



Soil CO₂ efflux in an old-growth southern conifer forests (*Agathis australis*) – magnitude, components, and controls

Luitgard Schwendenmann¹, Cate Macinnis-Ng²

5 ¹ School of Environment, University of Auckland, Private Bag 92019, Auckland 1142, New Zealand

² School of Biological Sciences, University of Auckland, Private Bag 92019, Auckland 1142, New Zealand

Correspondence to: Luitgard Schwendenmann (l.schwendenmann@auckland.ac.nz)

10





Abstract

Total soil CO₂ efflux and its component fluxes, autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration, were measured in a native forest in northern Aotearoa-New Zealand. The forest is dominated

- 15 by Agathis australis (kauri) and is on an acidic, clay rich soil. Soil CO₂ efflux, volumentric soil water content and soil temperature were measured bi-weekly to monthly at 42 locations over 18 months. Trenching and regression analysis was used to partition the total soil CO₂ efflux. The effect of tree structure was investigated by calculating an index of local contribution (*I_c*, based on tree size and distance to the measurement location) followed by
- 20 correlation analysis between I_c and soil CO₂ efflux, root biomass, litterfall and soil characteristics. The mean total soil CO₂ efflux was 3.47 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹. Using uni- and bivariate models showed that soil temperature (< 40%) and volumetric soil water content (< 20%) were poor predictors of the temporal variation in total soil CO₂ efflux. In contrast, a stronger temperature sensitivity (around 57%) was found for heterotrophic respiration.
- 25 Autotrophic respiration accounted for 25 (trenching) or 28% (regression analysis) of total soil CO₂ efflux. We found significant positive relationships between kauri tree size distribution (*I_c*) and soil CO₂ efflux, root biomass and mineral soil CN ratio within 5-6 m of the measurement points. Using multiple regression analysis revealed that 97% of the spatial variability in soil CO₂ efflux in this kauri dominated stand was explained by root biomass and
- 30 soil temperature. Our findings highlight the need to consider tree species effects and spatial patterns in soil carbon related studies.

Keywords: autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration, collar insertion, organic layer, litterfall, root biomass, soil water content, soil temperature, tree structure, trenching, New Zealand





35 1 Introduction

Soil surface CO₂ efflux (soil respiration) is the largest CO₂ flux from terrestrial ecosystems into the atmosphere (Raich and Potter, 1995; Janssens et al., 2001; Bond-Lamberty and Thomson, 2010a). Quantifying the magnitude of soil CO₂ efflux and examining the spatial and temporal heterogeneity of soil CO₂ efflux is critical in characterising the carbon (C)

- 40 dynamics in terrestrial ecosystems (Schlesinger and Andrews, 2000; Trumbore, 2006; Smith and Fang, 2010) as even a small change in soil CO₂ efflux could have a strong impact on atmospheric CO₂ concentration (Andrews et al., 1999; Rustad et al., 2000). Advancing the understanding of soil CO₂ efflux and its driving factors is also important to predict the effects of land-use conversion and climate change on the net C sink of the terrestrial biosphere
- 45 (Giardina et al., 2014).

Soil CO_2 efflux varies widely in space and time according to changes in various abiotic and biotic factors. Across terrestrial ecosystems soil temperature is often the main abiotic factor explaining temporal patterns of soil CO_2 efflux (Raich and Schlesinger, 1992; Jassal et al., 2005; Bond-Lamberty and Thomson, 2010b). Many studies show a positive correlation

- 50 between soil temperature and soil CO₂ efflux and this relationship is often expressed as a Q₁₀ function (relative increase in soil CO₂ efflux rate per 10°C difference) (van't Hoff, 1898; Lloyd and Taylor, 1994). However, other abiotic factors have been found to influence the temporal and spatial variation in soil CO₂ efflux. For example, several studies have shown a parabolic relationship between soil water content and soil CO₂ efflux with the highest soil
- 55 CO₂ efflux occurring at an intermediate soil water content (Davidson et al., 1998, 2000; Schwendenmann et al., 2003). Other soil factors driving the variability in soil CO₂ efflux in forest ecosystems include the quality and quantity of soil organic matter (Rayment and Jarvis, 2000; Epron et al., 2004) and microbial biomass (Xu and Qi, 2001).

Biotic factors that influence rates of soil CO₂ efflux include plant and microbial components.

- 60 Vegetation type and structure, are important determinants of soil CO₂ efflux because they influence the quantity and quality of litter and root biomass supplied to the soil and they also mediate the soil microclimate (Fang et al., 1998; Raich and Tufekcioglu, 2000; Metcalfe et al., 2007). For example, litter addition experiments have shown that increasing litterfall enhances soil CO₂ efflux (Sulzman et al., 2005; Sayer et al., 2011). A few studies have
- 65 investigated the effect of stand structure and tree size on soil CO₂ efflux in temperate (Longdoz et al., 2000; Søe and Buchmann, 2005; Ngao et al., 2012) and tropical forests





(Ohashi et al., 2008; Katayama et al., 2009; Brechet et al., 2011). Findings demonstrate that the spatial distribution of emergent trees strongly affects the root distribution and litterfall, partly explaining the spatial variation of soil CO₂ efflux (Katayama et al., 2009; Brechet et

70 al., 2011). Some studies show that soil CO₂ efflux at the base of emergent trees is significantly higher compared to soil CO₂ efflux at greater distances from the trees (Katayama et al., 2009; Ohashi et al., 2008).

Soil CO₂ efflux is the result of CO₂ production by heterotrophic and autotrophic respiration and gas transport (Fang and Moncrieff, 1999; Maier et al., 2011; Maier and Schack-Kirchner

- 75 2014). Heterotrophic respiration mainly originates from microbes decomposing plant detritus and soil organic matter while autotrophic (= root/rhizosphere) respiration comes from plant roots, mycorrhizal fungi and the rhizosphere (Hanson et al., 2000; Bond-Lamberty et al., 2011). The relative contribution of autotrophic respiration to total soil CO₂ efflux varies widely (10-90%) depending on the type of ecosystem studied (Hanson et al., 2000; Subke et al.
- 80 al., 2006; Bond-Lamberty et al., 2011). Various methods (i.e. trenching, regression analysis, isotopic methods) have been developed to separate heterotrophic and autotrophic respiration under both laboratory and field conditions and are described in the review papers by Hanson et al. (2000), Kuzyakov (2006) and Bond-Lamberty et al. (2011). Separating total soil CO₂ efflux into autotrophic and heterotrophic sources is important to more accurately predict C
- 85 fluxes under changing environmental conditions as heterotrophic and autotrophic respiration respond differently to abiotic and biotic factors (Boone et al., 1998; Davidson et al., 2006; Brüggemann et al., 2011). For example, heterotrophic respiration was found to be more susceptible to seasonal drought in a *Pinus contorta* forest (Scott-Denton et al., 2006). Other studies showed that autotrophic respiration in more temperature-sensitive compared to
- 90 heterotrophic respiration and total soil CO₂ efflux (Boone et al., 1998; Högberg, 2010). Soil CO₂ efflux has been measured in a wide range of mature and old-growth forests across the globe (Schwendenmann et al., 2003; Epron et al. 2004; Sulzman et al., 2005; Adachi et al., 2006; Bahn et al., 2010; Bond-Lamberty and Thompson, 2014). An exception to this are the southern conifer forests (but see Urrutia-Jalabert, 2015) including kauri (*Agathis australis*)
- D. Don Lindl. ex Loudon, Araucariaceae) forests in Aotearoa-New Zealand. Old-growth kauri forests are considered to be one of the most C-dense forests worldwide (Keith et al., 2009) with up to 670 Mg C ha⁻¹ in living woody biomass (Silvester and Orchard, 1999). Kauri is endemic to northern New Zealand (north of latitude 38°S) (Ecroyd, 1982) and is the





largest and longest lived tree species in the country. Kauri has significant effects on the soil
environment (Whitlock, 1985; Verkaik et al., 2007) and plant community composition (Wyse et al., 2014). Phenolic compounds in kauri leaf litter (Verkaik et al., 2006) and low pH values (around 4) (Silvester, 2000; Wyse and Burns, 2013) partly explain the slow decomposition rates of kauri litter (Enright and Ogden, 1987) which result in thick organic layers in undisturbed kauri stands (Silvester and Orchard, 1999).

- 105 Organic layers (= forest floor composed of leaves, twigs and bark in various stages of decomposition above the soil surface) are important C reservoirs (Gaudinski et al., 2000) and can be a considerable source of CO₂ efflux. Organic layers can also contain a large amount of roots which may result in increased soil CO₂ efflux (Cavagnaro et al., 2012). Mature kauri trees have an extensive network of fine roots which extends from the lateral roots into the
- 110 interface between organic layer and the mineral soil (Bergin and Steward, 2004; Steward and Beveridge, 2010). A recent study also showed that roots and root nodules of kauri harbour arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (Padamsee et al., in press). Roots cololonized by mycorrhizal fungi have been found to release more CO₂ than non-mycorrhizal roots (Valentine and Kleinert, 2007; Nottingham et al., 2010).
- 115 However, it remains unknown how much soil CO₂ is released from these C-rich southern conifer forests and which factors are driving the temporal and spatial variability in soil CO₂ efflux. It has been shown that kauri has a significant influence on soil properties but the influence of kauri tree distribution on soil carbon related ecosystem processes remains untested. Quantifying the magnitude of soil C loss and identifying the controls of this
- 120 significant C flux are essential for the assessment of the C balance of these C-rich and longlived forest stands.

The aim of this study was to determine the magnitude, components and the driving factors of soil CO_2 efflux in an old-growth southern conifer forest. The specific objectives of our study were: (i) to quantify total soil CO_2 efflux, (ii) to identify the factors controlling the temporal

125 variation of soil CO₂ efflux, (iii) to test the effect of kauri tree distribution on soil CO₂ efflux and soil properties, and (iv) to determine the contribution of autotrophic respiration to total soil CO₂ efflux. In order to achieve the objectives we measured soil CO₂ efflux in an oldgrowth kauri stand over 18 months. To separate heterotrophic and autotrophic respiration we used direct (trenching) and indirect (regression technique) approaches.





2 Material and methods

2.1 Study site

The study was conducted in the University of Auckland Huapai reserve. The reserve is a 15 ha remnant of forest surrounded by farmland (Thomas and Ogden, 1983) and is located

- 135 approximately 25 km west of central Auckland on the northern fringe of the Waitakere Ranges (36° 47.7' S, 174° 29.5' E). Within the long-term research plot (50 x 40 m), the diameter at breast height (DBH) of all trees ≥ 2.5 cm was measured, the species were identified and their location mapped (Wunder et al., 2010) (Fig. 1). The plot is dominated by kauri (770 stems ha⁻¹) with a basal area of 75 m² ha⁻¹, equating to approximately 80% of the
- 140 stand basal area (Wunder et al., 2010). Silver ferns (*Cyathea dealbata*) are also highly abundant (785 stems ha⁻¹) (Wunder et al., 2010). Less-numerous species are a mixture of podocarps and broadleaved species, including *Phyllocladus trichomanoides*, *Myrsine australis*, *Coprosma arborea* and *Geniostoma ligustrifolium*.

