





1 Article Type: Primary Research Article

2 Date of preparation: January 13, 2020

3 Number of text pages: 34

4 Number of Tables: 4

5

6  **Relationships between N mineralization of soil organisms and**
7 **soybean yield in conservation tillage system** 

8 Shixiu Zhang^a, Liang Chang^a, Neil B. McLaughlin^b, Shuyan Cui^{c,d}, Haitao Wu^{a, *},

9 Donghui Wu^a, Wenju Liang^c, Aizhen Liang^{a, *}

10

11 ^a Key Laboratory of Mollisols Agroecology, Northeast Institute of Geography and
12 Agroecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changchun 130012, China

13 ^b Ottawa Research and Development Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada,
14 Ottawa, K1A 0C6, Canada

15 ^c Institute of Applied Ecology, Chinese Academy of Science, Shenyang 110016, China

16 ^d Liaoning Normal University, Liaoning 110036, China

17

18 *** Corresponding authors:**

19 Dr. Haitao Wu

20 Northeast Institute of Geography and Agroecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
21 Changchun 130012, China.

22 Tel.: +8643188542272; E-mail address: wuhaitao@iga.ac.cn

23 Dr. Aizhen Liang

24 Northeast Institute of Geography and Agroecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
25 Changchun 130012, China.

26 Tel.: +8643188542349; E-mail address: liangaizhen@iga.ac.cn

27

28

29 **Abstract**

30 It is increasingly being recognized that conservation tillage systems favoring rich
31 and abundant soil organisms can achieve optimal crop production by increasing
32 nitrogen (N) mineralization. However, our understanding of the role of soil organisms
33 in N mineralization promoting plant growth remains limited. In this study, the
34 relationship between N mineralization of soil organisms and soybean (*Glycine max*
35 Merr.) yield was investigated under a long-term (initiated in 2001) tillage trial,
36 comprising conventional tillage (CT), ridge tillage (RT) and no tillage (NT). The
37 amount of N released from soil organisms at 0-5 cm and 5-15 cm during the growing
38 season of soybean was calculated using the monthly biomass data of soil microbes,
39 nematodes, mites and collembolans, and the food web energetic model. The results
40 showed that the soil food webs of RT and NT released more N than that of CT
41 throughout the plow layer. Similar results were also observed for soybean yield which
42 decreased in the order of NT > RT > CT. Multiple regression models revealed that
43 soybean yield was significantly related to the mineralized N in RT and NT through
44 fungal and plant channels in 0-5 cm and bacterial channel in 5-15 cm, demonstrating
45 the role of spatial variability of soil organisms in linking N mineralization to plant
46 growth. Furthermore, RT and NT significantly enhanced the N mineralization of
47 trophic feeding guilds in these energy channels which is beneficial in providing
48 sufficient N to plants. Our results suggest that different soil organisms dominate at
49 different depths in driving N mineralization and plant growth, and that the enhanced
50 N mineralization of soil organisms is a cornerstone for conservation tillage systems to

51 achieve the optimal crop productivity.

52

53 **Key words:** conservation tillage, soil food web energetic approach, organism biomass,
54 energy channels, soil N supply

55

56 **1. Introduction**

57 Nitrogen (N) is the most important growth-limiting nutrient for crops (Fageria et
58 al., 2010). In order to achieve the maximum yield, N fertilizer is applied to crops all
59 over the world; even legumes that fix N through symbiotic N-fixing microorganisms
60 require additional chemical N application for maximum yield. However, globally, the
61 N recovery rate by crops is only about 60% (Liu et al., 2010), which means that the
62 rest of the fertilizer N is not available for the crop and is lost from the agroecosystems,
63 resulting in undesirable environmental consequences. It is increasingly being
64 recognized that exploiting the role of soil organisms in N mineralization is a
65 promising approach to reduce the heavy dependence on N fertilizer without
66 compromising the crop yield (Wall et al., 2015).

67 The process of N mineralization mediated by soil organisms is closely related to
68 the predation in the food webs because soil organisms require carbon (C), N and other
69 nutrients from the prey to support their metabolic activities (de Ruiter et al., 1993;
70 Hunt et al., 1987). The N immobilized in the biomass of the lower trophic groups can
71 be released by the predation of the higher trophic groups. Furthermore, the predators
72 usually have a higher C:N ratio than their prey, which results in more N obtained than

73 their nutritional requirements, and the excess N is excreted into the soil (de Ruiter et
74 al., 1993; Hunt et al., 1987). It is estimated that the amount of N released by soil
75 organisms from predation accounts for 30%-80% of the annual N mineralization
76 under field conditions (de Ruiter et al., 1993; Holtkamp et al., 2011; Hunt et al., 1987;
77 Carrillo et al., 2016), and the value of this contribution varies with the biomass of soil
78 organism and the complexity of soil food webs (Carrillo et al., 2016; de Ruiter et al.,
79 1993; Holtkamp et al., 2011).

80 Conservation tillage, one of the most efficient practices to maintain optimal
81 productivity, has a prominent role in promoting the richness and abundance of soil
82 organisms (van Capelle et al., 2012). Several studies (Bender et al., 2015; Cole et al.,
83 2004; Thakur et al., 2014; Wagg et al., 2014) based on controlled (micro- or
84 meso-cosm) experiments found that the N mineralization of soil organisms increased
85 with the increase of soil biodiversity, which implies that a tillage system which forms
86 a complex soil food web is beneficial for releasing large amounts of N. However,
87 most of these cited studies have focused on the predation of microbial-feeding fauna
88 on microorganisms, and rarely consider the overall impact of all trophic levels of soil
89 organisms (bacteria, fungi, nematodes, mites and collembolans) on N mineralization.
90 As a result, our understanding of how the predation among soil organisms control the
91 N mineralization in the field is still limited.

92 Furthermore, relative to conventional tillage (CT), conservation tillage increases
93 the heterogeneity of soil organism distribution in the soil profile. For example,
94 bacteria and bacterivorous fauna dominate the whole plow layer of CT, while

95 conservation tillage is typically characterized by the fungi and fungivorous fauna near
96 the surface and bacterial based communities at deeper soil depths (Hendrix et al.,
97 1986; van Capelle et al., 2012). Moreover, conservation tillage also benefits by
98 increasing the diversity of predaceous fauna since it reduces the tillage frequency.
99 These changes in soil communities result in a more complex soil food web in
100 conservation tillage, making it more difficult to understand the role of soil organisms
101 in N mineralization promoting plant growth.

