

Interactive comment on “Soil classification based on spectral and environmental variables” by Andre Carnieletto Dotto et al.

Andre Carnieletto Dotto et al.

andrecd@usp.br

Received and published: 5 February 2020

Referee #2 Referee: What if just topsoil samples and/or measurements are available, can this classification work? Answer: The new soil spectral classification approach proposed to distinguish soil spectra into 8 classes. If only that is considered when it is plausible to develop a classification with any spectral measurement available. However, in this manuscript we used spectra samples from soil profiles because we wanted; first: evaluate the performance of spectra to predict WRB soil classes, second: classify the spectra into types and compare them with the traditional soil classes. We are focusing in the relationship between WRB classes and the spectral classes and how these spectral types can be a new source for soil management. Therefore, if you want to predict the spectral classes applying only a topsoil spectral sample, the output will

C1

fit in one of the classes, then the understanding and usability of this information it is up to the user. Referee: The WRB system has 32 reference soil groups, while here just 10 classes were considered. It reflects the classes present in Brazil. Nevertheless, would it work similarly if other classes were also added? Try to discuss this issue at least theoretically. Answer: We have mentioned this experimental concern in the discussion. “The current soil classification system derived are quite specific to our set of soil classes. We understand the importance of covering the greatest possible number of soil classes. We encourage further research with a larger and diverse types of soil, possibly in a global level. Despite this, the SSC demonstrated substantial findings regarding the grouping of soils and the utilization of climatic and terrain variables that relates soil-environmental information. As the soil formation is depend on environmental factors, we included climatic and terrain data to simulate the tacit knowledge of the soil-landscape relationship that is taken by Pedologists, who derive traditional soil classification.” Referee: On my opinion it would be better to work on the current soil classification system rather than build a new one. Nevertheless, I see the potential of this approach in the possibility to improve the current system, to precise the distinction between classes to make them more easily distinguishable. Then the spectral data could help to identify the classes better. Answer: The idea of this research was to bring innovation to soil systems to facilitate the identification and grouping of soils with similar characteristics applying spectral and environmental variables. New approaches like this can serve as a new soil data source. We believe that this classification system can provide extra information needed for the better understanding and sustainable management of soil. Referee: I misunderstood the way the spectral were treated (2287 soil with 3 horizons each). First the horizons were arbitrary selected – How can you derive real information about the soil horizons which are mandatory for soil classification? This arises a question: Does spectroscopy is sufficient to classify soils? I am not yet convinced from this study, as a profile has to be well sensed in situ and not from SSL. (see Ben-Dor, Eyal, Daniela Heller, and Alexandra Chudnovsky. "A novel method of classifying soil profiles in the field using optical means."Soil Science Society

C2

of America Journal 72, 4 (2008): 1113-1123. Answer: First, it is proved by literature that reflectance spectroscopy is able to classify soils with high accuracy (Bellinaso et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2019; Debaene et al., 2017; Demattê, 2016; Rizzo et al., 2014; Shi et al., 2014; Vasques et al., 2014; Zeng et al., 2016). In our manuscript, we applied a large spectral data set from the Brazilian soil spectral library. In the study mentioned (Ben-Dor et al., 2008), the authors used only 4 profiles to examine and demonstrate the idea. They used different spectral measurement approaches to represent horizons A, B, C in field. The spectral reflectance varies according to the measuring conditions. In the field, illumination can vary a lot as well as soil humidity. This can cause limitations in the spectral scan in situ. For these reasons, most of studies presented the soil spectra were obtained in a standard controlled environmental, which facilitates the homogenization of all spectral samples. This can bring a lot of beneficial aspects to the statistical modelling and reliability. Referee: How the spectral information of each profile was analyzed? The soil orders were dependent values? The spectral were independent? If this soils belong to the SSL of Brazil, why not adding soil attributes such as clay content, organic matter and carbonates to the classification system that can be derived very easily? Answer: The spectra, climatic and terrain data were applied as independent variables. The soil classes were the dependent variables. The soil attributes information was not added in the new classification system because the spectral data already include this information. The spectra can infer various soil attributes and if you use spectra to predict the soil attributes and use these attributes in the modelling is essentially the same approach. Since our objective was to use the spectral data to predict the soil classes and derive a new spectra-based classification system, we employed the soil reflectance and not the soil attributes predicted by them. Besides that, using predicted attributes as inputs in our modelling could increase the error propagation. Therefore, we decided to establish a direct modelling process, i.e. calibrate prediction models directly from spectral data. Referee: I did not understand figure 6. What exactly is shown here? Average of spectral that represents SEC? How was it done? No variation in each SEC? Needs more clarification. I would be more