Total annual rainfall, measured from 2011 to 2013 at a weather station located in the vicinity

145 of the reserve, is approximately 1200 mm with 70% occurring during austral winter (June-August). Annual mean temperature is 14°C (Macinnis-Ng and Schwendenmann, 2015). The soils are derived from andesitic tuffs and are classified as Orthic Granular Soils (Hewitt 1992). The clayey soil is fairly sticky when wet, and hard and fragile when dry (Thomas and Ogden, 1983). The thickness of the organic layer varies between 5 and 15 cm and consists

150 mainly of partly decomposed kauri leaves and twigs.

2.2 Experimental setup

The long-term research plot was subdivided into six equal quadrats. Within each quadrant two soil CO_2 efflux locations (in total 12) were randomly located (Fig. 1). For each location

155 we measured the distance to the closest tree with a $DBH \ge 2.5$ cm. At each of these 12 locations, a cluster of measurements was made. There was one surface measurement and three inserted measurements as described below.

Soil CO_2 efflux was measured on the surface of the forest floor by gently pressing a polyvinyl chloride (PVC) ring attached to the soil respiration chamber (see below for details) down on

160 the forest floor during measurements to avoid cutting fine roots. The locations were marked with flags and kept free of vegetation. Surface (= total) soil CO₂ efflux was measured over 18





months from August 2012 to January 2014 at each location. These sample points were named Plot_Surface.

Next to the locations for surface soil CO₂ efflux measurements, a cluster of PVC collars (10

- 165 cm in diameter, 20 cm in height) was inserted in November 2011 and left in place over the measurement period. Here after, these sample points are known as Plot_Inserted. Three collars per cluster were spaced evenly around the circumference of a circle 2 m in diameter, with small adjustments in the spacing to accommodate large roots. Each collar was driven right through the organic layer and 1-2 cm into the mineral soil layer to cut off the roots
- 170 growing in the organic layer. In order to prevent CO₂ uptake, any vegetation inside the collars was regularly removed. The thickness of the organic layer at each grid point was measured using a ruler outside each collar. Efflux was measured from January 2012 to January 2014.

We used the trenching approach to separate heterotroph and autotrophic respiration. To avoid

175 disturbing the long-term research plot the trenching experiment was set-up directly adjacent to the research plot. In July 2012, six 2 x 2 m plots were trenched to 30 cm depth based on a preliminary study showing that the majority of fine roots (over 80%) are located in the organic layer and top 30 cm of the mineral soil. The trenches were double-lined with a water permeable polypropylene fabric and backfilled. During trenching, trampling and disturbance

180 inside the 2 x 2 m plots were avoided as far as possible.

Three types of measurements were conducted in the trenched plots. First, surface soil CO₂ efflux was measured at one location outside each trenched plot (Outside_Trench_Surface) in the same way as the Plot_Surface samples were measured (see above). Second, a collar was randomly placed outside each trenched plot (Outside_Trench_Inserted) and third, two collars

185 were randomly placed inside the trenched plot (Trench_Inserted). The collars were inserted 1-2 cm into the mineral soil layer as described above. Soil CO₂ efflux was measured 1 day before and 1, 3, 5, 7, and 14 days after trenching and then bi-weekly to monthly until December 2013.

190 2.3 Soil CO₂ efflux measurements

Soil CO₂ efflux was measured with a portable infrared gas analyser (EGM-4, PP Systems, Amesbury, MA, USA) equipped with a soil respiration chamber (SRC-1, PP Systems,





Amesbury, MA, USA). The CO_2 concentration was measured every 5 sec over 90-120 sec between 9 am and 2 pm local time and the change in CO_2 concentration over time was

195 recorded. Diurnal soil CO₂ efflux measurements conducted in January 2013 showed that soil CO₂ efflux rates between 9 am and 2 pm were comparable as there was not significant diurnal trend (data not shown).

Soil CO₂ efflux (μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹) was calculated as follows:

Soil CO₂ efflux (µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) = (Δ CO₂/ Δ t) x (P x V)/(R x T x A) (1)

- 200 Where ΔCO₂/Δt is the change in CO₂ concentration over time (t), calculated as the slope of the linear regression (µmol mol⁻¹ s⁻¹ = ppm s⁻¹), P is the atmospheric pressure (Pa), V is the volume of the chamber including collar (m³), R is the universal gas constant, 8.314 m³ Pa K⁻¹ mol⁻¹), T is the temperature (K) and A is the surface area of ground covered by each chamber (0.007854 m²).
- 205 Soil temperature (Soil temperature probe, 10 cm probe, Novel Ways Ltd, Hamilton, New Zealand) and volumentric soil water content (Hydrosense II, 12 cm probe, Campbell Scientific Inc., Logan, UT, USA) were measured concurrently in close proximity to each of the collars.

210 2.4 Litterfall, root and soil characteristics

Litterfall (including leaves, twigs, fruits, flowers, cone scales, etc.) was collected from twelve litter traps (pop-up planters, 63 cm in diameter) located next to each soil CO₂ efflux cluster within the long-term research plot (Fig. 1). Litterfall was collected bi-weekly from January 2012 - January 2014, dried at 80°C until constant mass was achieved, sorted and weighed

215 (Macinnis-Ng and Schwendenmann, 2015).

Organic layer and mineral soil samples (0-10 cm depths) were taken next to each collar with a core sampler in November 2011 (research plot) and July 2012 (trenched locations). Samples were ground and analysed for total C and N concentration using an elemental analyser (TruSpec, LECO Corporation, St. Joseph, Michigan, USA). Soil (LECO Lot 1016, 1007) and

220 leaf (NIST SRM 1515 - Apple Leaves) standards were used for calibration. The coefficient of variation was of 0.5% for C and 1% N for plant material (45% C, 25 2.3% N) and 1% for C and N for soil (2 – 12% C, 0.2 – 1% N). 10% of samples were replicated and results were within the range of variation given for the standards.





Organic layer and mineral soil samples (0-15 cm, 15-30 cm) were collected for soil analysis
and root biomass estimation adjacent to clusters 1, 3, 5, 7, 10 and 12 and the trenched plots.
Organic layer samples were collected from 20 cm x 20 cm quadrats. Mineral soil samples were taken using a 15-cm diameter steel cylinder. Samples were dried at 60°C (forest floor) and 40°C (mineral soil). Mineral soil samples were sieved at 2 mm. pH was measured in a 1:2.5 soil-water suspension (SensION 3 pH meter, HACH, Loveland, CO, USA). The organic layer samples were wetted and fine roots were manually picked with tweezers. Roots were

separated from the clay rich mineral soil by flotation. Roots were dried at 60°C until constant mass was achieved and weighed by size class (fine roots: < 2 mm, and small (coarse) roots: 2-20 mm). Litterfall, root and soil data are summerized in Table 1.

235 2.5 Data analysis

The individual collar fluxes per cluster (Plot_Inserted, n=3) and the two replicates per trenched plot (Outside_Trench_Inserted and Trench_Inserted) were averaged before further statistical analysis. Further, data from each for the 12 (plot) and 6 sampling points outside the trenched plots were averaged to calculate a mean for inserted samples for a particular

240 sampling date. Normality of the data distribution was examined using a Kolmogorov– Smirnov test.

Two methods (trenching and regression-analysis) were used for partitioning of total soil CO₂ efflux. In the trenching approach, the trenched plus inserted (Trench_Inserted) treatment represents heterotrophic respiration. Measurements from the soil surface (Plot_Surface and

- 245 Outside_Trench_Surface) represent total soil CO₂ efflux. Autotrophic respiration was calculated as the difference between total soil CO₂ efflux and the efflux measured from the Trench_Inserted locations. For the regression-analysis approach the heterotrophic respiration was derived analytically as the y-intercept of the linear regression between root biomass (independent variable) and total soil surface CO₂ efflux (dependent variable) (Kucera and
- 250 Kirkham, 1971; Kuzyakov, 2006). Autotrophic respiration was then estimated by subtracting the heterotrophic respiration from total soil CO₂ efflux.

Spatial characteristics of soil CO_2 efflux, soil temperature and volumetric soil water content were expressed using descriptive statistics (minimum, maximum, mean and median values, standard deviation, standard error, coefficient of variation). Differences in soil CO_2 efflux

among treatments (Plot_Surface vs Plot_Inserted; Outside_Trench_Surfave vs





Outside_Trench_Inserted and Trench_Inserted) and seasons were tested using a mixed model where treatment was considered as a fixed effect and sampling dates as a random effect.

To explore the abiotic environmental drivers of soil CO_2 efflux, univariate and bivariate empirical models were used to quantify the relationship between soil CO_2 efflux, soil

- 260 temperature and soil moisture. The models included linear (Gupta and Singh, 1981), quadratic (Kirschbaum, 1995), Q₁₀ (Davidson et al., 2006; Fang and Moncrieff, 1999), polynomial (Schlentner and Van Cleve, 1985) and a modified Arrhenius function (Lloyd and Taylor, 1994) (Table 3). Data from within the research plot and data outside the research plot (in the trenching experiment) were analysed separately due to differences in the number of
- 265 locations and measurement frequency. Coefficient of determination (R²) and root mean square error (RMSE) were used to evaluate model performance.

The influence of kauri tree size and distribution on surface soil CO₂ efflux, litterfall, root biomass and soil properties was tested using an index of local contribution (I_c). The I_c index was calculated for each tree as a function of (1) the trunk cross section area (S) and (2) the

- 270 distance (d) from the measurement locations following the approach described in Bréchet et al. (2011). The following functions were tested: uniform, $I_c = S$); linear ($I_c = S \ge (1-d/r)$); parabolic ($I_c = S \ge (1-(d/r)^2)$); exponential ($I_c = S \ge e^{(d/r-d)}$) and power ($I_c = S \ge (1-(d/r)^a)$)) where a is a coefficient of form and r is a fitted radius of influence (r, in m) (Brechet et al., 2011). It was assumed that all kauri trees had the same radius of influence (r,
- 275 i.e. the distance above which their contribution would become negligable). The relationships between litterfall, root biomass or soil CO_2 efflux and the sum of the I_c were assessed by using the coefficient of determination as a criterion to select the best model.

