

Interactive comment on “Spatial assessments of soil organic carbon for stakeholder decision-making. A case study from Kenya” by Tor-Gunnar Vågen et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 28 February 2018

The manuscript is generally good and suitable for publication. However, some aspects could be improved for better quality: Abstract – the objectives should come earlier in the text; not at the end. – It should also indicate the results and conclusions more explicitly; Introduction – Could benefit from more references, particularly, first and second paragraphs in page 2 and first paragraph in page 3. – The units in page 4, line 1, should be the same: either m² or km² Methodology – Should include a biophysical description of the study site, including soil – Could be described in a more detailed way, either by objective or by topic. The way it is written, it is not easily reproducible. Results – They should be made more explicit and clear. Otherwise, their qualitative character makes them sound like a mix of methodology and discussion

C1

to the reader. – Sometimes they are mixed with methodology (i.e. lines 20, 24) The discussion could also be presented by topics to follow the results.

Interactive comment on SOIL Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-2017-37>, 2018.

C2