### Potential short-term losses of N2O and N2 from high 1

#### concentrations of biogas digestate in arable soils 2

- Sebastian Rainer Fiedler<sup>1</sup>, Jürgen Augustin<sup>2</sup>, Nicole Wrage-Mönnig<sup>1</sup>, Gerald Jurasinski<sup>1</sup>, Bertram Gusovius<sup>2</sup>, Stephan Glatzel<sup>1,\*</sup> 3
- 4
- 5 <sup>1</sup>Faculty of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, University of Rostock, Rostock, 18059, Germany
- <sup>2</sup>Institute for Landscape Biogeochemistry, Leibniz Centre for Agriculture Landscape Research (ZALF) e.V., 6
- 7 Müncheberg, 15374, Germany
- 8 \*now at: Department of Geography and Regional Research, University of Vienna, Vienna, 1010, Austria
- 9 Correspondence to: S. R. Fiedler (sebastian.fiedler@uni-rostock.de)

Abstract. Biogas digestate (BD) is increasingly used as organic fertiliser, but has a high potential for NH<sub>3</sub> losses. Its proposed injection into soils as a counter-measure has been suggested to promote the generation of N<sub>2</sub>O, leading to a potential trade-off. Furthermore, the effect of high nutrient concentrations on N<sub>2</sub> losses as they may appear after injection of BD into soil has not yet been evaluated. Hence, we performed an incubation experiment with soil cores in a helium-oxygen atmosphere to examine the influence of soil substrate (loamy sand, clayey silt), water-filled pore space (WFPS; 35, 55, 75%) and application rate (0, 17.6 and 35.2 mL BD per soil core [250 cm<sup>3</sup>]) on the emissions of N<sub>2</sub>O, N<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> after the usage of high loads of BD. To determine the potential capacity for gaseous losses, we applied anaerobic conditions by purging with helium for the last 24 h of incubation. Immediate N<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub> emissions as well as the N<sub>2</sub>/(N<sub>2</sub>O+N<sub>2</sub>) ratio depended on soil type and increased with WFPS indicating a crucial role of soil gas diffusivity for the formation of nitrogenous gases in agricultural soils. However, the emissions did not increase with the application rate of BD probably due to an inhibitory effect of the high NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> content in BD. Our results suggest a larger potential for N<sub>2</sub>O formation in the fine-textured clayey silt compared to the coarse loamy sand after applying high concentrations of BD as appearing after injection. However, the loamy sand showed basically a large potential for N<sub>2</sub> formation under anaerobic headspace conditions. Nevertheless, our results show the need for further investigations on the dynamics and the duration of the observed effects and their significance for field conditions.

### 1 Introduction

Nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) is a potent greenhouse gas (Myhre et al., 2013), with agriculture being the largest single source of anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, contributing about 4.1 Tg N<sub>2</sub>O-N yr<sup>-1</sup> or 66% of total gross anthropogenic emissions mainly as a result of mineral nitrogen (N) fertiliser and manure application (Davidson and Kanter, 2014). The generation of nitrogen gas (N<sub>2</sub>) is of agronomic interest in terms of nutrient management, since such gaseous losses may imply a significant loss of N from the soil/plant system (Friedl et al., 2016, 2016; Cameron et al., 2013). However, from an environmental stance, N<sub>2</sub> is innocuous and, thus, the preferred type of gaseous N-loss from soil (Davidson et al., 2015). In general, the improvement of N use efficiency and thus the decrease of N losses in crop production are paramount in the presence of challenges like food security, environmental degradation and climate change (Zhang et al., 2015).

Digestion residues (biogas digestate, BD) from biogas plants are used as organic amendment in agriculture. But, compared to undigested amendments, digestion results in an increased pH, a higher proportion of ammonium

38  $(NH_4^+)$  and a narrowed C to N of the BD (Möller and Müller, 2012). This altered chemical properties may 39 promote biochemical reactions in the soil that are responsible for the formation of gaseous N species like N<sub>2</sub>O, 40 nitric oxide (NO),  $N_2$  and ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) (Nkoa, 2013). 41 Significant losses of N as NH<sub>3</sub> can occur within the first hours after manure application (Quakernack et al., 42 2012). To reduce NH<sub>3</sub> losses, the application of BD by injection is recommended, but this measure can 43 simultaneously increase the potential for N<sub>2</sub>O losses compared to surface-application (Wulf et al., 2002; Velthof 44 and Mosquera, 2011). On the one hand, high NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentrations in the injection band promote nitrification, 45 which is a significantly O<sub>2</sub> consuming process releasing N<sub>2</sub>O (Christensen and Rowe, 1984). On the other hand, 46 increased amounts of C in the injection band also promote respiration and, thus, additionally deplete the O<sub>2</sub> 47 supply (Dell et al., 2011). Altogether, the conditions during the initial phase after injection of BD foster 48 microsites favourable for microbial denitrification, which may promote also the formation of N<sub>2</sub> due to anaerobic 49 conditions (Köster et al., 2015; Webb et al., 2010). 50 There is a wealth of biotic and abiotic processes in soils that produce N<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub>, depending on mineral N 51 content, carbon (C) availability as well as on temperature, most of which are enhanced by anaerobic or at least 52 suboxic conditions (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2013). The amounts and the relative share of  $N_2$  and  $N_2O$  in the 53 overall gaseous N emissions depend - among other factors - on the degree of O2 restriction (Firestone and 54 Davidson, 1989). Soil physical and biotic factors (i.e. diffusion permitted by soil porosity in conjunction with 55 water-filled pore space [WFPS] as well as consumption of O<sub>2</sub> by heterotrophic respiration and nitrification) 56 control the aerobic status of a soil (Ball, 2013; Uchida et al., 2008; Maag and Vinther, 1999). In general, fine 57 textured soils with higher clay contents exhibit a lower gas diffusivity compared to coarse textured soils, which result regularly in higher denitrification activity in the former with higher N<sub>2</sub>O emission rates, but also a higher 58 59 probability for the consecutive reduction to  $N_2$  (Senbayram et al., 2014; Gu et al., 2013; Ball, 2013). 60 There is a general lack of knowledge about the effects of high BD concentrations on gaseous N-losses as they 61 might appear after injection into soils and their interactions with O<sub>2</sub> limiting factors like soil texture and WFPS, 62 as well as temperature and heterotrophic respiration. Thus, we applied the helium-oxygen (He-O<sub>2</sub>) incubation 63 technique (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2002) in a laboratory experiment to evaluate the effect of above suggested 64 factors on the emission of  $N_2O$  and  $N_2$  from different soils. Simultaneously,  $CO_2$  flux was determined as an 65 indicator for microbial O<sub>2</sub> consumption, O<sub>2</sub> diffusion and also for the degradability of organic C applied with BD (Blagodatsky and Smith, 2012), but with the restriction that inorganic sources could not be differentiated. We 66 67 hypothesised that (1) N<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub> emissions will increase with WFPS, (2) this gaseous N losses will also be

affected by BD application rate, i.e. the hypothetical concentration resulting from injection, and (3) the fine

69 textured clayey silt will induce higher gaseous N losses than the coarse loamy sand.

## 2 Material and Methods

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

### 2.1 Selected soils, sampling of soil cores and biogas digestate

Two soils were selected and both were adjusted to three levels of WFPS and three quantities of BD (Table 1), resulting in 18 factor combinations with three repetitions each. Temperature was increased from 2 °C during the first two days to 15 °C for the last three days of the incubation. Intact soil cores (diameter 7.2 cm, height 6.1 cm, volume 250 cm<sup>3</sup>) were taken with sample rings in the range from 0–0.10 m depth from two sites with different textures, i.e. sandy loam and clayey silt. The sandy loam samples were collected from a stagnic luvisol (IUSS) Working Group WRB, 2006) located in Gülzow (North-East Germany) in the ground moraine of the Weichselian glacial period at 53° 48' 35" N and 12° 4' 20" E. The clayey silt samples were collected from a haplic luvisol located in Dornburg between the foothills and the lowlands of Central Germany at 51° 0' 8" N and 11° 39' 25" E (see Table 2 for more details on soil characteristics). After field sampling, the soil cores were dried for 48 h at 40 °C to facilitate adjustment of WFPS. Both sites have been cultivated with similar crop rotations used as feedstock for biogas production and have been amended with biogas digestate for the past nine years. The crop rotation on the sandy loam consisted of maize (Zea mays L.), rye (Secale cereale L.), sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) MOENCH), winter triticale (× Triticosecale Wittmack), ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.) and winter wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). The only difference in the crop rotation on the clayey silt was the cultivation of sudangrass (Sorghum × drummondii) instead of sorghum. The biogas digestate used for the incubation was obtained from a biogas plant at 'Gut Dalwitz', an organic farm in northeast Germany. The feedstock for the anaerobic fermentation in the plant consisted of 60 % maize, 20 % solid cattle manure, 10 % dry chicken manure and 10 % rye. The digestate was analysed by 'LUFA', Rostock, Germany and had a pH of 8.3, 2.91% organic C, 0.16% dissolved organic C (DOC), 0.54% N and 0.27% NH<sub>4</sub>-N in undried material with a dry matter content of 9.4%.

## 2.2 Adjustment of WFPS and addition of N

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

For adjustment of WFPS, the dry and undisturbed soil cores were moistened dropwise. The respective quantities of water were calculated based on the bulk density, an assumed particle density of 2.65 g cm<sup>-1</sup> and reduced by the expected moisture input from subsequent addition of BD. The soil cores were then mixed with BD and finally repacked to reach nutrient concentrations comparable to that in injection bands. The amounts of added BD were calculated with an assumed injection of 160 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> into soil with row spaces of 0.15 m (narrow injection bands with low BD concentration, LOBD) and 0.30 m (wide injection bands with high BD concentration, HIBD), which are common ranges used by injection machinery and which correspond to 17.6 and 25.3 mL BD, respectively, per sample ring. After this procedure, the soil cores were sealed with plastic lids and stored immediately at 2 °C until the beginning of the incubation within a week.