102 The objective of this study was to investigate the relationships between N
103 mineralization of soil organisms and plant yield under contrasting tillage practices in a
104 long-term (initiated in 2001) tillage trial. Soil food webs were composed of microbes,
105 nematodes, mites and collembolans, and the amount of N released from soil
106 organisms at each trophic feeding guild was quantified using the experimental data
107 combined with the soil food web energetic model (de Ruiter et al., 1993). We
108 hypothesized that (1) conservation tillage favors a greater release of N from soil
109 organisms than CT, (2) soil organisms that play a key role in associating N
110 mineralization and plant growth vary with soil depth in the conservation tillage
111 system.

112

113 **2. Material and methods**

114 **2.1 Experimental design and soil sampling**

115 This study was conducted at the Experimental Station (44°12'N, 125°33'E) of the
116 Northeast Institute of Geography and Agroecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, in

117 Dehui County, Jilin Province, China. The station is located in a continental temperate
118 monsoon zone. The soil is classified as Black soil (Typic Hapludoll, USDA Soil
119 Taxonomy) with a clay loam texture. [Figure 1](#) Experiment was established in the fall of
120 2001 and included conventional tillage (CT), ridge tillage (RT) and no tillage (NT)
121 with a two year maize (*Zea mays* L.) - soybean (*Glycine max* Merr.) rotation system.
122 Each treatment had four replications. The soybean phase of the two-year
123 maize-soybean rotation was sampled in 2015 in the present experiment.

124 Briefly, CT practice consisted of fall mouldboard plowing (20 cm) followed by
125 the secondary seedbed preparation in the spring by disking (7.5-10 cm), harrowing
126 and ridge-bulldozing. In RT, ridges were formed with a modified lister and stubble and
127 were maintained in June of each year with a cultivator. For the NT, no soil
128 disturbance was practiced except for planting using a no-till planter. After harvest, the
129 maize residue in the RT and NT plots was cut into about 30 cm pieces and left on the
130 soil surface along with 30-35 cm standing stubble; soybean residue was directly
131 returned to the soil surface. Residues in CT plots were removed prior to, and manually
132 replaced on the soil surface after fall mouldboard plowing. Basal fertilizer was
133 applied to the plots at rates of 40 kg N ha⁻¹, 60 kg P ha⁻¹, and 80 kg K ha⁻¹. The
134 application rate of N is much lower than the local conventional application rate of 60
135 kg N ha⁻¹. [Details of the experiment layout, tillage applications, crop rotations and](#)
136 [fertilization were reported by Zhang et al. \(2019\).](#)


137 Soil samples were taken at the end of each month from April to September
138 during the soybean growing season when soil organisms are active. [Seven soil cores](#)

139 (2.5 cm in diameter) in each plot were randomly collected from a depth of 15 cm and
140 each core was separated into 0-5 and 5-15 cm sections. Soil cores were combined to
141 form a single composite sample for each plot and depth. Samples were immediately
142 taken to the lab and stored at 4 °C. Soil bulk density for each plot was determined in
143 the 0-5 and 5-15 cm depths using a slide-hammer probe with a 5 cm core diameter.

144

145 **2.2 Soil mineral nitrogen and soybean yield**

146 Soil mineral N was tested within 12 hours after soil samples were collected each
147 month. Mineral N, including NO_3^- and NH_4^+ , was extracted by 1 M KCl (soil : KCl =
148 1:2) and determined by a continuous flow analyzer (SAN++, Skalar, Netherlands).

149 Soybean yield was determined by hand-harvesting 3 m lengths of 6 interior rows
150 from each plot after plants had reached the physiological maturity. Grain yield
151 samples were dried to a constant weight at 75 °C in an oven, and then corrected to
152 13.5% grain moisture content 

153

154 **2.3 Soil organism extraction**

155 Soil organisms, including microbes, nematodes and microarthropods, were
156 extracted from the soil taken from 0-5 cm and 5-15 cm depths within 2 weeks to
157 obtain the reliable biomass data. All types of soil organisms were determined monthly
158 except nematodes, which were only determined in April, June and August due to the
159 limitation of labor. The nematode populations for non-sampled months were
160 estimated by linear interpolation between adjacent sampling dates.

161 Microbial community was determined using the phospholipid fatty acid analysis
162 (PLFA) as described by Bossio et al. (1998). Lipids were extracted from 8 g of
163 freeze-dried soil with a Bligh and Dyer solution (chloroform: methanol: citrate buffer
164 = 1: 2: 0.8 (v: v: v)). Polar lipids were separated from neutral lipids and glycolipids in
165 a solid phase extraction column (Supelco Inc., Bellefonte, PA, USA) and transformed
166 into fatty acid methyl esters with a mild alkaline methanolysis. Samples were then
167 dissolved in hexane and analyzed in an Agilent 6850 series Gas Chromatograph with
168 MIDI peak identification software (Version 4.5; MIDI Inc., Newark, DE, USA). Fatty
169 acids were grouped as bacteria (14:0, i14:0, a14:0, 15:0, i15:0, a15:0, 15:1 ω 6c, 16:0,
170 i16:0, a16:0, 16:1 ω 7c, 16:1 ω 9c, i17:0, a17:0, 17:1 ω 8c, 17:1 ω 9c, 18:1 ω 7c, 18:0, 20:0),
171 saprophytic fungi (18:1 ω 9c and 18:2 ω 6c) and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF)
172 (16:1 ω 5c) (Bach et al., 2010; Dempsey et al., 2013). Microbial biomass was estimated
173 using the following conversion factors of fatty acid concentrations (nmol): bacterial
174 biomass, 363.6 nmol = 1 mg C; saprophytic fungal biomass, 11.8 nmol = 1 mg C; and
175 AMF biomass, 1.047 nmol = 1 μ g C (Tsiafouli et al., 2015).

176 Nematodes were extracted from a 50 g soil sample (fresh weight) using a
177 modified cotton-wool filter method (Liang et al., 2009). At least 100 nematode
178 specimens from each sample were selected randomly and identified to genus level
179 (see Table S1 for the list of identified taxa) using an Olympus BX51 microscope
180 (OLYMPUS, Tokyo, Japan) according to Bongers (1994). Nematodes were assigned
181 into four trophic groups: bacterivores, fungivores, plant-parasites and
182 omnivores-predators (Ferris, 2010). Body length and maximum body diameter of

183 nematodes were measured using an ocular micrometer to calculate the nematode fresh
184 body mass (μg) (Andrássy, 1956). Nematode biomass was estimated by assuming that
185 the dry weight of a nematode is 20% of the fresh weight, and the C in the body is 52%
186 of the dry weight (Ferris, 2010).

