

1 Answers to the reviewers' comments:

2 Dear Mr. Fiener,

3 Thank you and all reviewers for your comments. In our revised version, we included
4 more of the relevant literature, more details on the results and reshaped abstract,
5 introduction, results and discussion. Additionally, we improved the English. We hope
6 that we have been able to respond on all comments to your satisfaction. Please find
7 below our detailed answers (line indication refers to revised manuscript):

8

9 **Reviewer #1:**

10 Dear reviewer,

11 Thank you very much for your comments and for highlighting further literature on soil
12 erosion and ROP measurements. This is a great help to improve our manuscript.

13 Detailed comments:

14 *1.) I found the paper of interest although some researchers will see this paper*
15 *with a methodological problem due to the small plots. This is however NOT a*
16 *problem as the number of measurements is large and contribute to understand*
17 *the soil erosion processes and the impact of the plant canopy.*

18 We fully agree that measurements with micro-scale ROPs raise certain constraints.
19 Thus, we tried to point this out in chapter 4, where we discuss about advantages and
20 disadvantages. Nevertheless, we also believe that micro-scale ROPs are appropriate
21 to determine e.g. vegetational influences by interplot comparison. Furthermore, we
22 think that the high number of measurements is a benefit to our statistical approach
23 with lme models, as you already mentioned.

24 *2.) See my minor comments to help the author attached. (Further literature)*

25 Thank you for your suggestions of further literature on soil erosion and its
26 mechanisms, such as vegetation cover and biota, which we added to the
27 introduction. Moreover, we agree that policies applied in China led to remarkable
28 changes concerning soil erosion. Nevertheless, the range of occurring soil erosion
29 rates is still high in southern China as it has recently been shown by Guo et al.
30 (2015).

31 *3.) See my minor comments to help the author attached. (Change t to Mg /*
32 *Figure 4)*

33 We changed the units from *t* to *Mg* in the manuscript and figure 4 has been improved
34 and font sizes changed.

35

36 **Reviewer #2:**

37 Dear reviewer,

38 Thank you very much for your comments and the positive valuation of our work. We
39 tried to reorganize and reshape the introduction, the results and discussion part and
40 our interpretation.

41 Detailed comments:

42 1. *Introduction: The introduction of the article introduces quite well into the*
43 *problem and gives an overview on both, soil erosion processes and the*
44 *problem of tree biodiversity or the functional traits. Nevertheless, the*
45 *introduction seems for me a little bit too long and I would suggest to shorten it,*
46 *especially at the very beginning. This would help to highlight the scientific*
47 *problem described.*

48 Thank you very much for this comment. We shortened the introduction, especially the
49 general overview on soil erosion at the beginning, to focus more on the scientific
50 question (l. 35-40).

51 2. *P 706, lines 25ff is IMHO redundant to the very well and clear formulated*
52 *hypotheses.*

53 This paragraph should briefly summarize the underlying mechanisms of our
54 hypotheses. We agree that this is redundant and it has been taken out to further
55 shorten the introduction.

56 3. *Methodology: The chapter of methodology includes a good description of the*
57 *study site and the experimental design.*

58 Thank you very much for this assessment.

59 4. *P 708, l 10: please explain (or rephrase) the apparent contradiction between*
60 *random selection of the plot placement and its installation on selected,*
61 *representative places.*

62 Thank you for this indication. For the whole setup of the BEF China experiment,
63 randomization played a major role. Not only the runoff plots but also the 25 m x 25 m
64 study plots have been placed randomly in the study area during the installation of the
65 experiment. Nevertheless, as the project comprises 13 subprojects with a large
66 number of different experiments, the selection of Very Important study Plots (VIPs)
67 and representative areas within them was necessary for practical reasons. Those
68 selected representative places still comprise an area of more than 200 m² each. We
69 rephrased this part in the manuscript to give a better explanation of the latter aspects
70 (l. 144-147).

71 5. *The description of the statistical analysis lacks in my opinion of a table with a*
72 *list and brief description of the parameters.*

73 The descriptive characteristics of the parameters (means, sd, ...) are presented in
74 Table 5 and a brief description of all parameters and the corresponding measurement
75 methods are given in the chapters 2.2 to 2.4, which have been slightly adapted.

76 To further address the reviewers recommendation, we added a short description of
77 all parameters to the caption of Table 5 and presented the statistical outcomes of the
78 mixed models (i.e. importance of the fixed effects analysed) in Table 2, which has
79 now been moved from the appendix to chapter 3.

80 *6. P 708, l 20: do not understand the meaning of "nested in plot" here.*

81 This term was introduced due to our hierarchical design. As we have repeated
82 measurements on one plot (5 ROP measurements and measurements during
83 different rainfall events), we needed to account for this to avoid pseudoreplication
84 considering the degrees of freedom in our hypotheses tests. That is why we
85 introduced ROP nested in plot and rainfall event nested in plot as random factors in
86 our model.

87 *7. Results: The results section is in general too short, and should be re-organised.
88 Especially a first chapter describing the plots data should be placed at the
89 beginning (emphasizing on common and differentiating data). This chapter
90 should be followed by the description of the interrill erosion data and only
91 afterwards the effects.*

92 Thank you for this indication. We agree that presenting the interrill erosion data first
93 and adding further information on plot data is reasonable and thus the result section
94 has been changed accordingly. We tried to answer our hypotheses "in line" (Chapter
95 3.1 and 4.1 are answering on hypothesis 1, 3.2 and 4.2 on hypothesis 2, etc.) and
96 focusing on tree species effects. Thus, we believe that when regarding our
97 hypotheses and the limitations of micro-scale ROP measurements, we should not
98 spotlight the sediment discharge itself and we should not overemphasize the
99 comparison to studies which are not focusing on the same vegetation impacts.

100 *8. Results: Also the tables included here in the appendix are in my opinion
101 crucial for understanding the processes measured and should be included in
102 the chapter.*

103 Tables A2 and A3 have been transferred from appendix to chapter 3.

104 *9. Discussion: The authors show here, as within the introduction, a good
105 knowledge of the recent research. But the chapter should be reorganised
106 similar to the results chapter.*

107 Thank you for this appraisal. Restructuring the discussion chapters has been done
108 accordingly the "results" section.

109 *10. In addition, here the authors do not explain clearly the different effects they
110 observed, especially concerning the different functional traits of the tree*

111 *species. Some more information and discussion about the effects of the trees*
112 *traits would make it also possible to expand the results to other regions, where*
113 *the other species are present. This point of a missing in discussion in depth is*
114 *especially evident when discussion the interrill erosion, as the authors mainly*
115 *discuss the accuracy of their methodology.*

116 We added further details and literature on the effects of crown cover, LAI and tree
117 height (l. 327ff.). Furthermore, the discussion on the methodological accuracy has
118 been shortened (l. 244f.).

119 As we mentioned in the conclusions a larger number of functional traits would be
120 desirable and should be included in further studies. A comparison with other regions
121 certainly is of great interest, but has not been in focus of this study. Moreover, further
122 research on the effect of different tree functional traits on sediment discharge to
123 compare with is scarce. We believe that this study should at first point on the effects
124 of tree species richness and functional traits in our research area and the discussion
125 of the interrill erosion rates themselves should not take a larger place than the
126 discussion of tree effects.