The spatial variability in soil CO_2 efflux was quantified at the plot scale using the coefficient of variation. Multiple regression analysis was used to assess the spatial controls (soil

280 temperature, soil moisture, organic layer thickness, soil C and nitrogen, root biomass) of surface soil CO₂ efflux.

Descriptive statistics, mixed model and multiple regression analysis were performed using SPSS v. 22 (IBM SPSS Statistics, IBM Corporation, Chicago, IL, USA). The univariate and bivariate soil temperature and moisture functions were done using Matlab (Version

285 7.12.0.635, The MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA). The local contribution analysis (I_c) was conducted using R (v3.1.0 R Development Core Team, 2005). Significance for all statistical analyses was accepted at p < 0.05.

10





3 Results

3.1 Treatment effects and seasonal variations in soil CO₂ efflux, soil

290 temperature and volumetric soil water content

During the study period, soil temperature and moisture varied with season (Fig. 2). Summertime soil temperatures peaked at about 17°C while minimum winter temperatures were around 11°C (Fig. 2) annual mean soil temperature was 14.2 ± 0.1 °C (Table 1). Volumetric soil water content (SWC) was highest during late winter/early spring with values

295 of 55% and soil was driest during late summer/early autumn with around 25% (Fig. 2). Annual average was $43.9 \pm 0.9\%$ (Table 1). Across the study period, an average of 1.9 ± 0.1 kg m⁻² litter fell at the sampling locations and the organic layer was 8.8 ± 0.9 cm thick (Table 1). Other description information is summarised in Table 1.

Surface soil CO₂ efflux rates (Plot_Surface) measured at 12 locations within the research plot

- 300 varied from $0.7 9.9 \ \mu mol CO_2 \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1}$ during the 18-month study period (Fig. 2). Surface soil CO₂ efflux was positively skewed with the mean larger than the median (Table 2). The mean surface soil CO₂ efflux (± SE), averaged over the 12 locations and all sampling locations, was $3.6 \pm 0.1 \ \mu mol CO_2 \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1}$. Higher efflux rates were measured during austral summer and early autumn (December-March, 2.7 - 4.7 \ \mu mol CO₂ \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1}) compared to winter
- 305 (June-August, 1.8 3.9 μ mol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹). However, differences among seasons were not significant (p > 0.05). In contrast, soil temperature differed significantly between summer (16.5°C) and winter (11.8 °C). We also detected significant seasonal differences in SWC with drier conditions during summer (mean SWC = 31%) compared to winter (mean SWC = 47%).
- 310 Collar insertion had a significant effect on soil CO₂ efflux (Plot_Inserted, Table 2). Soil CO₂ efflux from inserted collars $(3.0 \pm 0.1 \ \mu mol \ CO_2 \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1})$ was 17% lower compared to surface soil CO₂ efflux $(3.6 \pm 0.1 \ \mu mol \ CO_2 \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1})$ (Table 2). The overall temporal pattern (Fig. 2) of soil CO₂ efflux was similar between inserted and surface collars (Fig. 2). However, soil CO₂ efflux from inserted collars varied considerably during the dry summer in 2013. High
- 315 soil CO₂ efflux from inserted collars in April 2013 coincided with heavy rain events after a long dry period with high litter input (see Macinnis-Ng and Schwendenmann, 2015 for details). Despite significant differences in SWC and litter fall between summer/early autumn in 2012 and the same period in 2013, we did not find significant differences in inserted collar soil CO₂ efflux (p > 0.05) (Fig. 2).





320 Surface soil CO₂ efflux measured outside the trenched plots ranged from 0.6 to 6.9 μ mol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹ with a mean of 3.1 ± 0.1 μ mol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹ (Outside_Trench_Surface, Table 2). The temporal pattern of surface soil CO₂ efflux was comparable between plot and trench locations. However, the magnitude in surface soil CO₂ efflux differed between plot and trench locations with lower rates measured in trench locations (Table 2). In contrast, no

325 significant differences were found in soil temperature (14.4 vs 13.2 °C) and SWC (44.7 vs 44.2%) between plot and trench locations (Table 2).

Similar to the findings observed for the research plot, inserted collar soil CO₂ efflux rates (Outside_Trench_Inserted; $2.6 \pm 0.1 \mu mol CO_2 m^{-2} s^{-1}$) were significantly lower (17%) compared to surface flux ($3.1 \pm 0.1 \mu mol CO_2 m^{-2} s^{-1}$, Table 2). SWC was significantly

affected by collar insertion (Table 2).

Soil CO₂ efflux from Trench_Inserted collars was significantly lower (25%) compared to surface soil CO₂ efflux (Table 2). However, differences in soil CO₂ efflux between the Trench_Inserted (11% lower) and Outside_Trench_Inserted were not significant (Table 2). Volumetric soil water content in the trenched plots was significantly higher (56.8%)

335 compared to the untrenched locations (44%). In contrast, soil temperature was not significantly affected by trenching (Table 2).

3.2 Contribution of autotrophic respiration to total soil CO₂ efflux

- Mean autotrophic respiration derived from the trenching approach was 0.8 ± 0.1 μmol CO₂
 m⁻² s⁻¹. The contribution of autotrophic respiration to total soil CO₂ efflux (to 30 cm depth) was 25%. Excluding the roots from the organic layer through deep collar insertion showed that roots in the organic layer contribute around 17% to total soil CO₂ efflux. The proportion of autotrophic respiration to total soil CO₂ efflux tended to be lower during summer (December March) compared to winter (July September). However, differences were not
- 345 statistically significant due to high variability in autotrophic respiration, especially during summer (data not shown).

Surface (= total) soil CO₂ efflux (plot + trench; n = 18, mean = 3.47 μ mol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹; SE = 0.20 μ mol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹) was positively correlated with total root biomass to 30 cm depth (R² = 0.394, p = 0.042, intercept = 2.49 μ mol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹) (Fig. 3). Using the regression approach

350 produced a autotrophic respiration estimate of 0.98 μ mol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹. The proportion of



380



autotrophic respiration to total soil CO_2 efflux derived from the root biomass regression approach was 28%.

3.3 Effect of soil temperature and volumetric soil water content on the 355 temporal variability in soil CO₂ efflux

Univariate linear regressions between soil temperature or SWC and surface soil CO_2 efflux for the Plot_Surface and Plot_Inserted sample points failed to achieve high R² values (Table 3). Using a quadratic temperature function explained around 42% of the temporal variation in surface soil CO_2 efflux. Bivariate polynomial and hyperbolic functions resulted in higher R²

- 360 values ($R^2 = 0.537-0.585$) compared to univariate models (Table 3). However, the root mean squared errors (RMSE) for polynomial and hyperbolic functions were high compared to the other models implying a poorer fit. A considerably stronger soil temperature-soil CO₂ efflux relationship was found for the inserted collars. Soil temperature explained up to 57% of the variance of soil CO₂ efflux emitted from inserted collars (Table 3).
- 365 Volumetric soil water content explained less than 18% of the temporal variability in soil surface CO₂ efflux (Table 3). The quadratic function showed that volumetric soil water content was positively related with soil CO₂ efflux only when it was below 40%. Above 40% the correlation between volumetric soil water content and soil CO₂ efflux was negative.

Univariate linear and non-linar regressions for the Outsite_Trench_Surface,

370 Outside_Trench_Inserted, and Trench_Inserted sample points resulted in very low R^2 values, especially for the surface flux and inserted collars. A weak response of soil CO₂ efflux to soil temperature ($R^2 = 0.233 - 0.271$) was found in the trenched plots (Table 3). The small sample size (n = 6 locations) may explain the lack of strong correlations for these treatments.

375 3.4 Spatial variation in surface soil CO₂ efflux and environmental factors

The spatial variability of surface soil CO_2 efflux between the 12 locations in the research plot was relatively high, with a coefficient of variation (CV) of 43% (Table 1).

We found a good relationship between the tree local contribution index (I_c ,) and soil CO₂ efflux (Fig. 4.1b). The relationship was strongest (coefficient of determination, $R^2 = 0.342$, p = 0.030, linear model) within a radius of 5 m (Fig. 4.1a,b).





The spatial variation in total root biomass (0 - 30 cm depth; 0.9 to 8 kg m⁻²) was very high (CV > 95%, Table 1). Similar to soil CO₂ efflux, a radius of 5 m provided also the best correlation between root biomass and I_c (Fig. 4.2b). The coefficient of determination was R² = 0.985 (p = 0.021, univariate model, Fig. 4.2a,b).

385 Compared to root biomass and soil CO₂ efflux the spatial variation in litterfall (total amount over the 18-month period, 1.1 - 2.2 kg m⁻², Table 1) was small (CV = 20%, Table 1). We did not find any significant correlations between litterfall and I_c (data not shown).

Between 8 and 29 kg C m⁻² were stored in the 6 - 12 cm thick organic layer (Table 1). C:N ratio differed considerably between the organic layer (31-58) and mineral soil (13-19).

390 Differences in pH were greater among locations compared to differences between organic layer and mineral soil (Table 3). Except for C:N ratio in the mineral soil ($R^2 = 0.655$, p = 0.000, linear model, Fig. 4.3a,b), no correlations were found between I_c and soil characteristics.

Using multiple regression analysis revealed that most of the spatial variability in surface soil

395 CO₂ efflux within the plot could be explained by soil temperature and root biomass ($R^2 = 0.977$, Adjusted $R^2 = 0.953$, F = 41.972, p = 0.023).





4 Discussion

4.1 Soil surface CO₂ efflux: magnitude and temporal variation

Mean soil surface CO₂ efflux (3.47 ± 0.2 μmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹; 1315 ± 77 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹) measured
in this kauri dominated forest was higher than mean values from mature conifer and mixed conifer-hardwood temperate rainforests along the Pacific coast of North America (500 - 2300 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹; mean: 1100 ± 65 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹; n = 55) (Campbell and Law, 2005; Hibbard et al., 2005; Bond-Lamberty and Tompson, 2014) and southern conifer (*Fitzroya cupressoides* forests in southern Chile (500 - 800 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹; Urratia-Jalabert, 2015). Soil CO₂ emissions

- 405 from the kauri stand were also higher than efflux rates measured in other New Zealand forests. For example, approximately 1000 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ g were measured in a rimu (*Dacryidium cupressinum*, conifer) dominated podocarp forest in South Westland (Hunt et al., 2008) and annual soil CO₂ efflux in *Leptospermum scoparium/Kunzea ericoides* var. *ericoides* shrublands ranged between 980 and 1030 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Hedley et al., 2013). In
- 410 contrast, our values are within the range of values reported for mature unmanaged tropical moist broadleaf forests (900 -2000 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹; mean: 1336 ± 70 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹; n = 27) (Raich and Schlesinger, 1992; Schwendenmann et al., 2003; Bond-Lamberty and Tompson, 2014).

