

Interactive comment on “Catchment export of base cations: Improved mineral dissolution kinetics influence the role of water transit time” by Martin Erlandsson Lampa et al.

Martin Erlandsson Lampa et al.

martin.erlandsson.lampa@lansstyrelsen.se

Received and published: 17 September 2019

Introduction: The text is rather heavy reading because of the complexity of the sentences, non-the less it is intelligent and proves deep insight, but needs some extra effort on the pedagogical side. The English language is flawless and reads well, but gets a bit complex, which I am sure can be abated. For helping the non-expert reader I suggest you make a couple of simple conceptual drawings of your systems at the different scales (catchment, the hill-slope from Krycklan or micro site etc.) where you visualize with some examples, arrows or small ‘ratios’ the terms: ‘runoff’, ‘water transit time’, ‘proxies for WTT’, ‘discharge’, ‘base cation release, -flow and flux’, ‘dissolution of

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



minerals', 'weathering rates', 'chemical weathering', 'biological uptake', 'solutes', 'pore water', 'soil water', 'mineral surfaces', 'steady state', 'non-interacting', 'pathway', 'water parcel', 'stream concentration', 'mineral dissolution rate', 'concentration–discharge relationship', 'chemostatic behaviour', 'secondary mineral formation', 'rooting zone', 'unsaturated soil', 'saturated zone', 'vertical flow', 'water table', 'the silica effect', 'ratios of different important base cations Ca, Mg, Na, K'; a more clear overview of these terms would ease the 'putting into context' of this magnificent modelling work. Once this is done the text needs probably a bit of refinement to simplify the many terms and split some complex sentences but this will become clear while working on that diagram.

* First, we thank the reviewer for the kind words. Illustrating all these terms in figures is quite demanding for a research paper, but we will include conceptual figures to explain at least the core concepts of the paper.

Please clarify: The 'Glacial till soils' partly gets separate introduction and soil is also generalized as unsaturated and saturated soils, could you rephrase or simply explain why this type has this focus and if it is included as saturated and unsaturated ?

*We will include a short description of glacial tills.

The minerals: albite, bytownite, could you explain what these are with a little detail?

*This will be done in the revision.

Site description: is the coniferous forest a managed forest ? if so, what age is the forest ? has it been disturbed with harvesting within recent years ? Is the soil saturated / unsaturated or variable?

* Answers will be provided in the revision.

Discussion: In order to get a better overview of the improvements with PROFILE, could you make a Table with the improved features, if these are new additions and the outcome (effect). You might need to flush out information from the connecting paper

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Sverdrup et al 2019 for the OH brake.

* This is a good idea which we will adopt for the revised paper.

You need to update the reference to Sverdrup et al ‘this issue’ 2019; both in the text and in the reference list. Also you will need to provide the mentioned equations as supplementary material to this paper in SOIL, if it is not already published or has another current publication history. This will take some revision time, but should be allowed.

* Equations are provided in the companion paper in the same issue: Sverdrup et al., “Reviews and syntheses: Weathering of silicate minerals in soils and watersheds: Parameterization of the weathering kinetics module in the PROFILE and ForSAFE models”.

Interactive comment on SOIL Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-2019-3>, 2019.

SOILD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

