecosystems (Slessarev et al., 2016). It is well established that pH has a strong effect on the dominant forms of DIC (Fig. 1 b) and thus may influence the  $\delta^{18}O$  of soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> exchange through its affect on  $k_{iso}$  (Fig. 1 c). Moreover, the combined impact of pH and DIC speciation leads to an optima, occurring under slightly acidic conditions, in the response of  $k_{iso}$  to increased rates of CO<sub>2</sub> hydration,  $k_h$ , in the presence of CAs (Fig. 1 c). Laboratory experiments have shown that  $k_h$  and consequently  $k_{iso}$  for different carbonic anhydrases ( $\alpha$ -CA and  $\beta$ -CA for a given concentration and efficiency) are relatively lower under acidic conditions compared to the rates observed in neutral and slightly alkaline conditions (Rowlett et al., 2002; Sauze et al., 2018). Primarily, this behaviour is caused by the presence of high proton concentrations surrounding the enzyme at low pH values that leads to an inhibition of the de-protonation step required for enzyme regeneration (Rowlett et al., 2002). In contrast,  $k_{iso}$  decreases independently from  $k_h$  under alkaline conditions owing to a predominance of bicarbonate and carbonate ions and a correspondingly low abundance of CO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 1 b; Uchikawa & Zeebe, 2021). Based on this knowledge of how  $k_{iso}$  varies with pH and DIC in the presence (and absence) of CA it seems probable that spatial variations in soil pH often found in different biomes will impact the apparent  $k_{iso}$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  of soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> exchange.

Microbes, like most organisms must maintain a tightly regulated internal pH value of around 7 to ensure protein function and survive in a vast majority of soil environments (Hesse et al., 2002; Krulwich et al., 2011; Slonczewski et al., 2009). It appears that CAs may play an important role in buffering organisms from potentially harmful changes in the pH (Slonczewski et al., 2009; Krulwich et al., 2011) and DIC levels of their surrounding environment (Smith & Ferry, 2000). For example, Krulwich et al. (2011) indicate that there may be an up-regulation of CA expression as certain microbes are moved from neutral to acidic pH conditions. Likewise, bacteria and fungi grown under CO<sub>2</sub> limited conditions can also up-regulate their CA expression (Amoroso et al., 2005; Kaur et al., 2009; Kozliak et al., 1995; Merlin et al., 2003). This suggests that the simple relationships with pH described in Fig. 1 for pure CAs in aqueous solutions may not hold for soils where microbial communities may utilise both intra- and extra-cellular CAs and dynamically fine-tune CA expression in response to changes in the surrounding environment. Currently, very few datasets exist to be able to probe this caveat but it

remains an important challenge that must be resolved to understand large scale variations in apparent  $k_{iso}$  and the  $\delta^{18}O$  of soil-atmosphere  $CO_2$  exchange.

100 Various anions may also play a role in controlling the activity of CAs (Tibell et al., 1984). In particular, nitrate ( $NO_3^-$ ) has been shown to inhibit different CAs in a range of microbes and plants (Amoroso et al., 2005; Innocenti et al., 2004; Peltier et al., 1995). This suggests that variations in soil nutrient availability between ecosystems could give rise to differences in  $k_{iso}$ . Furthermore, the addition of common fertilisers such as ammonium nitrate ( $NH_4NO_3$ ) to agricultural soils could have an inhibitory role on CA activity in addition to causing shifts in the size and composition of microbial communities present

105 Indeed, this hypothesis is supported by recent  $NH_4NO_3$  fertilising experiments that demonstrated decreases in the CA catalysed hydrolysis of carbonyl sulphide (Kaisermann et al., 2018b). So far, the impact of nitrates on  $k_{iso}$  has not been investigated in soils.

Here we investigate variations in the rate of oxygen isotope exchange,  $k_{iso}$ , using controlled laboratory gas exchange

110 measurements on soil incubations. To understand the drivers of these variations we measured soils, with different chemical and physical properties, sampled from 44 sites across western Eurasia and northeastern Australia. We also conducted a fertilisation experiment on a subset of these soils to investigate the influence of changes in nitrogen availability. Based on the potential controls on  $k_{iso}$  presented above we tested three specific, non-exclusive, hypotheses; 1)  $k_{iso}$  increases as microbial biomass increases (H1), 2)  $k_{iso}$  increases as soil pH increases (H2), and 3)  $k_{iso}$  decreases as the presence of  $NO_3^-$  increases

115 (H3). For the Eurasian soils we also compare these drivers to the predictive power of relatively invariant soil properties that might be used to estimate the  $k_{iso}$  in soils at the regional scale and above as required by efforts to better constrain gross primary production.

## 2 Methods

To investigate the outlined hypotheses two similar measurement campaigns, each consisting of a spatial survey and an

120  $NH_4NO_3$  addition experiment were conducted. These campaigns set out to characterise the variability and controls on the rate of oxygen isotope exchange,  $k_{iso}$ , across soils from a wide range of environments. In both cases we estimated  $k_{iso}$  from gas exchange and soil physical property measurements (Jones et al., 2017; Sauze et al., 2018). In addition, we measured the pH, microbial biomass, exchangeable  $NO_3^-$  and exchangeable  $NH_4^+$  of the incubated soils to investigate the controls on  $k_{iso}$ . The first campaign focused on soils sampled from across western Eurasia (EUR) whilst the second (AUS) focused on soils

125 sampled in north Queensland, Australia. Sampling sites were broadly classified using the principal land-cover reported by previous studies or observed during sampling and climatic zone as indicated by the Köppen-Geiger climate classification map of Kottek et al. (2006) and Rubel et al. (2017).

## 2.1 Soil sampling and incubation preparation

130

For the EUR campaign, the superficial 10 cm of soil was sampled at three locations within each of the 27 sites during the Northern hemisphere summer of 2016 (Fig. S1 a). These sites represented a range of forests (n = 16) and grasslands (n = 6) located in Subarctic (Dfc; n= 6 ), Temperate oceanic (Cfb; n= 13), Hot-summer Mediterranean (Csa; n = 7) and Hot semi-arid (Bsh; n = 1) climate zones. In addition we also sampled an agricultural field (n = 1), a peatland (n = 1) and some  
135 orchards (n = 3). Soil samples were transported at ambient temperatures to the Bordeaux- Nouvelle Aquitaine Center of the National Institute of Agricultural and Environmental Research (INRAE), France. Upon arrival, samples were passed through a 4 mm sieve and mixed to create one homogeneous sample for each site. These soils were stored at 4 °C. A sub-sample of each of these soils was used to determine the initial water content and the soil water holding capacity (Haney & Haney, 2010). For each soil three replicated incubations were prepared with glass jars of 15.54 cm in height and an internal diameter  
140 of 8.74 cm. Each jar was filled with the wet weight equivalent of 115 to 300 g of dry soil and the water content adjusted to 30 % of the water holding capacity to create a soil column with a surface area of 60.0 cm<sup>2</sup> and a depth of approximately 4 to 7 cm. The jars were then pre-incubated in a climate-controlled cabinet (MD1400, Snijders, Tilburg, NL) for two weeks in the dark at 22 ± 1 °C. This cabinet was continuously flushed with approximately 20 L min<sup>-1</sup> of ambient air provided by a pump with an intake line outside the building to avoid exposing the soil to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations found within the  
145 laboratory. During this period, soil water content was periodically adjusted to account for evaporation. Approximately 18 hours prior to measurement the jar was closed with a screw-tight glass lid equipped with inlet and outlet connections and flushed at 250 mL min<sup>-1</sup> with dry, synthetic air to promote steady-state conditions. This flow was produced using an in-house dilution system that mixed pure CO<sub>2</sub> from a cylinder into CO<sub>2</sub>-free air generated by an air compressor (FM2 Atlas Copto, Nacka, Sweden) equipped with a scrubbing column (Ecodyr K-MT6, Parker Hannifin, USA). This system was set to  
150 achieve a CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 400 ± 5 ppm and, reflecting the origin of the CO<sub>2</sub> in the cylinder used, had a δ<sup>18</sup>O of approximately -25 ‰ VPDB<sub>g</sub>. Subsequently the jar was removed to conduct gas exchange and soil property measurements.