127 *11. Conclusions: The conclusions can be shortened as they contain not only the*
128 *overall results, but also some redundant information of the discussion.*

129 We agree on your comment and we have shortened the conclusions.

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138 **Marked up manuscript version:**

139

140 **Tree species identity and functional traits but not species**
141 **richness affect interrill erosion processes in young**
142 **subtropical forests**

143

144 **S. Seitz¹, P. Goebes¹, Z. Song¹, H. Bruelheide^{2,3}, W. Härdtle⁴, P. Kühn¹, Y. Li⁴**
145 **and T. Scholten¹**

146 [1] {Department of Geosciences, Soil Science and Geomorphology, Eberhard Karls
147 University Tübingen, Rümelinstrasse 19-23, 72070 Tübingen, Germany}

148 [2] {Geobotany and Botanical Garden, Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Am
149 Kirchtor 1, 06108 Halle, Germany}

150 [3] {German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDIV) Halle-Jena-Leipzig,
151 Deutscher Platz 5e, 04103 Leipzig, Germany}

152 [4] {Institute of Ecology, Faculty of Sustainability, Leuphana University Lüneburg,
153 Scharnhorststrasse 1, 21335 Lüneburg, Germany}

154 Correspondence to: S. Seitz (steffen.seitz@uni-tuebingen.de)

155

156 **Abstract**

157 Soil erosion is seriously threatening ecosystem functioning in many parts of the world. In this
158 context, it is assumed that tree species richness and functional diversity of tree communities

159 can play a critical role in improving ecosystem services such as erosion control. An
160 experiment with 170 micro-scale runoff plots was conducted to investigate the influence of
161 tree species richness and identity as well as tree functional traits on interrill erosion in a young
162 forest ecosystem. An interrill erosion rate of 47.5 $\text{t-Mg ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$ was calculated. This study
163 provided evidence that different tree species affect interrill erosion differently, ~~but~~
164 ~~higher~~ while tree species richness did not ~~mitigate soil losses~~ affect interrill erosion in young
165 forest stands. Thus, different tree morphologies have to be considered, when assessing soil
166 erosion under forest. High crown cover and leaf area index reduced ~~soil losses~~ interrill erosion
167 in the initial forest ecosystems, whereas rising tree height increased ~~them~~ it. Even if a leaf litter
168 cover was not present, remaining soil surface cover by stones and biological soil crusts was
169 the most important driver for soil erosion control. Furthermore, soil organic matter had a
170 decreasing influence on interrill erosion. Long-term monitoring of soil erosion under closing
171 tree canopies is necessary and a wide range of functional tree traits should be ~~taken into~~
172 ~~consideration~~ considered in future research.

173

174 1 Introduction

175 Soil erosion is ~~seriously threatening natural and agricultural ecosystems in many parts of the~~
176 ~~world. Therefore, it is~~ considered as one of the most severe environmental challenges globally
177 (Morgan, 2005). ~~Pimentel and Kounang (1998) stated that about 75 billion tons of soil are~~
178 ~~eroded at global scale every year and soil is lost 13 to 40 times faster than it can regenerate.~~
179 ~~Soil erosion~~ It is also a serious challenge in the PR China, especially in the southern tropical
180 and subtropical zone. Although important improvements in erosion control have been
181 achieved in this area in the last decades (Zhao et al., 2013). ~~Within this region, the annual soil~~
182 ~~loss rate ranges between, the annual soil loss rates range between~~ 0.28 tMg ha^{-1} and 113 Mgt
183 ha^{-1} , ~~depending on the annual precipitation, the landscape and the land use~~ (Guo et al., 2015).

184 ~~Besides negative on-site effects like declining soil fertility, off-site effects triggered by the~~
185 ~~transport of sediment and included nutrients as well as pollutants cause high mitigation efforts~~
186 ~~and costs (Pimentel et al., 1995; Richter, 1998) and affect nutrient cycling and ecosystem~~
187 ~~functioning (Baumann et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2009). Thereby, soil erosion is negatively~~
188 ~~affecting e.g. soil fertility or nutrient cycling (Pimentel et al., 1995; Richter, 1998).~~

Formatiert: Französisch (Frankreich)

189
190 Moreover, soil erosion can negatively influence biodiversity (Pimentel and Kounang, 1998),
191 but it is assumed that this relationship also acts vice versa (Körner and Spehn, 2002; Geißler
192 et al., 2012b; Brevik et al., 2015). It has been shown that a change in biodiversity can have
193 remarkable effects on ecosystem functions and stability (e.g. Hooper et al., 2005; Scherer-
194 Lorenzen, 2005). In many cases, increasing biodiversity enhanced ecosystem productivity and
195 stability (Loreau, 2001; Jacob et al., 2010). In particular, tree species richness as well as
196 functional diversity of tree communities can play a critical role in improving ecosystem
197 services such as water filtration; ~~or climate regulation~~ ~~or erosion control~~ (Quijas et al., 2012;
198 Chisholm et al., 2013; Scherer-Lorenzen, 2014). As forests are generally considered
199 beneficial for erosion control, afforestation is a common measure of soil protection (Romero-
200 Diaz et al., 2010; Jiao et al., 2012). This also applies to the south-eastern part of China, which
201 is known as a hotspot of biodiversity and woody plants (Barthlott et al., 2005; Bruelheide et
202 al., 2011). Guo et al. (2015) showed that forests in this area experienced the lowest soil loss
203 rates of all land use types in China. Considering that studies on soil erosion under forest have
204 mostly focused on deforestation (Blanco-Canqui and Lal, 2008) and counteracting measures
205 like afforestation generally result in monoculture stands (Puettmann et al., 2009), it appears
206 that the role of tree species richness for soil erosion has been largely disregarded. ~~Although~~
207 ~~positive effects of mixed species tree stands like increasing productivity or reduced pest risks~~
208 ~~were demonstrated (e.g. Vilà et al., 2007; Bausus and Schmerbeck, 2010), the effects on~~

Feldfunktion geändert

209 | ~~erosion control are still unclear.~~ Zhou et al. (2002) and Tsujimura et al. (2006) demonstrated
210 that tree monocultures have only limited mitigation potential for soil losses, but further
211 research is scarce. Nevertheless, there is growing evidence that higher species richness can
212 reduce soil erosion (Körner and Spehn, 2002). Bautista et al. (2007) pointed out that an
213 increase in functional diversity within a perennial vegetation cover decreased soil losses in a
214 semiarid Mediterranean landscape. Pohl et al. (2009) showed that an increase in the diversity
215 of root types led to higher soil stability on an alpine grassy hillslope and most recently
216 Berendse et al. (2015) found that a loss of grass species diversity reduced erosion resistance
217 on a dike slope.

218 Conceivable mechanisms underlying positive species richness effects on soil erosion are that
219 vegetation covers with a high number of species include a high number of plant functional
220 groups which complement one another. Thus, they are more effective in controlling erosion
221 processes than vegetative covers with few species (Pohl et al., 2012). For example, a high tree
222 species richness may result in an increased stratification of canopy layers (Lang et al., 2010).
223 As a consequence, crown overlap, biomass density and total canopy cover often are higher in
224 mixtures than in monocultures (Lang et al., 2012). In addition, a highly diverse structure
225 within the leaf litter layer on the forest floor seems to improve its protecting effect (Martin et
226 al., 2010). Recently, Seitz et al. (2015) pointed out that sediment discharge depends on the
227 species identities in the leaf litter cover, whereas there was no effect of leaf species richness
228 or functional diversity on soil erosion. Further research on the influence of tree species
229 richness on erosion control seems to be necessary, but the complex system of interacting
230 functional groups within the vegetation cover is also of great interest.