#### 2.3 Determination of gas fluxes

The measurements of N2, N2O and CO2 fluxes were applied following the He-O2 method (Scholefield et al., 1997; Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2002). Six soil cores (i.e. the repetitions of two factor combinations at a time, Table 3) were placed simultaneously in special gas-tight incubation vessels inside a climate chamber. Analyses were conducted in the laboratory of the Institute for Landscape Biogeochemistry, Leibniz Centre for Agricultural Landscape Research (ZALF), Müncheberg, Germany. Before flux measurements, the vessels were evacuated moderately (0.047 bar) and flushed with an artificial He/O<sub>2</sub> gas mixture (20.49 % O<sub>2</sub>, 345.5 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>, 359 ppb N<sub>2</sub>O, 1863 ppb CH<sub>4</sub>, 2.46 ppm N<sub>2</sub>, rest He) four times consecutively to remove ambient N<sub>2</sub>. Subsequently, the air temperature of the climate chamber was set to 2 °C and a continuous He/O2 gas flow rate of 15 ml min<sup>-1</sup> was applied to the vessel headspaces for 72 h to remove residues of N<sub>2</sub> from soil cores by diffusion, including a restricted N<sub>2</sub> production by decreased microbial activity. After this pre-incubation, during the following two days, the headspace concentration of N2O and CO2 was measured once daily in the morning. To compensate for the lower precision of the detector for N<sub>2</sub> in relation to the detector for N<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> (Eickenscheidt et al., 2014), N<sub>2</sub> concentrations were measured consecutively three times daily in the morning. Immediately after the last measurement on the second day, the temperature was set to 15 °C and the measurements were continued for another two days. Finally, the He/O<sub>2</sub> gas mixture was substituted by pure He and, following 24 h of acclimatisation, gas measurements were carried out once again (Figure 1) to determine the generation of N<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub> in a completely anaerobic soil matrix. The latter step is important to get a clue about the actual potential

for gaseous N losses after highly concentrated BD application. The settings of the chromatographs for gas

analyses are described in Eickenscheidt et al. (2014). Gas fluxes were calculated according to Eq. (1):

123 
$$f = \frac{M \times p \times v \times dc}{R \times T \times A},$$
 (1)

where f is the flux (N<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>: mg m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>2</sub>O:  $\mu$ g m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>), M the molar mass in g mol<sup>-1</sup> (N<sub>2</sub>: 28, CO<sub>2</sub>: 44,

125 N<sub>2</sub>O: 44), p the air pressure (Pa), v the air flow (L h<sup>-1</sup>), R the gas constant (8.31 J mol<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>), T the temperature

inside the chamber (K), A the area of the incubation vessel ( $m^2$ ), and dc the difference of gas concentrations ( $N_2$ 

and CO<sub>2</sub>: ppm, N<sub>2</sub>O: ppb) between inlet and outlet of a vessel.

To enhance the tightness against atmospheric N<sub>2</sub> contamination, the lids of the incubation vessels were purged

permanently with helium. We obtained blank values by inserting aluminium blocks into the vessels before each

measurement cycle. Since this blank values were usually steady with means of 1.9 ( $1\sigma = 0.9$ ) ppm N<sub>2</sub>, 349.6 ( $1\sigma$ 

= 11.4) ppb  $N_2O$  and 353.9 ( $1\sigma$  = 13.5) ppm  $CO_2$ , we suggest that the vessels were tight. Derived from the blank

values, lowest detectable fluxes were on average 0.427 ( $1\sigma = 0.271$ ) mg N<sub>2</sub>-N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>, 3.6 (3.1)  $\mu$ g N<sub>2</sub>O-N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>

and 0.918 (0.693) mg CO<sub>2</sub>-C m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>. For flux estimation, the blank values were subtracted from the values

measured at the respective outlet. Estimated fluxes from the soil cores smaller than the respective blank fluxes of

each day were set to zero.

126

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

136

137

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

# 2.4 Soil analyses after incubation

After incubation, the soil cores were stored at 2 °C until they were extracted with 0.1 M KCl solution (soil to

extract ratio 1:4, standardised extraction method of the commissioned laboratory at Leibniz Centre for

Agricultural Landscape Research e. V.) and analysed for NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) by spectrophotometry

according to DIN ISO 14256 with a continuous flow analyser 'CFA-SAN', Skalar Analytical B.V., the

Netherlands and for DOC by combustion according to DIN ISO 10694 with an analyser 'RC 612', Leco

Instruments GmbH, Germany.

# 2.5 Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were done using R version 3.2.3 (R Core Team, 2015) with the data of the measuring

days under He-O<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. Data from the vessels with the factor combination of 35% WFPS and LOBD with

clayey silt were omitted due to technical reasons during sample preparation. For the final period of pure He

headspace, some gas concentration data are missing due to logistical reasons. For the loamy sand, this affects all WFPS levels with LOBD (N<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O), the treatment 75% WFPS with 320 kg N h<sup>-1</sup> (N<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub>) and for the clayey silt the treatment 35% WFPS without amendment (N<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub>). To account for repeated measurement of vessels, linear mixed effect models were applied with package 'lmerTest' version 2.0-33 (Kuznetsova et al., 2016) for fluxes of each gas type. The three pseudo-replicated fluxes from the N<sub>2</sub> measurements of each vessel were averaged for each day to obtain the same number of observations as for N<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes. The fixed structure of models included soil type, WFPS, amount of digestate, temperature, NO<sub>3</sub> and DOC contents after incubation as well as the fluxes of N<sub>2</sub>O (in the model for N<sub>2</sub>) and CO<sub>2</sub> (in the models for N<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub>/[N<sub>2</sub>+N<sub>2</sub>O] product ratio). Soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> was omitted since it showed high autocorrelation with the amount of BD applied. The individual soil cores in the vessels were set as random effect (nested within the week of incubation and with allowance for a variable slope of the effect each day) with regard to lack of independence of consecutive measurements. The model responses for N2, N2O and CO2 where log transformed (ln[value + 1]) since gas fluxes from soils usually show lognormal distributions (Kaiser et al., 1998). The function 'step' was used for automatic backward selection of models based on AIC (Akaike's 'An Information Criterion'). The skewness (γ) was calculated with R package 'moments' version 0.14 (Komsta and Novomestky, 2015) to check residuals for normal distribution and  $|\gamma| \le 2$  was assumed as appropriate (West et al., 1995). For mixed effects models, p-values of the ANOVA (type 2) were calculated based on Satterthwaite's approximation) Cumulated gas fluxes were estimated with a bootstrap method using function 'auc.mc' of R package 'flux' version 0.3-0 (Jurasinski et al., 2014) for the R statistical software version 3.2.3 (R Core Team, 2015). In short, the fluxes for the period of aerobic headspace were cumulated in 100 iterations, while for each run 2 fluxes were omitted randomly. Then, the resulting data were used to calculate means and standard deviations.

# 3 Results

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

# 3.1 Soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub> and DOC contents

The calculated application of  $NH_4^+$ -N from BD per kg soil approximated for the sandy loam 247.0 mg (LOBD) and 494.0 mg (HIBD), and for the clayey silt 266.0 mg (LOBD) and 532.0 mg (HIBD). The  $NO_3^-$  content of BD was negligible. In general, the  $NH_4^+$  content of the soils after incubation increased with digestate application with lower amounts detected in the clayey silt. Nitrate was found almost exclusively in the latter soil. (Fig. 2).

The amounts of measured DOC increased with the application rate of BD, but with higher magnitudes for the loamy sand than for the clayey silt (Table 4).

## 3.2 CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes

 $CO_2$  fluxes showed clear differences between the soils: under all combinations of temperature and oxygen, the fluxes were always larger from the loamy sand compared with the clayey silt (Table A1). In general, the mean fluxes from the loamy sand increased with the amount of digestate during each of the different periods regarding temperature and headspace aerobicity, but showed no obvious pattern with WFPS. There was no clear trend of fluxes with the amount of amendment, but a slight trend of decreasing fluxes with increasing WFPS for the clayey silt. However, the predictive power of WFPS on  $CO_2$ -C fluxes was minor since it was eliminated during stepwise regression fitting. By contrast, soil type, amount of digestate, temperature as well as the DOC content after the incubation had significant (p < 0.01) effects (Table 5).

# 3.3 $N_2O$ fluxes

The mean  $N_2O$  fluxes from the loamy sand in the He-O<sub>2</sub> headspace were virtually zero, independent of temperature and WFPS as well as the amount of BD application (Fig. 3, Table A2). In contrast, the emissions of the clayey silt increased with temperature and were highest at 15 °C with intermediate WFPS and amount of BD, i.e. 6.2 mg  $N_2O$ -N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> at 55% with LOBD, respectively. Surprisingly, at 15 °C, increasing the amount of BD up to HIBD did not increase the observed  $N_2O$  efflux; rather it decreased the efflux significantly (p < 0.05, Tuckey's HSD) at 55% and also, but not significantly, at 75% WFPS (Fig. 3, Table A2). According to the linear mixed model for  $N_2O$  fluxes in aerobic conditions, WFPS, amount of digestate, temperature, DOC content of soil after incubation and  $CO_2$  fluxes had significant (p < 0.001) effects on  $N_2O$  flux (Table 5).

Under anaerobic headspace conditions, the overall highest mean  $N_2O$  flux was observed from the clayey silt at 35% WFPS with HIBD (11.7 mg  $N_2O$ -N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>). The same soil showed a tendency of decreasing  $N_2O$  fluxes with increasing WFPS and amendment. In the loamy sand, the pure He-atmosphere induced increasing mean  $N_2O$  fluxes (up to 1.3 mg  $N_2O$ -N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) with increasing WFPS (Fig. 3, Table A2). Thus, the anaerobic headspace induced a change only in the loamy sand by increasing emissions.

# 3.4 $N_2$ fluxes

From the loamy sand, no or negligible  $N_2$  fluxes were detected at both temperatures under He-O<sub>2</sub> atmosphere (Fig. 4, Table A3). The clayey silt showed mean fluxes of up to 1.4 mg  $N_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> at 2 °C (all incubations with 75% WFPS) and up to 3.8 mg  $N_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> at 15 °C (75% WFPS with LOBD), but no fluxes in all BD treatments with 35% WFPS. Put simply, temperature had a small effect on  $N_2$  emissions from the sandy loam with no consistent influence of WFPS and the amount of BD. In contrast, the clayey silt emitted clearly increasing fluxes with increasing temperature and WFPS. However, the application raise from LOBD up to HIBD at 15 °C, resulted in slightly, but not significantly (p > 0.05, Tuckey's HSD), decreased fluxes (Fig. 4, Table A3). The summary of the linear mixed model for  $N_2$  fluxes under aerobic conditions revealed significant effects (p < 0.05) of soil type, WFPS, the amount of digestate, temperature, DOC content after incubation and  $N_2$ 0 flux (Table 5). After switching the atmosphere to pure He, the  $N_2$  fluxes from the sandy loam increased more than 60-fold. In contrast to aerobic conditions, all measured factor combinations showed mean fluxes up to 35.1 mg  $N_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> (55% with 320 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Fig. 2, Day 5 in Table A3). The mean fluxes from the clayey silt increased only up to 9.3 mg  $N_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> in amended treatments. Thus, the loamy sand exhibited a much more intense reaction under anaerobic headspace conditions.