For the AUS campaign, we sampled the superficial 10 cm of soil at four locations within each of the 17 sites during July of 2017 and returned these samples on the same day to the Cairns campus of James Cook University (Fig. S1 b). These sites fell  
155 within Tropical monsoon (Am; n = 3), Humid subtropical (Cfa; n = 9) and Monsoon-influenced humid subtropical (Cwa; n= 5) climate zones and were principally found in forests (n = 9) and savannas (n = 6), with the other remaining sites located in a pasture (n = 1) and a stunted shrub-rich forest (n = 1). These soils were passed through a 4 mm sieve and mixed to create a homogenous sample for each site. A sub-sample of each of these soils was used to determine the initial water content and estimate the re-packed bulk density of the soils. As with the EUR campaign, three replicate incubations were prepared in  
160 glass jars for each soil. These jars had a height of 11.56 cm and an internal diameter of 7.45 cm. A jar was filled with the wet weight equivalent of 215 to 450 g of dry soil and the water content adjusted to 30 % water-filled pore space to create a soil column with a surface area of 43.5 cm<sup>2</sup> and a depth of approximately 8.5 cm. The jar was then pre-incubated in an insulated

box for one week in the dark at  $23 \pm 1$  °C with periodic adjustments to the water content to account for evaporation. This box was continuously flushed with approximately  $10 \text{ L min}^{-1}$  of air provided by a compressor that serviced building wide laboratory air distribution. The concentration of  $\text{CO}_2$  in this air was approximately 420 ppm and, reflecting its atmospheric origin, had a  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  of approximately 0 ‰ VPDB<sub>g</sub>. Following pre-incubation the jar was removed to conduct gas exchange and soil property measurements.

An  $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$  addition experiment was also conducted in both campaigns. This involved the preparation of three additional replicated incubations as described above, for nine of the EUR sites and five of the AUS sites. Prior to the pre-incubation step, 0.7 mg of  $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$  g dry soil<sup>-1</sup> was dissolved in water and used to adjust the water content of these additional replicate incubations. These were then incubated alongside the three other ‘control’ incubations prepared as part of the spatial survey described above. The quantity of  $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$  applied was chosen to approximate a fertilisation treatment comparable to those typically applied in field studies (Ramirez et al., 2012).

175

## 2.2 Gas exchange measurements

Gas exchange measurements were made using a similar experimental set-up to that described in Jones et al. (2017). Each jar was connected to a gas delivery system that supplied one of two gas sources,  $\delta_{\text{b,atm}}$  or  $\delta_{\text{b,mix}}$ , to its inlet. The first inlet condition,  $\delta_{\text{b,atm}}$ , consisted of a continuous flow of atmospheric air pumped from an external buffer volume, through a Drierite column (W. A. Hammond DRIERITE Co. LTD, USA) to dry the air and directly to the inlet of the jar. The second condition,  $\delta_{\text{b,mix}}$ , was produced by a second continuous flow of atmospheric air pumped from the buffer, through a soda lime column to remove  $\text{CO}_2$  and a second Drierite column. A mass-flow controller was used to dilute pure  $\text{CO}_2$  from a cylinder into this dry  $\text{CO}_2$  free air and then this mix was supplied to the inlet of the jar. The flow rate of pure  $\text{CO}_2$  was controlled to match the concentration of the  $\text{CO}_2$  in  $\delta_{\text{b,mix}}$  to that of  $\delta_{\text{b,atm}}$  using a control loop feedback based on the difference in concentration between sub-samples of both flows measured with an infra-red  $\text{CO}_2$  analyser (Li-6262, LI-COR Biosciences, USA). By doing so the principal difference between the two conditions was the isotopic composition of the  $\text{CO}_2$  present reflecting its origin in the atmosphere ( $\delta^{18}\text{O}\text{-CO}_2$  of  $\delta_{\text{b,atm}} = -1.41 \pm 2.17$  ‰ VPDB<sub>g</sub>) or a cylinder ( $\delta^{18}\text{O}\text{-CO}_2$  of  $\delta_{\text{b,mix}} = -25.33 \pm 0.30$  ‰ VPDB<sub>g</sub>). Following this system the selected gas flow was split into a chamber line with a flow rate of  $171.48 \mu\text{mol s}^{-1}$ , to which the jar was connected, and a bypass line that were measured by a  $\text{CO}_2$  isotope ratio infrared spectrometer (Delta Ray IRIS, Thermo Fischer Scientific, Germany). The gas supply system sequentially supplied the two inlet conditions to these measurement lines. Both inlet conditions were supplied for either 32 (EUR) or 34 (AUS) minutes. The first 20 (EUR) or 22 (AUS) minutes under each condition were used to flush the system and promote steady-state conditions in the incubation jar. The turnover time of air in the jar was less than 10 minutes. After this period, the final 12 minutes during which the condition was supplied was used for gas-exchange measurements. During this period the IRIS measured the chamber and bypass lines three times each for two-minutes. Calibration gas was measured every 16 (EUR) or

18 (AUS) minutes with sequential two-minute measurements of two cylinders containing synthetic air with different CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations but similar isotopic compositions. The concentrations of <sup>12</sup>C<sup>16</sup>O<sup>16</sup>O, <sup>13</sup>C<sup>16</sup>O<sup>16</sup>O and <sup>12</sup>C<sup>18</sup>O<sup>16</sup>O recorded by the IRIS were processed as described in detail by Jones et al. (2017) to average the final 40 s of data collected for each measurement and calculate corrected concentrations and isotope ratios. The associated precision for the total concentration and δ<sup>18</sup>O of CO<sub>2</sub> was 0.02 ppm and 0.06 ‰ VPDBg respectively.

Reflecting the pre-incubation conditions, measurements for EUR began with δ<sub>b,mix</sub> as the inlet condition before switching to δ<sub>b,atm</sub>, whilst for AUS the sequence began with δ<sub>b,atm</sub> and then switched to δ<sub>b,mix</sub>. For EUR, the calibration cylinders (21 % O<sub>2</sub> and 0.93 % Ar in a N<sub>2</sub> balance, Deuste Steinger GmbH, Germany) had a total concentration, carbon isotope composition and δ<sup>18</sup>O of CO<sub>2</sub>, respectively, of 380.26 ppm, -3.06 ‰ VPDB, and -14.63 ‰ VPDB<sub>g</sub> for the first cylinder, and 481.62 ppm, -3.07 ‰ VPDB and 14.70 ‰ VPDB<sub>g</sub> for the second cylinder (IsoLab, Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Germany). For AUS, the calibration cylinders (21 % O<sub>2</sub> and 1.12 % Ar in a N<sub>2</sub> balance, BOC, Australia) had a total concentration, carbon isotope composition and δ<sup>18</sup>O of CO<sub>2</sub>, respectively, of 386.7 ppm, -33.42 ‰ VPDB and -26.33 ‰ VPDB<sub>g</sub>, for the first cylinder, and 486.7 ppm, -33.64 ‰ VPDB and -26.60 ‰ VPDB<sub>g</sub> for the second cylinder (Farquhar Laboratory, Australian National University, Australia).

The net CO<sub>2</sub> flux, F<sub>R</sub> (μmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), was calculated from corrected values for the three pairs of chamber and bypass line measurements made at each inlet condition following Eq. (1):

$$F_R = \frac{u}{A} (C_c - C_b) \quad (1)$$

where u is the flow rate (mol s<sup>-1</sup>) through the chamber line, C<sub>c</sub> is the total CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (ppm) of the chamber line, C<sub>b</sub> is the total CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (ppm) of the bypass line and A is the surface area (m<sup>2</sup>) of the soil in the chamber. The resultant three values for each inlet condition were then averaged to yield a single flux rate. Similarly the δ<sup>18</sup>O of CO<sub>2</sub> exchange, δ<sub>R</sub> (‰ VPDB<sub>g</sub>), was calculated following Eq. (2):

$$\delta_R = \frac{(\delta_c C_{c,12} - \delta_b C_{b,12})}{(C_{c,12} - C_{b,12})} \quad (2)$$

where δ<sub>c</sub> is the δ<sup>18</sup>O of CO<sub>2</sub> (‰ VPDB<sub>g</sub>) in the chamber line, δ<sub>b</sub> is the δ<sup>18</sup>O of CO<sub>2</sub> (‰ VPDB<sub>g</sub>) in the bypass line, C<sub>c,12</sub> (ppm) is the concentration of <sup>12</sup>C<sup>16</sup>O<sup>16</sup>O in the chamber line and C<sub>b,12</sub> (ppm) is the concentration of <sup>12</sup>C<sup>16</sup>O<sup>16</sup>O in the bypass line.