187 Microarthropods were extracted from 200 mL fresh soil using modified
188 high-gradient Tullgren funnels (Crossley and Blair, 1991) for 120 h at room
189 temperature. Individuals were collected and stored in vials containing 95% ethanol for
190 identification. Mites and collembolans were identified to species or morphospecies
191 level (see Table S2 for the list of identified taxa) according to Christiansen and
192 Bellinger (1980-1981), Balogh and Balogh (1992), Bellinger et al. (2019), Pomorski
193 (1998) and Niedbala (2002). Soil microarthropods were allocated into four different
194 functional groups: fungivorous (oribatid) mites, predaceous mites, fungivorous
195 collembolans and omnivorous collembolans. Individual body length and width were
196 measured to estimate the dry weight based on regression equations from the literature
197 (Douce, 1976; Hódar, 1996). Mite and collembolan biomass were estimated by
198 assuming the C in the body as 50% of the dry weight (Berg, 2001).

199 The unit of soil organism biomass was converted to mg C m^{-2} using soil bulk
200 density data. Taking into account the changes in abundance of soil organisms over
201 time, the biomass of soil organisms during the soybean growing season was estimated
202 by summing the monthly biomass.

203

204 **2.4 Modelling N mineralization of soil organisms**

205 Trophic feeding guild is defined as a group of species that exploit the same
 206 trophic resources (Burns, 1989). Before calculating the N mineralization of soil
 207 organisms, the identified soil organisms were first assigned into six functional feeding
 208 guilds: bacteria, fungi, herbivorous feeders, bacterivorous feeders, fungivorous
 209 feeders, and predaceous feeders to construct the structure of soil food webs (Fig. S1).
 210 Omnivorous-predaceous nematodes were assumed to feed on all other nematode
 211 groups (Yeates et al., 1993). Omnivorous collembolans, which mainly feed on
 212 bacteria, fungi, plant and microfauna (Barnes et al., 2014; de Vries et al., 2013), were
 213 proportionately assigned to bacterivorous, fungivorous, herbivorous and predaceous
 214 collembolans according to the assumption that their diet consists of 25% bacteria,
 215 25% fungi, 25% plant and 25% other microfauna. The N mineralization of soil
 216 organisms was calculated with the food web energetic model (de Ruiter et al., 1993).

217 The calculation of N mineralization delivered by soil organisms is based on the
 218 assumption that the energy flowing into the biomass of a group is equal to the energy
 219 flowing out through natural death and predation. Following equations were used to
 220 calculate the N mineralization of soil organisms according to de Ruiter et al. (1993):

$$221 \quad F_{ij} = \frac{w_{ij}B_i}{\sum_{k=1}^n w_{kj}B_k} \quad (1)$$

$$222 \quad F = \frac{d_j B_j + P_j}{e_{ass} \times e_{prod}} \times F_{ij} \quad (2)$$

$$223 \quad N_{min} = e_{ass} \times \left(\frac{1}{C:N_i} - \frac{e_{prod}}{C:N_j} \right) \times F \quad (3)$$


224 where, in equation 1, F_{ij} is the feeding preference of predator (j) on prey (i), which

225 was calculated based on the density independent feeding preference of j on i (w_{ij} ,
226 dimensionless; listed in Table S3), n is the total number of potential prey types ($k = 1,$
227 $2, 3 \dots n$), and B is the biomass of prey (mg C m^{-2}). In equation 2, F is the feeding rate
228 of predator on prey ($\text{mg C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$); d_j is the natural death rate of j (yr^{-1}); B_j is the
229 biomass of j (mg C m^{-2}); P_j is the energy loss of j due to the predation ($\text{mg C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$);
230 e_{ass} and e_{prod} is the assimilation efficiency and production efficiency of j , respectively.
231 In equation 3, N_{min} is the N mineralization mediated by the predation of j on i (mg N
232 $\text{m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$); $C:N_i$ and $C:N_j$ is the body C:N ratio of prey (i) and predator (j), respectively.
233 The parameters of d , e_{ass} , e_{prod} , C:N of soil organisms are presented in Table S4.

234 The calculation of the N mineralization was started with the top predators, which
235 are considered to have no energy loss from the predation, and then proceeded to the
236 lower trophic groups. Based on the specific primary actors that drive energy flow
237 from the basal resource to the soil food webs, the energy channels of the soil food
238 webs can be divided into fungal channel (i.e. energy flux driven by fungi and then
239 flow to fungivores and their predators), bacterial channel (i.e. energy flux driven by
240 bacteria and then flow to bacterivores and their predators) and plant channel (i.e.
241 energy flux driven by herbivores and then flow to their predators). The N
242 mineralization of each channel was the sum of N mineralization of all functional
243 feeding guilds within the channel.

244

245 **2.5 Statistical analyses**

246 Data were $\ln(x + 1)$ transformed to increase normality prior to statistical analysis 

247 Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to test the effect of tillage,
248 soil depth and their interaction on the biomass of each feeding guild, and the N
249 mineralization of soil food webs. When their interaction was significant, multiple
250 comparisons were performed based on post hoc test to determine if tillage effects
251 were significant in each soil depth. Tukey's honestly significant difference test was
252 used for means comparisons and a difference at the $P < 0.05$ level was considered
253 statistically significant.

254 Forward stepwise multiple linear regression (MLR) was used to identify the
255 main channel that most accurately affects the crop yield at each soil depth. In stepwise
256 regression, only one independent variable is considered at a time and another variable
257 is added to the model at each step until no significant (P -value was set at 0.05)
258 improvement in the percentage of explained variance is obtained. Prior to MLR, all
259 parameters were min-max normalized to accurately preserve all relationships of data
260 value and prevent potential bias from the domination of large numeric ranges over
261 those with small numeric ranges. Min-max normalization subtracted the minimum
262 value of an attribute from each value of the attribute and then divided the difference
263 by the range of the attribute. The normalized value lay in the range [0, 1]
264 (Jayalakshmi and Santhakumaran, 2011). All statistical analyses were performed
265 using the R software (R 3.4.0, R Development Core Team 2017) using the car
266 package for ANOVAs and the stats package for MLR analyses.

267

268 3. Results

269 **3.1 Soil mineral N and soybean yield**

270 Tillage effect on the soil mineral N varied with soil depths. At 0-5 cm, the
271 amount of soil mineral N was higher ($P < 0.05$) in RT and NT than in CT, while the
272 entire plow layer (0-15 cm) and the deep layer (5-15 cm) showed an opposite trend
273 decreasing in the order of CT > RT > NT. There was no statistical significance for
274 soybean yield among tillage treatments (Table 1); however, the yield of RT and NT
275 increased by 6.6% and 26.5%, respectively, in comparison with CT.

