

Supplementary tables

Table S1: Plant species according to land-use systems at the study site^c

Cut-use fodder grasses				
<i>Andropogon gabonensis</i>	<i>Cenchrus setigerus</i>	<i>Eragrostis curvula</i>	<i>Paspalum conjugatum</i>	<i>Stenotaphrum dimidiatum</i>
<i>Andropogon gayanus</i>	<i>Chloris gayana</i>	<i>Eragrostis turgida</i>	<i>Paspalum dilatatum</i>	<i>Tripsacum laxum</i>
<i>Andropogon tectorum</i>	<i>Chrysopogon aciculatus</i>	<i>Heteropogon contortus</i>	<i>Paspalum notatum</i>	<i>Urochloa bolbodes</i>
<i>Brachiaria brizantha</i>	<i>Cymbopogon giganteus</i>	<i>Hydropogon canaliculata</i>	<i>Paspalum virgatum</i>	<i>Urochloa pullulans</i>
<i>Brachiaria mutica</i>	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	<i>Hyperthelia dissoluta</i>	<i>Pennisetum purpureum</i>	<i>Vetiveria fulvivanus</i>
<i>Brachiaria ruziziensis</i>	<i>Cynodon pectostachyus</i>	<i>Panicum maximum (Guinea fine)</i>	<i>Pennisetum ruppelii</i>	<i>Vetiveria zizanioides</i>
<i>Buchloe dactyloides</i>	<i>Digitaria decumbens</i>	<i>Panicum maximum (ILCA)</i>	<i>Setaria sp.</i>	
<i>Cenchrus ciliaris</i>	<i>Digitaria swazilandensis</i>	<i>Panicum maximum (local)</i>	<i>Setaria sphacelata</i>	
<i>Cenchrus setigerus</i>	<i>Digitaria valida</i>	<i>Panicum maximum (South Africa)</i>	<i>Sporobolus pyramidalis</i>	
Cut-use fodder herbs				
<i>Calopogonium mucunoides</i>	<i>Clitoria ternatea</i>	<i>Macroptilium atropurpureum (Siratro)</i>	<i>Mucuna pruriens (Bunso</i>	<i>Stylosanthes guianensis</i>
<i>Centrosema pubescens</i>	<i>Desmodium distortum</i>	<i>Macroptilium lathyroides</i>	<i>Pueraria phaseoloides</i>	<i>Stylosanthes hamata</i>
<i>Centrosema pubescens (ILCA)</i>	<i>Lablab purpureus</i>	<i>Mucuna pruriens</i>	<i>Sesbania grandiflora</i>	
Fodder trees and shrubs				
<i>Baphia nitida</i>	<i>Desmanthus virgatus</i>	<i>Leacaena leucocephala (Peru)</i>	<i>Leucaena leucocephala (local)</i>	<i>Pithecellobium dulce</i>
<i>Cajanus cajan</i>	<i>Gliricidia sepium</i>	<i>Leucaena leucocephala (Langerham)</i>	<i>Moringa oleifera</i>	<i>Spondias mombin</i>
Native grassland				
<i>Acacia spp.</i>	<i>Azadirachta indica</i>	<i>Cyperus rotundata</i>	<i>Elaeis guineensis</i>	<i>Panicum maximum</i>
<i>Panicum decumbens</i>	<i>Talinum triangulare</i>			
Seeded grazing fields				
<i>Brachiaria brizantha</i>	<i>Brachiaria ruziziensis</i>	<i>Digitaria decumbens</i>		
Food crop fields				
<i>Manihot esculenta</i>	<i>Zea mays</i>	<i>Vigna unguiculata</i>	<i>Arachis hypogaea</i>	

^cNames in parenthesis indicate cultivar name

Table S2. One-way analyses of variance (fixed effect = land-use type) Test of homogeneity, normality and non-parametric

Parameters	Homogeneity of variances (Levene Test)	Normality (Shapiro-Wilk test)	Kruskal-Wallis test	SEM ^a
Soil pH	0.2074	<0.001	<0.001	0.052
C- N ratio	0.8231	<0.001	0.0350	0.223
Available phosphorus	0.1824	<0.001	0.0002	8.227
Total nitrogen	0.1432	0.0038	0.0040	0.088
Potassium	0.3681	<0.0002	0.0297	0.517
Soil organic carbon	0.1700	0.0048	0.0021	1.133
Changes in soil organic carbon	0.1979	0.0072	0.0014	23.49