## 2.3 Soil properties

After being disconnected from the gas exchange system, a jar was weighed to determine the wet weight of the incubated soil and the total soil depth, z<sub>max</sub> (m), measured using a caliper. Soil was then removed from the jar to determine soil water

225 content, pH, microbial biomass, exchangeable  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and exchangeable  $\text{NH}_4^+$ . Soil water contents were determined gravimetrically for sub-samples based on water loss after oven drying for 24 hours at 105 °C. In the EUR campaign, soil water content was determined for three, 1.5 cm thick intervals between 0 and 4.5 cm depth. An average gravimetric water content ( $\text{g g dry soil}^{-1}$ ) was calculated for the soil column after weighting by total soil depth. In the AUS campaign, soil water content was determined for a single sample covering the total soil depth. Soil bulk density ( $\text{g cm}^{-3}$ ) was calculated from the gravimetric water content, the wet weight of the soil in the jar and the volume of the soil column. Total porosity,  $\phi_t$ , was calculated from bulk density assuming a particle density of  $2.65 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$  (Linn & Doran, 1984). Volumetric water content,  $\theta_w$  ( $\text{m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$ ), was calculated as the product of gravimetric water content and bulk density. The soil air-filled porosity,  $\phi_a$ , was calculated as the difference between the total porosity and volumetric water content. The remaining soil column in the jar was then mixed and sub-samples were taken to determine pH, microbial biomass, exchangeable  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and exchangeable  $\text{NH}_4^+$ . Soil pH was determined in a slurry with a dry weight equivalent soil-to-water ratio of 1:5. Soil microbial biomass ( $\mu\text{g C g dry soil}^{-1}$ ) was determined based on the difference between dissolved carbon extracted from non-fumigated and chloroform-fumigated sub-samples using a slurry with a dry weight equivalent soil-to-potassium sulphate solution (0.5 M) ratio of 1:5 and an extraction efficiency value of 0.35. Exchangeable  $\text{NO}_3^-$  ( $\mu\text{g N g dry soil}^{-1}$ ) and  $\text{NH}_4^+$  ( $\mu\text{g N g dry soil}^{-1}$ ) were extracted in a slurry with a dry weight equivalent soil-to-potassium chloride solution (1 M) ratio of 1:5. These extracts were filtered, frozen at  $-20^\circ\text{C}$  and shipped on dry ice to commercial laboratories (EUR: LAS INRAE Hauts-de-France, Arras, France; AUS: ASL Environmental, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia) for determination of dissolved carbon,  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and  $\text{NH}_4^+$  concentrations. Sub-samples of the homogenised soil used to fill jars in the EUR campaign were also taken to determine soil texture and carbon and nitrogen content by sampling site as part of a related study (Kaisermann et al., 2018a).

245

#### 2.4 Estimating the oxygen isotope exchange rate

Following Jones et al. (2017) the rate of oxygen isotope exchange between soil water and  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $k_{\text{iso}}$ , was estimated from the inverse of the slope of the linear relationship between the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  of  $\text{CO}_2$  exchange and the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  of  $\text{CO}_2$  at the soil surface. Briefly, under the two gas-exchange measurement conditions induced by varying the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  of  $\text{CO}_2$  at the incubation inlet ( $\delta_{\text{b,mix}}$  and  $\delta_{\text{b,atm}}$ ), the invasion flux or piston velocity of  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $v_{\text{inv}}$  ( $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ), can be estimated following Eq. (3):

$$v_{\text{inv}} = \frac{F_{\text{R},\mu}}{C_{\text{a},\mu}} \frac{(\delta_{\text{R,mix}} - \delta_{\text{R,atm}})}{(\delta_{\text{a,atm}} - \delta_{\text{a,mix}})}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\delta_{\text{R}}$  (‰ VPDB<sub>g</sub>) is the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  of  $\text{CO}_2$  exchange and  $\delta_{\text{a}}$  (‰ VPDB<sub>g</sub>) is the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  of  $\text{CO}_2$  at the soil surface under the two different inlet conditions ( $\delta_{\text{b,mix}}$  and  $\delta_{\text{b,atm}}$ ) and  $F_{\text{R},\mu}$  ( $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) is the mean net  $\text{CO}_2$  flux under both conditions and  $C_{\text{a},\mu}$  ( $\mu\text{mol m}^{-3}$ ) is the mean total  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration at the soil surface measured under both conditions. Both  $\delta_{\text{a}}$  and  $C_{\text{a}}$  were assumed equal to the  $\delta_{\text{c}}$  and  $C_{\text{c}}$  measured in the chamber line as discussed previously. To correct for the influence of

255



boundary conditions found at the bottom of incubation jars, particularly in shallower soil columns, the soil-depth adjusted invasion flux,  $\tilde{v}_{inv}$  ( $m\ s^{-1}$ ), was determined iteratively to satisfy Eq. (4):

$$0 = \tilde{v}_{inv} \tanh\left(\frac{\tilde{v}_{inv} z_{max}}{\kappa \phi_a D}\right) - v_{inv}, \quad (4)$$

260 where  $z_{max}$  (m) is the total soil-column depth,  $\kappa$  is soil tortuosity calculated here following the formulation of Moldrup et al. (2003) for repacked soils,  $D$  ( $m^2\ s^{-1}$ ) is the diffusivity of  $^{12}C^{16}O^{18}O$  in air (Massman, 1998; Tans, 1998) and  $\phi_a$  is the air-filled porosity of the soil (see Sauze et al., (2018) for the derivation). Subsequently  $k_{iso}$  ( $s^{-1}$ ) was calculated following Eq. (5):

$$k_{iso} = \frac{\tilde{v}_{inv}^2}{\kappa \phi_a DB \theta_w}, \quad (5)$$

265 where  $B$  ( $m^3\ m^{-3}$ ) is the Bunsen solubility coefficient for  $CO_2$  in water (Weiss, 1974) and  $\theta_w$  ( $m^3\ m^{-3}$ ) is the soil volumetric water content.

## 2.5 Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were conducted in R version 3.5 (R Core Team, 2019). Of the 174 individual incubations prepared, 10 were excluded from the dataset because a record for one of the variables of interest; the rate,  $k_{iso}$ , of oxygen isotope exchange, pH, microbial biomass, exchangeable  $NO_3^-$  or exchangeable  $NH_4^+$  was missing. For the remaining 164 incubations  
270 with complete records, these variables were averaged by sampling site and, for the relevant subset, by whether they received a  $NH_4NO_3$  addition.

The resultant dataset consisted of mean observations for 44 untreated soils ( $n = 27$  / EUR and 17 / AUS) and 14 soils ( $n = 9$  / EUR and 5 / AUS) that received a  $NH_4NO_3$  addition. Spatial controls on  $k_{iso}$  were investigated across the means of untreated  
275 soils. Correlations between  $k_{iso}$ , pH, microbial biomass, exchangeable  $NO_3^-$  and exchangeable  $NH_4^+$  were investigated through the Spearman's rank correlation between pairs of variables. To test the outlined hypotheses, a multiple generalised linear modelling approach was used to investigate which variables best explained variations in  $k_{iso}$  (Thomas et al., 2017). As pH and exchangeable  $NH_4^+$  were strongly negatively correlated (Spearman's  $\rho = -0.73$ ) they were not considered together in the same model whilst all other possible combinations, including sampling campaign (EUR or AUS) to test for the undue  
280 influence of systematic experimental differences, were tested. Combinations were limited to models containing four or less predictive terms to prevent over-fitting and each independent variable was centered and scaled to facilitate comparison among the different measurement scales. The model structure and predictive terms included in the minimal adequate model required to explain variations in  $k_{iso}$  were selected based on a comparison of sample size corrected Aikake's Information

285 Criterion (AICc) and visual assessment of the conformity of model residuals to the assumptions of normality, homogeneity and the absence of unduly influential observations. This model was subsequently re-fitted with the original unstandardised variables. The same approach was also applied to the 27 soils from the EUR sampling campaign and extended to consider the relationships with soil texture and carbon and nitrogen contents to investigate their utility in up-scaling efforts. To prevent over-fitting, these models were limited to a maximum of two predictive terms. The predictive terms considered were soil sand, silt, clay, carbon and nitrogen content, the ratio of carbon to nitrogen content and soil pH.

290

To investigate the influence of the  $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$  addition on  $k_{\text{iso}}$ , the variables of interest were expressed as the ratio of the mean of the soils that received an addition and that of their respective untreated counterparts with quotients smaller and greater than one respectively indicating a reduction and increase following addition. Correlations between these fractional changes for  $k_{\text{iso}}$ , microbial biomass, pH, exchangeable  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and exchangeable  $\text{NH}_4^+$  were investigated through the Spearman's rank correlation between pairs of variables. The minimal adequate, generalised linear model describing the fractional change in  $k_{\text{iso}}$  across these soils was investigated by comparing the AICc and visual inspection of the residuals for models that considered each independent variable separately to avoid over-fitting.

295

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Variations among untreated soils

300 Clear differences in  $k_{\text{iso}}$ , pH, microbial biomass, exchangeable  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and exchangeable  $\text{NH}_4^+$  were not apparent as a function of sampling site climatic zone or land-cover (Fig. 2). Estimates of  $k_{\text{iso}}$  ranged from 0.01 to  $0.40 \text{ s}^{-1}$  with the greatest rates occurring in soils sampled from hot-summer Mediterranean (Csa), hot semi-arid (Bsh) and subtropical (Cfa and Cwa) climates (Fig. 2 a). Soil pH ranged from 3.9 to 8.6 and were mostly acidic or neutral with alkaline conditions only found for soils sampled from hot-summer Mediterranean (Csa) and hot semi-arid (Bsh) climates (Fig. 2 b). Ranging from 98.5 to 2898.1  $\mu\text{g C g dry soil}^{-1}$ , microbial biomass did not appear to vary systematically with sampling site origin. Exchangeable  $\text{NO}_3^-$  ranged from 0.3 to 275.7  $\mu\text{g N g dry soil}^{-1}$  (Fig. 2 d) and exchangeable  $\text{NH}_4^+$  ranged from 2.5 to 64.7  $\mu\text{g N g dry soil}^{-1}$  with greatest values found in soils sampled from temperate climates (Fig. 2 e).

