

Interactive comment on “Gully geometry: what are we measuring?” by J. Casalí et al.

E.V. Taguas (Editor)

evtaguas@uco.es

Received and published: 26 May 2015

The evaluations of the referees were positive, nevertheless, all their questions have not been replied yet. I suggest the improvement of the manuscript following the points mentioned by the referees 1 and 2:

1. Relationships between DEM and gully width.
2. Specific applications of the de equivalent prismatic gully additional to the model AnnAGNPS's
3. Following the advice of referee 2, to discuss/develop the content of Figure 5 (analysis of the proposed methodology with different gully geometry datasets) in order to provide more details about its usefulness.

C192

4. To add the advantages associated to the standardization of gully measurements in different contexts (lines 13-16, page 326) in the conclusions.

Specific comments:

- Figure 3. Please, explain the content of the figures b) and c), in the figure caption and in the text (page 327, lines 15-19)
- Figure 4. Please, at the end of the figure caption 4, include the chapter of the text to follow the explanation.
- Figure caption 5, please correct “different”.

Interactive comment on SOIL Discuss., 2, 323, 2015.

C193