Our finding suggests that soil CO_2 efflux in a conifer dominated forest can be as high or even exceed the efflux rates from broadleaf forests. This is in contrast to previous studies which

- 415 found that soil CO₂ efflux in conifer forests are lower than those in broadleaf forests (Raich and Tufekcioglu, 2000; Curiel Yuste et al., 2005). However, these studies were limited to temperate locations and based on direct comparisons of sites where forest type was the principal variable differing among pairs. Mean annual soil temperature has been shown to be a good predictor of large-scale variation in total soil CO₂ efflux in non-water limited systems
- 420 independent of vegetation types and biome (Bahn et al., 2010). With a mean annual temperature of 14°C this study site was relatively warm compared to sites along the Pacific coast of North America partly explaining the high soil CO₂ efflux rates in this kauri dominated forest.

The amount of litterfall has also been associated with differences in soil CO₂ efflux at the

425 scales of biomes (Davidson et al., 2002; Reichstein et al., 2003; Oishi et al., 2013). Annual C input via litterfall in this kauri dominted forest was 410 and 760 g C m⁻² in 2012 and 2013, respectively (Macinnis-Ng and Schwendenmann, 2015). This litter C flux is substantially higher than those values from conifer and mixed conifer-hardwood forests in the Northern





Hemisphere (50 - 400 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹; mean: 164 ± 14 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹; n = 43; Bond-Lamberty and
Tompson, 2014; Holland et al., 2015). Kauri litterfall is within the range of values (110 - 700 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹; mean: 345 ± 30 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹; n = 22) reported for old-growth tropical forests (Chave et al., 2010; Holland et al., 2015; Lamberty-Bond and Tompson, 2014). High litter input, together with high annual temperature, can be another major factor explaining the comparatively high soil CO₂ efflux rate in this southern conifer forest. This is somewhat

- 435 surprising as one would assume that organic matter mineralisation and thus soil CO₂ efflux is reduced given the slow decomposition rate of kauri litter. In four kauri forests ranging from pole to mature forests mean residence times between 9 and 78 years were estimated for 8 to 46 cm thick organic layers (Silvester and Orchard, 1999). According to Silvester and Orchard (1999), sites with higher litter fall were accompanied by faster breakdown and no relationship
- 440 was found between litterfall and the depth of the organic layer. The organic layer in our study sites was only 5 to 15 cm thick. Possible reasons for a lack of litter accumulation and buildup of a thick organic layer are: removal and disturbance of the organic layer as a consequence of tree fall and removal of five large kauri trees in the 1950s (Thomas and Ogden, 1983) and stand age. Studies found that the proportion of lignin in litterfall increases in old-growth
- 445 stands and the change in the chemical composition of the litter layer coincides with the higher content of twigs and reproductive structures in older forests (Gleixner et al., 2009). The higher amounts of less degradable input in old-growth forests may lead to higher accumulation rates (Gleixner et al., 2009). Reduced organic layer thickness can also be explained by the topography of the study site (moderately to steep slope) as organic layer and
- soil thickness have been found to decrease with steeper slope angles (Quideau, 2002).
 While mean annual soil temperature partly explains the overall high mean soil CO₂ efflux measured in this forest, soil temperature was not a very good predictor of the temporal variation in soil surface CO₂ efflux. Independent of the regression model used, soil temperature explained a small share (< 40%, Table 3) of the seasonal variation in soil surface
- 455 CO₂ efflux. This value is lower than the values reported for temperate forest ecosystems in the Northern Hemisphere (Sulzman et al., 2005; Ngao et al., 2012; Bond-Lamberty and Tompson, 2014). The poorer correlation was partly a function of small temporal differences in soil temperature (< 5°C) compared to other temperate forests with a larger seasonal soil temperature amplitude (> 10°C) (Paul et al., 2004).





- 460 Volumetric soil water content explained less than 18% of the temporal variability in soil surface CO₂ efflux (Table 3). When SWC exceeded 40% a negative relationship between soil surface CO₂ efflux and SWC was found. Excess SWC may negatively affect CO₂ efflux rates by reducing soil aeration and thus CO₂ diffusivity (Janssens and Pilegaard, 2003). Further, low levels of oxygen as result of high SWC decreases activity of plant roots (Adachi et al.,
- 2006) and the heterotrophic decomposition of soil organic matter (Linn and Doran, 1984).This may be particularly relevant in the clayey soils under study.

4.2 Forest structure and the spatial variation in soil CO₂ efflux

The spatial variability (CV = 43%) of soil surface CO₂ efflux in this study is slightly higher
compared to other studies with similar numbers of measurements and/or plot size (32-39%; Epron et al., 2006; Kosugi et al., 2007; Brechet et al., 2011). The higher spatial variation might be related to differences in tree size and distribution across the plot. The stand is clearly dominated by kauri trees in all size classes (Fig. 1). However, kauri occurs in clusters around the four largest kauri individuals whose neighbourhood is generally characterised by

- 475 relatively few trees (see lower centre of Fig. 1). The influence of forest structure (here: kauri tree distribution and tree size, *I_c*) on soil CO₂ efflux is confirmed by the significant relationships between *I_c* and soil CO₂ efflux, root biomass and mineral soil C:N ratio. Previous studies have shown that kauri has significant effects on soil processes such as pH and nitrogen cycling (Silvester 2000; Jongkind et al. 2007; Verkaik et al. 2007; Wyse et al.,
- 480 2014). This is the first study showing that kauri exerts a substantial influence on soil C related processes. Our results also corroborate a study by Katayama et al. (2009) suggesting that the spatial arrangement of emergent trees in a tropical forest is an important factor for generating spatial variation of soil CO₂ efflux. Studies in European beech forests also shown that the combination of root, soil and stand structure help to understand the mechanisms
- 485 underlying soil CO₂ efflux and that forest structure has some influence on the spatial variability of soil CO₂ efflux (Søe and Buchmann, 2005; Ngao et al., 2012).

The relationship between soil CO_2 efflux and forest structure was strongest within a radius of 5 m (Fig. 4.1a,b). In a tropical forest, the strongest correlation between soil CO_2 efflux and forest structural parameters was within 6 m from the measurement points (Katayama et al.,

490 2009). A radius of 5 m also provided the best correlation between root biomass and I_c . As measurements of the lateral root extension are not available for kauri, it remains unknown if





this distance equals the maximum lateral extension of fine roots from the trunk or represents the distance where fine root density is highest. Based on observations, large lateral roots of mature kauri trees often extent beyond the width of the crown and an extensive network of

495 fine roots extends from the lateral roots into the interface between organic layer and the mineral soil (Bergin and Steward, 2004). The radial fine root spread in mature Northern Hemisphere conifer stands varies considerably (6 - 20 m) depending on site characteristics and stand structure (Stone and Kalisz, 1991).

In contrast to other studies (e.g. Brechet et al., 2011; Katayama et al., 2009), we did not find a

- 500 significant correlation between litterfall and forest structure. Tree size and architecture have been reported to affect the pattern of litterfall distribution on the forest floor (Ferrari and Sugita 1996; Staelens et al., 2004; Zalamea et al., 2012). However, despite a 3-fold difference in tree size across the plot we did not see a significant effect of tree size on total litterfall. This is also reflected in a small within-plot variation in litterfall (CV = 20%, Table 1). This is
- 505 confirmed by a litterfall study in four remnant kauri forests where a small variation in litterfall (CV = 17 - 26%) was found across a wide range of litter trap positions (Silvester and Orchard, 1999).

Spatial variability in soil CO₂ efflux was largely attributed to soil temperature and the amount of fine root biomass and associated rhizosphere, with 97% of the variation explained. This

510 implies a relationship with tree productivity which is in agreement with findings from other conifer forests (Janssens et al., 2001; Lou and Zhou 2006). Although roots accounted for less then 30% of total CO₂ efflux recent research has shown that both recent photosynthate and fine root turnover can be important sources of C for forest soil CO₂ efflux (Epron et al., 2011; Warren et al., 2012) as discussed below.

515

4.3 Components of total soil CO₂ efflux

Collar insertion through the organic layer into the mineral soil resulted in a 17% reduction in soil CO₂ efflux. Similar reductions were found in other ecosystems and demonstrates that collar insertion by only a few centimetres cuts off fine roots (Heinemeyer et al., 2011) and

520 contributions by ectomycorrhizal fungal mats (Phillips et al., 2012) reducing total soil respiration. Thus, collar insertion can cause underestimation of total CO₂ efflux. This may be a particular problem in ecosystems where large amount of roots and mycorrhiza are found in





the organic layer and at the interface between the organic layer and an organic rich mineral soil as in this kauri forest.

- 525 The partitioning of total soil CO₂ efflux into its main components: heterotrophic respiration (oxidation of soil organic matter) and autotrophic respiration (root and associated mycorrhiza respiration) remains technically challenging. Differences in the proportion of autotrophic or heterotrophic respiration to total soil CO₂ efflux might vary not only among species and ecosystems but also with the method used for partitioning total soil CO₂ efflux (Kuzyakov,
- 530 2006; Subke et al., 2006; Millard et al., 2010). However, both techniques used in this study, trenching and regression-analysis, showed similar results. The proportion of autotrophic respiration in this kauri was between 25% (trenching) and 28% (regression analysis) of total soil surface CO₂ efflux. The contribution of autotrophic respiration to total soil CO₂ efflux can account for as little as 10% to more than 90% worldwide (Hanson et al., 2000) but values
- of 45-50% are typical (Subke et al., 2006). Our estimate is at the lower end of values observed for Northern Hemisphere conifer and tropical broadleaf forests (30-70%, Epron et al., 2001; Högberg et al., 2001; Bond-Lamberty and Tompson, 2014; Taylor et al., 2015). This suggests that root/rhizosphere activity in this forest is comparatively low. However, a similar proportion of autotrophic respiration (23%) was estimated for a New Zealand old-
- 540 growth beech forest (Tate et al., 1993) and an old-growth Douglas-fir site in the Cascades, Oregon (23%) (Sulzman et al., 2005). Another factor accounting for the differences in values is the depth of trenching (Hansen et al., 2000; Kuzyakov, 2006; Bond-Lamberty et al., 2011). The contribution of autotrophic respiration may have been underestimated as we only trenched to 30 cm depth. It is recommended to trench to a depth beyond the main rooting
- 545 zone (Subke et al., 2006) and in some studies the trenched plots are dug down to the solid bedrock (Díaz-Pinés et al., 2010).