276

277 **3.2 Soil organism biomass**

278 For soil microbes, a higher ($P < 0.05$) biomass of bacteria and fungi was
279 observed under RT and NT than that under CT at both soil depths (Table 2). The
280 similar trend was also found for the bacterivores and predators with a significant ($P <$
281 0.05) increase in biomass under RT and NT at both soil depths. For herbivores, a
282 higher ($P < 0.05$) biomass was found under NT than that under CT, while for
283 fungivores, RT significantly ($P < 0.05$) increased the biomass at both soil depths
284 (Table 2).

285

286 **3.3 Mineralization N of soil food webs**

287 A greater ($P < 0.05$) amount of mineralized N of the whole soil food web was
288 found under RT and NT than CT throughout the plow layer (Table 3); however, these
289 positive effects varied with the energy channels. Compared to CT, RT and NT
290 significantly ($P < 0.05$) increased the amount of mineralized N delivered by bacterial

291 and fungal channels at both soil depths. The components within these channels
292 exhibited similar trends. For the components in the bacterial channel, the amount of
293 mineralized N from the basal resource to the bacteria, and then from the bacteria to
294 the bacterivores was greater ($P < 0.05$) under RT and NT than that under CT at both
295 soil depths. However, RT and NT significantly ($P < 0.05$) increased the mineralized N
296 from the bacterivores to the predators only at 5-15 cm. For the components in the
297 fungal channel, the amount of mineralized N from the basal resource to fungi was
298 significantly ($P < 0.05$) increased under RT and NT at both soil depths, while the
299 amount of mineralized N from the fungi to the fungivores was only significantly ($P <$
300 0.05) increased under NT at 0-5 cm. For the plant channel, a greater ($P < 0.05$)
301 quantity of mineralized N was released from RT and NT than from CT at 0-5 cm
302 (Table 4). A similar result was also observed in the amount of N mineralized from
303 basal resource to herbivores in RT and NT at the same soil depth.

304

305 **3.4 Relationship between soil organisms and soybean yield**

306 At 0-5 cm, 83.6% of the variation of the soybean yield was explained by the
307 combined influence of fungal and plant channels (Table 4). Their relative
308 contributions to the soybean yield decreased in the order of fungal channel (0.557) >
309 plant channel (0.550), which means that when the min-max normalized fungal
310 channel and plant channel increases by one unit, the min-max normalized soybean
311 production would correspondingly increase by 0.557 and 0.550 times respectively. At
312 5-15 cm, only the bacterial channel significantly affected soybean yield and accounted

313 for 37.3% of the yield variance. The yield of soybean would increase by 0.656 times
314 when the bacterial channel is increased by one unit.

315

316 **4. Discussion**

317 **4.1 Performance of modelling N mineralization of soil organisms**

318 The calculation of N mineralization of soil organisms was based on the predation
319 relationship of soil food web structure (de Ruiter et al., 1993; Hunt et al., 1987),
320 which highly depends on the assignment of species into functional feeding guilds. In
321 this study, one of the weaknesses is that omnivorous collembolans were assumed to be
322 divided in equal proportions among bacterivores, fungivores, herbivores and predators.
323 To test how this assumption might affect the calculation of N mineralization, a
324 sensitivity analyses was performed by re-assigning omnivorous collembolans into
325 fungivores and herbivores (50% each) according to Barnes et al. (2014). This resulted
326 in a very small deviation between these two models and an overall decrease of up to
327 0.24% among the tillage systems (Table S5), suggesting that the presented approach
328 in this study is robust to estimate the mineralized N in the food webs.

329 The physiological parameters, such as assimilation efficiency, production
330 efficiency and death rate, of trophic groups required for the calculation of N
331 mineralization, are very difficult and impractical to determine under the field
332 conditions because soil organisms have high spatiotemporal heterogeneity. Therefore,
333 these physiological parameters are often cited from the literature (de Ruiter et al.,
334 1993; de Vries et al., 2013; Hunt et al., 1987), and kept the same in all treatments to

335 facilitate the calculation of C and N mineralization of soil organisms (Holtkamp et al.,
336 2011). Although this may lead to a certain deviation (maximum 30%) between the
337 simulated and observed values (Carrillo et al., 2016; de Ruiter et al., 1993), a series of
338 studies across natural and agricultural systems (Barnes et al., 2014; Carrillo et al.,
339 2016; de Ruiter et al., 1993; Holtkamp et al., 2011; Schwarz et al., 2017)
340 demonstrated that this approach is very useful in simulating C and N mineralization in
341 soil organisms and can effectively reflect the changing trend of mineralization among
342 treatments.

343 The biomass of organisms can be used to predict the potential of mineralized N
344 because the biomass is the predominant factor in the calculation of N mineralization
345 (Carrillo et al., 2016; de Ruiter et al., 1993; Holtkamp et al., 2011). In this study, the
346 biomass of trophic feeding guilds under RT and NT increased significantly relative to
347 CT, leading to the corresponding increase in N mineralization of the food webs. For
348 example, higher biomass of bacterivorous feeders in RT and NT resulted in higher N
349 released from bacteria at both soil depths. But, this predictable relationship between
350 biomass and N mineralization of soil organisms is not consistent for the higher trophic
351 level groups, i.e. predaceous feeders. The biomass of predaceous feeders was
352 significantly increased under RT and NT soils throughout the plow layer, while the
353 corresponding N mineralization increase occurred only from bacterivores to predators
354 at the lower soil depth (5-15 cm). This may be mainly due to the existence of more
355 than one prey resource for predators, and consequently, it is difficult to predict which
356 prey has the greatest contribution to changes in N mineralization. Overall, modelling

357 N mineralization of soil organisms can effectively integrate soil organism
358 communities and their functions related to N process, which may provide mechanistic
359 predictions of the response of soil organisms to different tillage systems.

360

361 **4.2 Relationships between N mineralization of soil organisms and soybean yield**

362 Soybean is a legume and can obtain some N through the colonization of rhizobia
363 in the root system, but the N provided by rhizobia cannot meet its requirement
364 (Thilakarathna and Raizada, 2017). Therefore, soil N supply is an important
365 determinant of achieving the maximum yield of soybean. Soil N supply is highly
366 dependent on the level of mineral N and mineralizable N regulated by soil organisms
367 (Whalen et al., 2013). In this study, the content of mineral N in the plow layer (0-15
368 cm) decreased in the order of CT > RT > NT over the whole growing season of
369 soybean. This is counter intuitive as the soybean yield followed the reverse order,
370 NT > RT > CT. At the critical growth stage, due to the strong demand for N by the
371 crops, the soil mineral N content may decline (Fageria et al., 2010). However, this
372 decline is short-lived and does not last the entire growing season.