231 Vegetation covers are generally considered a key factor for the occurrence and dimension of
232 soil erosion (Thornes, 1990; Hupp et al., 1995; Morgan, 2005). A leaf litter layer on the forest
233 floor, for example, protects the soil from direct raindrop impact and modifies the water flow

234 and storage capacities on the soil surface (Kim et al., 2014). Moreover, forests can provide a
235 multi-storey canopy layer which largely influences rain throughfall patterns and leads to the
236 capture of raindrops as well as the storage of water within the tree crown (Puigdefábregas,
237 2005). Nevertheless, large drops can be formed at leaf apices of tall trees (Geißler et al.,
238 2012a) and thus may increase the kinetic energy of throughfall in older forest stands up to a
239 factor of 2.6 compared to open fields (Nanko et al., 2008; [Nanko et al., 2015](#)). This leads to
240 considerable soil loss if the forest floor is unprotected, which may be the case if protecting
241 layers diminish e.g. under shady conditions (Onda et al., 2010) or fast decomposition
242 (Razafindrabe et al., 2010). Whereas the effects of soil surface covers on soil erosion is well
243 studied (Thornes, 1990; Blanco-Canqui and Lal, 2008), much less is known about the
244 influence of species-specific functional traits of the tree layer such as crown or stem
245 characteristics (Lavorel and Garnier, 2002; Guerrero-Campo et al., 2008). Moreover, most
246 research on the latter aspects was performed in old-grown forests (e.g. Zhou et al., 2002;
247 Nanko et al., 2008; Geißler et al., 2012a), whereas forests in an early-successional stage are
248 rarely mentioned. In those young forests, tree heights are lower than in later stages, but
249 structural and spatial complexity is high and species-specific growth rates differ considerably
250 (Swanson et al., 2011). It is assumed that these species-specific differences in structure and
251 growth will influence soil erosion rates.

252 This research focused on the influence of tree species richness, tree species identity and
253 species-specific functional traits on interrill erosion in young forests, when a leaf litter cover
254 is not present. Testing for those effects on soil erosion requires a common garden situation, in
255 which confounding factors such as different tree ages and sizes, inclination or soil conditions
256 are closely controlled. These requirements were met in the forest biodiversity-ecosystem
257 functioning experiment in subtropical China (BEF-China; cf. Bruelheide et al., 2014). Within
258 this experiment, ~~a high number of 170~~ micro-scale runoff plots ~~was were~~ established in a

259 randomly dispersed and replicated design. Thereby, the following hypotheses were
260 postulated:

- 261 1. Increasing tree species richness decreases soil-interrill erosion rates.
- 262 2. Tree species differ in their impact on soil-interrill erosion rates.
- 263 3. The effects of different tree species on soil-interrill erosion rates can be explained by
264 species-specific functional traits.

265 ~~First, it is hypothesized that higher tree species richness leads to lower soil erosion rates. This~~
266 ~~is due to higher stratified and overlapping tree canopies, even when a leaf litter cover is not~~
267 ~~present. Second, it is presumed that soil erosion rates change in relation to different tree~~
268 ~~species due to species-specific functional traits. Third, it is hypothesized that tree height and~~
269 ~~canopy characteristics are good predictors for soil erosion rates.~~

270 **2 Methodology**

271 **2.1 Study site and experimental design**

272 The study was conducted in Xingangshan, Jiangxi Province, PR China (29°06.450' N and
273 117°55.450' E) at the experimental sites A and B of the BEF China project (Bruehlheide et al.,
274 2014). Together, both sites comprise an area of about 50 ha in a mountainous landscape with
275 an elevation range from 100 m to 265 m a.s.l.. Slopes range from 15 ° to 41 °. The bedrock of
276 the experimental site consists of non-calcareous slates with varying sand and silt contents and
277 is intermittent by siliceous-rich joints. Prevailing soil types are Cambisols with Anthrosols in
278 downslope positions and Gleysols in valleys (cf. IUSS, 2006) covering saprolites. Soil bulk
279 density is low (0.98 g cm⁻³) and soil reaction acidic (mean pH in KCl 3.68). Soil texture
280 ranges from silt loam to silty clay loam. The climate in Xingangshan is humid and subtropical
281 and ranked as Cwa after the Köppen-Geiger classification. It is characterized by an annual

282 average temperature of 17.4 °C and a mean annual rainfall of 1635 mm (Goebes et al.,
283 2015b).

284 The experimental area has been used as a commercial forest plantation (*Cunninghamia*
285 *lanceolata* and *Pinus massoniana*) until 2007. It was clear-cut and replanted in 2009-2010
286 following an experimental plot-based design with different extinction scenarios (Bruehlheide et
287 al., 2014). The experimental site represented an early successional stage with tree ages from
288 four to five years at the time of measurements. In total, 566 experimental plots were
289 established using a pool of 40 native tree species, as well as bare ground and free succession
290 plots. Trees were planted randomly in seven species richness levels (div0, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 24)
291 with a planting distance of 1.29 m, following a broken stick design (Bruehlheide et al., 2014).
292 This study focused on the Very Intensively studied Plots (VIPs) of which 34 were used in this
293 study. The monocultures with tree heights lower than 1 m or crown covers less than 10 %
294 were excluded from the analysis. The selected set comprised a bare ground feature (4 × div0)
295 and four levels of tree species richness (20 × div1, 4 × div8, 4 × div16 and 2 × div24) with a
296 total of 22 tree species, two of which only appeared in mixtures (Appendix Table A1).

297 **2.2 Erosion measurements**

298 To determine initial sediment discharge and surface runoff, micro-scale runoff plots (ROP,
299 0.4 m × 0.4 m) were used (cf. Seitz et al., 2015; without fauna treatment). Each ROP was
300 connected to a 20 L reservoir and a rainfall gauge was placed next to it (Fig. 1). All 34 VIPs
301 were equipped with five ROPs each, resulting in a total number of 170 ROPs. Within each
302 VIP, ~~the ROPs were placed randomly in selected areas~~ areas of 220 m² were sectioned for
303 ROP measurements to avoid interferences with other BEF China experiments, which Those
304 selected areas were representative for the range of surface properties in the ~~study area~~ plot and
305 the ROPs were placed randomly therein. All leaf litter was removed from the ROPs prior to
306 measurements. The ROPs were operated in May and June 2013 during the rainy season.

Formatiert: Hochgestellt

307 Runoff volume and rainfall amount were determined in situ and sediment was assessed after
308 sampling by drying at 40 °C and weighing. The capacity of the reservoirs was not exceeded in
309 any rainfall event.

310 [Figure 1]

311 At each ROP (n=170), tree crown cover and leaf area index (LAI) were measured using a
312 fish-eye camera system (Nikon D100 with Nikon AF G DX 180°) and the HemiView V.8
313 software (Delta-T devices, Cambridge, UK). Total tree height, stem diameter at 5 cm above
314 ground (hereafter, stem diameter) and crown width for each tree individual were measured to
315 represent the tree characteristics (Li et al., 2014). Soil surface cover was measured
316 photogrammetrically (grid quadrat method with GIMP 2.8) and slope with an inclinometer at
317 each ROP (n=170), respectively. Soil texture and soil organic matter (SOM) were identified
318 for each VIP (5 cm depth, 9 replicates, n=34) using a SediGraph III 5120 (Micromeritics,
319 Aachen, Germany) and a Vario EL III elemental analyser (Elementar, Hanau, Germany).
320 ~~Furthermore, pH was measured in 1 M KCl using Sentix 81 electrodes.~~

321 **2.3 Rainfall patterns**

322 Weather conditions were recorded by an on-site climate station (ecoTech datalogger with
323 Vaisala weather transmitter and ecoTech tipping bucket balance) in 5-min intervals. The total
324 precipitation in the study area in 2013 was 1205 mm and lower than the mean of the
325 preceding three years (1635 mm). Of this amount, a fraction of 957 mm (33 events) were
326 strong enough to trigger soil erosion following Wischmeier and Smith (1978) who used an
327 event threshold of 12.7 mm. This threshold was confirmed by Yin et al. (2007) to be valid for
328 southeast China. In total, 10 rainfall events were captured at the study area in May and June.
329 Four of those events (E1 - E4) can be considered erosive. The total rainfall amount from May
330 to June was 185 mm, of which 135 mm fell during erosive rainfall events. The mean and peak

Feldfunktion geändert

331 intensities as well as the total rainfall amount (except for E4) increased from May to June
332 (Table 1), reflecting a growing monsoon influence from beginning to mid-summer.

