

Supplementary to the paper: Identifying and quantifying geogenic organic carbon in soils – the case of graphite

Jeroen H.T. Zethof 1, Martin Leue2, Cordula Vogel1, Shane W. Stoner3, Karsten Kalbitz1

1 Institute of Soil Science and Site Ecology, Technische Universität Dresden, 01737 Tharandt, Germany

5 2 Leibniz-Centre for Agricultural Landscape Research (ZALF), Research Area 1 Landscape Functioning, Working Group
Hydropedology, Eberswalder Str. 84, D-15374 Müncheberg, Germany

3 Max-Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Hans-Knöll-Straße 10, 07745 Jena, Germany

Correspondence to: Jeroen Zethof (jeroen.zethof@tu-dresden.de)

10

15

20




Fig. S1: Thermogravimetric analysis of artificial soil 1 before and after acid fumigation with HCl, as described in section 2.3.





Fig. S2: Thermogravimetric analysis of artificial soil 2, without carbonates, before and after acid fumigation with HCl, as described in section 2.3.



5 Fig. S3: Exploration of the best TGA temperature range (x – y axis) for creating a graphite content prediction model, based on the root-mean-square error (RMSE) data for calibration set 1 (color) and calibration set 2 (size). The smaller the RMSE, the better the model fitted to the data.




Fig. S4: Total carbon of the two calibrations sets as measured by the Elemental analyser and Soli-TOC device.