373 Mineralization N delivered by soil organisms, which is another important source
374 of soil N supply, was prominently improved in RT and NT soils. The multiple linear
375 regression analysis further showed that there was a positive correlation between the N
376 mineralization of soil organisms and soybean yield. These results suggest that the
377 mineralized N from soil organisms produced over the growing season plays a key role
378 in meeting the requirements of plant growth in RT and NT soils; it could also explain

379 the apparent inconsistency of higher soybean yield but lower decline in soil mineral N
380 over the growing season in RT and NT soils than in CT. Our result is consistent with
381 the reports of Carrillo et al. (2016) and Evans et al. (2011) that were also conducted in
382 field conditions and suggests that farming practices favoring a rich and abundant soil
383 organisms can improve crop yield by increasing N availability to plants. Although the
384 amount of mineralized N in RT and NT soil was increased, it does not mean that all
385 mineralized N may be taken up by the plant. For example, at the upper soil layer (0-5
386 cm), only the trophic feeding guilds within fungal and plant channels strongly linked
387 N mineralization with plant yield. This implies that the N released from other soil
388 organisms in the corresponding soil layer might be re-utilized by organisms or
389 leached from the soil, reducing the N availability to plants (Bender et al., 2015;
390 Thakur et al., 2014).

391 Numerous studies (Hunt et al., 1987; Thakur et al., 2014; Wagg et al., 2014;
392 Whalen et al., 2013) have demonstrated that the presence of predators that feed on
393 microbes can promote the N mineralization and the absorption of N by crops. This is
394 consistent with our results, which found that the association between N mineralization
395 in fungal and bacterial channels and soybean yield was enhanced in RT and NT soils.
396 However, there was a spatial difference in the distribution of fungal channel and
397 bacterial channel in the plow layer, in which the fungal channel at 0-5 cm and the
398 bacterial channel at 5-15 cm were the driving factors in mediating N mineralization.
399 This difference may largely result from the location of residues in RT and NT soils,
400 which were placed on the surface of the soil instead of being mixed with the soil.

401 Unlike bacteria, fungi are less dependent on nutrient spatial distribution in soils
402 because they can transfer nutrients from surface residues to mineral soil via the
403 hyphal growth (Frey et al., 2003). Additionally, the residue layer can serve as a habitat
404 for many microarthropod groups, such as collembolans, which prefer to feed on fungi
405 (Schwarz et al., 2017). These soil communities favored by the surface residues may
406 account for why fungal channel plays a dominant role in mediating the N supply in
407 the upper layer (0-5 cm) of RT and NT soils.

408 Fungal channel and bacterial channel are the main regulatory channels for N
409 mineralization but they differ in turnover rate for processing N (de Vries et al., 2013;
410 Wardle et al., 2004). In contrast to the “slower” fungal channel, which favors N
411 retention in the soil (de Vries et al., 2011), the bacterial channel supports a faster N
412 turnover rate and provides more mineralized N for crop production (de Vries et al.,
413 2013; Whalen et al., 2013). This suggests that the dominant bacterial channel at 5-15
414 cm in RT and NT soils promotes the supply of N to plants. Furthermore, along this
415 bacterial channel, the N mineralization from the bottom bacteria to the intermediate
416 bacterial feeders, and then to the top predaceous feeders was greatly enhanced in RT
417 and NT soils. There is general agreement with other researches (Carrillo et al., 2016;
418 Wagg et al., 2014) that the tight interlinkage within trophic levels in the food web
419 stimulates the release of N from soil organisms. The enhanced N mineralization of
420 bacterial-channel may partially explain why the severe shortage of soil mineral N at
421 5-15 cm in RT and NT soils during the growing season did not result in a compromise
422 of soybean yield.

423 Plant channel has been considered to have a very minor effect on N
424 mineralization (Holtkamp et al., 2011). In this study, the amount of N mineralization
425 in the plant channel was indeed the least among the different channels across tillage
426 systems. However, to our surprise, a positive association between plant channel and
427 soybean yield at 0-5 cm was evident in RT and NT soils. This may primarily due to
428 the significant increase of mineralized N delivered by herbivores in plant channel
429 under RT and NT soils, indicating that herbivores play a non-negligible role in the
430 process of associating N mineralization with plant growth. Verschoor (2002) reported
431 that the N mineralization of herbivores accounted for 10% of total N mineralization in
432 a grassland system, and attributed these beneficial effects of herbivores to the activity
433 of soil microbes that was stimulated by the increase in root exudates after infection by
434 herbivores. In our study, most groups classified into herbivores are the facultative
435 feeders. For example, herbivorous collembolans can switch their diet from plant roots
436 to decaying litter (Endlweber et al., 2009). Therefore, we propose that the positive
437 role of herbivores at 0-5 cm in RT and NT soil may partly be due to their
438 manipulation on surface residues by fragmenting and mixing. Therefore, the surface
439 area of litter in contact with soil microbes would be increased, which is beneficial for
440 N mineralization (Soong et al., 2016).

441 In this study, the N mineralization of soil organisms was quantified using the
442 experimental data and the food web energetic model based on the steady-state
443 assumption. This method yields relatively static data that cannot reflect the dynamics
444 nutrient flow of the soil food webs. However, it can filter some useful information

445 from the complex food web to help us better understand which soil organisms play a
446 key role in N mineralization promoting crop growth. This forms background
447 information for further study on the dynamics of the soil food web in N mineralization
448 using ¹⁵N tracer technology.

449

450 **5. Conclusion**

451 Our results showed that, during the whole growing season, almost all soil
452 organisms in the food webs of RT and NT released more N than CT throughout the
453 plow layer. However, the ability of soil organisms to supply N for soybean growth
454 varied with energy channels and soil depths. Soil organisms in the fungal and plant
455 channels at 0-5 cm and in the bacterial channel at 5-15 cm were the main drivers in
456 associating N mineralization with crop yield. In conclusion, the long-term application
457 of conservation tillage systems has promoted the N mineralization of soil organisms,
458 which is favorable for achieving the optimal crop yield.

459

460 **Acknowledgments:** This research was supported by the National Natural Science
461 Foundation of China (No. 41401272 and 41430857), the Foundation of Excellent
462 Young Talents in Northeast Institute of Geography and Agroecology, Chinese
463 Academy of Sciences (DLSYQ15001), the Jilin Province Science and Technology
464 Development Plan Project (20190201116JC), and the Key Research Program of
465 Frontier Sciences of Chinese Academy of Sciences (QYZDB-SSW-DQC035).