C3

happy to see spectra profile represented each soil order, which show nice spectral variation from SEC to SEC. Answer: The figure 6 is showing the shapes of each spectral curve for each class. The spectral curves were averaged after the unsupervised classification discriminate the 8 classes. For the statistical analyses, the spectrum of three depths were averaged to compose a single spectrum per profile. For this reason, the figure 6 is showing the generalised spectral curve of each class. The difference in each spectral curve indicates that soil classes like Ferralsols and Nitisols share many soil and environmental characteristics and are difficult to distinguish, however other soil classes, such as Histosols, are relatively distinctive from the others and, consequently, present distinctive spectral reflectance. Referee: To be fully convinced, this kind of studies (just spectral information) should be applied on to another SSL from another region. Answer: We have mentioned this in the discussion. We understand the importance of covering the greatest possible number of soil classes and other regions. We encourage further research with a larger and diverse types of soil, possibly in a global level. Referee: Line 106. "optimal" should be instead of "optical". Answer: Yes. Referee: Line 128. the 1900 nm can be H₂O (also at around 1400 nm) from other sources than smectite! Answer: Yes, we fixed in the manuscript. Referee: Line 138. why do you think these soil order provided the "largest improvement" relative to the others? Please explain. Answer: Because the majority of Ferralsols in the current data set contained high sand content. The same characteristic occurred with Lixisols and Arenosols. These three soil classes presented high sandy content because they are predominantly derived from sandstone rocks. When soil-landscape information was aggregated the into a classification system the prediction not only of Ferralsol but all classes were improved. Ferralsols share many soil properties with other classes. The environmental variables present characteristics that increased the distinction with similar classes like Arenosols and Lixisols. Figure 2 shows these differences between soil classes for the climatic and terrain variables. The elevations, for instance, is one variable that helped to distinguish Ferralsols from other soils. Same with annual temperature. The soil water balance shows a far difference in the distinction of Ferralsols

C4

with Arenosols and Fixisols. Referee: Line 158. “iron oxide are presented” Answer: Yes. Referee: Based on line 228 where it says “may be considered low” – perhaps you should re-title the paper? Answer: We modified this affirmation to become less confusing in the manuscript. The aggregation of soil-landscape information into a classification system improved the prediction of traditional soil classes. Referee: As mentioned color is important. Nonetheless, spectroscopy can depict the color. Please add it to the discussion. Answer: Yes, we added more information in the results: The soil colour is one of the main soil properties that influence soil spectral response. The variables expressing the colour characteristic are H_a , v and c . The colour, specifically H_a and v , was important to discriminate Nitisols, which are heavy weathered tropical soils showing a red colour with lower overall reflectance. And discussion: The main soil properties that influence their soil spectral response is the soil colour, which is an important characteristic used as a criterion in soil type identification (Marques et al., 2019). The colour is usually determined visually in the field by a soil expert. As soil spectral measurements at visible range are related to attributes such as soil organic matter, minerals, texture, nutrients, water, etc, soil colour can be determined using spectroscopic data. Referee: In several cases it says that incorporating the environmental factors in the analysis is low (line 227) and in others it concluded that it is good (line 285). It makes the reader a bit confused about the authors’ opinion: is it good or bad to add environmental factors? Answer: The right affirmation is adding environmental variables the prediction of soil classes improves. We changed this phases in the manuscript to help the readers’ understanding. Referee: Line 321. “incorporate” should be instead of “incorporated”. Answer: Yes. – Reference Bellinaso, H., Demattê, J.A.M., Romeiro, S.A., 2010. Soil Spectral Library and Its Use in Soil Classification. *R. Bras. Ci. Solo* 34, 861–870. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-06832010000300027> Chen, S., Li, S., Ma, W., Ji, W., Xu, D., Shi, Z., Zhang, G., 2019. Rapid determination of soil classes in soil profiles using vis–NIR spectroscopy and multiple objectives mixed support vector classification. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 70, 42–53. <https://doi.org/10.1111/EJSS.12715> Debaene, G., Bartmiński, P., Niedźwiecki, J., Miturski, T., 2017. Visible and Near-

C5

Infrared Spectroscopy as a Tool for Soil Classification and Soil Profile Description. *Polish J. Soil Sci.* 50, 1. <https://doi.org/10.17951/pjss.2017.50.1.1> Demattê, J.A.M., 2016. From Profile Morphometrics to Digital Soil Mapping, in: *Digital Soil Morphometrics*. Springer International Publishing, pp. 383–399. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-28295-4_24 Marques, K.P., Rizzo, R., Carnieletto Dotto, A., Souza, A.B. e, Mello, F.A., Neto, L.G., Anjos, L.H.C. dos, Demattê, J.A., 2019. How qualitative spectral information can improve soil profile classification? *J. Near Infrared Spectrosc.* 096703351882196. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0967033518821965> Rizzo, R., Demattê, J.A.M., Terra, F. da S., 2014. Using numerical classification of profiles based on Vis-NIR spectra to distinguish soils from the Piracicaba Region, Brazil. *Rev. Bras. Ciência do Solo* 38, 372–385. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-06832014000200002> Shi, Z., Wang, Q., Peng, J., Ji, W., Liu, H., Li, X., Viscarra Rossel, R.A., 2014. Development of a national VNIR soil-spectral library for soil classification and prediction of organic matter concentrations. *Sci. China Earth Sci.* 57, 1671–1680. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-013-4808-x> Vasques, G.M., Demattê, J.A.M., Viscarra Rossel, R.A.R.A., Ramírez-López, L., Terra, F.S.S., 2014. Soil classification using visible/near-infrared diffuse reflectance spectra from multiple depths. *Geoderma* 223–225, 73–78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2014.01.019> Zeng, R., Zhang, G.-L., Li, D.-C., Rossiter, D.G., Zhao, Y.-G., 2016. How well can VNIR spectroscopy distinguish soil classes? *Biosyst. Eng.* 152, 117–125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2016.04.019>

Interactive comment on SOIL Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-2019-77>, 2019.

C6