333 [Table 1]

334 **2.4 Statistical analysis**

335 Linear mixed effects models with restricted maximum likelihood were performed with R
336 3.0.2 (R Core Team, 2013) and “lmerTest” (Kuznetsova et al., 2014) to investigate the
337 influences on sediment discharge. Models were fitted with crown cover, leaf area index, tree
338 height, stem diameter, crown width, slope, surface cover, SOM, amount of precipitation and
339 tree species richness as fixed effects. As random effects, precipitation event nested in plot,
340 tree composition, site and ROP nested in plot were used. Tree and crown characteristics were
341 fitted one after the other, because they were highly correlated. Contrasts of diversity levels
342 (div0 to div1-24, div1 to div8-24) were introduced to quantify the effects of bare plots vs. tree
343 plantations-plots and tree monocultures vs. mixtures, respectively. The effect of individual
344 tree species (div1) was tested separately against the mean sediment discharge using crown
345 cover, slope, surface cover, SOM and amount of precipitation as fixed factors and site and
346 ROP nested in plot as random factor (n=200). The maximum likelihood approach was used to
347 obtain model simplification by step-wise backward selection, eliminating the least significant
348 variable except for tree species richness. If multicollinearity was detected (spearman $\rho > 0.7$),
349 co-variables were omitted. All variables were continuous and scaled, so model estimates
350 could be compared. The data was log-transformed and the residuals did not show any
351 deviation from normality. Hypotheses were tested with an ANOVA type 3 with Satterthwaite
352 approximation for degrees of freedom and p-values were obtained by likelihood ratio tests.

353

354 **3 Results**

355 The results were based on 334 valid ROP measurements out of a total of 378
356 measurements. Sediment discharge over all VIPs and rainfall events ranged from 14 g m⁻² to
357 920 g m⁻² per ROP. Event-based mean sediment discharge increased with peak intensity from
358 precipitation event 1 to event 4 with 42 g m⁻² (E1), 85 g m⁻² (E2), 120 g m⁻² (E3) and 283 g m⁻²
359 (E4). The interrill soil erosion rate determined by micro-scale ROPs and extrapolated for all
360 erosive precipitation events (>12.7 mm rainfall amount) in 2013 was estimated to be 47.5 Mg
361 ha⁻¹.

362

363 **3.1 Species richness effects on interrill erosion processes**

364 Tree species richness did not affect sediment discharge or runoff (Table 2 and Fig. 2 and
365 Appendix Table A2). Sediment discharge tended to decrease from diversity level 0 to 8 and to
366 increase to diversity level 24, while runoff volume tended to decrease from diversity level 0 to
367 16 and to increase to diversity level 24, but shifts were non-significant. Sediment discharge
368 and runoff volume did not differ between bare plots (div0) and plots with trees (div1-div24),
369 just as between monocultures (div1) and species mixtures (div8, div16, div24). The standard
370 deviations of sediment discharge (g m⁻²) and runoff volume (l m⁻²) in relation to diversity
371 levels were high (Fig. 2 and Appendix-Table A33). Mean crown cover in mixed stands was 44
372 % and mean tree height was 2.30 m compared to monocultures with 22 % and 1.63 m. In this
373 experiment tree height in mixed stands was not lower than 1.07 m and crown cover achieved
374 at least 29 %.

375 [Table 2]

376 [Figure 2]

377 [Table 3]

378 3.2 Species identity effects on interrill erosion processes

379 Individual tree species in monocultures affected sediment discharge differently (Fig. 3) and
380 sediment discharge rates ranged from 90 g m⁻² (*L. formosana*) to 560 g m⁻² (*Ch. axillaris*) per
381 rainfall event.

Formatiert: Hochgestellt

Formatiert: Schriftart: Kursiv

382 [Figure 3]

383 The mean sediment discharge is 199 g m⁻² across all tree monocultures, among which *Ch.*
384 *axillaris*, *C. glauca*, *R. chinensis* and *K. bipinnata* showed above average and *M. yuyuanensis*,
385 *L. glaber*, *E. chinensis* and *L. formosana* below average sediment discharge. The growth
386 characteristics of these tree species differed considerably between the species (Table 24).

387 [Table 24]

388 3.3 Effects of tree functional traits and site characteristics

389 Crown cover was highly correlated with LAI, tree height, stem diameter and crown width
390 ($r=0.82, 0.80, 0.75, 0.77$, respectively). Crown cover ($p<0.01$) and LAI ($p<0.05$) negatively
391 affected sediment discharge. Tree height marginally positively affected sediment discharge
392 ($p<0.1$), whereas stem diameter and crown width had no influence (Fig. 4, ~~Appendix~~ Table
393 ~~A22~~). The soil surface cover consisted of stones and biological soil crusts and ~~canopied~~
394 ~~covered~~ on average one fifth of the ROP surfaces in May and June 2013. It affected sediment
395 discharge negatively ($p<0.001$). Mean soil organic matter content in the top layer was high
396 and reduced sediment discharge ($p<0.05$). An indication of hydrophobic surface coatings and
397 a significant role of water repellency could not be found. The mean slope angle did not affect
398 sediment discharge (Fig. 4, ~~Appendix~~ Table ~~A22~~).

399 [Figure 4]

400 Growth characteristics were highly variable between tree species, which was reflected by high
401 standard deviations of the respective variables. In contrast, site characteristics of these plots
402 showed a low variability (Table 35).

403 [Table 35]

404 ~~3.4 Interrill erosion in young Chinese subtropical forests~~

405 ~~Event based mean sediment discharge increased with peak intensity from precipitation event~~
406 ~~1 to event 4 with 42 g m⁻² (E1), 85 g m⁻² (E2), 120 g m⁻² (E3) and 283 g m⁻² (E4). The interrill~~
407 ~~soil erosion rate determined by micro-scale ROPs and extrapolated for erosive precipitation~~
408 ~~events (>12.7 mm rainfall amount) was estimated to be 47.5 t ha⁻¹ in 2013.~~

409

410 4 Discussion

411 The soil loss rate determined by micro-scale ROPs (47.5 Mg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹) for 2013 was
412 considerably higher than the average rate Guo et al. (2015) recently calculated for South
413 China (approx. 20 Mg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹) in a study based on small-scale and field ROPs. Pimentel
414 (1993) reported an average rate of 36 Mg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹ for the same area. Zheng et al. (2007) stated
415 an average soil loss rate of 31 Mg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹ determined with ¹³⁷Cs/²¹⁰Pb tracing techniques in
416 Sichuan Province, PR China. These different rates are due to different land use types and
417 measurement techniques, but also due to the scale-dependent nature of soil erosion and runoff
418 generation (cf. Boix-Fayos et al., 2006; Cantón et al., 2011). The micro-scale ROPs used in
419 this study quantified interrill wash and sediment detachment by raindrop impact (cf. Agassi
420 and Bradford, 1999). However, an important part of erosion appears in the rilling system and
421 the influence of interrill processes on soil erosion varies greatly (Govers and Poesen, 1988).
422 Sediment discharge and runoff change with ROP length (cf. Abrahams et al., 1995) and

Formatiert: Französisch (Frankreich)

Formatiert: Französisch (Frankreich)

Feldfunktion geändert

Feldfunktion geändert

Formatiert: Französisch (Frankreich)

Formatiert: Französisch (Frankreich)

Feldfunktion geändert

423 boundary effects increasingly influence the results with decreasing plot sizes (Mutchler et al.,
424 1994). Nevertheless, Mutchler et al. (1994) stated that micro-scale ROPs are suitable to study
425 basic aspects of soil erosion and furthermore, those measurements are particularly appropriate
426 to define impacts of vegetation by interplot comparison (Wainwright et al., 2000).