466

467 **Date accessibility:** all data are included in the manuscript and its supporting
468 information.

469

470 **Author contribution:** S.X.Z, H.T.W and A.Z.L designed research; S.X.Z, S.Y.C and
471 L.C performed research; W.J.L and W.D.H guided species classification; S.X.Z
472 analyzed data; and S.X.Z, N.B.M, H.T.W and A.Z.L wrote this paper.

473

474 **Competing interests:** The authors declare that they have no known competing
475 financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the
476 work reported in this paper.

477

478 **References**

479 Andrassy, I.: Die rauminhalt- und gewichtsbestimmung der fadenwürmer,
480 (Nematoden). Acta Zoologica Hungarica, 2(1), 1–15, 1956.

481 Bach, E.M., Baer, S.G., Meyer, C.K. and Six, J.: Soil texture affects soil microbial and
482 structural recovery during grassland restoration, Soil Biology & Biochemistry,
483 42, 2182–2191, doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.08.014, 2010.

484 Balogh, J. and Balogh, P. (Eds.): The oribatid mites genera of the world, The
485 Hungarian Natural Museum Press, Budapest, 1992.

486 Barnes, A.D., Jochum, M., Mumme, S., Haneda, N.F., Farajallah, A., Widarto, T.H.
487 and Brose, U.: Consequences of tropical land use for multitrophic biodiversity

488 and ecosystem functioning, *Nature Communication*, 5, 5351, doi:
489 10.1038/ncomms6351, 2014.

490 Bellinger, P.F., Christiansen, K.A. and Janssens, F: Checklist of the Collembola of the
491 World, Available at: <http://www.collembola.org>, 2019.

492 Bender, S.F. and van der Heijden, M.G.A: Soil biota enhance agricultural
493 sustainability by improving crop yield, nutrient uptake and reducing nitrogen
494 leaching losses, *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 52, 228–239, doi:
495 10.1111/1365-2664.12351, 2015.

496 Berg, M., de Ruiter, P., Didden, W. Janssen, M., Schouten, T. and Verhoef, H.:
497 Community food web, decomposition and nitrogen mineralisation in a stratified
498 Scots pine forest soil, *Oikos*, 94, 130–142, doi:
499 10.1034/j.1600-0706.2001.09121.x, 2001.

500 Bongers, T. (Eds): *De Nematoden van Nederland. Vormgeving en technische*
501 *realisatie*, Uitgeverij Pirola, Schoorl, Netherlands, 1994.

502 Bossio, D.A., Scow, K.M., Gunapala, N. and Graham, K.J.: Determinants of soil
503 microbial communities: effects of agricultural management, season, and soil type
504 on phospholipid fatty acid profiles, *Microbial Ecology*, 36, 1–12,
505 doi:10.1007/s002489900087, 1998.

506 Burns, T.P.: Lindeman's contribution and the trophic structure of ecosystems, *Ecology*,
507 70(5), 1355–1362, doi:10.2307/1938195, 1989.

508 Carrillo, Y., Ball, B.A. and Molina, M.: Stoichiometric linkages between plant litter,
509 trophic interactions and nitrogen mineralization across the litter - soil interface,

510 Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 92, 102–110, doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.10.001,
511 2016.

512 Christiansen, K. and Bellinger, P. (Eds.): The collembola of north America north of
513 the Rio Grande, Grinnell College, Grinnell Iowa, 1–1322, 1980–1981.

514 Cole, L., Dromph, K.M., Boaglio, V. and Bardgett, R.D.: Effect of density and species
515 richness of soil mesofauna on nutrient mineralisation and plant growth, *Biology
516 & Fertility of Soils*, 39, 337–343, doi: 10.1007/s00374-003-0702-6, 2004.

517 Crossley, D.A. and Blair, J.M.: A high-efficiency, low-technology tullgren-type
518 extractor for soil microarthropods, *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 34,
519 187–192, doi: 10.1016/0167-8809(91)90104-6, 1991.

520 de Vries, F.T., Thébault, E., Liiri, M. Birkhofer, K., Tsiafouli, M.A., Bjørnlund, L.,
521 Jørgensen, H.B., Brady, M.V., Christensen, S., de Ruiter, P. C., d'Hertefeldt, T.,
522 Frouz, J., Hedlund, K., Hemerik, L., Gera Hol, W.H., Hotes, S., Mortimer, S.R.,
523 Setälä, H., Sgardelis, S.P., Uteseny, K., van der Putten, W.H., Wolters, V. and
524 Bardgett, R.D.: Soil food web properties explain ecosystem services across
525 European land use systems, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,
526 110, 14296–14301, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1305198110, 2013.

527 de Vries, F.T., van Groenigen, J.W., Hoffland, E. and Bloem, J.: Nitrogen losses from
528 two grassland soils with different fungal biomass, *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*,
529 43, 997–1005, doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.01.016, 2011.

530 Dempsey, M.A., Fisk, M.C., Yavitt, J.B. Fahey, T.J. and Balser, T.C.: Exotic
531 earthworms alter soil microbial community composition and function, *Soil*
532 *Biology & Biochemistry*, 67, 263–270, doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.09.009, 2013.

533 de Ruiter, P.C., van Veen, J.A., Moore, J.C. Brussaard, M.L. and Hunt, H.W.:
534 Calculation of nitrogen mineralization in soil food webs, *Plant & Soil*, 157,
535 263–273, doi: 10.1007/BF00011055, 1993.

536 Douce, G.K.: Biomass of soil mites (Acari) in Arctic coastal tundra. *Oikos*, 27,
537 324–330, 1976.

538 Endlweber, K., Ruess, L. and Scheu, S.: Collembola switch diet in presence of plant
539 roots thereby functioning as herbivores, *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, 41,
540 1151–1154, doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.02.022, 2009.

541 Evans, T.A., Dawes, T.Z., Ward, P.R. and Lo, N.: Ants and termites increase crop
542 yield in a dry climate, *Nature Communications*, 2, 262. doi:
543 10.1038/ncomms1257, 2011.

544 Fageria, N.K., Baligar, V.C. and Jones, C.A.: Growth and mineral nutrition of field
545 crops, 3rd edn. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL 2010.

546 Ferris, H.: Form and function: Metabolic footprints of nematodes in the soil food web,
547 *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 46, 97–104, doi: 10.1016/j.ejsobi.2010.01.003,
548 2010.