305

Individual relationships between pairs of these variables were investigated through Spearman's rank correlation (Table 1). Strong, significant correlations ( $p < 0.05$ ) were only found between  $k_{\text{iso}}$  and soil pH (Spearman's  $\rho = 0.58$ ),  $k_{\text{iso}}$  and exchangeable  $\text{NH}_4^+$  (Spearman's  $\rho = -0.62$ ), and soil pH and exchangeable  $\text{NH}_4^+$  (Spearman's  $\rho = -0.73$ ). Correlations between all other variable pairings were weaker and non-significant ( $p > 0.05$ ).

310

Based on AICc and visual inspection of model fit and residuals (Fig. S2), the structure of the generalised linear model describing variations in  $k_{iso}$  as the response variable was specified with a gaussian error distribution and log-link function (Thomas et al., 2017). The minimal adequate model with this structure included the additive effects of soil pH, the natural logarithm of exchangeable  $NO_3^-$ , the natural logarithm of microbial biomass, the interaction between soil pH and the natural logarithm of exchangeable  $NO_3^-$  and an intercept term (Fig. 3). This model explained 71 % of the deviance in  $k_{iso}$  (Fig. 4 a) compared to the null model containing only an intercept term. Its AICc was 6.1 lower than the next best alternative model that omitted the interaction term, 7.1 lower than the closest model containing sampling campaign and 13.3 lower than the closest model containing the natural logarithm of exchangeable  $NH_4^+$  (Table S1). The AICc values of single-term models containing only pH or the natural logarithms of microbial biomass or exchangeable  $NO_3^-$  were respectively 21.6, 43.6, and 50.2 greater than the best model. The selected model predicts the response variable,  $k_{iso-pred}$  ( $s^{-1}$ ), in the original measurement units following Eq. (6):

$$\ln(k_{iso-pred}) = 0.122 \times pH - 0.730 \times \ln(NO_3^-) + 0.463 \times \ln(MB) + 0.109 \times pH \times \ln(NO_3^-) - 6.046, \quad (6)$$

where pH is soil pH,  $NO_3^-$  is exchangeable  $NO_3^-$  ( $\mu g N g \text{ dry soil}^{-1}$ ) and MB is microbial biomass ( $\mu g C g \text{ dry soil}^{-1}$ ). The model predicts that variations in  $k_{iso}$  result from positive correlations with soil pH (Fig. 3 a) and microbial biomass (Fig. 3 c) and negative correlation with exchangeable  $NO_3^-$ . The interaction between soil pH and exchangeable  $NO_3^-$  is such that the negative influence of  $NO_3^-$  on  $k_{iso}$  occurs mainly under acidic conditions and is marginal at neutral to alkaline pH (Fig. 3 b).

As with the full dataset, across the 27 soils from the EUR sampling campaign the strongest relationship describing  $k_{iso}$  was found with pH (Spearman's  $\rho = 0.58$ ), whilst a weaker but still significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) relationship with exchangeable  $NO_3^-$  (Spearman's  $\rho = -0.42$ ) was also identified. No significant ( $p > 0.05$ ) relationships between  $k_{iso}$  and clay (Spearman's  $\rho = 0.11$ ), silt (Spearman's  $\rho = -0.18$ ), sand (Spearman's  $\rho = 0.00$ ), carbon (Spearman's  $\rho = -0.03$ ) or nitrogen (Spearman's  $\rho = -0.32$ ) contents were found, whilst, the relationship with the ratio of total carbon to nitrogen content (Spearman's  $\rho = 0.38$ ) was marginal ( $p = 0.05$ ). The minimal adequate generalised linear model (Table S2) explaining variations in  $k_{iso}$  selected from only the relatively invariant properties of soil texture and carbon and nitrogen content included only the intercept ( $-2.128$ ) and the effect of nitrogen content ( $-0.119$ ). This model explained 11 % of the deviance in  $k_{iso}$  compared to the null model. After inclusion of soil pH, the minimal adequate model included the intercept ( $-4.535$ ) and the additive effects of soil pH ( $0.4028$ ) and clay content ( $-0.0017$ ). This model explained 61 % of the deviance in  $k_{iso}$  compared to 54 % for the model containing only the intercept ( $-4.535$ ) and influence of soil pH ( $0.339$ ).

### 3.2 Variations induced by $NH_4NO_3$ addition

The addition of  $NH_4NO_3$  systematically increased exchangeable  $NO_3^-$  and  $NH_4^+$  and decreased  $k_{iso}$  and soil pH. Exchangeable  $NO_3^-$  and  $NH_4^+$  in the treated soils that received the  $NH_4NO_3$  addition were respectively 1.9 to 173.6 and 3.7 to

18.8 times greater than in the corresponding untreated soils. Soil pH and  $k_{iso}$  were respectively 0.86 to 0.98 and 0.21 to 0.76 times smaller in the soils that received the addition compared with the corresponding untreated soils. The addition did not have a systematic influence on microbial biomass, which varied between 0.64 and 1.84 of the magnitude in the corresponding untreated soils. The absolute values of these changes are shown in Fig. S3.

Individual relationships between pairs of these fractional changes were investigated through Spearman's rank correlation (Table 2). Strong, significant correlations ( $p < 0.05$ ) for variable pairs were found between the fractional changes in  $k_{iso}$  and soil pH (Spearman's  $\rho = 0.57$ ),  $k_{iso}$  and exchangeable  $NO_3^-$  (Spearman's  $\rho = -0.84$ ), and soil pH and exchangeable  $NO_3^-$  (Spearman's  $\rho = -0.75$ ). Correlations between all other variable pairings were weaker and non-significant ( $p > 0.05$ ).

Based on AICc and visual inspection of model fit and residuals, the structure of the generalised linear model describing variations in the fractional change in  $k_{iso}$  as the response variable was specified with a betareg error distribution and identity link function (Thomas et al., 2017). The minimal adequate, single term model with this structure included the natural logarithm of the fractional change in exchangeable  $NO_3^-$  ( $-0.499$ ) and an intercept term ( $1.219$ ). This model predicts the variations in the fractional change in  $k_{iso}$  following  $NH_4NO_3$  addition across soils from the 14 sites considered result from a negative relationship with fractional changes in exchangeable  $NO_3^-$  (Fig. 5). This relationship explained 76 % of the deviance in the fractional change in  $k_{iso}$  and the model had an AICc that was 13.2 lower than the model that included the fractional change in soil pH and an intercept term (Table S3).

#### 4 Discussion

This study aimed to reveal the drivers of variations in the oxygen isotope exchange rate,  $k_{iso}$ , to make it possible to predict the influence of different soil characteristics on the  $\delta^{18}O$  of atmospheric  $CO_2$  and improve our understanding of soil CA activity. To do so, controlled incubation experiments were conducted to estimate  $k_{iso}$  from soils collected across western Eurasia and northeastern Australia. Estimates of  $k_{iso}$  for untreated soils in this study ranged from 0.01 to  $0.4\ s^{-1}$  (Fig. 2 a). In all cases these rates exceeded theoretical uncatalysed rates (from  $0.00008$  to  $0.008\ s^{-1}$  depending on soil pH, Uchikawa & Zeebe, 2012), indicating the presence of active CAs. The median  $k_{iso}$  of  $0.07\ s^{-1}$  reported here is in the range of previously published values for sieved soils incubated in the dark (between  $0.03$  and  $0.15\ s^{-1}$ , Jones et al., 2017; Sauze et al., 2018, 2017) but lower than those reported by Meredith et al. (2019) with a median and range of  $0.46\ s^{-1}$  and  $0.08$  to  $0.88\ s^{-1}$ , respectively. These greater  $k_{iso}$  values reported by Meredith et al. (2019) are more comparable to values (between  $0.01$  to  $0.75\ s^{-1}$ ) reported by Sauze et al. (2017) for soils with well-developed phototroph communities. Direct comparison of our estimates of  $k_{iso}$  with those observed in the field is challenging because these older studies (Seibt et al., 2006; Wingate et al., 2008, 2009, 2010) estimated soil CA activity as a range of enhancement factors over a temperature sensitive uncatalysed rate of hydration. However, using the mid-point of the enhancement factors and soil temperatures reported by Wingate et al.