427 **4.1 Species richness effects on interrill erosion processes**

428 Tree species richness did not affect sediment discharge or runoff volume and thus the first
429 hypothesis has to be rejected. Nevertheless, a trend of decreasing sediment discharge and
430 runoff from diversity level 0 to 8 was visible ~~from diversity level 0 to 8, where sediment~~
431 ~~discharge and runoff were decreasing~~. However, both parameters were nearly the same at
432 diversity level 1 and 24 and standard deviations were high. Whereas tree growth patterns in
433 monocultures were highly variable, mixed stands indicated a more balanced development
434 (Kelty, 2006). All species mixtures in this experiment assured a higher level of tree height and
435 ground coverage after four to five years of tree growth, whereas in monocultures the canopy
436 cover was lower and highly tree species specific. Thus, several monoculture plots were
437 excluded before measurements, because some species could not provide any considerable
438 ground coverage. At the same time, sediment discharge in mixture stands was lower than in
439 monocultures. Nevertheless, contrasts in the model could not show any statistical difference
440 between monocultures and mixtures or bare and covered plots.

441 The absence of a species richness effect on soil erosion is likely attributable to the early
442 successional stage of the forest experiment with low tree ages. Full canopy covers with high
443 stratification and overlap have not yet been developed at the study site and the trees did by far
444 not reach terminal height (Goebes et al., 2015b; Li et al., 2014). It is assumed that these
445 vegetation characteristics will change with increasing tree age and tree species richness may
446 become evident in adult stands. Young trees are functionally more equivalent than older trees
447 (Barnes and Spurr, 1998) and specific crown traits may emerge more distinctly in later

448 successional stages. Geißler et al. (2013) found that the erosion potential was higher in
449 medium and old grown forests than in young forests. This effect is caused by raindrop
450 transformation processes during the canopy passage, resulting in higher throughfall kinetic
451 energy under forest than on fallow land (Geißler et al., 2010) and has only been proved for
452 advanced successional forest stages (Nanko et al., 2008; Geißler et al., 2013). With ongoing
453 time of the experiment and increasing tree height increasing throughfall kinetic energy is
454 expected, which in turn increases the general soil erosion potential.

455 **4.2 Species identity effects on interrill erosion processes**

456 Trees in monocultures differed in their impact on soil erosion and thus hypothesis 2 can be
457 confirmed. In a study on common European tree species, Augusto et al. (2002) showed that
458 the tree species composition of forests has an impact on chemical, physical and biological soil
459 properties. Several studies revealed that individual plants are important for erosion control in
460 arid and semi-arid Mediterranean landscapes (e.g. Bochet et al., 2006; cf. Durán Zuazo and
461 Rodríguez Pleguezuelo, 2008) and Xu et al. (2008) showed that different plant morphologies
462 may control soil loss and improved soil properties in a dry river valley in China.

463 In this study, four tree species (*Ch. axillaris*, *C. glauca*, *R. chinensis*, *K. bipinnata*) seemed to
464 foster soil erosion rates, whereas another four species (*M. yuyuanensis*, *L. glaber*, *E.*
465 *chinensis*, *L. formosana*) showed a mitigating effect on soil erosion at this initial stage of the
466 forest ecosystem. Thus, a species-specific effect on sediment discharge for this subtropical
467 experimental area can be confirmed. Species-specific effects can result from different
468 throughfall kinetic energy, which was recently shown by Goebes et al. (2015a) at the same
469 study site in China. The effect of throughfall kinetic energy was ascribed to different tree
470 architectural characteristics and leaf traits. The authors found three out of 11 tree species to
471 have distinct differences in mean throughfall kinetic energy. *Ch. axillaris* and *S. saponaria*
472 showed higher values, whereas *S. superba* was characterized by lower values of throughfall

473 kinetic energy. At the experimental site, varying tree species revealed heterogeneous growth
474 patterns, which were caused by species-specific growth variation and abiotic site conditions
475 (Li et al., 2014). *Ch. axillaris* was the tallest tree species with a nearly closed canopy and
476 caused the highest amount of sediment discharge in this study. Raindrops falling from leaves
477 of this species nearly reached terminal velocity and hence throughfall kinetic energy was high
478 (Morgan, 2005; Goebes et al., 2015a). This finding explained the high erosion rates below this
479 fast-growing species. Further stands with significantly higher erosion rates and the four tree
480 species with a mitigating effect on soil erosion showed lower tree heights and thus lower
481 throughfall kinetic energy. Their effect on sediment discharge has to be explained by further
482 functional traits.

483 **4.3 Effects of tree functional traits and site characteristics**

484 Tree species differed widely in canopy characteristics and sediment discharge was
485 significantly related to crown cover ~~and~~, LAI and tree height. Therefore, the species-specific
486 effects of soil erosion can be partially contributed to species-specific functional traits, which
487 confirms hypothesis 3. The falling velocities of throughfall drops are highly variable under
488 different tree species due to the species-specific growth pattern and crown characteristics
489 (Goebes et al., 2015a). Frasson and Krajewski (2011) showed that the mechanisms of
490 interception are manifold even within a single canopy and varying canopy levels create
491 different drop size distributions.

492 Increasing crown cover and LAI were mitigating soil-interrill erosion in this early ecosystem
493 stage. ~~The magnitude of canopy cover determines the proportion of raindrops intercepted~~
494 ~~(Blanco-Canqui and Lal, 2008).~~ The magnitude of canopy cover determines the proportion of
495 raindrops intercepted (Blanco-Canqui and Lal, 2008) and it has been shown that drop size
496 distributions differ between different canopy species (Nanko et al., 2006). High crown cover
497 and leaf area increase the interception of rain drops and the storage capacity of water in the

498 canopy (Aston, 1979; Geißler et al., 2012a), which can lead to higher stemflow and thus
499 decreasing throughfall (Herwitz, 1987). Nevertheless, Herwitz (1987) equally showed that
500 canopy drainage can lead to larger throughfall drops and thus to increasing throughfall kinetic
501 energy depending on the leaf species (Hall and Calder, 1993; Geißler et al., 2012a; Goebes et
502 al., 2015). Anyhow, LAI showed a weaker significance than crown cover, probably because
503 many trees had not yet developed a multi-layered canopy structure.

504 It has been shown that tree height is an import factor for sediment detachment under forest
505 (Geißler et al., 2013), mostly due to increasing drop falling heights (Gunn and Kinzer, 1949).

