SOIL Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-2017-28-AC3, 2017 © Author(s) 2017. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Interactive comment on "How serious a problem is soil compaction in the Netherlands? A survey based on probability sampling" by Dick J. Brus and Jan J. H. van den Akker

Dick J. Brus and Jan J. H. van den Akker

dick.brus@wur.nl

Received and published: 21 December 2017

Dear Florian, many thanks for your valuable remarks and questions. Below some answers.

We will give some more detail about the threshold in the revised paper

Then your question about the 45% overcompacted soils. This is 45% of the target population, and so it will be slightly smaller for the entire Netherlands, see Figure 1 (grey area) which part of the Netherlands was excluded. The target population excludes:

a. build-up areas, glasshouses, infrastructure (roads), water

C₁

b. soils with peat at about 20 to 25 cm below surface. So peat soils with a mineral topsoil are NOT excluded.

c. naturally compact subsoils, such as boulder clay soils, 'knipkleigronden' and others

The area of the soils of category b is about 4.5%. The estimated total area (a, b and c) is about 5% to 6% of the area of the Netherlands.

Then your question about the sampling depth when no plough layer was present, for instance in uncultivated soils. When it was not clear at what depth the subsoil was compacted, both in uncultivated and cultivated soils the decision was based on the measurements with the penetration resistance as measured with a penetrometer.

Finally your question about traffic lanes and headland of arable fields. Sampling sites were randomly selected, and selected sites in these parts of the fields were NOT excluded.

cluded.	bolootoa, ana	00100100 01	100 111 111000	parto or tr	io noido we	310 1101	0,1
I hope this ans	wers your ques	tions.					

Dick Brus

best wishes

Interactive comment on SOIL Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-2017-28, 2017.