

Interactive
Comment

Interactive comment on “Gully geometry: what are we measuring?” by J. Casalí et al.

E.V. Taguas (Editor)

evtaguas@uco.es

Received and published: 26 May 2015

The evaluations of the referees were positive, nevertheless, all their questions have not been replied yet. I suggest the improvement of the manuscript following the points mentioned by the referees 1 and 2:

1. Relationships between DEM and gully width.
2. Specific applications of the de equivalent prismatic gully additional to the model AnnAGNPS's
3. Following the advice of referee 2, to discuss/develop the content of Figure 5 (analysis of the proposed methodology with different gully geometry datasets) in order to provide more details about its usefulness.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



4. To add the advantages associated to the standardization of gully measurements in different contexts (lines 13-16, page 326) in the conclusions.

Specific comments:

- Figure 3. Please, explain the content of the figures b) and c), in the figure caption and in the text (page 327, lines 15-19)
- Figure 4. Please, at the end of the figure caption 4, include the chapter of the text to follow the explanation.
- Figure caption 5, please correct “different”.

Interactive comment on SOIL Discuss., 2, 323, 2015.

SOIL

2, C192–C193, 2015

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