Total soil CO_2 efflux is not only directly affected by the amount of autotrophic respiration but also by the supply of C through root turnover and root exudates. The decomposition of root debris has been shown to increase microbial activity and thus heterotrophic respiration

(Göttlicher et al., 2006). Despite a low root/rhizosphere activity the total soil CO₂ efflux in a mycorrhizally-associated Douglas-fir forest was dominated by belowground contributions due to the large pool of rhizospheric litter with a relatively high turnover rate (Sulzman et al., 2005). In addition, root exudates containing carbohydrates, sugars and amino acids supply energy for the decomposition of soil C ('priming') (Högberg et al., 2001). Further, a recent





555 study showed that a common root exudate, oxalic acid, promotes soil C loss by releasing organic compounds from mineral-protected aggregates. This indirect mechanism has been found to result in higher C losses compared to simply increasing the supply of energetically more favourable substrates (Keiluweit et al., 2015).

Root activity may also affect physical soil conditions. In some studies, SWC and fine root

- biomass were negatively correlated (Coomes and Grubb, 2000; Ammer and Wagner, 2002).
 High uptake of water by kauri fine roots concentrated in the organic layer may lead to lower
 SWC and slightly higher soil temperatures (Verkaik et al., 2007; Verkaik and Braakhekke, 2007). The drier conditions at the base of trees might be an indicator of good soil aeration that enhances the diffusivity of soil CO₂ into the air (de Jong and Schapper, 1972; Tang et al., 2003).
 - The soil temperature soil CO₂ efflux relationship was stronger for the inserted and trenched locations (= heterotrophic respiration) (Table 3). This is in line with other studies and suggests a higher sensitivity of heterotrophic respiration to temperature than autotrophic respiration (Kirschbaum, 1995; Boone et al., 1998). Although not significant, autotrophic
- 570 respiration tended to be lower during the dry summer 2013 compared to winter. A decrease in autotrophic respiration with drought have been reported for temperatue and tropical forests (Zang et al., 2014; Brunner et al., 2015; Doughty et al., 2015). This is in contrast to other studies which reported that dry conditions enhanced the growth of fine roots in the surface soil resulting in higher proportions of autotrophic respiration (Bhupinderpal-Singh et al., 2003;

575 Noguchi et al., 2007).

5 Conclusion

580

considerable. Although the contribution of autotrophic respiration is comparatively low (< 30%), root biomass explained a high proportion of the spatial variation in soil CO₂ efflux. This suggests that, the total soil CO₂ efflux in this forest in not only directly affected by the amount of autotrophic respiration but also by the supply of C through roots and mycorrhiza. Any modification in root/rhizosphere will most likely result in long-term modifications of the

This is the first study quantifying the amount of soil CO₂ efflux in an old-growth kauri forest. Our findings suggest that the loss of soil CO₂ (1315 ± 77 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹) from this forest type is

soil CO₂ efflux. This is of relevance given that many kauri forests are threatened by*Phytophthora agathidicida* (Weir et al., 2015) which infects the roots and can lead to tree

20





death (Than et al., 2013). This study is also the first to confirm that kauri not only exerts a strong control on soil pH and nitrogen cycling but also on soil carbon related processes.Aspects of the species and tree size distribution control of soil CO₂ efflux highlighted in this

590 study demonstrates the need to include these parameters for better prediction of the spatial variability in soil CO₂ efflux.





Data availability

The data will be made available through figshare.

595

Acknowledgements

We thank Andrew Wheeler for his assistance in installing the soil CO₂ efflux chambers, setting up the trenching experiment, measuring soil CO₂ efflux and developing and R script for calculating soil CO₂ efflux; Roland Lafaele-Pereira and Chris Goodwin for assisting with

600 root sampling and sorting; Tristan Webb for helping with the soil CO₂ efflux measurements; Hasinur Rahman for analysing the soil samples and Lena Weissert for running the regression analysis in Matlab. This research was funded by a Faculty Research Development Fund grant from the Faculty of Science, University of Auckland to LS and CMN.





605 References

- Adachi, M., Bekku, Y. S., Rashidah, W., Okuda, T. and Koizumi, H.: Differences in soil respiration between different tropical ecosystems, Appl. Soil Ecol., 34, 258-265, 2006.
- Ammer, C. and Wagner, S.: Problems and options in modelling fine-root biomass of single mature Norway spruce trees at given points from stand data, Can. J. For. Res., 32, 581-590, 2002.
- Andrews, J. A., Harrison, K. G., Matamala, R. and Schlesinger, W. H.: Separation of root respiration using carbon-13 labeling during free-air carbon enrichment (FACE), Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 63, 1429-1435, 1999.
- Bahn, M., Reichstein, M., Davidson, E. A., Grünzweig, J., Jung, M., Carbone, M. S., Epron,
 D., Misson, L., Nouvellon, Y., Roupsard, O., Savage, K., Trumbore, S. E., Gimeno, C.,
 Curiel Yuste, J., Tang, J., Vargas, R. and Janssens, I. A.: Soil respiration at mean annual temperature predicts annual total across vegetation types and biomes, Biogeosciences, 7, 2147-2157, 2010.
 - Bergin, D., and Steward, G.: Kauri: Establishment, growth and management. New Zealand Indigenous Tree Bulletin No. 2, Rotorua: New Zealand Forest Research Institute, 2004.
 - Bhupinderpal-Singh, Nordgren, A., Löfvenius, M. O., Högberg, M. N., Mellander, P. and Högberg, P.: Tree root and soil heterotrophic respiration as revealed by girdling of boreal Scots pine forest: Extending observations beyond the first year, Plant Cell Environ., 26, 1287-1296, 2003.
- 625 Bond-Lamberty, B., Bronson, D., Bladyka, E. and Gower, S. T.: A comparison of trenched plot techniques for partitioning soil respiration, Soil Biol. Biochem., 43, 2108-2114, 2011.
 - Bond-Lamberty, B. and Thomson, A.: A global database of soil respiration data, Biogeosciences, 7, 1915-1926, 2010a.
- 630 Bond-Lamberty, B. and Thomson, A.: Temperature-associated increases in the global soil respiration record, Nature, 464, 579-582, 2010b.
 - Bond-Lamberty, B. and Thomson, A: A Global Database of Soil Respiration Data, Version 3.0. Data set. Available on-line [http://daac.ornl.gov] from Oak Ridge National Laboratory Distributed Active Archive Center, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA http://dx.doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1235, 2014.
 - Boone, R. D., Nadelhoffer, K. J., Canary, J. D. and Kaye, J. P.: Roots exert a strong influence on the temperature sensitivity of soil respiration, Nature, 396, 570-572, 1998.

Bréchet, L., Ponton, S., Alméras, T., Bonal, D. and Epron, D.: Does spatial distribution of tree size account for spatial variation in soil respiration in a tropical forest?, Plant Soil, 347, 293-303, 2011.

- Brüggemann, N., Gessler, A., Kayler, Z., Keel, S. G., Badeck, F., Barthel, M., Boeckx, P., Buchmann, N., Brugnoli, E., Esperschütz, J., Gavrichkova, O., Ghashghaie, J., Gomez-Casanovas, N., Keitel, C., Knohl, A., Kuptz, D., Palacio, S., Salmon, Y., Uchida, Y. and
- Bahn, M.: Carbon allocation and carbon isotope fluxes in the plant-soil-atmosphere
 continuum: A review, Biogeosciences, 8, 3457-3489, 2011.
 - Brunner, I., Herzog, C., Dawes, M. A., Arend, M. and Sperisen, C.: How tree roots respond to drought, Front. Plant Sci., 6, 2015.

610

620

635

640

23



670



Campbell, J. L. and Law, B. E .: Forest soil respiration across three climatically distinct
chronosequences in Oregon, Biogeochemistry, 73, 109-125, 2005.

- 650 Cavagnaro, T. R., Barrios-Masias, F. H. and Jackson, L. E.: Arbuscular mycorrhizas and their role in plant growth, nitrogen interception and soil gas efflux in an organic production system, Plant Soil, 353, 181-194, 2012.
 - Chave, J., Navarrete, D., Almeida, S., Álvarez, E., Aragão, L. E. O. C., Bonal, D., Châtelet, P., Silva-Espejo, J. E., Goret, J. -., Von Hildebrand, P., Jiménez, E., Patiño, S., Peñuela,
- 655 M. C., Phillips, O. L., Stevenson, P. and Malhi, Y.: Regional and seasonal patterns of litterfall in tropical South America, Biogeosciences, 7, 43-55, 2010.
 - Coomes, D. A. and Grubb, P. J.: Impacts of root competition in forests and woodlands: A theoretical framework and review of experiments, Ecol. Monogr., 70, 171-207, 2000.
- Curiel Yuste, J., Janssens, I. A. and Ceulemans, R.: Calibration and validation of an empirical approach to model soil CO 2 efflux in a deciduous forest, Biogeochemistry, 73, 209-230, 2005.
 - Davidson, E. A., Belk, E. and Boone, R. D.: Soil water content and temperature as independent or confounded factors controlling soil respiration in a temperate mixed hardwood forest, Global Change Biol., 4, 217-227, 1998.
- 665 Davidson, E. A., Janssens, I. A. and Lou, Y.: On the variability of respiration in terrestrial ecosystems: Moving beyond Q10, Global Change Biol., 12, 154-164, 2006.
 - Davidson, E. A., Savage, K., Bolstad, P., Clark, D. A., Curtis, P. S., Ellsworth, D. S., Hanson, P. J., Law, B. E., Luo, Y., Pregitzer, K. S., Randolph, J. C. and Zak, D.: Belowground carbon allocation in forests estimated from litterfall and IRGA-based soil respiration measurements, Agric. For. Meterol., 113, 39-51, 2002.
 - Davidson, E. A., Verchot, L. V., Henrique Cattânio, J., Ackerman, I. L. and Carvalho, J. E. M.: Effects of soil water content on soil respiration in forests and cattle pastures of eastern Amazonia, Biogeochemistry, 48, 53-69, 2000.
- De Jong, E. and Schappert, H. J.: Calculaton of soil respiration and activity from CO₂ profiles in the soil. Soil Science, 113, 328-333, 1972.
 - Díaz-Pinés, E., Schindlbacher, A., Pfever, M., Jandl, R., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S. and Rubio, A.: Root trenching: A useful tool to estimate autotrophic soil respiration? A case study in an austrian mountain forest, Eur. J. For. Res., 129, 101-109, 2010.
- Doughty, C. E., Metcalfe, D. B., Girardin, C. A. J., Amézquita, F. F., Cabrera, D. G., Huasco,
 W. H., Silva-Espejo, J. E., Araujo-Murakami, A., Da Costa, M. C., Rocha, W.,
 Feldpausch, T. R., Mendoza, A. L. M., Da Costa, A. C. L., Meir, P., Phillips, O. L. and
 Malhi, Y.: Drought impact on forest carbon dynamics and fluxes in Amazonia, Nature, 519, 78-82, 2015.
- Ecroyd, C. E.: Biological flora of New Zealand 8. *Agathis australis* (D. Don) Lindl. (Araucariaceae) kauri, New Zealand J. Bot., 20, 17-36, 1982.
 - Enright, N. J. and Ogden, J.: Decomposition of litter from common woody species of kauri (*Agathis australis* Salisb.) forest in northern New Zealand., Aust. J. Ecol., 12, 109-124, 1987.