(2009), we estimate that  $k_{iso}$  varied between 0.04 and  $13 \text{ s}^{-1}$  with a median of  $0.31 \text{ s}^{-1}$  across the seven ecosystems considered  
380 in their analysis. Understanding why  $k_{iso}$  can be orders of magnitude greater in the field compared to values observed in  
laboratory incubations is a key question for further studies. Potentially, the abundance and activity of CAs may be reduced  
during the process of sieving soils and incubating them for prolonged periods in the dark. For example, the exclusion of  
intact roots and mycorrhizal fungi interacting within the rhizosphere might reduce  $k_{iso}$  (Li et al., 2005). Equally the  
suppression of phototrophic community members by incubating soils in the dark (Sauze et al., 2017) may also contribute to  
385 differences in  $k_{iso}$  between the field and such experiments. Furthermore, we cannot exclude the possibility that determining  
 $k_{iso}$  accurately under field conditions is less reliable. For example, the calculation of  $k_{iso}$  relies on determining the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  of the  
soil water pool in equilibrium with  $\text{CO}_2$ . Given the potential for increased heterogeneity in the soil water pool in natural  
conditions this may make it more challenging to determine  $k_{iso}$  robustly in the field (Jones et al., 2017).

390  
At the outset of our study we hypothesised that  $k_{iso}$ , might be positively correlated with microbial biomass (H1), positively  
correlated with soil pH (H2) and negatively correlated with the presence of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  (H3). We found evidence in support of all  
three hypotheses, with the minimal adequate statistical model explaining variations in  $k_{iso}$  observed across untreated soils  
including all three of these terms (Eq. 6). The model suggests that the positive relationship with soil pH (Fig. 3 a), the  
395 strongest single predictor of variations in  $k_{iso}$ , reinforces the emergent view of soil pH as the principal driver of variations in  
CA expression in soil microbial communities (Sauze et al., 2018). The marked increases in  $k_{iso}$  observed for alkaline soils  
might reflect a shift in microbial community composition towards organisms that either express more CA protein as soil pH  
increases and/or express more efficient CAs than those found in acidic soils (Meredith et al., 2019; Sauze et al., 2018, 2017).  
Such putative mechanisms could be required to control the transport and availability of  $\text{CO}_2$  and bicarbonate in response to  
400 the pH dependent speciation of DIC (Fig. 1 b) as previously observed for both intra- and extra-cellular CA activity in non-  
soil settings (Hopkinson et al., 2013; Kaur et al., 2009; Kozliak et al., 1995; Merlin et al., 2003). Similarly, the positive  
relationship found between  $k_{iso}$  and microbial biomass (Fig. 3 c) supports a secondary role, linking the abundance of  
microbes to the expression of CAs and  $k_{iso}$  for a given set of biogeochemical conditions (Wingate et al., 2009; Sauze et al.,  
2017). Finally, the negative relationship with exchangeable  $\text{NO}_3^-$  (Fig. 3 b) shows for the first time that  $k_{iso}$  in soils is  
405 sensitive to dissolved inorganic nitrogen chemistry. Anions including  $\text{NO}_3^-$  have previously been shown to inhibit CA  
activity by binding with the enzyme in non-soil systems (Amoroso et al., 2005; Peltier et al., 1995; Tibell et al., 1984). The  
fact that the binding and subsequent inhibition of CA activity has been shown to be more efficient under acidic conditions  
but have minimal influence at high pH may reflect the role of protonation in this behaviour (Johansson & Forsman, 1993,  
1994). Interestingly, the larger negative influence of exchangeable  $\text{NO}_3^-$  in acidic soils identified here is in agreement with  
410 this observation (Fig. 3 b). This suggests that the influence of exchangeable  $\text{NO}_3^-$  on CA activity is reduced in neutral and  
alkaline soils and the constraints imposed by pH and microbial community size are of greater importance. To better  
understand the relationship between  $k_{iso}$  and soil inorganic nitrogen we conducted an  $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$  addition experiment. As in

other studies, the addition of  $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$  not only increased exchangeable  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and  $\text{NH}_4^+$  but also decreased soil pH and caused non-systematic changes in microbial biomass (Fig. S3; Zhang et al., 2017). Reflecting the different magnitudes of these changes, the observed decrease in  $k_{\text{iso}}$  in soils receiving the addition relative to their untreated counterparts was best explained by the increase in exchangeable  $\text{NO}_3^-$  (Fig. 5). Notably the weak relationship between changes in  $k_{\text{iso}}$  and exchangeable  $\text{NH}_4^+$  identified in this experiment (Table 2) suggests the relationship between these variables across the untreated soils (Table 1) does indeed reflect a co-correlation with soil pH rather than a direct causal link. The negative relationship between exchangeable  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and  $k_{\text{iso}}$  appears to support the proposed mechanism of CA inhibition. However, an alternative explanation, invoked to explain reductions in the activity of enzymes involved in nitrogen acquisition following fertilisation (Zhang et al., 2017), may be that CAs play some role in the soil nitrogen cycle that is alleviated by increases in exchangeable  $\text{NO}_3^-$  following  $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$  addition and thus leads to a down-regulation in CA expression (DiMario et al., 2017; Kalloniati et al., 2009; Rigobello-Masini et al., 2006). Indeed, such a function would help explain why the microbial communities in the untreated acidic, soils with higher exchangeable  $\text{NO}_3^-$  do not appear to need to compensate for the inhibition of CA. Such compensation might otherwise be expected from the economic theory of enzyme investment if CAs are facilitating important metabolic reactions (Burns et al., 2013). It is important to note that whilst the relationship between the changes in  $k_{\text{iso}}$  and exchangeable  $\text{NO}_3^-$  support observations from across the wider untreated dataset, the experimental design used in this addition experiment is not sufficient to fully test the influence of the combined changes in soil pH, exchangeable  $\text{NO}_3^-$ , exchangeable  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and microbial biomass on  $k_{\text{iso}}$ . Further controlled, factorial experiments are required for this purpose. Further understanding of the intra- and extra-cellular distribution of microbial CAs and their relationship to spatial and temporal variations in soil chemical conditions are now required to confirm the mechanistic link among these observations.

Improvements in our ability to predict soil  $k_{\text{iso}}$  and its influence on the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  of atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  are important in refining the use of this tracer and others such as  $\delta^{17}\text{O}$  to constrain photosynthesis and respiration at large scales (Wingate et al., 2009; Welp et al., 2011; Koren et al., 2020). Previous predictions of the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  of soil-atmosphere  $\text{CO}_2$  exchange were up-scaled using relationships observed in the field between  $k_{\text{iso}}$  and climate and/or land-cover (Wingate et al., 2009). In this study containing more measurements from a wider range of sites strong patterns with climate or land-cover were absent (Fig. 2 a). However, this could reflect the fact that the temperature and moisture conditions used in this study were unrepresentative of the field conditions especially for colder and drier sites. Our empirical model (Eq. 6) could provide broadly unbiased estimates of the observed variations in  $k_{\text{iso}}$  across the untreated soils of the 44 sites (Fig. 4 a). Indeed, the ability of this model to reasonably predict fractional changes in  $k_{\text{iso}}$  between untreated control soils, that were used to build the model, and their fertiliser treated counterparts, that were not used to ‘train’ the model selection process, is encouraging (Fig. 4 b). However, more observations from alkaline soils would be extremely useful to reduce the uncertainty found at greater  $k_{\text{iso}}$  (Fig. 3 a). A significant challenge to using this statistical relationship to predict  $k_{\text{iso}}$  is underpinned by our capacity to describe the spatial

and temporal variations in the important drivers of  $k_{iso}$ , namely soil pH, microbial biomass and exchangeable  $NO_3^-$ . For this reason we also considered whether more readily available parameters such as soil texture, carbon content and nitrogen content might provide an alternative basis for empirical predictions of  $k_{iso}$  (Van Looy et al., 2017). However, relationships  
450 between these variables and  $k_{iso}$  were relatively weak and could only explain a marginal amount of the observed variability. Fortunately, a number of promising spatial databases are evolving for soil characteristics such as pH and microbial biomass (Serna-Chavez et al., 2013; Slesserev et al., 2016). Likewise a number of land surface models can now estimate the spatial and temporal dynamics of the biosphere nitrogen cycle convincingly (Zaehle, 2013). Predictions of soil nutrient dynamics will likely depend on the use of such advanced soil nitrogen cycle models. Given the interaction between soil pH and  
455 exchangeable  $NO_3^-$  (Fig. 3 a & b), the absence of such data may not seriously compromise predictions for fertilised agricultural soils as typically they are not strongly acidic. However, accurately predicting natural spatial and seasonal variability and the influence of future changes in atmospheric  $NO_3^-$  deposition (DeForest et al., 2004) may be more problematic. Nonetheless, the data reported in this study now lay the foundations for an empirical approach to predicting  $k_{iso}$  for a wide range of soils using readily available maps of key soil traits. This represents an important breakthrough in  
460 predicting how variations in soil community CA activity impacts the  $\delta^{18}O$  of atmospheric  $CO_2$ .