506 As trees did not yet reach adult height (mean height <2 m) in this study, the kinetic energy of
507 raindrops formed at leaf tips was lower than in grown up tree stands and drops did not reach
508 terminal velocities (Morgan, 2005; Geißler et al., 2013; Goebes et al., 2015a). Therefore, tree
509 height had a weak effect on sediment discharge ($p < 0.1$) in this study and sediment discharge
510 rates under trees were not exceeding those on bare ground. Nevertheless, high sediment
511 discharge under *Ch. axillaris*, by far the fastest growing tree in this experiment, showed the
512 potential of high trees to increase soil erosion on uncovered forest floors.

513 Stem diameter and crown width did not seem to influence erosion processes in early stage
514 forest ecosystems. Several other tree-related functional traits (Pérez-Harguindeguy et al.,
515 2013) could be used to explain sediment discharge such as branching architecture, specific
516 leaf area and root system morphology. Especially studies on leaf traits (Nanko et al., 2013) as
517 well as belowground stratification (Gyssels et al., 2005; Stokes et al., 2009) showed the
518 potential to influence soil loss and pointed out the complexity of factors mitigating soil
519 erosion in forest ecosystems.

520 Results showed that soil surface cover and soil organic matter ~~also~~ affect interrill erosion.
521 Even though a leaf litter cover was not present in this experiment, the remaining soil surface
522 cover by stones and biological soil crusts was the most important driver to reduce sediment

523 discharge. This finding underlines the general importance of covered soil surfaces for erosion
524 control (cf. Thornes, 1990; Morgan, 2005) and shows that the protecting effect of leaf litter
525 could not only be replaced by soil skeleton but also by topsoil microbial communities in
526 young forest stands. The mitigating effect of leaf litter on soil losses has not been in the focus
527 of this experimental approach, but it is presumed that the fall of leaves even in young aged
528 forests reduces soil erosion considerably compared to bare land (Blanco-Canqui and Lal,
529 2008; Seitz et al., 2015). Furthermore, soil organic matter effectively prevented soil erosion
530 by binding primary particles into aggregates (Blanco-Canqui and Lal, 2008). If soil organic
531 matter increases with increasing species richness, as it was recently demonstrated in a
532 grassland study by Cong et al. (2014), an indirect effect of biodiversity on soil erosion can be
533 presumed. At last, slope angle was not affecting soil erosion due to the short plot length that
534 limits runoff velocities (cf. Seitz et al., 2015).

535 **4.4 Interrill erosion in young Chinese subtropical forests**

536 ~~The soil loss rate determined by micro-scale ROPs ($47.5 \text{ t ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$) for 2013 was considerably~~
537 ~~higher than the average rate Guo et al. (2015) recently calculated for South China (approx. 20~~
538 ~~$\text{t ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$) in a study based on small scale and field ROPs. Pimentel (1993) reported an average~~
539 ~~rate of $36 \text{ t ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$ for the same area. Zheng et al. (2007) stated an average soil loss rate of 31~~
540 ~~$\text{t ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$ determined with ^{137}Cs , ^{210}Pb tracing techniques in Sichuan Province, PR China. These~~
541 ~~different rates are due to different land use types and measurement techniques, but also to the~~
542 ~~scale dependent nature of soil erosion and runoff generation (cf. Boix-Fayos et al., 2006;~~
543 ~~Cantón et al., 2011). In an event-based approach, Zhu and Zhu (2014) pointed out that ROPs~~
544 ~~with short slope length yield higher sediment discharge than those with longer slope length~~
545 ~~and Bagarello and Ferro (2004) showed that increasing the size of ROPs from 0.04 m^2 to 0.16~~
546 ~~m^2 decreased runoff and sediment discharge by a factor of 2.6. The micro-scale ROPs used in~~
547 ~~this study (0.16 m^2) quantified interrill wash and sediment detachment by raindrop impact (cf.~~

Formatiert: Englisch (Großbritannien)

Formatiert: Englisch (Großbritannien)

Feldfunktion geändert

Formatiert: Englisch (Großbritannien)

Feldfunktion geändert

Formatiert: Englisch (Großbritannien)

Feldfunktion geändert

548 ~~Agassi and Bradford, 1999). However, an important part of erosion appears in the rilling~~
549 ~~system and the influence of interrill processes on soil erosion varies greatly (Govers and~~
550 ~~Poesen, 1988). Sediment discharge and runoff change with ROP length (cf. Abrahams et al.,~~
551 ~~1995) and boundary effects increasingly influence the results with decreasing plot sizes~~
552 ~~(Mutchler et al., 1994). Nevertheless, Mutchler et al. (1994) stated that micro-scale ROPs are~~
553 ~~suitable to study basic aspects of soil erosion and further, those measurements are particularly~~
554 ~~appropriate to define impacts of vegetation by interplot comparison (Wainwright et al., 2000).~~
555 ~~A major advantage of micro-scale ROPs is the possibility to implement a high number of~~
556 ~~replications to tackle measurement variability (Wendt et al., 1986). A high number of ROPs in~~
557 ~~turn requires great efforts in maintenance and control, which are easier to ensure with plots of~~
558 ~~small scale and small sized reservoirs (Boix-Fayos et al., 2006).~~

559

560 **5 Synthesis and conclusions**

561 An experiment with 170 micro-scale runoff plots was conducted to investigate the influence
562 of tree species richness and identity as well as tree functional traits on soil erosion processes
563 in a young forest ecosystem. The results led to the following conclusions:

- 564 1. Tree species richness did not affect sediment discharge and runoff. ~~Al~~though a
565 negative trend was visible from level 1 to 8 and mixed stands showed a more
566 balanced and homogenous vegetation development than monocultures, ~~higher tree~~
567 ~~species richness was not mitigating soil erosion~~. This effect was ascribed to the
568 young successional stage of the forest experiment. Future research should
569 concentrate on how erosion rates change with increasing stand age. Therefore,
570 long-term monitoring of soil erosion under closing tree canopies is necessary.

571 2. This study provided evidence that different tree species affect interrill erosion
572 processes. Different tree morphologies have to be considered, when regarding
573 erosion in young forest ecosystems. The appropriate choice of tree species for
574 afforestation against soil erosion becomes already important in an early
575 successional stage. ~~*Chorespondeas axillaris*, *Cyclobalanopsis glauca*, *Rhus*~~
576 ~~*chinensis* and *Koelreuteria bipinnata* were related to increasing soil erosion rates,~~
577 ~~whereas *Magnolia yuyuanensis*, *Lithocarpus glaber*, *Elaeocarpus chinensis* and~~
578 ~~*Liquidambar formosana* mitigated soil erosion in young forest stands.~~

579 3. Species-specific functional traits and site characteristics affected soil erosion rates.
580 High crown cover and leaf area index reduced soil erosion, whereas it was slightly
581 increased by increasing tree height. Thus, low tree stands with high canopy cover
582 were effectively counteracting soil loss in initial forest ecosystem. In further
583 studies, a wider range of functional tree traits like leaf habitus or belowground
584 stratification should be taken into consideration. ~~Even if a leaf litter cover was not~~
585 ~~present in this experiment, the remaining soil surface cover by stones and~~
586 ~~biological soil crusts was the most important driver for soil erosion control.~~
587 ~~Furthermore~~Moreover, soil organic matter had a decreasing influence on sediment
588 discharge. Investigations on the influence of biological soil crusts, topsoil
589 microbial communities and their impact on organic matter accumulation will open
590 the way to new insights on soil erosion processes.