24



695



	Epron, D., Bosc, A., Bonal, D. and Freycon, V.: Spatial variation of soil respiration across a
690	topographic gradient in a tropical rain forest in French Guiana, J. Trop. Ecol., 22, 565-
	574, 2006.

- Epron, D., Ngao, J., Dannoura, M., Bakker, M. R., Zeller, B., Bazot, S., Bosc, A., Plain, C., Lata, J. C., Priault, P., Barthes, L. and Loustau, D.: Seasonal variations of belowground carbon transfer assessed by in situ ¹³CO₂ pulse labelling of trees, Biogeosciences, 8, 1153-1168, 2011.
- Epron, D., Ngao, J. and Granier, A.: Interannual variation of soil respiration in a beech forest ecosystem over a six-year study, Ann. Forest Sci., 61, 499-505, 2004.
- Fang, C. and Moncrieff, J. B.: A model for soil CO₂ production and transport 1: Model development, Agric. For. Meterol., 95, 225-236, 1999.
- 700 Fang, C., Moncrieff, J. B., Gholz, H. L. and Clark, K. L.: Soil CO₂ efflux and its spatial variation in a Florida slash pine plantation, Plant Soil, 205, 135-146, 1998.
 - Ferrari, J. B. and Sugita, S.: A spatially explicit model of leaf litter fall in hemlock-hardwood forests, Can. J. For. Res., 26, 1905-1913, 1996.
- Gaudinski, J. B., Trumbore, S. E., Davidson, E. A. and Zheng, S.: Soil carbon cycling in a
 temperate forest: Radiocarbon-based estimates of residence times, sequestration rates and partitioning of fluxes, Biogeochemistry, 51, 33-69, 2000.
 - Giardina, C. P., Litton, C. M., Crow, S. E. and Asner, G. P.: Warming-related increases in soil CO₂ efflux are explained by increased below-ground carbon flux, Nat. Clim. Change, 4, 822-827, 2014.
- 710 Gleixner, G., Tefs, C., Jordan, A., Hammer, M., Wirth, C., Nueske, A., Telz, A., Schmidt, U. E., Glatzel, S.: Soil Carbon Accumulation in Old-Growth Forests. In C. Wirth, G. Gleixner, M. Heimann (Eds.), Old-Growth Forests: Function, Fate and Value (pp. 231-266). Berlin: Springer, 2009
- Göttlicher, S., Knohl, A., Wanek, W., Buchmann, N. and Richter, A.: Short-term changes in
 carbon isotope composition of soluble carbohydrates and starch: From canopy leaves to
 the root system, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom., 20, 653-660, 2006.
 - Gupta, S. R. and Singh, J. S.: Soil respiration in a tropical grassland, Soil Biol. Biochem., 13, 261-268, 1981.
- Hanson, P. J., Edwards, N. T., Garten, C. T. and Andrews, J. A.: Separating root and soil microbial contributions to soil respiration: A review of methods and observations, Biogeochemistry, 48, 115-146, 2000.

Hedley, C. B., Lambie, S. M. and Dando, J. L.: Edaphic and environmental controls of soil respiration and related soil processes under two contrasting manuka and kanuka shrubland stands in North Island, New Zealand, Soil Res., 51, 390-405, 2013.

- 725 Heinemeyer, A., Di Bene, C., Lloyd, A. R., Tortorella, D., Baxter, R., Huntley, B., Gelsomino, A. and Ineson, P.: Soil respiration: Implications of the plant-soil continuum and respiration chamber collar-insertion depth on measurement and modelling of soil CO₂ efflux rates in three ecosystems, Eur. J. Soil Sci., 62, 82-94, 2011.
- Hewitt, A. E.: Soil classification in New Zealand: legacy and lessons, Aust. J. Soil Res., 30,
 843-854, 1992.



740

765



- Hibbard, K. A., Law, B. E., Reichstein, M. and Sulzman, J.: An analysis of soil respiration across northern hemisphere temperate ecosystems, Biogeochemistry, 73, 29-70, 2005.
- Högberg, P.: Is tree root respiration more sensitive than heterotrophic respiration to changes in soil temperature?, New Phytol., 188, 9-10, 2010.
- 735 Högberg, P., Nordgren, A., Buchmann, N., Taylor, A. F. S., Ekblad, A., Högberg, M. N., Nyberg, G., Ottosson-Löfvenius, M. and Read, D. J.: Large-scale forest girdling shows that current photosynthesis drives soil respiration, Nature, 411, 789-792, 2001.
 - Holland, E.A., Post, W.M., Matthews, E., Sulzman, J., Staufer, R.and Krankina, O.: A Global Database of Litterfall Mass and Litter Pool Carbon and Nutrients. Oak Ridge National Laboratory Distributed Active Archive Center, doi:dx.doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC 1244, 2015.
 - Hunt, J. E., Walcroft, A. S., McSeveny, T. M., Rogers, G. N. and Whitehead, D.: Ecosystem respiration in an undisturbed, old-growth, temperate rain forest. Abstract, American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, 2008.
- 745 Janssens, I. A., Kowalski, A. S. and Ceulemans, R.: Forest floor CO₂ fluxes estimated by eddy covariance and chamber-based model, Agric. For. Meterol., 106, 61-69, 2001.

Janssens, I. A., Lankreijer, H., Matteucci, G., Kowalski, A. S., Buchmann, N., Epron, D., Pilegaard, K., Kutsch, W., Longdoz, B., Grünwald, T., Montagnani, L., Dore, S., Rebmann, C., Moors, E. J., Grelle, A., Rannik, Ü., Morgenstern, K., Oltchev, S.,

- 750 Clement, R., Guomundsson, J., Minerbi, S., Berbigier, P., Ibrom, A., Moncrieff, J., Aubinet, M., Bernhofer, C., Jensen, N. O., Vesala, T., Granier, A., Schulze, E. -., Lindroth, A., Dolman, A. J., Jarvis, P. G., Ceulemans, R. and Valentini, R.: Productivity overshadows temperature in determining soil and ecosystem respiration across European forests, Global Change Biol., 7, 269-278, 2001.
- 755 Janssens, I. A. and Pilegaard, K.: Large seasonal changes in Q10 of soil respiration in a beech forest, Global Change Biol., 9, 911-918, 2003.

Jassal, R., Black, A., Novak, M., Morgenstern, K., Nesic, Z. and Gaumont-Guay, D.: Relationship between soil CO₂ concentrations and forest-floor CO₂ effluxes, Agric. For. Meterol., 130, 176-192, 2005.

760 Jongkind, A. G., Velthorst, E. and Buurman, P.: Soil chemical properties under kauri (Agathis australis) in The Waitakere Ranges, New Zealand, Geoderma, 141, 320-331, 2007.

Katayama, A., Kume, T., Komatsu, H., Ohashi, M., Nakagawa, M., Yamashita, M., Otsuki, K., Suzuki, M. and Kumagai, T.: Effect of forest structure on the spatial variation in soil respiration in a Bornean tropical rainforest, Agric. For. Meterol., 149, 1666-1673, 2009.

- Keiluweit, M., Bougoure, J. J., Nico, P. S., Pett-Ridge, J., Weber, P. K. and Kleber, M.: Mineral protection of soil carbon counteracted by root exudates, Nat. Clim. Change, 5, 588-595, 2015.
- Keith, H., Mackey, B. G. and Lindenmayer, D. B.: Re-evaluation of forest biomass carbon stocks and lessons from the world's most carbon-dense forests, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 106, 11635-11640, 2009.
 - Kirschbaum, M. U. F.: The temperature dependence of soil organic matter decomposition, and the effect of global warming on soil organic C storage, Soil Biol. Biochem., 27, 753-760, 1995.



785

790

800

805



- 775 Kosugi, Y., Mitani, T., Itoh, M., Noguchi, S., Tani, M., Matsuo, N., Takanashi, S., Ohkubo, S. and Rahim Nik, A.: Spatial and temporal variation in soil respiration in a Southeast Asian tropical rainforest, Agric. For. Meterol., 147, 35-47, 2007.
 - Kuzera, C. L. and Kirkham, D. R.: Soil respiration studies in tallgrass prarie in Missouri. Ecology, 52, 912-915, 1971.
- 780 Kuzyakov, Y.: Sources of CO₂ efflux from soil and review of partitioning methods, Soil Biol. Biochem., 38, 425-448, 2006.
 - Linn, D. M. and Doran, J. W.: Effect of water-filled pore space on carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide production in tilled and nontilled soils, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 48, 1267-1272, 1984.

Lloyd, J. and Taylor, J. A.: On the temperature dependence of soil respiration, Funct. Ecol., 8, 315-323, 1994.

Longdoz, B., Yernaux, M. and Aubinet, M.: Soil CO₂ efflux measurements in a mixed forest: Impact of chamber disturbances, spatial variability and seasonal evolution, Global Change Biol., 6, 907-917, 2000.

Luo, Y. and Zhou, X.: Soil Respiration and the Environment, in: Soil Respiration and the Environment, 2006.

Macinnis-Ng, C. and Schwendenmann, L.: Litterfall, carbon and nitrogen cycling in a southern hemisphere conifer forest dominated by kauri (*Agathis australis*) during drought, Plant Ecol., 216, 247-262, 2015.

- Maier, M. and Schack-Kirchner, H.: Using the gradient method to determine soil gas flux: A review, Agric. For. Meterol., 192-193, 78-95, 2014.
 - Maier, M., Schack-Kirchner, H., Hildebrand, E. E. and Schindler, D.: Soil CO₂ efflux vs. soil respiration: Implications for flux models, Agric. For. Meterol., 151, 1723-1730, 2011.
 - Metcalfe, D. B., Fisher, R. A. and Wardle, D. A.: Plant communities as drivers of soil respiration: Pathways, mechanisms, and significance for global change, Biogeosciences, 8, 2047-2061, 2011.

Metcalfe, D. B., Meir, P., Aragão, L. E. O. C., Malhi, Y., da Costa, A. C. L., Braga, A., Gonçalves, P. H. L., de Athaydes, J., de Almeida, S. S. and Williams, M.: Factors controlling spatio-temporal variation in carbon dioxide efflux from surface litter, roots, and soil organic matter at four rain forest sites in the eastern Amazon, J. Geophys. Res. G Biogeosci., 112, 2007.