#### Data availability

The data produced in this study have been achieved with PANGAEA (<https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.928394>). The data may also be requested from the corresponding author by email.

#### Competing interests

465 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### Author contributions

Conceptualisation - SJ, AK, JO, SW, AC, LC & LW; Formal analysis – SJ & AK; Funding acquisition – JO & LW; Investigation – SJ, AK, SW, AC & LW; Methodology – SJ, AK, JO, SW & LW; Resources: JO, LC & LW; Writing (original draft) – SJ; Writing (review & editing) – SJ, JO, AC, LC & LW.

470

## Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) grant agreement No. 338264, and the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) grant number ANR-13-BS06-0005-01. Many thanks to Jorge Curiel-Yuste, Alexandria Correia, Jean-Marc Ourcival, Jukka Pumpanen, 475 Huizhong Zhang, Carmen Emmel, Nina Buchmann, Sabina Keller, Irene Lehner, Anders Lindroth, Andreas Ibrom, Jens Schaarup Sorensen, Dan Yakir, Fulin Yang, Michal Heliasz, Susanne Burri, Penelope Serrano Ortiz, Maria Rosario Moya Jimenez, Jose Luis Vicente, Holger Tulp, Per Marklund, John Marshall, Nils Henriksson, Raquel Lobo de Vale, Lukas Siebicke, Bernard Longdoz, Pascal Courtois, and Katja Klumpp for providing soil from Eurasian sites, Joana Sauze, Ana Gutierrez, and Bastien Frejaville for facilitating analyses made in France, and Jon Lloyd, Camille Bathellier, Rolf Seigwolf, 480 Matthias Saurer, Paul Nelson, Niels Munksgaard, Jen Whan, Michael Bird, Chris Wurster, and Hilary Stuart-Williams for facilitating analyses made in Australia.

## References

- Adnew, G. A., Pons, T. L., Koren, G., Peters, W. and Röckmann, T.: Leaf-scale quantification of the effect of photosynthetic 485 gas exchange on  $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$  of atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$ , *Biogeosciences*, 17(14), 3903–3922, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-3903-2020>, 2020.
- Amoroso, G., Morell-Avrahov, L., Müller, D., Klug, K. and Sültemeyer, D.: The gene NCE103 (YNL036w) from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* encodes a functional carbonic anhydrase and its transcription is regulated by the concentration of 490 inorganic carbon in the medium, *Molecular Microbiology*, 56(2), 549–558, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2005.04560.x>, 2005.
- 495 Bar-Even, A., Noor, E., Savir, Y., Liebermeister, W., Davidi, D., Tawfik, D. S. and Milo, R.: The Moderately Efficient Enzyme: Evolutionary and Physicochemical Trends Shaping Enzyme Parameters, *Biochemistry*, 50(21), 4402–4410, <https://doi.org/10.1021/bi2002289>, 2011.
- 500 Beer, C., Reichstein, M., Tomelleri, E., Ciais, P., Jung, M., Carvalhais, N., Rödenbeck, C., Arain, M. A., Baldocchi, D., Bonan, G. B., Bondeau, A., Cescatti, A., Lasslop, G., Lindroth, A., Lomas, M., Luysaert, S., Margolis, H., Oleson, K. W., Rouspard, O., Veenendaal, E., Viovy, N., Williams, C., Woodward, F. I. and Papale, D.: Terrestrial Gross Carbon Dioxide Uptake: Global Distribution and Covariation with Climate, *Science*, 329(5993), 834–838, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1184984>, 2010.



505

Burns, R. G., DeForest, J. L., Marxsen, J., Sinsabaugh, R. L., Stromberger, M. E., Wallenstein, M. D., Weintraub, M. N. and Zoppini, A.: Soil enzymes in a changing environment: Current knowledge and future directions, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 58, 216–234, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.11.009, 2013.

510 DeForest, J. L., Zak, D. R., Pregitzer, K. S. and Burton, A. J.: Atmospheric Nitrate Deposition, Microbial Community Composition, and Enzyme Activity in Northern Hardwood Forests, *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 68(1), 132–138, doi:10.2136/sssaj2004.1320, 2004.

515

DiMario, R. J., Clayton, H., Mukherjee, A., Ludwig, M. and Moroney, J. V.: Plant Carbonic Anhydrases: Structures, Locations, Evolution, and Physiological Roles, *Mol Plant*, 10(1), 30–46, doi:10.1016/j.molp.2016.09.001, 2017.

Francey, R. J. and Tans, P. P.: Latitudinal variation in oxygen-18 of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, *Nature*, 327(6122), 495–497, doi:10.1038/327495a0, 1987.

520

Haney, R. L. and Haney, E. B.: Simple and Rapid Laboratory Method for Rewetting Dry Soil for Incubations, *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 41(12), 1493–1501, doi:10.1080/00103624.2010.482171, 2010.

525

Hesse, S. J. A., Ruijter, G. J. G., Dijkema, C. and Visser, J.: Intracellular pH homeostasis in the filamentous fungus *Aspergillus niger*, *European Journal of Biochemistry*, 269(14), 3485–3494, <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1432-1033.2002.03042.x>, 2002.

530 Hoag, K. J., Still, C. J., Fung, I. Y. and Boering, K. A.: Triple oxygen isotope composition of tropospheric carbon dioxide as a tracer of terrestrial gross carbon fluxes, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 32(2), <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL021011>, 2005.

Hopkinson, B. M., Meile, C. and Shen, C.: Quantification of Extracellular Carbonic Anhydrase Activity in Two Marine Diatoms and Investigation of Its Role, *Plant Physiol.*, 162(2), 1142–1152, doi:10.1104/pp.113.217737, 2013.

535

Innocenti, A., Zimmerman, S., Ferry, J., Scozzafava, A. and Supuran, C.: Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors. Inhibition of the beta-class enzyme from the methanoarchaeon *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum* (Cab) with anions, *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters*, 14(17), 4563–4567, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2004.06.073>, 2004.

540

- Jensen, E. L., Clement, R., Kosta, A., Maberly, S. C. and Gontero, B.: A new widespread subclass of carbonic anhydrase in marine phytoplankton, *The ISME Journal*, 13(8), 2094–2106, doi:10.1038/s41396-019-0426-8, 2019.
- 545 Johansson, I.-M. and Forsman, C.: Kinetic studies of pea carbonic anhydrase, *European Journal of Biochemistry*, 218(2), 439–446, doi:10.1111/j.1432-1033.1993.tb18394.x, 1993.
- Johansson, I.-M. and Forsman, C.: Solvent Hydrogen Isotope Effects and Anion Inhibition of CO<sub>2</sub> Hydration Catalysed by Carbonic Anhydrase from *Pisum sativum*, *European Journal of Biochemistry*, 224(3), 901–907, doi:10.1111/j.1432-1033.1994.00901.x, 1994.
- 550 Jones, S. P., Ogée, J., Sauze, J., Wohl, S., Saavedra, N., Fernández-Prado, N., Maire, J., Launois, T., Bosc, A. and Wingate, L.: Non-destructive estimates of soil carbonic anhydrase activity and associated soil water oxygen isotope composition, *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 21(12), 6363–6377, doi:https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-21-6363-2017, 2017.
- 555 Kaisermann, A., Ogée, J., Sauze, J., Wohl, S., Jones, S. P., Gutierrez, A. and Wingate, L.: Disentangling the rates of carbonyl sulfide (COS) production and consumption and their dependency on soil properties across biomes and land use types, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 18(13), 9425–9440, doi:https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-9425-2018, 2018a.
- 560 Kaisermann, A., Jones, S. P., Wohl, S., Ogée, J. and Wingate, L.: Nitrogen Fertilization Reduces the Capacity of Soils to Take up Atmospheric Carbonyl Sulphide, *Soil Systems*, 2(4), 62, doi:10.3390/soilsystems2040062, 2018b.
- Kalloniati, C., Tsikou, D., Lampiri, V., Fotelli, M. N., Rennenberg, H., Chatzipavlidis, I., Fasseas, C., Katinakis, P. and Flemetakis, E.: Characterization of a *Mesorhizobium loti*  $\alpha$ -Type Carbonic Anhydrase and Its Role in Symbiotic Nitrogen Fixation, *Journal of Bacteriology*, 191(8), 2593–2600, doi:10.1128/JB.01456-08, 2009.
- 565 Kaur, S., Mishra, M. N. and Tripathi, A. K.: Regulation of expression and biochemical characterization of a  $\beta$ -class carbonic anhydrase from the plant growth-promoting rhizobacterium, *Azospirillum brasilense* Sp7, *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 299(2), 149–158, doi:10.1111/j.1574-6968.2009.01736.x, 2009.
- 570 Koren, G., Schneider, L., Velde, I. R. van der, Schaik, E. van, Gromov, S. S., Adnew, G. A., Martino, D. J. M., Hofmann, M. E. G., Liang, M.-C., Mahata, S., Bergamaschi, P., Laan-Luijkx, I. T. van der, Krol, M. C., Röckmann, T. and Peters, W.: Global 3-D Simulations of the Triple Oxygen Isotope Signature  $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$  in Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 124(15), 8808–8836, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030387, 2019.
- 575 Kottek, M., Grieser, J., Beck, C., Rudolf, B. and Rubel, F.: World Map of the Köppen-Geiger climate classification updated, *metz*, 15(3), 259–263, doi:10.1127/0941-2948/2006/0130, 2006.