591

592 Appendices

593 [Table A1]

594 ~~[Table A2]~~

595 | ~~{Table-A3}~~

596

597 **Author contribution**

598 Thomas Scholten, Peter Kühn and Steffen Seitz designed the experiment and Steffen Seitz
599 carried it out. Steffen Seitz, Philipp Goebes and Helge Bruelheide developed the model code
600 and performed the statistics. Ying Li and Werner Härdtle provided data on tree growth and
601 species-specific functional traits. Steffen Seitz prepared the manuscript with contributions
602 from all co-authors.

603

604 **Acknowledgements**

605 This study was financed by the German Research Foundation (DFG FOR 891/2) in
606 cooperation with the Chinese Academy of Science (CAS). We are grateful to the Sino-
607 German Center for Science Promotion for summer schools and travel grants (GZ 1146).
608 Thanks go to Chen Lin and Zhiqin Pei for organisation and translation in China, Milan Daus
609 and Kathrin Käppeler for assistance during field work, Bertram Bläschke for the installation
610 of the first ROPs, Mr. Lian, Mrs. Liu and Mr. Liu for technical support in China and finally to
611 our numerous brave Chinese field workers.

612

613 **References**

614 Abrahams, A. D., Parsons, A. J., and Wainwright, J.: Effects of vegetation change on interrill
615 runoff and erosion, Walnut Gulch, southern Arizona, *Geomorphology*, 13, 37–48,
616 doi:10.1016/0169-555X(95)00027-3, 1995.

617 Agassi, M. and Bradford, J.: Methodologies for interrill soil erosion studies, *Soil and Tillage*
618 *Research*, 49, 277–287, doi:10.1016/S0167-1987(98)00182-2, 1999.

619 ~~[Aston, A. R.: Rainfall interception by eight small trees, *Journal of Hydrology*, 42, 383–396,](#)~~
620 ~~[doi:10.1016/0022-1694\(79\)90057-X, 1979.](#)~~

621 Augusto, L., Ranger, J., Binkley, D., and Rothe, A.: Impact of several common tree species of
622 European temperate forests on soil fertility, *Ann. For. Sci.*, 59, 233–253,
623 doi:10.1051/forest:2002020, 2002.

624 ~~[Bagarello, V. and Ferro, V.: Plot scale measurement of soil erosion at the experimental area](#)~~
625 ~~[of Sparacia \(southern Italy\), *Hydrol. Process.*, 18, 141–157, doi:10.1002/hyp.1318, 2004.](#)~~

626 Barnes, B. V. and Spurr, S. H.: *Forest ecology*, 4th ed, Wiley, New York, xviii, 774, 1998.

627 Barthlott, W., Mutke, J., Rafiqpoor, M. D., Kier, G., and Kreft, H.: Global centres of vascular
628 plant diversity, *Nova Acta Leopoldina*, 92, 61–83, 2005.

629 ~~[Bauhus, J. and Schmerbeck, J.: Silvicultural options to enhance and use forest plantation](#)~~
630 ~~[biodiversity, in: *Ecosystem goods and services from plantation forests*, Bauhus, J., Meer,](#)~~
631 ~~[Peter van der, and Kanninen, M. \(Eds.\), Earthscan, London, Washington, DC, 96–139,](#)~~
632 ~~[2010.](#)~~

633 ~~[Baumann, F., He, J. S., Schmidt, K., Kühn, P., and Scholten, T.: Pedogenesis, permafrost, and](#)~~
634 ~~[soil moisture as controlling factors for soil nitrogen and carbon contents across the Tibetan](#)~~
635 ~~[Plateau, *Global Change Biology*, 15, 3001–3017, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.01953.x,](#)~~
636 ~~[2009.](#)~~

637 Bautista, S., Mayor, Á. G., Bourakhouadar, J., and Bellot, J.: Plant Spatial Pattern Predicts
638 Hillslope Runoff and Erosion in a Semiarid Mediterranean Landscape, *Ecosystems*, 10,
639 987–998, doi:10.1007/s10021-007-9074-3, 2007.

640 Berendse, F., van Ruijven, J., Jongejans, E., and Keesstra, S.: Loss of Plant Species Diversity
641 Reduces Soil Erosion Resistance, *Ecosystems*, doi:10.1007/s10021-015-9869-6, 2015.

642 Blanco-Canqui, H. and Lal, R.: Principles of soil conservation and management, Springer,
643 Dordrecht, London, 1 online resource, 2008.

644 Bochet, E., Poesen, J., and Rubio, J. L.: Runoff and soil loss under individual plants of a
645 semi-arid Mediterranean shrubland: influence of plant morphology and rainfall intensity,
646 Earth Surf. Process. Landforms, 31, 536–549, doi:10.1002/esp.1351, 2006.

647 Boix-Fayos, C., Martínez-Mena, M., Arnau-Rosalén, E., Calvo-Cases, A., Castillo, V., and
648 Albaladejo, J.: Measuring soil erosion by field plots: Understanding the sources of
649 variation, Earth-Science Reviews, 78, 267–285, doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2006.05.005,
650 2006.

651 Brevik, E. C., Cerdà, A., Mataix-Solera, J., Pereg, L., Quinton, J. N., Six, J., and van Oost, K.:
652 The interdisciplinary nature of *SOIL*, SOIL, 1, 117–129, doi:10.5194/soil-1-117-2015,
653 2015.

654 Bruelheide, H., Böhnke, M., Both, S., Fang, T., Assmann, T., Baruffol, M., Bauhus, J.,
655 Buscot, F., Chen, X.-Y., Ding, B.-Y., Durka, W., Erfmeier, A., Fischer, M., Geißler, C.,
656 Guo, D., Guo, L.-D., Härdtle, W., He, J.-S., Hector, A., Kröber, W., Kühn, P., Lang, A.
657 C., Nadrowski, K., Pei, K., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Shi, X., Scholten, T., Schuldt, A.,
658 Trogisch, S., von Oheimb, G., Welk, E., Wirth, C., Wu, Y.-T., Yang, X., Zeng, X., Zhang,
659 S., Zhou, H., Ma, K., and Schmid, B.: Community assembly during secondary forest
660 succession in a Chinese subtropical forest, Ecological Monographs, 81, 25–41,
661 doi:10.1890/09-2172.1, 2011.

662 Bruelheide, H., Nadrowski, K., Assmann, T., Bauhus, J., Both, S., Buscot, F., Chen, X.-Y.,
663 Ding, B.-Y., Durka, W., Erfmeier, A., Gutknecht, J. L. M., Guo, D., Guo, L.-D., Härdtle,
664 W., He, J.-S., Klein, A.-M., Kühn, P., Liang, Y., Liu, X., Michalski, S., Niklaus, P. A.,
665 Pei, K., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Scholten, T., Schuldt, A., Seidler, G., Trogisch, S., von
666 Oheimb, G., Welk, E., Wirth, C., Wubet, T., Yang, X., Yu, M., Zhang, S., Zhou, H.,
667 Fischer, M., Ma, K., Schmid, B., and Muller-Landau, H. C.: Designing forest biodiversity

668 experiments: general considerations illustrated by a new large experiment in subtropical
669 China, *Methods Ecol Evol*, 5, 74–89, doi:10.1111/2041-210X.12126, 2014.

670 Cantón, Y., Solé-Benet, A., Vente, J. de, Boix-Fayos, C., Calvo-Cases, A., Asensio, C., and
671 Puigdefábregas, J.: A review of runoff generation and soil erosion across scales in
672 semiarid south-eastern Spain, *Journal of Arid Environments*, 75, 1254–1261,
673 doi:10.1016/j.jaridenv.2011.03.004, 2011.