Millard, P., Midwood, A. J., Hunt, J. E., Barbour, M. M. and Whitehead, D.: Quantifying the contribution of soil organic matter turnover to forest soil respiration, using natural abundance d13C, Soil Biol. Biochem., 42, 935-943, 2010.

Ngao, J., Epron, D., Delpierre, N., Bréda, N., Granier, A. and Longdoz, B.: Spatial variability
 of soil CO 2 efflux linked to soil parameters and ecosystem characteristics in a temperate beech forest, Agric. For. Meterol., 154-155, X136-146, 2012.

Noguchi, K., Konôpka, B., Satomura, T., Kaneko, S. and Takahashi, M.: Biomass and production of fine roots in Japanese forests. J For Res, 12,83-95, 2007

Nottingham, A. T., Turner, B. L., Winter, K., van der Heijden, M. G. A. and Tanner, E. V. J.:
 Arbuscular mycorrhizal mycelial respiration in a moist tropical forest, New Phytol., 186, 957-967, 2010.





- Ohashi, M., Kumagai, T., Kume, T., Gyokusen, K., Saitoh, T. M. and Suzuki, M.: Characteristics of soil CO₂ efflux variability in an aseasonal tropical rainforest in Borneo Island, Biogeochemistry, 90, 275-289, 2008.
- 820 Oishi, A. C., Palmroth, S., Butnor, J. R., Johnsen, K. H. and Oren, R.: Spatial and temporal variability of soil CO₂ efflux in three proximate temperate forest ecosystems, Agric. For. Meterol., 171-172, 256-269, 2013.
 - Padamsee, M., Johansen, R. B., Stuckey, S. A., Williams, S. E., Hooker, J. E., Burns, B. R. and Bellgard, S. E.: The arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi colonising roots and root nodules
- 825 of New Zealand kauri *Agathis australis*, Fungal Biology, doi:10.1016/j.funbio.2016. 01.015, in press.

Paul, K. I., Polglase, P. J., Smethurst, P. J., Connell, A. C., Carlyle, C. L. and Khanna, P. A.: Soil temperature under forests: a simple model for predicting soil temperature under a range of forest types. Agric. For. Meterol., 121, 167–182, 2004.

- 830 Phillips, C. L., Kluber, L. A., Martin, J. P., Caldwell, B. A. and Bond, B. J.: Contributions of ectomycorrhizal fungal mats to forest soil respiration, Biogeosciences, 9, 2099-2110, 2012.
 - Quideau, S.A.: Organic matter accumulation. In: Encyclopedia of Soil Science, pp. 891-894, New York, USA, Marcel Dekker Inc, 2002
- 835 Raich, J. W. and Potter, C. S.: Global patterns of carbon dioxide emissions from soils, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 9, 23-36, 1995.
 - Raich, J. W. and Schlesinger, W. H.: The global carbon dioxide flux in soil respiration and its relationship to vegetation and climate, Tellus, Series B, 44 B, 81-99, 1992.
- Raich, J. W. and Tufekcioglu, A.: Vegetation and soil respiration: Correlations and controls,
 Biogeochemistry, 48, 71-90, 2000.
 - Rayment, M. B. and Jarvis, P. G.: Temporal and spatial variation of soil CO₂ efflux in a Canadian boreal forest, Soil Biol. Biochem., 32, 35-45, 2000.
 - Reichstein, M., Rey, A., Freibauer, A., Tenhunen, J., Valentini, R., Banza, J., Casals, P., Cheng, Y., Grünzweig, J. M., Irvine, J., Joffre, R., Law, B. E., Loustau, D., Miglietta, F.,
- Oechel, W., Ourcival, J. -., Pereira, J. S., Peressotti, A., Ponti, F., Qi, Y., Rambal, S., Rayment, M., Romanya, J., Rossi, F., Tedeschi, V., Tirone, G., Xu, M. and Yakir, D.: Modeling temporal and large-scale spatial variability of soil respiration from soil water availability, temperature and vegetation productivity indices, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 17, 15-1, 2003.
- 850 Rustad, L. E., Huntington, T. G. and Boone, R. D.: Controls on soil respiration: Implications for climate change, Biogeochemistry, 48, 1-6, 2000.
 - Sayer, E. J., Heard, M. S., Grant, H. K., Marthews, T. R. and Tanner, E. V. J.: Soil carbon release enhanced by increased tropical forest litterfall, Nat. Clim. Change, 1, 304-307, 2011.
- 855 Schlentner, R. E. and Van Cleve, K.: Relationships between CO₂ evolution from soil, substrate temperature, and substrate moisture in four mature forest types in interior Alaska., Canadian Journal of Forest Research, 15, 97-106, 1985.
 - Schlesinger, W. H. and Andrews, J. A.: Soil respiration and the global carbon cycle, Biogeochemistry, 48, 7-20, 2000.





- 860 Schwendenmann, L., Veldkamp, E., Brenes, T., O'Brien, J. J. and Mackensen, J.: Spatial and temporal variation in soil CO₂ efflux in an old-growth neotropical rain forest, La Selva, Costa Rica, Biogeochemistry, 64, 111-128, 2003.
 - Scott-Denton, L. E., Rosenstiel, T. N. and Monson, R. K.: Differential controls by climate and substrate over the heterotrophic and rhizospheric components of soil respiration, Global Change Biol., 12, 205-216, 2006.
- 865

870

895

- Silvester, W. B.: The biology of kauri (*Agathis australis*) in New Zealand II. Nitrogen cycling in four kauri forest remnants, New Zealand J. Bot., 38, 205-220, 2000.
- Silvester, W. B. and Orchard, T. A.: The biology of kauri (*Agathis australis*) in New Zealand. I. Production, biomass, carbon storage, and litter fall in four forest remnants, New Zealand J. Bot., 37, 553-571, 1999.

Smith, P. and Fang, C.: Carbon cycle: A warm response by soils, Nature, 464, 499-500, 2010.

- Søe, A. R. B. and Buchmann, N.: Spatial and temporal variations in soil respiration in relation to stand structure and soil parameters in an unmanaged beech forest, Tree Physiol., 25, 1427-1436, 2005.
- 875 Staelens, J., Nachtergale, L. and Luyssaert, S.: Predicting the spatial distribution of leaf litterfall in a mixed deciduous forest, For. Sci., 50, 836-847, 2004.
 - Steward, G. A. and Beveridge, A. E.: A review of New Zealand kauri (*Agathis australis* (D. Don) Lindl.): Its ecology, history, growth and potential for management for timber, New Zealand J. For. Sci., 40, 33-59, 2010.
- 880 Stone, E. L. and Kalisz, P. J.: On the maximum extent of tree roots. For. Ecol. Manage, 46, 59-102, 1991.
 - Subke, J., Inglima, I. and Cotrufo, M. F.: Trends and methodological impacts in soil CO₂ efflux partitioning: A metaanalytical review, Global Change Biol., 12, 921-943, 2006.
- Sulzman, E. W., Brant, J. B., Bowden, R. D. and Lajtha, K.: Contribution of aboveground litter, belowground litter, and rhizosphere respiration to total soil CO₂ efflux in an old growth coniferous forest, Biogeochemistry, 73, 231-256, 2005.
 - Tang, J., Baldochi, D. D., Qi, Y. And Xu, L.: Assessing soil CO₂ efflux using continuous measurements of CO₂ within the soil profile with small solid-stat sensors, Agri. Forest Meteorol, 118, 207-220, 2003.
- 890 Tate, K. R., Ross, D. J., O'Brien, B. J. and Kelliher, F. M.: Carbon storage and turnover, and respiratory activity, in the litter and soil of an old-growth southern beech (Nothofagus) forest, Soil Biol. Biochem., 25, 1601-1612, 1993.
 - Taylor, A. J., Lai, C., Hopkins, F. M., Wharton, S., Bible, K., Xu, X., Phillips, C., Bush, S. and Ehleringer, J. R.: Radiocarbon-based partitioning of soil respiration in an old-growth coniferous forest, Ecosystems, 18, 459-470, 2015.
 - Than, D. J., Hughes, K. J. D., Boonhan, N., Tomlinson, J. A., Woodhall, J. W. and Bellgard, S. E.: A TaqMan real-time PCR assay for the detection of Phytophthora 'taxon Agathis' in soil, pathogen of Kauri in New Zealand, For. Pathol., 43, 324-330, 2013.
- Thomas, G. M. and Ogden, J.: The scientific reserves of Auckland University. I. General introduction to their history, vegetation, climate and soils, Tane, 29, 143-162, 1983.



905



Trumbore, S.: Carbon respired by terrestrial ecosystems - Recent progress and challenges, Global Change Biol., 12, 141-153, 2006.

- Urrutia-Jalabert, R.: Primary Productivity and Soil Respiration in Fitzroya Cupressoides Forests of Southern Chile and Their Environmental Controls. University of Oxford, Oxford (DPhil Thesis), 2015.
- Valentine, A. J. and Kleinert, A.: Respiratory responses of arbuscular mycorrhizal roots to short-term alleviation of P deficiency, Mycorrhiza, 17, 137-143, 2007.
- van't Hoff, J.H.: Lectures on Theoretical and Physical Chemistry. Part I. Chemical Dynamics (translated by R. A. Lehfeldt), pp. 224-229. Edward Arnold, London, 1898.
- 910 Verkaik, E. and Braakhekke, W. G.: Kauri trees (*Agathis australis*) affect nutrient, water and light availability for their seedlings, New Zealand J. Ecol., 31, 39-46, 2007.
 - Verkaik, E., Gardner, R. O. and Braakhekke, W. G.: Site conditions affect seedling distribution below and outside the crown of Kauri trees (*Agathis australis*), New Zealand J. Ecol., 31, 13-21, 2007.
- 915 Verkaik, E., Jongkind, A. G. and Berendse, F.: Short-term and long-term effects of tannins on nitrogen mineralisation and litter decomposition in kauri (*Agathis australis* (D. Don) Lindl.) forests, Plant Soil, 287, 337-345, 2006.
 - Warren, J. M., Iversen, C. M., Garten Jr., C. T., Norby, R. J., Childs, J., Brice, D., Evans, R. M., Gu, L., Thornton, P. and Weston, D. J.: Timing and magnitude of C partitioning through a young loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) stand using ¹³C labeling and shade
- 920 through a young loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) stand using ¹³C labeling and shade treatments, Tree Physiol., 32, 799-813, 2012.
 - Weir, B. S., Paderes, E. P., Anand, N., Uchida, J. Y., Pennycook, S. R., Bellgard, S. E. and Beever, R. E.: A taxonomic revision of phytophthora clade 5 including two new species, phytophthora agathidicida and P. Cocois, Phytotaxa, 205, 21-38, 2015.
- 925 Whitlock, J. S.: Soil development in a kauri forest succession: Huapai scientific reserve. Unpublished Master thesis. University of Auckland, 1985

Wunder, J., Perry, G. L. W. and McCloskey, S. P. J.: Structure and composition of a mature kauri (*Agathis australis*) stand at Huapai Scientific Reserve, Waitakere Range. New Zealand Tree-Ring Site Report, 33, 1-19, 2010.