# 3.5 $N_2/(N_2 + N_2O-N)$ product ratio

No clear trend of the product ratio of  $N_2/(N_2 + N_2O-N)$  was found for incubations of the loamy sand. However, there was a clear distinction of the ratios for this soil under aerobic and anaerobic atmospheres: while the ratios were close to zero in the former, they were close to 1 in the latter (Fig. 5). In contrast, in the clayey silt the ratios increased with WFPS and were affected by digestate amendment under both the aerobic and the anaerobic atmospheres, where the highest ratios (up to 0.8) were found in treatments without digestate and at least 55% WFPS. The digestate-amended treatments showed mostly ratios around or above 0.5, with exception of the 35% WFPS treatments, which had ratios close to zero. According to the linear mixed model, the product ratio under aerobic conditions was affected significantly (p < 0.01) by soil type and the amount of digestate (Table 5).

### 4 Discussion

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

4.1 Increased BD application rate did not increase N<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub> losses probably due to inhibitory effect of high NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentrations

The overall N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes corresponded well with those from other studies with similar incubation conditions and application rates of BD in terms of N ha<sup>-1</sup> (Severin et al., 2015; Senbayram et al., 2012; Köster et al., 2015). However, the latter studies assumed a distribution of BD into soil by a cultivator, which implies a smaller concentration of BD compared to its occurrence in injection slits. Although we observed differences in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions between soils, soil type was not confirmed as a significant effect. Nevertheless, WFPS and temperature, which are well known controllers of N<sub>2</sub>O generation (Maag and Vinther, 1999), showed significant influences. Both are physical (by gas diffusion) and biological (by increased metabolic activity and consequently increased O<sub>2</sub> consumption by respiration) drivers for O<sub>2</sub> availability, respectively (Maag and Vinther, 1999; Ball, 2013). Accordingly, the CO<sub>2</sub> flux (resulting from respiration of O<sub>2</sub>) generally increased with temperature and was also identified as significant by regression selection. The mean N<sub>2</sub> fluxes of up to 0.5 (loamy sand) and 3.8 mg N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> (clayey silt) at 15° C (Fig. 5, Table A3) were considerably smaller than the mean fluxes of up to 13.0 mg m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> observed by Köster et al. (2015) during the first five days of their incubation. Although the amount of BD in terms of applied N (250 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was comparable, Köster et al. (2015) used a higher WFPS of 90%, which may have increased the generation of N<sub>2</sub>. In contrast to N<sub>2</sub>O emission rates, the observed N<sub>2</sub> fluxes depended not only on WFPS, but also on soil type (Table 5), most likely due to the direct influence of soil structure on diffusivity and, thus, the supply with O<sub>2</sub> (Balaine et al. 2016; Butterbach-Bahl et al. 2013). N<sub>2</sub>O flux showed also a significant effect during regression selection for N<sub>2</sub>. N<sub>2</sub>O is the direct precursor of N<sub>2</sub> in denitrification and, hence, the flux of the latter depends on the availability of the former. However, temperature showed no significant effect. The  $N_2/(N_2+N_2O)$  ratios were significantly determined only by soil type and WFPS: while no clear trend was observable for the loamy sand, there was a pronounced effect in the clayey silt (Fig 4). We attribute the lack of a trend in the loamy sand to generally adverse conditions for the formation of N2O and N2. Contrary, the influence of WFPS apparently mirrored favourable conditions in the clayey silt (Table 5). Simultaneously, with increasing WFPS, the reduction of N<sub>2</sub>O accelerates as an alternative electron acceptor under reduced O<sub>2</sub> supply (Tiedje, 1988). Accordingly, no or rather small fluxes of the investigated gaseous N species were generally found in our presumably well aerated treatments with 35% WFPS.

In our study, one treatment (clayey silt, 55% WFPS, LOBD) showed exceptionally large mean N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes of up to 7.1 mg N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 3, Table A2). This could be evidence that injection of such commonly applied amounts of BD-N (i.e., 160 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) may favour much larger losses of N<sub>2</sub>O compared to an even distribution of BD in soils due to larger substrate concentration in injection slits. However, with higher amendments (i.e. HIBD), we observed surprisingly partially significant (p < 0.05, Tuckey's HSD) reductions of N<sub>2</sub>O and a decreasing tendency of N<sub>2</sub> emissions (Table A2, Table A3). In line with this, the amount of BD showed a significant effect during the regression selection on N<sub>2</sub>O, but not on N<sub>2</sub> fluxes (Table 5). A coherent reason for the rather smaller emissions of highly amended HIBD treatments might be the inhibitory effect of NH<sub>3</sub> on nitrification. Accordingly, Anthonisen et al. (1976) found an inhibition by concentrations from 0.1 to 150 mg NH<sub>3</sub> L<sup>-1</sup>. The application rate in the treatments with HIBD amounted to approximately 500 mg NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N (kg soil)<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 3) which correspond to 25.8 mg NH<sub>3</sub>-N (kg soil)<sup>-1</sup> at 15 °C if we use the pH of the BD and assume that all extractable NH<sub>4</sub>+N was in solution (Emerson et al., 1975). Hence, we consider this inhibitory effect as the reason for the missing increase of  $N_2O$  and  $N_2$ . Additionally, due to the increased pH of BD (Möller and Müller, 2012), the amount of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> fixed as NH<sub>3</sub> by soil organic matter increases and, moreover, this fixed NH<sub>3</sub> is not readily extractable by the KCl method we have applied (Kissel et al., 2008). This is consistent with the observation of generally higher N<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub> fluxes from the clayey silt since clay increases the sorption capacity of soils for NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and may, thus, reduce the inhibitory effect on nitrification (Kissel et al., 2008). However, because we mixed the BD with the soil, we would expect a lower NH<sub>3</sub> fixation in tubular injection slits in situ, resulting in probably lower N<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub> fluxes from clayey soils. Actually, high NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> loads in conjunction with an increased pH favour NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> accumulation, because NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> oxidising bacteria are less resilient against high concentrations of NH3 than NH3 oxidising bacteria (Anthonisen et al., 1976). This NO<sub>2</sub> should have protonated then partly to the toxic and unstable HNO<sub>2</sub>, which drives biological and chemical production of NO and N<sub>2</sub>O for detoxification (Venterea et al., 2015). Although we have not determined NO<sub>2</sub>, we suggest a dominant role of nitrifier denitrification, i.e., NO<sub>2</sub> reduction, in the generation of N<sub>2</sub>O during our experiment, especially during the anaerobic headspace conditions at the end of the incubation, resulting in the relatively small NO<sub>3</sub> recovery in both soils. Accordingly, coupled nitrificationdenitrification and bacterial denitrification have been found to dominate the production of N2O directly after application of BD (Köster et al., 2011; Senbayram et al., 2009). However, N<sub>2</sub>O-N losses were clearly larger than N<sub>2</sub> losses under aerobic headspace in the clayey silt, indicating that much of the N gas loss was driven by

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

processes other than canonical denitrification. Under the above mentioned conditions, NO-N losses may exceed

N<sub>2</sub>O losses (Venterea et al., 2015), calling for taking account of NO measurements in future studies.

# 4.2 Different effects of soil diffusivity on $N_2O$ and $N_2$ fluxes

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

Apparently, the tested factors affected the N2O and N2 fluxes from both soils in a different way. A specific soil characteristic that exhibits such a fundamental control on biogeochemical processes such as denitrification is the diffusivity for O2 (Ball, 2013, 2013; Letey et al., 1980; Parkin and Tiedje, 1984), which is a main soil characteristic responsible for the appearance of anaerobic microsites. In general, diffusivity integrates the soil porosity, i.e., pore continuity and size as well as WFPS, which control both soil N<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub> emissions (Balaine et al., 2016; Letey et al., 1980; Ball, 2013). Soils with a coarser texture like the loamy sand have a higher proportion of macro-pores and thus a higher gas diffusion compared with fine textured soils like the clayey silt we used (Groffman and Tiedje, 1991). This lets us expect conditions that are more favourable for  $N_2O$  and  $N_2$ generation in the latter due to relatively poor diffusion characteristics and, thus, a smaller  $O_2$  supply. Actually, although we incubated the soils at comparable levels of WFPS and BD amendments, the apparent lower diffusivity led to larger N2O and N2 production in the treatments with the clayey silt in relation to the loamy sand. The role of the distinct diffusivities of both soils is corroborated by our observations of the gas fluxes in anaerobic headspace. With switching the He-O<sub>2</sub> atmosphere in the headspace to pure He, the denitrification potential can be tested because anaerobicity eliminates respiration processes that use O2 as electron acceptor (Parkin and Tiedje, 1984). We acknowledge e.g. DNRA and anammox as possible additional sources of N<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub>, respectively, under such conditions, but we were not able to quantify their contribution. The anaerobic headspace induced a considerable increase of N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes in the loamy sand, but not in the clayey silt. Concurrently, the N<sub>2</sub> fluxes increased in both soils, but pronounced, i.e. more than 60-fold, in the sandy loam. These observed changes resulting from oxygen deprivation imply that, during the previous aerobic conditions, the diffusivity of the sandy loam was too high to allow for a sufficient establishment of anaerobic microsites, while the clayey silt ensured a moderate diffusional constraint to maintain suboxic conditions. In general, only N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes from treatments with negligible fluxes during the previous aerobic period increased under anaerobic conditions, including all treatments with loamy sand (Fig. 3, Table A2). At the same time, there was a reduction of N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes in most clayey silt treatments. However, when we take a closer look at the simultaneous changes of N<sub>2</sub> fluxes after atmosphere change, virtually all of the respective treatments showed increased rates. Hence,