549 Frey, S.D., Six, J. and Elliott, E.T.: Reciprocal transfer of carbon and nitrogen by
550 decomposer fungi at the soil-litter interface. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 35,
551 1001–1004, doi: 10.1016/S0038-0717(03)00155-X, 2003.

552 Hendrix, P.F., Parmelee, R.W., Crossley, D.A., Coleman, D.C., Odum, E.P. and
553 Groffman, P.M.: Detritus food webs in conventional and no-tillage
554 agroecosystems, *BioScience*, 36, 374–380, doi: 10.2307/1310259, 1986.

555 Hódar, J.A.: The use of regression equations for estimation of arthropod biomass in
556 ecological studies, *Acta Oecologica*, 17, 421–433, 1996.

557 Holtkamp, R., van der Wal, A., Kardol, P. van der Putten, W.H., de Ruiter, P.C. and
558 Dekker, S.C.: Modelling C and N mineralisation in soil food webs during
559 secondary succession on ex-arable land, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 43,
560 251–260, doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.10.004, 2011.

561 Hunt, H.W., Coleman, D.C., Ingham, E.R. Ingham, R.E., Elliott, E.T., Moore, J.C.,
562 Rose, S.L., Reid, C.P.P. and Morley, C.R.: The detrital food web in a shortgrass
563 prairie, *Biology & Fertility of Soils*, 3, 57–68, doi:10.1007/bf00260580, 1987.

564 Jayalakshmi, T., and Santhakumaran, A.: Statistical normalization and back
565 propagation for classification, *International Journal of Computer Theory and*
566 *Engineering*, 3(1), 1793–8201, 2011.

567 Liang, W.J., Lou, Y.L., Li, Q., Zhong, S., Zhang, X.K. and Wang, J.K.: Nematode
568 faunal response to long-term application of nitrogen fertilizer and organic
569 manure in Northeast China, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 41, 883–890, doi:
570 10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.06.018, 2009.

571 Liu, J.G., You, L.Z., Amini, M., Obersteiner, M., Herrero, M., Zehnder, A.J.B. and
572 Yang, H.: A high-resolution assessment on global nitrogen flows in cropland,

573 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
574 America, 107(17), 8035–8040, doi: 10.1073/pnas.0913658107, 2010.

575 Niedbala, W. (Ed.): Ptyctimous Mites (Acari, Oribatida) of the Nearctic Region,
576 Monographs of the Upper Silesian Museum, 2002.

577 Pomorski, R.J.: Onychiurinae of Poland (Collembola: Onychiuridae), Polskie
578 Towarzystwo Taksonomiczne, Genus (Supplement): 1–201, 1998.

579 Schwarz, B., Barnes, A.D., Thakur, M.P., Brose, U., Ciobanu, M., Reich, P.B., Rich,
580 R.L., Rosenbaum, B., Stefanski, A and Eisenhauer, N.: Warming alters energetic
581 structure and function but not resilience of soil food webs, Nature Climate
582 Change, 7, 895–900, doi: 10.1038/s41558-017-0002-z, 2017.

583 Soong, J.L. and Nielsen, U.N.: The role of microarthropods in emerging models of
584 soil organic matter, Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 102, 37–39, doi: , 2016

585 Thakur, M.P., van Groenigen, J.M., Kuiper, I. and de Deyn, G.B.: Interactions
586 between microbial-feeding and predatory soil fauna trigger N₂O emissions, Soil
587 Biology & Biochemistry, 70, 256–262, doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.12.020, 2014.

588 Thilakarathna, M.S. and Raizada, M.N.: A meta-analysis of the effectiveness of
589 diverse rhizobia inoculants on soybean traits under field conditions, Soil Biology
590 & Biochemistry, 106, 177–196, doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.11.022, 2017.

591 Tsiafouli, M.A., Thébault, E., Sgardelis, S.P. de Ruiter, P.C., van der Putten, W.H.,
592 Birkhofer, K., Hemerik, L., de Vries, F.T., Bardgett, R.D., Brady, M.V.,
593 Bjornlund, L., Jørgensen, H.B., Christensen, S., D' Hertefeldt, T., Hotes, S., Gera
594 Hol, W.H., Frouz, J., Liiri, M., Mortimer, S.R., Setälä, H., Tzanopoulos, J.,

595 Uteseny, K., Pižl, V., Stary, J., Wolters, V. and Hedlund, K.: Intensive agriculture
596 reduces soil biodiversity across Europe, *Global Change Biology*, 21, 973–985.
597 doi: 10.1111/gcb.12752, 2015.

598 van Capelle, C., Schrader, S. and Brunotte, J.: Tillage-induced changes in the
599 functional diversity of soil biota - a review with a focus on German data,
600 *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 50, 165–181, doi:
601 10.1016/j.ejsobi.2012.02.005, 2012.

602 Verschoor, B.C.: Carbon and nitrogen budgets of plant-feeding nematodes in
603 grasslands of different productivity, *Applied Soil Ecology*, 20, 15–25, doi:
604 10.1016/S0929-1393(02)00010-0, 2002.

605 Wagg, C., Bender, S.F., Widmer, F. and van der Heijden, M.G.A.: Soil biodiversity
606 and soil community composition determine ecosystem multifunctionality,
607 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111, 5266–5270, doi:
608 10.1073/pnas.1320054111, 2014.

609 Wall, D.H., Nielsen, U.N. and Six, J.: Soil biodiversity and human health. *Nature*, 528,
610 69, doi:10.1038/nature15744, 2015.

611 Wardle, D.A., Bargett, R.D., Klironomos, J.N., Setälä, van der Putten, W.H. and Wall,
612 D.H.: Ecological linkages between aboveground and belowground biota, *Science*,
613 304, 1629–1633, doi: 10.1126/science.1094875, 2004.

614 Whalen, J.K., Kernecker, M.L., Thomas, B.W., Sachdeva, V. and Ngosong, C.: Soil
615 food web controls on nitrogen mineralization are influenced by agricultural

616 practices in humid temperate climates, CAB Reviews, 8, 1–18,
617 doi:10.1079/PAVSNNR20138023, 2013.

618 Yeates, G.W., Bongers, T., De Goede, R.G.M., Freckman, D.W. and Georgieva, S.S.:
619 Feeding habits in soil nematode families and genera—An outline for soil
620 ecologists, *The Journal of Nematology*, 25, 315–331, 1993.