- 930 Wyse, S. V. and Burns, B. R.: Effects of *Agathis australis* (New Zealand kauri) leaf litter on germination and seedling growth differs among plant species, New Zealand J. Ecol., 37, 178-183, 2013.
- Wyse, S. V., Burns, B. R. and Wright, S. D.: Distinctive vegetation communities are associated with the long-lived conifer *Agathis australis* (New Zealand kauri, Araucariaceae) in New Zealand rainforests, Austral Ecol., 39, 388-400, 2014.
 - Xu, M. and Qi, Y.: Spatial and seasonal variations of Q₁₀ determined by soil respiration measurements at a Sierra Nevadan forest. Glob. Biogeochem. Cycl. 15 (3), 687–697, 2001.
- Zalamea, M., Gonzalez, G. and Gould, W.: Comparing litterfall and standing vegetation:
 assessing the footprint of litterfall traps. pp 21 36. in: Sudarshana, P., Nageswara-Rao, M. and Soneji, J.R. (eds). Tropical Forests. Intech, published online at: http://www.intechopen.com/books/tropical-forests, 2012



945



Zang, U., Goisser, M., Häberle, K., Matyssek, R., Matzner, E. and Borken, W.: Effects of drought stress on photosynthesis, rhizosphere respiration, and fine-root characteristics of beech saplings: A rhizotron field study, J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci., 177, 168-177, 2014.





Paramet	ter	mean	STDEV	SE	median	min-max	CV %							
Litterfal	l, ΣAug 12-Jan 14 (kg m ⁻²)	1.9	0.4	0.1	2.0	1.1-2.2	20.2							
Organic	Organic layer													
Thickne	ss (cm)	8.8	2.3	0.9	8.2	6.2-12.2	26.1							
Root bio	omass (kg m ⁻²)	0.8	0.9	0.3	0.3	0.02-2.7	115.6							
рН		4.85	0.57	0.23	5.06	3.88-5.51	11.8							
C/N rati	0	43.9	10.4	4.2	43.2	31.4-58.7	23.7							
Carbon	stock (kg m ⁻²)	18.7	7.7	3.1	18.4	7.9-28.9	41.2							
Nitroger	n stock (kg m ⁻²)	0.45	0.18	0.07	0.45	0.22-0.77	40.0							
Mineral	soil													
Root bio	omass, 0-15 cm (kg m ⁻²)	2.2	1.6	0.5	1.6	0.7-6.3	93.8							
Root bio	omass, 15-30 cm (kg m ⁻²)	0.7	1.2	0.4	0.4	0.2-3.9	97.7							
рН, 0-10) cm	4.68	0.52	0.21	4.91	3.75-5.13	11.1							
C/N rati	o, 0-10 cm	16.1	1.9	0.8	16.2	13.7-19	12.1							
Carbon	stock, 0-10 cm (kg m ⁻²)	8.4	1.9	0.8	8.6	6.0-10.7	22.7							
Nitroger	n stock, 0-10 cm (kg m ⁻²)	0.53	0.13	0.05	0.52	0.40-0.75	24.1							
Soil tem	perature (°C)	14.2	0.2	0.1	14.2	14.0-14.5	1.4							
Volume	tric soil water content (%)	43.9	2.1	0.9	44.3	41.2-46.1	4.9							

Table 1. Descriptive statistics for litter, root, and soil characteristics. Samples were taken in

955

32





Table 2. Descriptive statistics of soil CO₂ efflux, soil temperature and volumetric soil water content across treatments and sampling sites. Measurements were conducted between August 2012 and Janury 2014. Different letters after the mean value for a given variable indicates a significant difference. Samples were separated into plot and trench for the statistical analysis due to different sampling
960 designs.

Site/	Ν	n	Soil C	O₂ ef	flux	Soil temp	erature	Volumetric soil water content (%)					
Treatment			(µmo	I CO ₂	m⁻² s⁻¹)	(°C)							
			mean	STD	Med Min CV %	mean STD	Med Min CV %	mean STD Med	Min CV %				
				SE	Max	SE	Max	SE	Max				
Plot													
Plot_Surface	12	30	3.61a	1.54	3.37 0.65 42.6	14.2a 1.93	14.4 10.9 13.5	43.1a 11.7 44.7	15.2 27.1				
				0.09	9.96	0.11	17.5	0.65	66.6				
Plot_Inserted	12	30	2.98b	1.30	2.72 0.69 43.6	14.1a 1.94	14.1 10.9 13.8	44.7a 10.3 46.6	15.2 23.0				
				0.07	8.02	0.10	17.4	0.56	62.3				
Trench													
Outsite_	6	17	3.11x	1.34	2.92 0.55 43.0	13.1x 1.64	13.2 10.2 12.5	44.0x 11.1 44.2	17.4 25.2				
Trench_Surface				0.14	6.92	0.17	17.2	1.27	72.5				
Outside_Trench_	_6	17	2.58y	1.22	2.28 0.74 47.3	13.2x 1.72	13.1 10.2 13.0	48.1y 10.2 48.0	21.6 21.2				
Inserted				0.09	6.29	0.13	17.0	0.82	77.3				
Trench_Inserted	6	17	2.34y	0.96	2.14 0.67 41.0	12.9x 1.70	13.0 10.1 13.1	56.8z 8.4 56.4	20.2 14.8				
				0.08	5.30	0.14	16.9	0.74	76.5				

N = number of locations per site, n = number of sampling dates between August 2012 and January 2014, Med = median





	Surface					Inserted						Trenched+Inserted				
Model	Var	R ²	Adj R ²	RMSE	#	DFE	R ²	Adj R ²	RMSE	#	DEF	R ²	Adj R ²	RMSE	#	DEF
Plots																
Linear	Т	0.331	0.308	0.640	2	28	0.569	0.554	0.473	2	28					
Lloyd and Taylor	Т	0.000	-0.074	0.797	3	27	0.567	0.534	0.483	3	27					
Logistic	Т	0.406	0.362	0.614	3	27	0.569	0.537	0.482	3	27					
Q10 model	т	0.401	0.357	0.617	3	27	0.552	0.519	0.491	3	27					
Quadratic	Т	0.418	0.375	0.608	3	27	0.567	0.534	0.483	3	27					
Linear	W	0.036	0.000	0.756	2	28	0.489	0.470	0.525	2	28					
Quadratic	W	0.178	0.115	0.711	3	27	0.510	0.472	0.523	3	27					
Polynomial	T,W	0.537	0.501	6.409	3	26	0.589	0.557	5.571	3	26					
Q10 Hyperbolic	T,W	0.585	0.535	6.185	4	25	0.584	0.534	5.711	4	25					
Trench																
Linear	Т	0.000	-0.067	0.899	2	15	0.206	0.153	0.323	2	15	0.233	0.182	0.296	2	15
Lloyd and Taylor	т	0.000	-0.143	0.931	3	14	0.003	-0.139	0.375	3	14	0.271	0.167	0.299	3	14
Logistic	т	0.019	-0.121	0.922	3	14	0.196	0.081	0.337	3	14	0.271	0.167	0.299	3	14
Q10 model	Т	0.077	-0.055	0.894	3	14	0.208	0.095	0.334	3	14	0.233	0.123	0.307	3	14
Quadratic	т	0.149	0.027	0.859	3	14	0.208	0.095	0.334	3	14	0.254	0.147	0.303	3	14
Linear	W	0.023	-0.052	0.875	2	15	0.146	0.085	0.347	2	15	0.063	-0.003	0.330	2	15
Quadratic	W	0.115	-0.033	0.867	3	14	0.148	0.017	0.360	3	14	0.096	-0.043	0.336	3	14
Polynomial	T,W	0.376	0.272	8.864	3	12	0.333	0.231	8.603	3	13	0.063	-0.081	6.189	3	13
Q10 Hyperbolic	T,W	0.392	0.226	9.140	4	11	0.333	0.167	8.955	4	12	0.103	-0.122	6.305	4	12

Table 3. Comparision of univariate soil temperature (T) or volumetric soil water content (W) only models and bivariate T-W models for the different treatments.

 R^2 , adjusted R^2 = coefffient of determination; RMSE = root mean aquare error, DFE = Degrees of Freedom for Error; # = numer of fitted parameters; y = soil CO₂ efflux; x = soil temperature; z = volumetric soil water content, Equations: Linear T, W: y = a*x +b; Lloyd and Taylor T: y = a*exp(-b/(x+273.16+c); Logistic T: y = a/(1+exp(b*(c-x)); Q10 model T: y = a*b^(x-10)/10+c; Quadratic T, W: y = a*x^2 + b*x + c; Polynomial T, W: y = a + bx + cz; Q10 Hyperbolic T, W: y = (b^(x-10)/10)*((a+z*c+d/z))







Figure 1. Overview of the research plot showing the position of all trees ≥ 2.5 cm diameter (larger circles represent larger diameter at breast height), surface soil CO₂ efflux locations
(black filled square), inserted collars (clusters of three, red filled circle), litter traps (black filled triangle), root mass sampling locations (grey open stars).







Figure 2. Soil CO₂ efflux (A), soil temperature (B) and volumetric soil water content (C)
 measured in the research plot from August 2012 to January 2014. Values show mean ± SE of Plot_Surface and Plot_Inserted collars (n = 12). Volumetric soil water content was not measured in March 2013 due to equipment failure.







Figure 3. Regression of total root biomass to 30 cm depth vs total soil CO_2 efflux. Surface (= total) soil CO_2 efflux = 0.213 x root biomass + 2.49 ($R^2 = 0.394$, p = 0.042).







Figure 4. Relationships between the sum of local contribution indices of surrounding trees within the fitted radius of influence and soil CO₂ efflux (4.1.a,b), root biomass (4.2.a,b) and mineral soil CN ratio (4.3a,b). The arrows in panel a indicate the best coefficients of variation (highest R² value) with models shown in panel b. M1 = univariate model, $I_c = S$), M2 = linear model, $I_c = S \ge 1$ (1-d/r where S = trunk cross section area (S, in cm²), d = distance between the trees and the measurement point (d, in m), a = coefficient of form, r = fitted radius of influence (r, in m).

985