there was an enhanced reduction of  $N_2O$  to  $N_2$ , which is reflected in the increased  $N_2/(N_2 + N_2O)$  ratio (Fig. 5) and points to intensified reduction of N<sub>2</sub>O due to the lack of oxygen (Parkin and Tiedje, 1984). The much larger N<sub>2</sub> fluxes from the loamy sand compared to the clayey silt might have been caused additionally by small NO<sub>3</sub> availability (Fig. 2) and a high availability of C (Table 4), which promoted the reduction of N2O to N2 (Senbayram et al., 2012). Further, we found no evidence for any shortage of substrate in the clayey silt during the subsequent anaerobic headspace conditions. However, the cumulated fluxes of both N2 and N2O amounted to a maximum absolute loss of 9.4 ( $1\sigma = 0.3$ ) mg N per kg soil in the clayer silt with LOBD and 55% WFPS, which was roughly 3.5% of the calculated  $NH_4^+$ -N applied with BD (Fig. 2). On the other hand, the  $N_2/(N_2+N_2O)$  ratios increased only slightly (Fig. 5) and, in contrast to the loamy sand, there were still significant N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes in the clayey silt (Fig. 3), which point to still sufficient stocks of NO<sub>3</sub> in the latter (Senbayram et al., 2012). In fact, the NO<sub>3</sub> stock was greater in the clayey silt than in loamy sand after incubation (Fig. 2). Thus, we suggest that the gas fluxes were unaffected by the change to anaerobic headspace in the clayey silt due to already low O2 concentrations as a result of poor diffusivity. In conclusion, distinct gas diffusivities of both soils can be proposed as the main reason for the differing  $N_2O$  and  $N_2$  fluxes. In interaction with soil diffusivity, also respiration affects the aerobicity of a soil matrix by concurrent consumption and formation of O2 and CO2, respectively. Depending on microbial availability of carbon, respiration could be indicated approximately by DOC, though not all DOC might be readily degradable (Cook and Allan, 1992). Generally, the DOC contents after our incubation increased with application rate of BD (Table 4), but the DOC contents were always smaller in the clayey silt. This might reflect a stronger sorption of C and thus a lower availability for respiration in the clayey silt compared to the loamy sand (Kaiser and Guggenberger, 2000). If we compare the DOC values with the cumulated flux rates of CO<sub>2</sub> over the period of aerobic headspace, we find a good regression fit  $(R^2 = 0.91, p < 0.001)$  for both soils (Fig. 6) indicating a sufficient availability of C from BD for respiration and, thus, implicitly also for denitrification (Reddy et al., 1982). Moreover, as increased DOC enhanced respiration (Table A1), it consequently affected O2 consumption and, thus, also the emergence of anaerobic microsites (Azam et al., 2002). Accordingly, there is also a good correlation between cumulated CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O + N<sub>2</sub> fluxes for the same period from the clayey silt ( $R^2 = 0.93$ , p =0.001), when the treatments with 35 % WFPS (which showed virtually no N emissions) are omitted (Fig. 7). However, there was no such a correlation for the loamy sand. This confirms the interactive effect of diffusivity (induced by both the soils and WFPS) and C availability on the emissions of N<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub>, which, nevertheless, interacted with the inhibitory effect of high NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> loads on nitrification (see chapter 4.1).

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

### 5 Relevance and implications

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

Our aim was to estimate the effect of differing soil environmental conditions on gaseous N losses - and not to draw conclusions about the long-term dynamics of  $N_2$  and  $N_2O$  emissions after BD application in concentrations similar to injection. In another laboratory study at a WFPS of 65%, Senbayram et al. (2009) measured only one peak within two days without a repeated increase later, regardless the amount of applied BD. Thus, we assume a single peak shortly after application holds also true for our incubation as well. We assume also the measurements after only 24 hours of anaerobicity in the headspace as representative for the emission potential since Wang et al. (2011; 2013) showed in similar studies to ours that the emission of N<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O peaked within less than 24 hours after switching their headspace from aerobic to anaerobic conditions. However, as hypothesised, N<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub> emissions as well as the N<sub>2</sub>/(N<sub>2</sub>O+N<sub>2</sub>) ratio increased with WFPS, most probably due to restricted supply of O<sub>2</sub>. Contrary to our second hypothesis, the gaseous losses of N<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub> did not increase with the application rate of BD. This indicates an inhibitory effect of high NH<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentrations, respectively, on nitrification, which are found typically in biogas digestates (BD). Nevertheless, the N<sub>2</sub>/(N<sub>2</sub>O+N<sub>2</sub>) ratio tended to decrease with application rate as supposed, probably due to a copious supply with NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub> from oxidised BD-NH<sub>4</sub>. Confirming our third hypothesis, the fine textured clayey silt induced larger gaseous N losses and a higher N<sub>2</sub>/(N<sub>2</sub>O+N<sub>2</sub>) ratio than the coarse loamy sand by the apparent distinct diffusivities of both soils. Overall, there was a larger potential for formation of N<sub>2</sub>O in the fine-textured clayey silt compared to the coarse loamy sand after the application of high concentrations of BD as they may appear after injection. However, the loamy sand showed a large potential for N<sub>2</sub> formation under anaerobic headspace conditions. Nevertheless, further investigations are needed in regarding the dynamics and the duration of the observed effects and their reliability for field conditions.

# Acknowledgements

We thank Karsten Kalbitz and three anonymous referees for their careful reading, critical comments and suggestions. We are very grateful to Heinrich Graf von Bassewitz and Matthias Haß from Gut Dalwitz for their straightforward support with substrate from their anaerobic digester. We thank Madlen Pohl from the ZALF, Institute for Landscape Biogeochemistry, Müncheberg, Germany, most sincerely for managing the laboratory analyses of the soil samples. The joint research project underlying this report was funded by the German Federal Ministry of Food and Agriculture under the funding identifier 22007910.

371

#### References

- Anthonisen, A. C., Loehr, R. C., Prakasam, T. B. S., and Srinath, E. G.: Inhibition of Nitrification by Ammonia
- and Nitrous Acid, Journal (Water Pollution Control Federation), 48, 835–852, 1976.
- Azam, F., Müller, C., Weiske, A., Benckiser, G., and Ottow, J.: Nitrification and denitrification as sources of
- atmospheric nitrous oxide role of oxidizable carbon and applied nitrogen, Biology and Fertility of Soils,
- 376 35, 54–61, doi:10.1007/s00374-001-0441-5, 2002.
- Balaine, N., Clough, T. J., Beare, M. H., Thomas, S. M., and Meenken, E. D.: Soil Gas Diffusivity Controls N2O
- and N2 Emissions and their Ratio, Soil Science Society of America Journal, 80, 529–540,
- 379 doi:10.2136/sssaj2015.09.0350, 2016.
- 380 Ball, B. C.: Soil structure and greenhouse gas emissions: a synthesis of 20 years of experimentation, Eur J Soil
- 381 Sci, 64, 357–373, doi:10.1111/ejss.12013, 2013.
- 382 Blagodatsky, S. and Smith, P.: Soil physics meets soil biology: Towards better mechanistic prediction of
- greenhouse gas emissions from soil, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 47, 78–92,
- 384 doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.12.015, 2012.
- Butterbach-Bahl, K., Baggs, E. M., Dannenmann, M., Kiese, R., and Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S.: Nitrous oxide
- 386 emissions from soils: how well do we understand the processes and their controls?, Philosophical
- Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences, 368, doi:10.1098/rstb.2013.0122,
- 388 2013.
- Butterbach-Bahl, K., Willibald, G., and Papen, H.: Soil core method for direct simultaneous determination of N2
- and N2O emissions from forest soils, Plant and Soil, 240, 105–116, doi:10.1023/A:1015870518723, 2002.
- Cameron, K. C., Di, H. J., and Moir, J. L.: Nitrogen losses from the soil/plant system: a review, Ann Appl Biol,
- 392 162, 145–173, doi:10.1111/aab.12014, 2013.
- 393 Christensen, J. P. and Rowe, G. T.: Nitrification and oxygen consumption in northwest Atlantic deep-sea
- 394 sediments, Journal of Marine Research, 42, 1099–1116, doi:10.1357/002224084788520828, 1984.
- 395 Cook, B. D. and Allan, D. L.: Dissolved organic carbon in old field soils: Total amounts as a measure of
- available resources for soil mineralization, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 24, 585–594, doi:10.1016/0038-
- 397 0717(92)90084-B, 1992.
- Davidson, E. A. and Kanter, D.: Inventories and scenarios of nitrous oxide emissions, Environmental Research
- 399 Letters, 9, 105012, 2014.
- 400 Davidson, E. A., Suddick, E. C., Rice, C. W., and Prokopy, L. S.: More Food, Low Pollution (Mo Fo Lo Po): A
- Grand Challenge for the 21st Century, Journal of Environmental Quality, 44, doi:10.2134/jeq2015.02.0078,
- 402 2015.
- 403 Dell, C. J., Meisinger, J. J., and Beegle, D. B.: Subsurface Application of Manures Slurries for Conservation
- Tillage and Pasture Soils and Their Impact on the Nitrogen Balance, Journal of Environmental Quality, 40,
- 405 doi:10.2134/jeg2010.0069, 2011.
- Eickenscheidt, T., Heinichen, J., Augustin, J., Freibauer, A., and Drösler, M.: Nitrogen mineralization and
- gaseous nitrogen losses from waterlogged and drained organic soils in a black alder (Alnus glutinosa (L.)
- 408 Gaertn.) forest, Biogeosciences, 11, 2961–2976, doi:10.5194/bg-11-2961-2014, 2014.

