

Interactive comment on “Spatial assessments of soil organic carbon for stakeholder decision-making. A case study from Kenya” by Tor-Gunnar Vågen et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 28 February 2018

The manuscript is generally good and suitable for publication. However, some aspects could be improved for better quality: Abstract – the objectives should come earlier in the text; not at the end. – It should also indicate the results and conclusions more explicitly; Introduction – Could benefit from more references, particularly, first and second paragraphs in page 2 and first paragraph in page 3. – The units in page 4, line 1, should be the same: either m² or km² Methodology – Should include a biophysical description of the study site, including soil – Could be described in a more detailed way, either by objective or by topic. The way it is written, it is not easily reproducible. Results – They should be made more explicit and clear. Otherwise, their qualitative character makes them sound like a mix of methodology and discussion

to the reader. Sometimes they are mixed with methodology (i.e. lines 20, 24) The discussion could also be presented by topics to follow the results.

Interactive comment on SOIL Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-2017-37>, 2018.

SOILD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

