

Interactive comment on “Modelling of long term Zn, Cu, Cd, Pb dynamics from soils fertilized with organic amendments” by Claudia Cagnarini et al.

Karsten Kalbitz (Editor)

karsten.kalbitz@tu-dresden.de

Received and published: 13 August 2020

Dear authors, Thank you very much for providing your response to the reviewer's comments. However, I find it quite difficult to get a good overview because it is not easy to distinguish the reviewer's comments (which you did not add to your reply), your discussion and the proposed changes in the manuscript. I suggest to provide for each of the two reviews a separate response file (please just one) with your response (explanation / discussion, changes in the manuscript) which is directly related to the comments of the reviewer. You might use different colors to make the job of the editor easier. Please take into account that your manuscript should not become too much longer than it is. You have to respond to all comments of the reviewer. It is not sufficient to mention that all comments will be considered, e.g. in your response to reviewer 1. There are some

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



questions in these detailed comments as well and not just the corrections of typos. You should also include all of the comments of reviewer 2 in your response letter. Thank you very much! Karsten Kalbitz

Interactive comment on SOIL Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-2020-21>, 2020.

SOILD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