- 409 Emerson, K., Russo, R. C., Lund, R. E., and Thurston, R. V.: Aqueous Ammonia Equilibrium Calculations:
- 410 Effect of pH and Temperature, Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada, 32, 2379–2383,
- 411 doi:10.1139/f75-274, 1975.
- 412 Firestone, M. K. and Davidson, E. A.: Microbiological basis of NO and N2O production and consumption in
- soil, in: Exchange of Trace Gases Between Terrestrial Ecosystems and the Atmosphere, Andreae, M. a. S. D.
- 414 (Ed.), Wiley, Chichester, 7–21, 1989.
- 415 Friedl, J., Scheer, C., Rowlings, D. W., McIntosh, H. V., Strazzabosco, A., Warner, D. I., and Grace, P. R.:
- Denitrification losses from an intensively managed sub-tropical pasture Impact of soil moisture on the
- partitioning of N2 and N2O emissions, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 92, 58–66,
- 418 doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.09.016, 2016.
- 419 Groffman, P. M. and Tiedje, J. M.: Relationships between denitrification, CO2 production and air-filled porosity
- 420 in soils of different texture and drainage, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 23, 299–302, doi:10.1016/0038-
- 421 0717(91)90067-T, 1991.
- Gu, J., Nicoullaud, B., Rochette, P., Grossel, A., Hénault, C., Cellier, P., and Richard, G.: A regional experiment
- 423 suggests that soil texture is a major control of N2O emissions from tile-drained winter wheat fields during
- 424 the fertilization period, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 60, 134–141, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.01.029,
- 425 2013.
- Jurasinski, G., Koebsch, F., and Hagemann, U.: flux: Flux rate calculation from dynamic closed chamber
- 427 measurements, 2014.
- 428 Kaiser, E. A., Kohrs, K., Kucke, M., Schnug, E., Heinemeyer, O., and Munch, J. C.: Nitrous oxide release from
- arable soil: Importance of N-fertilization, crops and temporal variation, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 30,
- 430 1553–1563, doi:10.1016/S0038-0717(98)00036-4, 1998.
- Kaiser, K. and Guggenberger, G.: The role of DOM sorption to mineral surfaces in the preservation of organic
- 432 matter in soils, Organic Geochemistry, 31, 711–725, doi:10.1016/S0146-6380(00)00046-2, 2000.
- 433 Kissel, D. E., Cabrera, M. L., and Paramasivam, S.: Ammonium, Ammonia, and Urea Reactions in Soils, in:
- 434 Nitrogen in Agricultural Systems, Agronomy Monographs, American Society of Agronomy, Crop Science
- 435 Society of America, Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI, 101–155, 2008.
- Komsta, L. and Novomestky, F.: moments: Moments, cumulants, skewness, kurtosis and related tests, 2015.
- Köster, J. R., Cárdenas, L., Senbayram, M., Bol, R., Well, R., Butler, M., Mühling, K. H., and Dittert, K.: Rapid
- 438 shift from denitrification to nitrification in soil after biogas residue application as indicated by nitrous oxide
- 439 isotopomers, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 43, 1671–1677, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.04.004, 2011.
- 440 Köster, J. R., Cárdenas, L. M., Bol, R., Lewicka-Szczebak, D., Senbayram, M., Well, R., Giesemann, A., and
- Dittert, K.: Anaerobic digestates lower N2O emissions compared to cattle slurry by affecting rate and
- 442 product stoichiometry of denitrification An N2O isotopomer case study, Soil Biology and Biochemistry,
- 443 84, 65–74, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.01.021, 2015.
- Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P. B., and Christensen, R. H. B.: lmerTest: Tests in Linear Mixed Effects Models,
- 445 2016.

- Letey, J., Jury, W. A., Hadas, A., and Valoras, N.: Gas Diffusion as a Factor in Laboratory Incubation Studies on
- 447 Denitrification1, Journal of Environmental Quality, 9, doi:10.2134/jeq1980.00472425000900020012x,
- 448 1980.
- Maag, M. and Vinther, F. P.: Effect of temperature and water on gaseous emissions from soils treated with
- animal slurry, Soil Science Society of America Journal, 63, 858–865, 1999.
- 451 Möller, K. and Müller, T.: Effects of anaerobic digestion on digestate nutrient availability and crop growth: A
- 452 review, Eng. Life Sci., 12, 242–257, doi:10.1002/elsc.201100085, 2012.
- 453 Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Bréon, F. M., Collins, W., Fuglestvedt, J., Huang, J., Koch, D., Lamarque, J. F., Lee,
- D., and Mendoza, B.: Anthropogenic and natural radiative forcing, in: Climate Change 2013: The Physical
- Science Basis.: Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental
- 456 Panel on Climate Change, Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G. K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J.,
- Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M. (Eds.), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United
- 458 Kingdom, New York, NY, USA, 659–740, 2013.
- Nkoa, R.: Agricultural benefits and environmental risks of soil fertilization with anaerobic digestates: a review,
- 460 Agron. Sustain. Dev., 1–20, doi:10.1007/s13593-013-0196-z, 2013.
- 461 Parkin, T. B. and Tiedje, J. M.: Application of a soil core method to investigate the effect of oxygen
- 462 concentration on denitrification, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 16, 331–334, doi:10.1016/0038-
- 463 0717(84)90027-0, 1984.
- 464 Quakernack, R., Pacholski, A., Techow, A., Herrmann, A., Taube, F., and Kage, H.: Ammonia volatilization and
- yield response of energy crops after fertilization with biogas residues in a coastal marsh of Northern
- 466 Germany, Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 160, 66–74, doi:10.1016/j.agee.2011.05.030, 2012.
- 467 R Core Team: R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria: https://www.R-
- 468 project.org/, 2015.
- Reddy, K. R., Rao, P. S. C., and Jessup, R. E.: The Effect of Carbon Mineralization on Denitrification Kinetics
- in Mineral and Organic Soils1, Soil Science Society of America Journal, 46, 62–68,
- 471 doi:10.2136/sssaj1982.03615995004600010011x, 1982.
- 472 Scholefield, D., Hawkins, J. M. B., and Jackson, S. M.: Development of a helium atmosphere soil incubation
- 473 technique for direct measurement of nitrous oxide and dinitrogen fluxes during denitrification, Soil Biology
- 474 and Biochemistry, 29, 1345–1352, doi:10.1016/S0038-0717(97)00021-7, 1997.
- 475 Senbayram, M., Chen, R., Budai, A., Bakken, L., and Dittert, K.: N2O emission and the N2O/(N2O + N-2)
- 476 product ratio of denitrification as controlled by available carbon substrates and nitrate concentrations,
- 477 Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 147, 4–12, doi:10.1016/j.agee.2011.06.022, 2012.
- Senbayram, M., Chen, R., Mühling, K. H., and Dittert, K.: Contribution of nitrification and denitrification to
- 479 nitrous oxide emissions from soils after application of biogas waste and other fertilizers, Rapid Commun.
- 480 Mass Spectrom., 23, 2489–2498, doi:10.1002/rcm.4067, 2009.
- Senbayram, M., Chen, R., Wienforth, B., Herrmann, A., Kage, H., Mühling, K. H., and Dittert, K.: Emission of
- N2O from Biogas Crop Production Systems in Northern Germany, BioEnergy Research, 1–14,
- 483 doi:10.1007/s12155-014-9456-2, 2014.

- Severin, M., Fuss, R., Well, R., Garlipp, F., and van den Weghe, H.: Soil, slurry and application effects on
- greenhouse gas emissions, Plant, Soil and Environment, 61, 344–351, 2015.
- 486 Tiedje, J. M.: Ecology of denitrification and dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium, in: Biology of
- 487 anaerobic microorganisms, Zehnder, A. J. B. (Ed.), John Wiley and Sons Inc, New York, 179–244, 1988.
- 488 Uchida, Y., Clough, T. J., Kelliher, F. M., and Sherlock, R. R.: Effects of aggregate size, soil compaction, and
- bovine urine on N2O emissions from a pasture soil, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 40, 924–931,
- 490 doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2007.11.007, 2008.
- 491 Velthof, G. L. and Mosquera, J.: The impact of slurry application technique on nitrous oxide emission from
- agricultural soils, Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 140, 298–308, doi:10.1016/j.agee.2010.12.017,
- 493 2011.
- Venterea, R. T., Clough, T. J., Coulter, J. A., Breuillin-Sessoms, F., Wang, P., and Sadowsky, M. J.: Ammonium
- sorption and ammonia inhibition of nitrite-oxidizing bacteria explain contrasting soil N2O production,
- 496 Scientific Reports, 5, 12153 EP -, 2015.
- Wang, R., Feng, Q., Liao, T., Zheng, X., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Zhang, W., and Jin, C.: Effects of nitrate
- concentration on the denitrification potential of a calcic cambisol and its fractions of N2, N2O and NO, Plant
- 499 and Soil, 363, 175–189, doi:10.1007/s11104-012-1264-x, 2013.
- Wang, R., Willibald, G., Feng, Q., Zheng, X., Liao, T., Brüggemann, N., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: Measurement
- of N2, N2O, NO, and CO2 Emissions from Soil with the Gas-Flow-Soil-Core Technique, Environ. Sci.
- Technol., 45, 6066–6072, doi:10.1021/es1036578, 2011.
- Webb, J., Pain, B., Bittman, S., and Morgan, J.: The impacts of manure application methods on emissions of
- ammonia, nitrous oxide and on crop response—A review, Special section Harvested perennial grasslands:
- 505 Ecological models for farming's perennial future, 137, 39–46, doi:10.1016/j.agee.2010.01.001, 2010.
- West, S. G., Finch, J. F., and Curran, P. J.: Structural equation models with nonnormal variables: Problems and
- remedies, in: Structural equation modeling: Concepts, issues, and applications, Hoyle, R. H. (Ed.), Sage,
- 508 Thousend Oaks, 56–75, 1995.