^aStandard error of the mean

5

Table S3. Mixed-effect modelling to analyse condensed tannins (CT)^b

Condensed tannins	Homogeneity of variances (Levene Test)	Normality (Shapiro-Wilk test)	Transformation	Random effect
				Significance level
Extractable CT	0.05692	0.9631	logx	<0.0001
Protein-bound BCT	0.1481	0.6546	1/x	<0.0001
Fibre-bound CT	0.9501	0.6108	logx	<0.0001
Total CT	0.8814	0.203	logx	<0.0001

^b Fixed effects = plant functional group, part of plant used; random effect = species

Table S4: Model selection results of the four best models in the meta-analysis, based on Akaike's Information Criterion with small-sample bias adjustment (AIC_C) and AIC weights (w_i)

Model		K ^a	SSE ^b	AICc ^c	Δ_i^d	w_i^e	Adjusted R ²
Soil variables only (N= 81)							
s-4	N ^f , Pg, K ^h , pH, PxK ^j , NxP, PxpH	8	0.542	-387.52	0.000	0.691	0.82
s-3	N, P, K, pH, PxK, NxP, PxpH, KxpH	9	0.540	-385.28	2.234	0.226	0.82
s-2	N, P, K, pH, NxP, PxK, NxP, PxpH, KxpH	10	0.540	-382.78	4.739	0.065	0.82
s-1	N, P, K, pH, NxP, NxK, PxK, NxP, PxpH, PxpH, KxpH	11	0.540	-380.11	7.407	0.017	0.81
s-8	N, P, K	4	0.744	-371.39	16.13	2.17x10 ⁻⁴	0.77
s-6	N, P, pH, PxpH	5	0.729	-370.77	16.75	1.60x10 ⁻⁴	0.77
s-5	N, P, pH, NxP, PxpH	6	0.712	-370.29	17.23	1.26x10 ⁻⁴	0.77
s-7	N, P	3	0.788	-368.96	18.56	6.46x10 ⁻⁵	0.76
s-9	P, K, pH	4	1.790	-300.26	87.26	7.81x10 ⁻²⁰	0.44
Soil variables and plant CT (N=54)							
st-6	TCT ^k , N, P, K, pH, NxP, KxpH, NxP, TCTxN, TCTxP	11	0.138	-294.12	0.000	0.588	0.92
st-5	TCT, N, P, K, pH, NxP, KxpH, NxP, TCTxN, TCTxP, TCTxpH TCT, N, P, K, pH, NxP, KxpH, NxP, TCTxN, TCTxP, TCTxK,	12	0.133	-292.82	1.294	0.308	0.92
st-4	TCTxpH	13	0.133	-289.43	4.692	0.056	0.92
st-8	TCT, N, P, NxP, TCTxN, TCTxP TCT, N, P, K, pH, NxP, KxpH, NxP, PxK, TCTxN, TCTxP, TCTxK,	7	0.193	-287.81	6.305	0.025	0.90
st-3	TCTxpH	14	0.131	-286.29	7.826	0.012	0.92
st-7	TCT, N, P, K, NxP, TCTxN, TCTxP TCT, N, P, K, pH, NxP, KxpH, PxpH, NxP, PxK, TCTxN, TCTxP,	8	0.191	-285.71	8.406	8.79x10 ⁻³	0.90
st-2	TCTxK, TCTxpH TCT, N, P, K, pH, NxP, KxpH, PxpH, NxP, NxK, PxK, TCTxN,	15	0.131	-282.67	11.44	1.93x10 ⁻³	0.92
st-1	TCTxP, TCTxK, TCTxpH	16	0.130	-278.71	15.41	2.65x10 ⁻⁴	0.92

a = Number of parameters in the model, including intercept; b= Sum of squares error in the model; c= Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) (Akaike, 1985; Burnham et al., 2011) with the small-sample bias adjustment, ($AIC_C = n * [\ln (SSE/n)] + 2K + [(2K*(K+1))/(n-K-1)]$), (Akaike, 1985; Burnham et al., 2011); d=Difference between best model and each model in set, $AIC_i - AIC_{min}$; e=AIC weight, $w_i = \exp(-0.5 * \Delta i) / \sum_{i=1}^n \exp(-0.5 * \Delta i)$ (Akaike, 1985; Burnham et al., 2011); f= Total soil nitrogen; g= Plant available phosphorus; h= exchangeable potassium, j= interaction terms; k= Total condensed tannins