SOIL Discuss., doi:10.5194/soil-2016-7-RC2, 2016 © Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.



SOILD

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "Feeding the world with soil science: embracing sustainability, complexity and uncertainty" by P. Tittonell

P.D. Hallett (Referee)

paul.hallett@abdn.ac.uk

Received and published: 26 May 2016

This is a very well written and thought provoking paper on the needs of soil science and the current failures in the way we address the challenges of food security and sustainability. I think that it will raise good discussion amongst soil scientists, with some disagreement to the arguments presented in the text generating a healthy debate. Many of the examples in the text focus on subsistence farming and I think it may be better to alter the entire focus of the paper to these systems. This is where socioeconomic and political elements have a large effect on the impact of our science. Moreover, these areas have been poorly served by soil science in the past. I would argue that soil science in developed countries has become somewhat disconnected from food production. We study how much farming affects soils, but sometimes ignore how much food is being produced in our experiments. In this science we also fail to account for the economic





viability of different practices. These arguments come out to some extent in this paper, but I think the author could be more explicit about what is missing and who we should be working with.

Soil science has become the poor cousin of plant science in the push for sustainable food production. I think text should be added on how we can work more effectively with this research community. Plant genetics will not solve everything, and it is becoming increasing recognised by plant scientists that working closely with soil scientists will allow for more effective advancement. Moreover, there is increasing research effort on root systems by plant scientists, so the need for soil science input is increasing.

I liked Table 2, which summarised the research challenges succinctly. Some of this does need expanding in the text, particularly 'transdisciplinary' science. I think that economics is particularly important to go from 'soft' to 'hard' assessments of sustainability. You need to add more text on how we can fix things, rather than just on what needs to be fixed.

The referencing of past research needs improvement in this paper. I raised a couple of examples below, but the first few sentences of many paragraphs need justification. Also, avoid using popular science beliefs in making arguments. The text about GMO crops inducing toxins to soils is a good example of this. You failed to balance this with the impact on food production or farmer livelihoods if no pesticide or chemical pesticides are used.

Abstract:

This is a well written Abstract but it focusses on what you are going to discuss rather than what you have discussed in the paper, so it is more of an Introduction. Include the major conclusions from your paper here.

Lines 19 & 20 - you could combine these sentences to make the 'dimensions' clearer and decrease text length.

SOILD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



Line 22 - I am not convinced that they are entirely 'soft' concepts. There are metrics that can be used to quantify part of these dimensions. Certain instances of complexity, such as spatial variability, are possible to quantify.

Line 28 - another primary driver that should be included in the Abstract, and is included in the main body text, are socioeconomic and political drivers. Science effort tends to be addressed where production is most intensive and profitable, but it is regions with lowest incomes and political strife that could benefit considerably from our science.

Introduction

page 2, line 6 - change 'primary' to 'food'

page 2, line 11 - 'human agency' is jargon that won't be understandable to many soil scientists.

page 2, line 21 - I am not sure I totally agree. Soil scientists over the past couple of decades have become focussed on soil processes rather than on the interaction between soils and agricultural productivity. The research effort has been on what is wrong with soils, with a doom and gloom attitude of the future rather than offering solutions. We are awash with papers describing management processes that improve soil properties but would not be feasible to adopt in large scale agriculture because of resource availability (e.g. compost) or cost. Agronomic soil science has been considered to be a lower class of science, with much of the effort on enhancing food production focussing on plant genetics/breeding, with little thought about soil processes Engagement with this community of researchers is a big challenge for our discipline.

page 2, line 30 - these practices are written in a negative tone. Why be so negative about precision agriculture etc.? There is hard evidence of improved soil properties, agricultural efficiency and environmental footprints.

page 2, line 33 - I don't follow this argument, particularly for precision agriculture. It addresses sustainability directly by using fewer resources in a targeted manner. Com-

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



plexity and uncertainty are dealt with by sensors that identify where to apply inputs to maximise impact on the farm. With GMO it is a drive to decrease pesticide input. Yes, this is by putting toxins into the soil because these toxins kill pathogens. Pesticides kills pathogens and to some extent farmers. Pathogens kill plants, so yields are decreased and people starve.

page 3, line 6 - if the paradigms are subjective, is it not our challenge to decrease this subjectivity? System and economic analysis can help achieve this.

page 3, line 15 - is subjectivity really to blame for the example presented here? The example is socioeconomic and political. Benefits of controlled fertiliser use in SSA are understood to some extent, and they could be understood better with more research. Farmers use fertilisers in this region but they don't have access due to income. The soil fertility research in this region has not adequately addressed multiple factors, such as water availability versus fertiliser inputs.

page 3, line 29 - 'epistemological' - I had to look this word up and I am a native english speaker. You define it in brackets at the end of the sentence so remove the word and please use simpler english. Ditto for 'ontological'.

page 5, line 9 - Very nice definition of soil sustainability.

2.2 Complexity

This section would benefit from a discussion about functional redundancy in soils and the poor like between properties such as biodiversity and certain ecosystem services such as nitrogen cycling.

page 9, line 5 - it would help here to be more explicit about the need for soil scientists to engage more with socioeconomics researchers. I know you mean this in the text, but to lab based scientists who work on specialist subjects, such links may not be overtly apparent.

page 9, line 10 - this is just one example of many locations in this paper where state-

SOILD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



ments need a citation.

page 11, line 32 - this addresses my comment about functional redundancy of microbial communities above to some extent. It would be clearer to the reader if you described the spatial element in greater depth, preferably with an example. A good one could be the adoption of organic farming where ecological advantage can be found at small-scale but when scaled up the resource inputs and land use can result in negative impacts.

page 13, line 9 - most of the examples used in this paper are from SSA. This is the region where the issues addressed in the paper are most pressing, so this is not a problem, but it may be better to alter the entire focus of the paper towards soil science needs to help resource poor small-holder farmers.

page 13, line 12 - 'agnostic' is not an appropriate term to use here.

page 15, line 18 - you use a toxicology rather than soil science example here. A better example for soil science would be the global adoption of ploughing of soils to depth and its widespread impact on soil degradation. After decades of use, more vulnerable soils are now farmed with lower input tillage systems, but it took a long time for adoption because of societal complacency and a lack of hard data. The Cerrado in Brazil is an excellent example.

page 23, line 7 - the transdisciplinary approach mentioned needs to be expanded. Who should soil scientists be working with, what should they work together on, and what will this achieve?

SOILD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



Interactive comment on SOIL Discuss., doi:10.5194/soil-2016-7, 2016.