- Wulf, S., Maeting, M., and Clemens, J.: Application technique and slurry co-fermentation effects on ammonia,
- 510 nitrous oxide, and methane emissions after spreading: II. Greenhouse gas emissions, Journal of
- 511 Environmental Quality, 31, 1795–1801, 2002.
- Zhang, X., Davidson, E. A., Mauzerall, D. L., Searchinger, T. D., Dumas, P., and Shen, Y.: Managing nitrogen
- for sustainable development, NATURE, 528, 51–59, 2015.

| Factor [n]                      | Levels     |     |             |  |  |
|---------------------------------|------------|-----|-------------|--|--|
| Soil texture [2]                | loamy sand |     | clayey silt |  |  |
| WFPS (%) [3]                    | 35         | 55  | 75          |  |  |
| BD-N (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) [3] | 0          | 160 | 320         |  |  |
| Temperature (°C) [2]            | 2          |     | 15          |  |  |

Table 2: Characteristics of both soils. Texture and mean values with standard deviations (in brackets) for carbon (C, n = 9), nitrogen (N, n = 9), pH (n = 3), bulk density (BD, n = 520 3) and mineral N (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, n = 3) of both soils in 0–10 cm depth after field sampling.

| Texture     | C (mg g <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>a</sup> | N $(mg g^{-1})^a$ | $pH^b$    | Bulk density (g cm <sup>-3</sup> ) <sup>c</sup> | $NO_3$ (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>d</sup> | NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>d</sup> |
|-------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|---|--|--|
| Loamy sand  | 6.99 (0.29)                          | 0.67 (0.05)       | 7.2 (0.1) | 1.4 (0.0)                                       | 1.0 (0.2)                                  | 0.6 (0.3)  |
| Clayey silt | 10.77 (0.28)                         | 1.19 (0.06)       | 7.2 (0.0) | 1.5 (0.0)                                       | 1.8 (0.2)                                  | 0.3 (0.2)  |

- 521 a measured with analyser "Truspec CNS", Leco Instruments GmbH, Germany, performed according to ISO 10694 ("elemental analysis") for C and according to ISO
- 522 13878 ("elemental analysis") for N
- b measured in H<sub>2</sub>O with TitraMaster85, Radiometer Analytical SAS, France, performed according to VDLUFA Methodenbuch, Vol. 1, chap. 5.1.1
- 524 c measured on 250 cm<sup>3</sup> soil cores
- 525 d measured with analyser "CFA-SAN", Skalar Analytical B.V., the Netherlands, performed according to ISO 14256

| Week | Factor combination 1 | Factor combination 2 |
|------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 1    | LS - 0 N - 35%       | LS - 0 N - 55%       |
| 2    | LS - 0 N - 75%       | LS - 160 N - 35%     |
| 3    | LS - 160 N - 55%     | LS - 160 N - 75%     |
| 4    | LS - 320 N - 35%     | LS - 320 N - 55%     |
| 5    | LS - 320 N - 75%     | CS - 0 N - 35%       |
| 6    | CS - 0 N - 55%       | CS - 0 N - 75%       |
| 7    | CS - 160 N - 35%     | CS - 160 N - 55%     |
| 8    | CS - 160 N - 75%     | CS - 320 N - 35%     |
| 9    | CS - 320 N - 55%     | CS - 320 N - 75%     |

Table 4: Mean DOC values from soils, measured after incubation with standard deviations in brackets for the respective treatments differing in amount of applied biogas digestate (BD) and water-filled pore space (WFPS).

| kg digestate-N ha <sup>-1</sup> | WFPS (%)  | mg DOC (kg soil) <sup>-1</sup> |              |  |  |
|---------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|--------------|--|--|
| kg digestate iv na              | W115 (70) | Loamy sand                     | Clayey silt  |  |  |
|                                 | 35        | 41.4 (2.7)                     | 18.9 (1.1)   |  |  |
| 0                               | 55        | 38.6 (3.1)                     | 19.8 (1.4)   |  |  |
|                                 | 75        | 43.7 (1.4)                     | 19.0 (1.8)   |  |  |
|                                 | 35        | 197.4 (20.7)                   | n.a.         |  |  |
| 160                             | 55        | 190.5 (19.3)                   | 68.3 (12.7)  |  |  |
|                                 | 75        | 362.2 (40.0)                   | 63.2 (9.6)   |  |  |
|                                 | 35        | 316.8 (25.3)                   | 358.1 (26.3) |  |  |
| 320                             | 55        | 312.5 (14.3)                   | 94.8 (13.6)  |  |  |
|                                 | 75        | 500.1 (33.4)                   | 105.9 (14.8) |  |  |

n.a.: data not available

Table 5: ANOVA table (type 2, p-values calculated based on Satterthwaite's approximation) of the linear mixed effects models for estimated fluxes of  $N_2$ ,  $N_2$ O,  $N_2$ /( $N_2$ + $N_2$ O) product ratio and  $CO_2$  in aerobic He-O<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. Soil type, water-filled pore space (WFPS), amount of digestate, temperature,  $NO_3$ - and DOC content of soil after incubation as well as fluxes of  $N_2$ O and  $CO_2$  were set as possible independent variables. The individual soil rings were set as random effect (nested within the respective week and with the allowance for varying slopes for each day of measurements). The random effect was always significant.

| -                | Fixed effects |         |                     |             |                      |          |                       |                      |  |  |
|------------------|---------------|---------|---------------------|-------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Response         | Soil type     | WFPS    | Digestate<br>amount | Temperature | NO <sub>3</sub> post | DOC post | N <sub>2</sub> O flux | CO <sub>2</sub> flux |  |  |
| $\overline{N_2}$ | 0.026         | < 0.001 | 0.008               | 0.037       | <u></u> †            | 0.001    | < 0.001               | <u>†</u>             |  |  |
| $N_2O$           | <b>†</b>      | < 0.001 | < 0.001             | < 0.001     | †                    | < 0.001  | *                     | < 0.001              |  |  |
| $N_2/(N_2+N_2O)$ | 0.005         | 0.004   | †                   | †           | †                    | †        | *                     | <b>†</b>             |  |  |
| $CO_2$           | < 0.001       | †       | < 0.001             | < 0.001     | †                    | 0.007    | †                     | *                    |  |  |

<sup>†</sup> Variable eliminated during stepwise regression selection

<sup>\*</sup> Variable was not included into original regression

Figure 1: Course of incubation and gas measurements with respect to atmosphere and temperature of the headspace after two days of pre-incubation at 2  $^{\circ}$ C in He/O<sub>2</sub> gas mixture. Gas concentrations of the headspace were determined on five consecutive days, i.e. Monday to Friday in the morning. After the first two measurement days, the headspace temperature was increased from 2 to 15  $^{\circ}$ C. Additionally, after the fourth measurement day, the aerobic Helium/oxygen gas mixture in the headspace was replaced by a pure Helium atmosphere.

Fig. 2: Ammonium and nitrate contents from loamy sand and clayey silt after incubation with different water-filled pore spaces (WFPS, %) and amounts of digestate (0 mL per sample ring: 'No BD', 17.6 mL: 'Low BD' and 35.2 mL: 'High BD'). Error bars denote standard deviations. In general, the ammonium content increased with digestate application with lower amounts detected in the clayey silt. Nitrate was found almost exclusively in the latter soil. For comparison, calculated amounts of ammonium applied with biogas digestate are shown by triangles. One treatment (\*) was omitted from all analyses due to technical reasons.

Fig. 3: Mean  $N_2O$  fluxes (mg N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) from a loamy sand and a clayey silt incubated under different water-filled pore spaces (WFPS, %) with different amounts of digestate (0 mL per sample ring: 'No BD', 17.6 mL: 'Low BD' and 35.2 mL: 'High BD'). The first till the fourth day of the incubation were measured in an aerobic He-O<sub>2</sub> headspace (with two days at 2 °C followed by another two days at 15 °C) while on the fifth day measurements where conducted in an anaerobic headspace with pure He (at 15 °C). Error bars show standard deviations; if bars are not visible, they are smaller than the symbols of the means. Under aerobic atmosphere,  $N_2O$  fluxes from loamy sand were negligible, while fluxes from clayey silt showed an increase with temperature, especially with higher WFPS and intermediate amounts of digestate. Under anaerobic atmosphere, mean fluxes from loamy sand increased slightly, but significantly (Tukey's HSD, p < 0.05). The fluxes from clayey silt showed no significant differences (Tukey's HSD, p < 0.05) compared to the day before, with the exception of 35% WFPS, where mean flux increased strongly in the treatment with 320 kg digestate-N ha<sup>-1</sup>.

Fig. 4: Mean  $N_2$  fluxes (mg m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) from a loamy sand and a clayey silt incubated under different water-filled pore spaces (WFPS, %) with different amounts of digestate (0 mL per sample ring: 'No BD', 17.6 mL: 'Low BD' and 35.2 mL: 'High BD'). The first till the fourth day of the incubation were measured in an aerobic He-O<sub>2</sub> headspace (with two days at 2 °C followed by another two days at 15 °C) while on the fifth day measurements where conducted in an anaerobic headspace with pure He (at 15 °C). Error bars show standard deviations; if bars are not visible, they are smaller than the symbols of the means. The dotted horizontal lines depict the average blank value; single flux rates lower than the respective lank value were set zero. Under aerobic atmosphere,  $N_2$  fluxes from loamy sand were zero or rather negligible, while fluxes from clayey silt show a distinct increase with WFPS and higher fluxes at 15 °C. Under anaerobic atmosphere, mean fluxes from loamy sand increased by orders of magnitude, while the fluxes from clayey silt increased as well, but more gently compared to the sand.

Fig. 5: Mean  $N_2/(N_2 + N_2O-N)$  product ratio from a loamy sand and a clayey silt incubated under different water-filled pore spaces (WFPS, %) with different amounts of digestate (0 mL per sample ring: 'No BD', 17.6 mL: 'Low BD' and 35.2 mL: 'High BD'). The first till the fourth day of the incubation were measured in an aerobic He-O<sub>2</sub> headspace (with two days at 2 °C followed by another two days at 15 °C) while on the fifth day measurements where conducted in an anaerobic headspace with pure He (at 15 °C). Error bars show standard deviations; if bars are not visible, they are smaller than the symbols of the means. For the loamy sand, there was a clear distinction of the ratios between aerobic and anaerobic atmospheres: while the ratios tended to 0 in the former, they tended to 1 in the latter, irrespectively of temperature or amount of digestate. For the clayey silt, ratios increased with WFPS and were highest from the unamended treatments under both the aerobic and the anaerobic atmospheres.

Fig. 6: Regression between DOC (mg per 100 g soil) measured after the incubation and the respective cumulated  $CO_2$  emissions (g C m<sup>-2</sup>) during the period of aerobic headspace with their standard deviations and confidence interval (95%). If error bars are not visible, they are smaller than the symbols of the means. Both soils showed increasing emissions with increasing soil DOC contents as well a good regression fit ( $R^2 = 0.91$ , p < 0.001).

Fig. 7: Regression between cumulated  $CO_2$  emissions (g C m<sup>-2</sup>) and the respective cumulated  $N_2O + N_2$  emissions (g N m<sup>-2</sup>) from the clayey silt with WFPS > 35 % during the period of aerobic headspace with their standard deviations and confidence interval (95%). If error bars are not visible, they are smaller than the symbols of the means. The proportional increase of  $CO_2$  and the N gas species shows a good regression fit of  $R^2 = 0.93$ , (p = 0.001).