- 580 Kozliak, E. I., Fuchs, J. A., Guilloton, M. B. and Anderson, P. M.: Role of bicarbonate/CO<sub>2</sub> in the inhibition of *Escherichia coli* growth by cyanate., *J. Bacteriol.*, 177(11), 3213–3219, doi:10.1128/jb.177.11.3213-3219.1995, 1995.
- Krulwich, T. A., Sachs, G. and Padan, E.: Molecular aspects of bacterial pH sensing and homeostasis, *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 9(5), 330, doi:10.1038/nrmicro2549, 2011.
- 585 Li, W., Yu, L., Yuan, D., Wu, Y. and Zeng, X.: A study of the activity and ecological significance of carbonic anhydrase from soil and its microbes from different karst ecosystems of Southwest China, *Plant Soil*, 272(1–2), 133–141, doi:10.1007/s11104-004-4335-9, 2005.
- 590 Linn, D. M. and Doran, J. W.: Effect of Water-Filled Pore Space on Carbon Dioxide and Nitrous Oxide Production in Tilled and Nontilled Soils, *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 48(6), 1267–1272, doi:10.2136/sssaj1984.03615995004800060013x, 1984.
- Massman, W. J.: A review of the molecular diffusivities of H<sub>2</sub>O, CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, CO, O<sub>3</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, NO, and NO<sub>2</sub> in air, O<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> near STP, *Atmospheric Environment*, 32(6), 1111–1127, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(97)00391-9, 1998.
- 595 Meredith, L. K., Ogée, J., Boye, K., Singer, E., Wingate, L., Sperber, C. von, Sengupta, A., Whelan, M., Pang, E., Keiluweit, M., Brüggemann, N., Berry, J. A. and Weland, P. V.: Soil exchange rates of COS and CO<sub>18</sub>O differ with the diversity of microbial communities and their carbonic anhydrase enzymes, *The ISME Journal*, 13(2), 290, doi:10.1038/s41396-018-0270-2, 2019.
- 600 Merlin, C., Masters, M., McAteer, S. and Coulson, A.: Why Is Carbonic Anhydrase Essential to *Escherichia coli*?, *J. Bacteriol.*, 185(21), 6415–6424, doi:10.1128/JB.185.21.6415-6424.2003, 2003.
- 605 Miller, J. B., Yakir, D., White, J. W. C. and Tans, P. P.: Measurement of <sup>18</sup>O/<sup>16</sup>O in the soil-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> flux, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 13(3), 761–774, doi:10.1029/1999GB900028, 1999.
- Mills, G. A. and Urey, H. C.: The Kinetics of Isotopic Exchange between Carbon Dioxide, Bicarbonate Ion, Carbonate Ion and Water<sup>1</sup>, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 62(5), 1019–1026, doi:10.1021/ja01862a010, 1940.
- 610 Moldrup, P., Olesen, T., Komatsu, T., Yoshikawa, S., Schjønning, P. and Rolston, D.: Modeling diffusion and reaction in soils: X. A unifying model for solute and gas diffusivity in unsaturated soil, *Soil Science*, 168(5), 321–337, 2003.

- Peltier, G., Cournac, L., Despax, V., Dimon, B., Fina, L., Genty, B. and Rumeau, D.: Carbonic anhydrase activity in leaves as measured in vivo by  $O_2$  exchange between carbon dioxide and water, *Planta*, 196(4), 732–739, doi:10.1007/BF01106768, 1995.
- R Core Team: R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. [online] Available from: <https://www.R-project.org/>, 2019.
- Ramirez, K. S., Craine, J. M. and Fierer, N.: Consistent effects of nitrogen amendments on soil microbial communities and processes across biomes, *Global Change Biology*, 18(6), 1918–1927, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02639.x, 2012.
- Rigobello-Masini, M., Masini, J. C. and Aidar, E.: The profiles of nitrate reductase and carbonic anhydrase activity in batch cultivation of the marine microalgae *Tetraselmis gracilis* growing under different aeration conditions, *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 57(1), 18–25, doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2006.00106.x, 2006.
- Rowlett, R. S., Tu, C., McKay, M. M., Preiss, J. R., Loomis, R. J., Hicks, K. A., Marchione, R. J., Strong, J. A., Donovan Jr., G. S. and Chamberlin, J. E.: Kinetic characterization of wild-type and proton transfer-impaired variants of  $\beta$ -carbonic anhydrase from *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics*, 404(2), 197–209, doi:10.1016/S0003-9861(02)00243-6, 2002.
- Rubel, F., Brugger, K., Haslinger, K. and Auer, I.: The climate of the European Alps: Shift of very high resolution Köppen-Geiger climate zones 1800–2100, *metz*, 26(2), 115–125, doi:10.1127/metz/2016/0816, 2017.
- Sauze, J., Ogée, J., Maron, P.-A., Crouzet, O., Nowak, V., Wohl, S., Kaisermann, A., Jones, S. P. and Wingate, L.: The interaction of soil phototrophs and fungi with pH and their impact on soil  $CO_2$ ,  $CO_{18O}$  and  $OCS$  exchange, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 115(Supplement C), 371–382, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.09.009, 2017.
- Sauze, J., Jones, S. P., Wingate, L., Wohl, S. and Ogée, J.: The role of soil pH on soil carbonic anhydrase activity, *Biogeosciences*, 15(2), 597–612, doi:10.5194/bg-15-597-2018, 2018.
- Seibt, U., Wingate, L., Lloyd, J. and Berry, J. A.: Diurnally variable  $\delta^{18}O$  signatures of soil  $CO_2$  fluxes indicate carbonic anhydrase activity in a forest soil, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111(G4), G04005, doi:10.1029/2006JG000177, 2006.
- Serna-Chavez, H. M., Fierer, N. and Bodegom, P. M. van: Global drivers and patterns of microbial abundance in soil, *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 22(10), 1162–1172, <https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12070>, 2013.

- Slonczewski, J. L., Fujisawa, M., Dopson, M. and Krulwich, T. A.: Cytoplasmic pH Measurement and Homeostasis in Bacteria and Archaea, in *Advances in Microbial Physiology*, vol. 55, edited by R. K. Poole, pp. 1–317, Academic Press, 650 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2911\(09\)05501-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2911(09)05501-5), , 2009.
- Smith, K. S., Jakubzick, C., Whittam, T. S. and Ferry, J. G.: Carbonic anhydrase is an ancient enzyme widespread in prokaryotes, *PNAS*, 96(26), 15184–15189, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.26.15184>, 1999.
- 655 Smith, K. S. and Ferry, J. G.: Prokaryotic carbonic anhydrases, *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 24(4), 335–366, [doi:10.1111/j.1574-6976.2000.tb00546.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2000.tb00546.x), 2000.
- Tans, P. P.: Oxygen isotopic equilibrium between carbon dioxide and water in soils, *Tellus B*, 50(2), [doi:10.3402/tellusb.v50i2.16094](https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusb.v50i2.16094), 1998.
- 660 Thomas, R., Lello, J., Medeiros, R., Pollard, A., Robinson, P., Seward, A., Smith, J., Vafidis, J. and Vaughan, I.: *Data Analysis with R Statistical Software: A guidebook for Scientists, Eco-explore, Caerphilly, Wales.*, 2017.
- Tibell, L., Forsman, C., Simonsson, I. and Lindskog, S.: Anion Inhibition of CO<sub>2</sub> hydration catalyzed by human carbonic anhydrase II: Mechanistic implications, *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Protein Structure and Molecular Enzymology*, 789(3), 302–310, [doi:10.1016/0167-4838\(84\)90186-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-4838(84)90186-9), 1984.
- 665 Uchikawa, J. and Zeebe, R. E.: The effect of carbonic anhydrase on the kinetics and equilibrium of the oxygen isotope exchange in the CO<sub>2</sub>–H<sub>2</sub>O system: Implications for  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  vital effects in biogenic carbonates, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 95, 15–34, [doi:10.1016/j.gca.2012.07.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2012.07.022), 2012.
- 670 Van Looy, K., Bouma, J., Herbst, M., Koestel, J., Minasny, B., Mishra, U., Montzka, C., Nemes, A., Pachepsky, Y. A., Padarian, J., Schaap, M. G., Tóth, B., Verhoef, A., Vanderborght, J., Ploeg, M. J. van der, Weihermüller, L., Zacharias, S., Zhang, Y. and Vereecken, H.: Pedotransfer Functions in Earth System Science: Challenges and Perspectives, *Reviews of Geophysics*, 55(4), 1199–1256, [doi:10.1002/2017RG000581](https://doi.org/10.1002/2017RG000581), 2017.
- Weiss, R. F.: Carbon dioxide in water and seawater: the solubility of a non-ideal gas, *Marine Chemistry*, 2(3), 203–215, [doi:10.1016/0304-4203\(74\)90015-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4203(74)90015-2), 1974.
- 680 Wingate, L., Seibt, U., Maseyk, K., Ogée, J., Almeida, P., Yakir, D., Pereira, J. S. and Mencuccini, M.: Evaporation and carbonic anhydrase activity recorded in oxygen isotope signatures of net CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes from a Mediterranean soil, *Global Change Biology*, 14(9), 2178–2193, [doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01635.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01635.x), 2008.