621 Zhang, Y., Li, X., Gregorich, E.G., McLaughlin, N.B., Zhang, X.P., Guo, F., Gao, Y.
622 and Liang, A.Z.: Evaluating storage and pool size of soil organic carbon in
623 degraded soils: Tillage effects when crop residue is returned, *Soil & Tillage
624 Research*, 192, 215–221, doi: 10.1016/j.still.2019.05.013, 2019.

625 **Table 1** Effects of tillage systems on the crop yield and the soil total N and
 626 cumulative mineral N concentrations (means (SE)).

627

	CT	RT	NT
Yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	1242 (96) a	1324 (189) a	1570 (221) a
Mineral N (g m ⁻²)			
0-5 cm	15.27 (1.44) b	20.09 (2.90) a	17.90 (1.46) ab
5-15 cm	28.10 (1.05) a	21.33 (1.79) b	20.06 (2.14) b
0-15 cm	21.68 (0.65) a	20.71 (1.86) ab	18.98 (0.67) b

628 CT, conventional tillage; RT, ridge tillage; NT, no tillage. Same lowercase letter in the same row
 629 indicates no significant difference among tillage systems ($P > 0.05$).

630 **Table 2** Cumulative soil biotic biomass (expressed as mg C m⁻²) under different tillage practices (means (SE)).

631

	0-5 cm			5-15 cm			ANOVA		
	CT	RT	NT	CT	RT	NT	Tillage (T)	Depth (D)	T × D
Bacteria	6077 (499)	7367 (363)	8452 (1408)	9000 (1362)	11393 (1324)	12780 (733)	< 0.001	< 0.001	ns
Fungi	16386 (1309)	22375 (1639)	26646 (7661)	18558 (2409)	23938 (3622)	26168 (1769)	< 0.001	ns	ns
Herbivorous feeders	67 (5)	90 (23)	95 (13)	73 (4)	87 (25)	110 (18)	0.017	ns	ns
Bacterivorous feeders	78 b (15)	168 a (17)	128 a (30)	56 b (14)	93 a (13)	112 a (11)	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.045
Fungivorous feeders	58 (15)	98 (12)	99 (19)	34 (12)	57 (16)	55 (24)	0.023	0.002	ns
Predaceous feeders	60 (14)	88 (14)	78 (8)	96 (15)	123 (22)	176 (49)	0.002	< 0.001	ns

632

633 CT, conventional tillage; RT, ridge tillage; NT, no tillage; ns indicate no significant difference ($P > 0.05$). Means for the different tillage systems at the same depth
634 and followed by the same lowercase letter are not significantly different ($P > 0.05$).

Table 3 The amount of mineral N delivered by soil food webs (expressed as mg N m⁻² year⁻¹) under different tillage practices (means (SE)).

Channel	Feeding guild	0-5 cm			5-15 cm			ANOVA		
		CT	RT	NT	CT	RT	NT	Tillage (T)	Depth (D)	T × D
Plant channel	Total Nmin	52.55 c (2.80)	63.30 b (3.28)	75.95 a (4.76)	140.50 a (27.74)	118.40 a (7.21)	159.77 a (23.46)	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.020
	Nmin (resource→herbivores)	41.69 c (3.25)	53.16 b (2.69)	61.65 a (3.19)	72.29 c (7.72)	77.35 b (4.38)	86.09 a (12.00)	< 0.001	< 0.001	ns
	Nmin (herbivores→predators)	10.85 ab (2.40)	10.15 b (0.78)	14.30 a (2.22)	68.21 ab (20.22)	41.04 b (8.95)	73.68 a (13.67)	0.003	< 0.001	ns
Bacterial channel	Total Nmin	4517.74 b (353.44)	5855.59 a (307.55)	6425.15 a (916.86)	6550.21 b (970.00)	8830.57 a (145.38)	9565.72 a (438.29)	< 0.001	< 0.001	ns
	Nmin (resource→bacteria)	4271.71 b (349.71)	5205.55 a (257.29)	5951.09 a (822.55)	6314.26 b (954.98)	8457.56 a (103.94)	8979.14 a (512.34)	< 0.001	< 0.001	ns
	Nmin (bacteria→bacterivores)	225.41 b (30.23)	622.04 a (46.52)	449.51 a (133.83)	186.04 c (40.46)	296.38 b (36.76)	428.84 a (47.20)	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.002
	Nmin (bacterivores→predators)	20.62 a (3.70)	28.00 a (10.27)	24.54 a (2.52)	49.91 b (11.11)	76.64 ab (20.63)	157.71 a (74.42)	0.002	< 0.001	0.013
Fungal channel	Total Nmin	5447.57 b (436.59)	7434.05 a (551.69)	7646.12 a (794.07)	6537.00 b (302.66)	7949.78 a (990.23)	8468.86 a (313.37)	< 0.001	0.007	ns
	Nmin (resource→fungi)	5421.75 b (433.21)	7402.99 a (542.76)	7613.55 a (798.64)	6509.06 b (299.44)	7919.58 a (521.04)	8414.91 a (325.48)	< 0.001	0.007	ns

Nmin (fungi→fungivores)	20.09 b (4.24)	25.49 ab (6.92)	26.74 a (4.11)	17.32 b (1.00)	21.53 ab (4.57)	29.44 a (4.25)	0.003	ns	ns
Nmin (fungivores→predators)	5.72 ab (2.13)	5.57 b (2.58)	5.83 a (2.13)	10.61 ab (3.99)	8.68 b (3.83)	24.52 a (10.89)	0.034	< 0.001	ns
Mineral N of the whole soil food web	10017.85 b (789.55)	13352.94 a (687.93)	14147.22 a (1549.39)	13227.71 b (1065.70)	16898.76 a (1177.10)	18194.35 a (568.77)	< 0.001	< 0.001	ns

637 Resource is a collective resource of residues and plant roots; residues and plant roots supply energy to microbial channel and plant channel, respectively.

638 CT, conventional tillage; RT, ridge tillage; NT, no tillage; Nmin(i→j) indicates the mineral N delivered by the predation of j on i; ns indicates no significant
639 difference ($P > 0.05$); Same lowercase letter in the same row and same depth indicates no significant difference among tillage systems ($P > 0.05$).

640 **Table 4** Relationships between N mineralization of different energy channels and
 641 soybean yield based on multiple linear regression. Data were min-max normalized
 642 and are dimensionless.

Soil depth (cm)	Variable	Beta standardized coefficient	T value	Adjusted R ²	F value of the regression
0–5	Fungal channel	0.557	2.886*	0.836	19.737**
	Plant channel	0.550	2.437*		
5–15	Bacterial channel	0.656	2.745*	0.373	7.555*

643 * and ** indicate significant at 0.05 and 0.01, respectively.