Table A1: Mean  $CO_2$ -C fluxes with standard deviations in mg m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> from the loamy sand and the clayey silt, treated with different water-filled pore spaces (WFPS, %), amounts of digestate (kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) as well as different temperature regimes (°C) under aerobic (He-O<sub>2</sub>) and anaerobic (He) atmosphere. Column 'Day' denotes the consecutive measuring days of the respective incubation cycle. Different letters after fluxes indicate significant differences (Tukey's HSD, p < 0.05) within each soil and measuring day. Zeros as last digits were omitted.

|     |                   |                  | WFPS |                       | mg CO <sub>2</sub> -C m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> |                             |  |
|-----|-------------------|------------------|------|-----------------------|---|-----------------------------|--|
| Day | Atmosphere        | Temperature (°C) | (%)  | kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> | Loamy sand  | Clayey silt                 |  |
| 1   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2                | 35   | 0                     | $6.8 \pm 2.4 \text{ cd}$                              | 0 ± 0 c                     |  |
| 1   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2                | 35   | 160                   | $22 \pm 3.5 \text{ bcd}$                              | NA                          |  |
| 1   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2                | 35   | 320                   | $23.3 \pm 9.3 \text{ bc}$                             | $22.8 \pm 2.8 \text{ ab}$   |  |
| 1   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2                | 55   | 0                     | $6 \pm 0.7 d$   | $4.6 \pm 7.9 \text{ bc}$    |  |
| 1   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2                | 55   | 160                   | $34.4 \pm 3.1 \text{ b}$                              | $34.5 \pm 11.6 \text{ a}$   |  |
| 1   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2                | 55   | 320                   | $28 \pm 3.2 \text{ b}$                                | $15.9 \pm 3.4 \text{ abc}$  |  |
| 1   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2                | 75   | 0                     | $9.4 \pm 1.4 \text{ cd}$                              | $0 \pm 0$ c                 |  |
| 1   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2                | 75   | 160                   | $37.5 \pm 6 \text{ b}$                                | $15.5 \pm 12.1 \text{ abc}$ |  |
| 1   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2                | 75   | 320                   | $68.3 \pm 12.1 \text{ a}$                             | $24.5 \pm 2.7 \text{ a}$    |  |
| 2   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2                | 35   | 0                     | 9.8 ± 3.5 c   | 1.3 ± 1.4 b                 |  |
| 2   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2                | 35   | 160                   | $23 \pm 3.9 \text{ bc}$                               | NA                          |  |
| 2   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2                | 35   | 320                   | $30.9 \pm 2.2 \text{ b}$                              | $22.2 \pm 2.4 \text{ a}$    |  |
| 2   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2                | 55   | 0                     | $8.7 \pm 1.5 \text{ c}$                               | $0.6 \pm 1 \text{ b}$       |  |
| 2   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2                | 55   | 160                   | $33.4 \pm 0.9 \text{ b}$                              | $27.6 \pm 12.3$ a           |  |
| 2   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2                | 55   | 320                   | $35.9 \pm 2.7 \text{ b}$                              | $14.4 \pm 1.9 \text{ ab}$   |  |
| 2   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2                | 75   | 0                     | $8.3 \pm 1.5 \text{ c}$                               | $0 \pm 0 b$                 |  |
| 2   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2                | 75   | 160                   | $31.9 \pm 3 \text{ b}$                                | $13 \pm 9.3 \text{ ab}$     |  |
| 2   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2                | 75   | 320                   | $57.6 \pm 14.8 \text{ a}$                             | $18.3 \pm 4 \text{ a}$      |  |
| 3   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15               | 35   | 0                     | 42.5 ± 4.5 c  | 6.7 ± 0.7 b                 |  |
| 3   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15               | 35   | 160                   | $114.3 \pm 12.2 \text{ b}$                            | NA                          |  |
| 3   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15               | 35   | 320                   | $149.5 \pm 9.4 \text{ b}$                             | $130.9 \pm 105 a$           |  |
| 3   | $He-O_2$          | 15               | 55   | 0                     | $41.3 \pm 3.5 \text{ c}$                              | $3.2\pm0.4\ b$              |  |
| 3   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15               | 55   | 160                   | $108.7 \pm 10.1 \text{ b}$                            | $57.8 \pm 12.2 \text{ bc}$  |  |
| 3   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15               | 55   | 320                   | $162.1 \pm 9.6 \text{ b}$                             | $26.8 \pm 0.7 \ bc$         |  |
| 3   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15               | 75   | 0                     | $44.1 \pm 9.8 \text{ c}$                              | $3.2 \pm 0.7 b$             |  |
| 3   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15               | 75   | 160                   | $150.4 \pm 19 \text{ b}$                              | $26.4 \pm 11.8 \text{ bc}$  |  |
| 3   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15               | 75   | 320                   | $249.7 \pm 53.5 \text{ a}$                            | $35.3 \pm 6 \text{ bc}$     |  |
| 4   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15               | 35   | 0                     | 48.7 ± 6 c  | 15.1 ± 4.9 cd               |  |
| 4   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15               | 35   | 160                   | $114.3 \pm 6.4 \text{ b}$                             | NA                          |  |
| 4   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15               | 35   | 320                   | $156.9 \pm 15.4 a$                                    | $65.7 \pm 2.2 \text{ a}$    |  |
| 4   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15               | 55   | 0                     | $48 \pm 3.4$ c  | $4.2 \pm 0.2 d$             |  |
| 4   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15               | 55   | 160                   | $109 \pm 14.4~b$                                      | $51.2 \pm 15.1 \text{ ab}$  |  |
| 4   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15               | 55   | 320                   | $177.7 \pm 7.5 a$                                     | $26.6 \pm 2.3 \text{ cd}$   |  |
|     |                   |                  |      |                       |   |                             |  |

| 4 | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 15 | 75 | 0   | $34 \pm 7.8 \text{ c}$     | $6.7 \pm 4 d$              |
|---|-------------------|----|----|-----|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 4 | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15 | 75 | 160 | $168.7 \pm 0.4 \text{ a}$  | $22.1 \pm 14.8 \text{ cd}$ |
| 4 | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15 | 75 | 320 | $166.3 \pm 23.1 \text{ a}$ | $34.1 \pm 5.7 \text{ bc}$  |
| 5 | Не                | 15 | 35 | 0   | $11.2 \pm 0.6 d$           | NA                         |
| 5 | He                | 15 | 35 | 160 | $54.8 \pm 9.3 \text{ c}$   | NA                         |
| 5 | Не                | 15 | 35 | 320 | $149.3 \pm 3.9 \text{ a}$  | $45.8 \pm 2.1 \text{ a}$   |
| 5 | Не                | 15 | 55 | 0   | $13.6 \pm 1.9 d$           | $3.4 \pm 0.6 c$            |
| 5 | He                | 15 | 55 | 160 | $55.2 \pm 4.4 \ bc$        | $32 \pm 11.4$ ab           |
| 5 | He                | 15 | 55 | 320 | $164.5 \pm 3.5 \text{ a}$  | $15.2 \pm 10.7 \ bc$       |
| 5 | He                | 15 | 75 | 0   | $20.9 \pm 2.3 \ d$         | $3.6 \pm 0.1 c$            |
| 5 | He                | 15 | 75 | 160 | $75\pm7.3\;b$              | $20.6 \pm 8.5 \ bc$        |
| 5 | He                | 15 | 75 | 320 | NA                         | $26.1 \pm 2.6 \text{ ab}$  |
|   |                   |    |    |     |                            |                            |

Table A2: Mean  $N_2O$ -N fluxes with standard deviations in mg m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> from the loamy sand and the clayey silt, treated with different water-filled pore spaces (WFPS, %), amounts of digestate (kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) as well as different temperature regimes (°C) under aerobic (He-O<sub>2</sub>) and anaerobic (He) atmosphere. Column 'Day' denotes the consecutive measuring days of the respective incubation cycle. Different letters after fluxes indicate significant differences (Tukey's HSD, p < 0.05) within each soil and measuring day. Zeros as last digits were omitted.