- Wingate, L., Ogée, J., Cuntz, M., Genty, B., Reiter, I., Seibt, U., Yakir, D., Maseyk, K., Pendall, E. G., Barbour, M. M.,  
685 Mortazavi, B., Burlett, R., Peylin, P., Miller, J., Mencuccini, M., Shim, J. H., Hunt, J. and Grace, J.: The impact of soil  
microorganisms on the global budget of  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  in atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$ , PNAS, 106(52), 22411–22415,  
doi:10.1073/pnas.0905210106, 2009.
- Wingate, L., Ogée, J., Burlett, R. and Bosc, A.: Strong seasonal disequilibrium measured between the oxygen isotope signals  
690 of leaf and soil  $\text{CO}_2$  exchange, Global Change Biology, 16(11), 3048–3064, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02186.x, 2010.
- Zaehle, S.: Terrestrial nitrogen–carbon cycle interactions at the global scale, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society  
B: Biological Sciences, 368(1621), 20130125, <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0125>, 2013.
- 695
- Zhang, C., Zhang, X.-Y., Zou, H.-T., Kou, L., Yang, Y., Wen, X.-F., Li, S.-G., Wang, H.-M. and Sun, X.-M.: Contrasting  
effects of ammonium and nitrate additions on the biomass of soil microbial communities and enzyme activities in subtropical  
China, Biogeosciences, 14(20), 4815–4827, doi:<https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-14-4815-2017>, 2017.

Table 1: Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients ( $\rho$ ) for relationships between site mean oxygen isotope exchange rate ( $k_{iso}$ ), soil pH, microbial biomass (MB), exchangeable  $NO_3^-$  and exchangeable  $NH_4^+$  measured in untreated soils ( $n = 44$ ). \* indicates  $p < 0.05$  and \*\* indicates  $p < 0.01$ .

	$k_{iso}$	pH	MB	$NO_3^-$	$NH_4^+$
$k_{iso}$	-	0.58**	0.16	-0.25	-0.62**
pH	0.58**	-	-0.27	0.01	-0.73**
MB	0.16	-0.27	-	0.29	0.05
$NO_3^-$	-0.25	0.01	0.29	-	0.11
$NH_4^+$	-0.62**	-0.73**	0.05	0.11	-

Table 2: Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients ( $\rho$ ) for relationships between changes in the ratio of mean rate of oxygen isotope exchange ( $k_{iso}$ ), soil pH, microbial biomass (MB), exchangeable  $NO_3^-$  and exchangeable  $NH_4^+$  between soils receiving a  $NH_4NO_3$  addition and that of the corresponding untreated soils ( $n = 14$ ). \* indicates  $p < 0.05$  and \*\* indicates  $p < 0.01$ .

	$k_{iso}$	pH	MB	$NO_3^-$	$NH_4^+$
$k_{iso}$	-	0.57*	0.37	-0.84**	0.14
pH	0.57*	-	0.22	-0.75**	0.02
MB	0.37	0.22	-	-0.32	0.18
$NO_3^-$	-0.84**	-0.75**	-0.32	-	0.09
$NH_4^+$	0.14	0.02	0.18	0.09	-

## Figure captions

Figure 1: Theoretical calculations of the expected relationship between the rate of hydration ( $k_h$ ) and hydroxylation reactions, the speciation of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and the rate of oxygen isotope exchange ( $k_{iso}$ ): a) expected variations in the rate of hydration ( $k_h$ ) and hydroxylation reactions with pH at 21 °C calculated following Uchikawa & Zeebe (2012) and Sauze et al. (2018). Dashed lines indicate uncatalysed rates whilst solid lines include the presence of 200 nM of carbonic anhydrase with a  $k_{cat}/k_m = 3 \times 10^7 \text{ M s}^{-1}$  and a pka of 7.1. The catalysed rate of hydration decreases under acidic conditions as high proton concentrations limit enzyme regeneration, b) Speciation of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) calculated from rate constants at 21 °C, c) Expected variations in the rate of isotope exchange ( $k_{iso}$ ) with pH calculated as in the first panel (a). The rate of exchange is limited by enzyme regeneration under acidic conditions and the availability of  $\text{CO}_2$  under alkaline conditions.

Figure 2: Measurement summaries of mean untreated soils by Köppen-Geiger climatic zone of the sampling site. The 27 sites in western Eurasian (EUR) were within Subarctic (Dfc;  $n = 6$ ), Temperate oceanic (Cfb;  $n = 13$ ), Hot-summer Mediterranean (Csa;  $n = 7$ ) and Hot semi-arid (Bsh;  $n = 1$ ) climate zones and the 17 sites in north Queensland, Australia (AUS) were within Tropical monsoon (Am;  $n = 3$ ), Humid subtropical (Cfa;  $n = 9$ ) and Monsoon-influenced humid subtropical (Cwa;  $n = 5$ ) climate zones. Box lower, middle and upper hinges respectively indicate 0.25, 0.5 and 0.75 quantiles. Over-plotted points are the associated site means ( $n=2$  or 3) with shape indicating land-cover: a)  $k_{iso}$ , b) pH, c) microbial biomass (MB), d) exchangeable  $\text{NO}_3^-$ , and d) exchangeable  $\text{NH}_4^+$ .

Figure 3: Observed (points) and modelled relationships following Eq. 6 (dashed lines) between the rate of oxygen isotope exchange ( $k_{iso}$ ) and soil pH, exchangeable  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and microbial biomass (MB): a) the positive relationship between  $k_{iso}$  and soil pH with model response as a function of the shown range in soil pH calculated with median microbial biomass and lower quartile (redgreen dashed line) and upper quartile (blueorange dashed line) exchangeable  $\text{NO}_3^-$ , b) the negative relationship between  $k_{iso}$  and exchangeable  $\text{NO}_3^-$  with model response as a function of the shown range in exchangeable  $\text{NO}_3^-$  calculated with median microbial biomass and lower quartile (green dashed line) and upper quartile (orange dashed line) soil pH, and c) the positive relationship between  $k_{iso}$  and microbial biomass with the model response as a function of the shown range in microbial biomass calculated with median exchangeable  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and lower quartile (green dashed line) and upper quartile (orange dashed line) soil pH. Grey shaded areas indicate the 95 % confidence intervals associated with model fits.



Figure 4: Rates of oxygen isotope exchange ( $k_{iso}$ ) predicted by the minimal adequate model statistical model identified (Eq. 6): a) model predictions for the 44 untreated soils against the observations for these soils used in model fitting and b) predicted fractional changes in  $k_{iso}$  between treated and untreated soils against the changes observed following  $NH_4NO_3$  addition. Plotted points indicate individual sites with the associate sampling campaign indicated by colour (EUR: orange, AUS: green), dotted lines indicate the 1:1 line and dashed blue lines indicate linear relationships between predicted and observed values with a shaded 95 % confidence interval.

Figure 5: Observed negative relationship between the fractional change in the rate of oxygen isotope exchange ( $k_{iso}$ ) and the fractional change in exchangeable  $NO_3^-$  between treated and untreated soils following  $NH_4NO_3$  addition for the 14 sites considered. Plotted points indicate the change for individual sites with the associate sampling campaign indicated by colour (EUR: orange, n= 9; AUS: green, n = 5). On the y-axis, quotients below 1 indicate  $k_{iso}$  in soils receiving the treatment decreased relative to corresponding untreated soils for each site. On the x-axis, quotients above 1 indicate  $NO_3^-$  availability in soils receiving the treatment increased relative to corresponding untreated soils for each site. The blue dashed line shows the fit of the minimal adequate generalised linear model describing the change in  $k_{iso}$  with 95 % confidence intervals shaded in grey.