| Day | Atmosphere        | Temperature WFPS (%) | kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> | mg N <sub>2</sub> O- | N m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> |                         |
|-----|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Duy | Atmosphere        |                      | (1115 (70)            | kg IV IIa            | Loamy sand                        | Clayey silt             |
| 1   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2                    | 35                    | 0                    | $0 \pm 0$                         | 0 ± 0 c                 |
| 1   | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 2                    | 35                    | 160                  | $0\pm0$                           | NA                      |
| 1   | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 2                    | 35                    | 320                  | $0\pm0$                           | $0 \pm 0$ c             |
| 1   | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 2                    | 55                    | 0                    | $0 \pm 0$                         | $0.3 \pm 0.1$ c         |
| 1   | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 2                    | 55                    | 160                  | $0 \pm 0$                         | $1.7 \pm 0.4 a$         |
| 1   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2                    | 55                    | 320                  | $0 \pm 0$                         | $1.1 \pm 0.1$ b         |
| 1   | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 2                    | 75                    | 0                    | $0 \pm 0$                         | $0.4 \pm 0.1$ c         |
| 1   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2                    | 75                    | 160                  | $0 \pm 0$                         | $1 \pm 0.1$ b           |
| 1   | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 2                    | 75                    | 320                  | $0 \pm 0$                         | $1 \pm 0.2$ b           |
| 2   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2                    | 35                    | 0                    | 0 ± 0                             | 0 ± 0 d                 |
| 2   | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 2                    | 35                    | 160                  | $0 \pm 0$                         | NA                      |
| 2   | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 2                    | 35                    | 320                  | $0 \pm 0$                         | $0 \pm 0$ cd            |
| 2   | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 2                    | 55                    | 0                    | $0 \pm 0$                         | $0.3 \pm 0.1 \text{ b}$ |
| 2   | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 2                    | 55                    | 160                  | $0 \pm 0$                         | $1.5 \pm 0.6$ a         |
| 2   | $He-O_2$          | 2                    | 55                    | 320                  | $0 \pm 0$                         | $1.2 \pm 0.2$ a         |
| 2   | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 2                    | 75                    | 0                    | $0\pm0$                           | $0.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ b}$ |
| 2   | $He-O_2$          | 2                    | 75                    | 160                  | $0 \pm 0$                         | $1 \pm 0.1 \text{ ab}$  |
| 2   | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 2                    | 75                    | 320                  | $0 \pm 0$                         | $1.1 \pm 0.2$ a         |
| 3   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15                   | 35                    | 0                    | 0 ± 0 cd                          | $0 \pm 0$ c             |
| 3   | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 15                   | 35                    | 160                  | $0 \pm 0$ abc                     | NA                      |
| 3   | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 15                   | 35                    | 320                  | $0 \pm 0$ ab                      | $0 \pm 0$ c             |
| 3   | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 15                   | 55                    | 0                    | $0 \pm 0$ bcd                     | $0.8 \pm 0.2$ (         |
| 3   | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 15                   | 55                    | 160                  | $0 \pm 0$ bcd                     | $7.1 \pm 0.9$ 8         |
| 3   | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 15                   | 55                    | 320                  | $0 \pm 0$ a                       | $3.5 \pm 0.71$          |
| 3   | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 15                   | 75                    | 0                    | $0 \pm 0$ ab                      | $0.8 \pm 0.2$ (         |
| 3   | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 15                   | 75                    | 160                  | $0 \pm 0 d$                       | $3.2 \pm 0.7$ t         |
| 3   | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 15                   | 75                    | 320                  | $0 \pm 0$ cd                      | $3 \pm 0.9 \text{ b}$   |
| 4   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15                   | 35                    | 0                    | 0 ± 0 b                           | $0 \pm 0$ c             |
| 4   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15                   | 35                    | 160                  | $0 \pm 0$ ab                      | NA                      |
| 4   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15                   | 35                    | 320                  | $0 \pm 0$ ab                      | $0.1 \pm 0.1$ d         |
| 4   | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15                   | 55                    | 0                    | $0 \pm 0$ b                       | $1 \pm 0.2 \text{ bc}$  |
| 4   | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 15                   | 55                    | 160                  | $0.1 \pm 0.1 \ a$                 | $6.2 \pm 1.1 a$         |
| 4   | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 15                   | 55                    | 320                  | $0 \pm 0$ ab                      | $3 \pm 0.8 \text{ b}$   |

| 4 | $\text{He-O}_2$ | 15 | 75 | 0   | $0 \pm 0$ ab  | $1.1 \pm 0.3 \text{ bc}$ |
|---|-----------------|----|----|-----|---------------|--------------------------|
| 4 | $\text{He-O}_2$ | 15 | 75 | 160 | $0 \pm 0 \ b$ | $2.6 \pm 1 \text{ b}$    |
| 4 | $\text{He-O}_2$ | 15 | 75 | 320 | $0\pm 0\;b$   | $2.2\pm0.9\;b$           |
| 5 | Не              | 15 | 35 | 0   | $0.1 \pm 0$   | NA                       |
| 5 | He              | 15 | 35 | 160 | NA            | NA                       |
| 5 | He              | 15 | 35 | 320 | $0.9 \pm 0.1$ | $11.7 \pm 2 a$           |
| 5 | He              | 15 | 55 | 0   | $0.1\pm0$     | $0.1 \pm 0$ c            |
| 5 | He              | 15 | 55 | 160 | NA            | 5 ± 1 b                  |
| 5 | He              | 15 | 55 | 320 | $1.2\pm0.7$   | $1.4 \pm 0.8$ c          |
| 5 | He              | 15 | 75 | 0   | $1.3 \pm 1.6$ | $0.1 \pm 0$ c            |
| 5 | He              | 15 | 75 | 160 | NA            | $1.7 \pm 0.3 c$          |
| 5 | He              | 15 | 75 | 320 | NA            | $1 \pm 0.3$ c            |
|   |                 |    |    |     |               |                          |

Table A3: Mean  $N_2$  fluxes with standard deviations in mg m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> from the loamy sand and the clayey silt, treated with different water-filled pore spaces (WFPS, %), amounts of digestate (kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) as well as different temperature regimes (°C) under aerobic (He-O<sub>2</sub>) and anaerobic (He) atmosphere. Column 'Day' denotes the consecutive measuring days of the respective incubation cycle. Different letters after fluxes indicate significant differences (Tukey's HSD, p < 0.05) within each soil and measuring day. Zeros as last digits were omitted.

|   | A +ma = ===1====  | Temperature | WFPS (%) | kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> | mg N <sub>2</sub>        | m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> |
|---|-------------------|-------------|----------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
|   | Atmosphere        | (°C)        | (°C)     |                       | Loamy sand               | Clayey silt                     |
| 1 | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2           | 35       | 0                     | $0 \pm 0$                | 0 ± 0 bc                        |
| 1 | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 2           | 35       | 160                   | $0 \pm 0$                | NA                              |
| 1 | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 2           | 35       | 320                   | $0 \pm 0$                | $0.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ bc}$        |
| 1 | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2           | 55       | 0                     | $0 \pm 0$                | $1.5 \pm 0.3 \ a$               |
| 1 | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 2           | 55       | 160                   | $0 \pm 0$                | $1.5 \pm 0.3 \text{ a}$         |
| 1 | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 2           | 55       | 320                   | $0 \pm 0$                | $1.5 \pm 0$ a                   |
| 1 | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2           | 75       | 0                     | $0 \pm 0$                | $1.2 \pm 1.1 \text{ a}$         |
| 1 | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2           | 75       | 160                   | $0 \pm 0$                | $1.5 \pm 0.2 \ a$               |
| 1 | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 2           | 75       | 320                   | $0 \pm 0$                | $1.7 \pm 0.4 \text{ a}$         |
| 2 | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2           | 35       | 0                     | 0 ± 0                    | 0 ± 0 c                         |
| 2 | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2           | 35       | 160                   | $0 \pm 0$                | NA                              |
| 2 | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2           | 35       | 320                   | $0 \pm 0$                | $0 \pm 0$ c                     |
| 2 | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2           | 55       | 0                     | $0 \pm 0$                | $1.3 \pm 0.1 \text{ a}$         |
| 2 | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2           | 55       | 160                   | $0 \pm 0$                | $0.8 \pm 0.5 \text{ b}$         |
| 2 | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 2           | 55       | 320                   | $0 \pm 0$                | $1.3 \pm 0.3 \text{ a}$         |
| 2 | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2           | 75       | 0                     | $0 \pm 0$                | $1.4 \pm 0.4 a$                 |
| 2 | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2           | 75       | 160                   | $0 \pm 0$                | $1.4 \pm 0.5 a$                 |
| 2 | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 2           | 75       | 320                   | $0 \pm 0$                | $1.4 \pm 0.1 \ a$               |
| 3 | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15          | 35       | 0                     | 0 ± 0 b                  | 0 ± 0 e                         |
| 3 | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15          | 35       | 160                   | $0 \pm 0 b$              | NA                              |
| 3 | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15          | 35       | 320                   | $0.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ ab}$ | $0 \pm 0$ e                     |
| 3 | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 15          | 55       | 0                     | $0 \pm 0 b$              | $1.8 \pm 0.3 \text{ cd}$        |
| 3 | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 15          | 55       | 160                   | $0 \pm 0 b$              | $2.3 \pm 0.4 \text{ bc}$        |
| 3 | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15          | 55       | 320                   | $0 \pm 0 b$              | $2.5 \pm 0.2 \text{ ab}$        |
| 3 | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 15          | 75       | 0                     | $0.2 \pm 0.3 \; a$       | $1.5 \pm 0.2 d$                 |
| 3 | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15          | 75       | 160                   | $0 \pm 0 b$              | $3 \pm 0.9 \text{ a}$           |
| 3 | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15          | 75       | 320                   | $0 \pm 0 b$              | $2.6 \pm 0.8 \text{ ab}$        |
| 4 | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15          | 35       | 0                     | 0 ± 0 b                  | 0 ± 0 c                         |
| 4 | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15          | 35       | 160                   | $0.1 \pm 0.2 \text{ b}$  | NA                              |
| 4 | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15          | 35       | 320                   | $0 \pm 0 b$              | $0 \pm 0$ c                     |
| 4 | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15          | 55       | 0                     | $0.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ b}$  | $1.5 \pm 0.2 \text{ b}$         |
| 4 | He-O <sub>2</sub> | 15          | 55       | 160                   | $0 \pm 0 b$              | $2.9 \pm 0.6 \text{ a}$         |
| 4 | $\text{He-O}_2$   | 15          | 55       | 320                   | $0.5 \pm 0.4 \text{ a}$  | $2.8 \pm 0.7 \text{ a}$         |

| 4     | $\text{He-O}_2$ | 15 | 75 | 0   | $0 \pm 0$ b              | $1.3 \pm 0.2 \text{ bc}$ |   |
|-------|-----------------|----|----|-----|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 4     | $He-O_2$        | 15 | 75 | 160 | $0\pm 0\ b$              | $3.8 \pm 1.6 \ a$        |   |
| 4     | $He-O_2$        | 15 | 75 | 320 | $0\pm0~b$                | $3.3 \pm 0.8 \ a$        |   |
| <br>5 | Не              | 15 | 35 | 0   | $3.3 \pm 0.4 d$          | $0 \pm 0$ c              | - |
| 5     | Не              | 15 | 35 | 160 | NA                       | NA                       |   |
| 5     | Не              | 15 | 35 | 320 | $22.9 \pm 5.7 \; b$      | $1.8 \pm 0.1$ c          |   |
| 5     | Не              | 15 | 55 | 0   | $6 \pm 2.2$ cd           | $1.8\pm0.2$              |   |
| 5     | Не              | 15 | 55 | 160 | NA                       | $9.5 \pm 2.7 \; a$       |   |
| 5     | Не              | 15 | 55 | 320 | $35.1 \pm 8.6 \text{ a}$ | $5.1 \pm 1.8 \ bc$       |   |
| 5     | Не              | 15 | 75 | 0   | $9.2 \pm 0.4$ c          | $1.9 \pm 0.1 c$          |   |
| 5     | Не              | 15 | 75 | 160 | NA                       | $4.8 \pm 1.6$ bc         |   |
| 5     | Не              | 15 | 75 | 320 | $26.8 \pm 1.1~b$         | $6.7\pm0.8~b$            |   |
|       |                 |    |    |     |                          |                          |   |