674 [Cerdà, A.: Seasonal and spatial variations in infiltration rates in badland surfaces under](#)
675 [Mediterranean climatic conditions, *Water Resour. Res.*, 35, 319–328,](#)
676 [doi:10.1029/98WR01659, 1999.](#)

677 Chisholm, R. A., Muller-Landau, H. C., Abdul Rahman, K., Bebbler, D. P., Bin, Y., Bohlman,
678 S. A., Bourg, N. A., Brinks, J., Bunyavejchewin, S., Butt, N., Cao, H., Cao, M., Cárdenas,
679 D., Chang, L.-W., Chiang, J.-M., Chuyong, G., Condit, R., Dattaraja, H. S., Davies, S.,
680 Duque, A., Fletcher, C., Gunatilleke, N., Gunatilleke, S., Hao, Z., Harrison, R. D., Howe,
681 R., Hsieh, C.-F., Hubbell, S. P., Itoh, A., Kenfack, D., Kiratiprayoon, S., Larson, A. J.,
682 Lian, J., Lin, D., Liu, H., Lutz, J. A., Ma, K., Malhi, Y., McMahon, S., McShea, W.,
683 Meegaskumbura, M., Mohd. Razman, S., Morecroft, M. D., Nytch, C. J., Oliveira, A.,
684 Parker, G. G., Pulla, S., PUNCHI-Manage, R., Romero-Saltos, H., Sang, W., Schurman, J.,
685 Su, S.-H., Sukumar, R., Sun, I.-F., Suresh, H. S., Tan, S., Thomas, D., Thomas, S.,
686 Thompson, J., Valencia, R., Wolf, A., Yap, S., Ye, W., Yuan, Z., Zimmerman, J. K., and
687 Coomes, D. A.: Scale-dependent relationships between tree species richness and
688 ecosystem function in forests, *J Ecol*, 101, 1214–1224, doi:10.1111/1365-2745.12132,
689 2013.

690 Cong, W.-F., van Ruijven, J., Mommer, L., De Deyn, Gerlinde B., Berendse, F., Hoffland, E.,
691 and Lavorel, S.: Plant species richness promotes soil carbon and nitrogen stocks in
692 grasslands without legumes, *J Ecol*, 102, 1163–1170, doi:10.1111/1365-2745.12280,
693 2014.

694 Durán Zuazo, V. H. and Rodríguez Pleguezuelo, C. R.: Soil-erosion and runoff prevention by
695 plant covers. A review, *Agron. Sustain. Dev.*, 28, 65–86, doi:10.1051/agro:2007062, 2008.

696 Frasson, R. P. d. M. and Krajewski, W. F.: Characterization of the drop-size distribution and
697 velocity–diameter relation of the throughfall under the maize canopy, *Agricultural and*
698 *Forest Meteorology*, 151, 1244–1251, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.05.001, 2011.

699 [García-Orenes, F., Roldán, A., Mataix-Solera, J., Cerdà, A., Campoy, M., Arcenegui, V., and](#)
700 [Caravaca, F.: Soil structural stability and erosion rates influenced by agricultural](#)
701 [management practices in a semi-arid Mediterranean agro-ecosystem, *Soil Use Manage.*](#)
702 [28, 571–579, doi:10.1111/j.1475-2743.2012.00451.x, 2012.](#)

703 Geißler, C., Kühn, P., Böhnke, M., Bruelheide, H., Shi, X., and Scholten, T.: Splash erosion
704 potential under tree canopies in subtropical SE China, *CATENA*, 91, 85–93,
705 doi:10.1016/j.catena.2010.10.009, 2012a.

706 Geißler, C., Kühn, P., Shi, X., and Scholten, T.: Estimation of throughfall erosivity in a highly
707 diverse forest ecosystem using sand-filled splash cups, *J. Earth Sci.*, 21, 897–900,
708 doi:10.1007/s12583-010-0132-y, 2010.

709 Geißler, C., Lang, A. C., von Oheimb, G., Härdtle, W., Baruffol, M., and Scholten, T.: Impact
710 of tree saplings on the kinetic energy of rainfall—The importance of stand density, species
711 identity and tree architecture in subtropical forests in China, *Agricultural and Forest*
712 *Meteorology*, 156, 31–40, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.12.005, 2012b.

713 Geißler, C., Nadrowski, K., Kühn, P., Baruffol, M., Bruelheide, H., Schmid, B., and Scholten,
714 T.: Kinetic energy of Throughfall in subtropical forests of SE China - effects of tree
715 canopy structure, functional traits, and biodiversity, *PloS one*, 8, e49618,
716 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049618, 2013.

717 Goebes, P., Bruelheide, H., Härdtle, W., Kröber, W., Kühn, P., Li, Y., Seitz, S., von Oheimb,
718 G., and Scholten, T.: Species-Specific Effects on Throughfall Kinetic Energy in

719 Subtropical Forest Plantations Are Related to Leaf Traits and Tree Architecture, PloS one,
720 10, e0128084, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0128084, 2015a.

721 Goebes, P., Seitz, S., Kühn, P., Li, Y., Niklaus, P. A., Oheimb, G. v., and Scholten, T.:
722 Throughfall kinetic energy in young subtropical forests: Investigation on tree species
723 richness effects and spatial variability, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 213, 148–
724 159, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2015.06.019, 2015b.

725 Govers, G. and Poesen, J.: Assessment of the interrill and rill contributions to total soil loss
726 from an upland field plot, Geomorphology, 1, 343–354, doi:10.1016/0169-
727 555X(88)90006-2, 1988.

728 Guerrero-Campo, J., Palacio, S., and Montserrat-Martí, G.: Plant traits enabling survival in
729 Mediterranean badlands in northeastern Spain suffering from soil erosion, Journal of
730 Vegetation Science, 19, 457–464, doi:10.3170/2008-8-18382, 2008.

731 [Gunn, R. and Kinzer, G. D.: The terminal velocity of fall for water droplets in stagnant air, J.](#)
732 [Meteor., 6, 243–248, doi:10.1175/1520-0469\(1949\)006<0243:TTVOFF>2.0.CO;2, 1949.](#)

733 Guo, Q., Hao, Y., and Liu, B.: Rates of soil erosion in China: A study based on runoff plot
734 data, CATENA, 124, 68–76, doi:10.1016/j.catena.2014.08.013, 2015.

735 Gyssels, G., Poesen, J., Bochet, E., and Li, Y.: Impact of plant roots on the resistance of soils
736 to erosion by water: a review, prog phys geogr, 29, 189–217,
737 doi:10.1191/0309133305pp443ra, 2005.

738 [Hall, R. L. and Calder, I. R.: Drop size modification by forest canopies: Measurements using](#)
739 [a disdrometer, J. Geophys. Res., 98, 18465, doi:10.1029/93JD01498, 1993.](#)

740 [Herwitz, S. R.: Raindrop impact and water flow on the vegetative surfaces of trees and the](#)
741 [effects on stemflow and throughfall generation, Earth Surf. Process. Landforms, 12, 425–](#)
742 [432, doi:10.1002/esp.3290120408, 1987.](#)

743 Hooper, D. U., Chapin, F. S., Ewel, J. J., Hector, A., Inchausti, P., Lavorel, S., Lawton, J. H.,
744 Lodge, D. M., Loreau, M., Naeem, S., Schmid, B., Setälä, H., Symstad, A. J.,

745 Vandermeer, J., and Wardle, D. A.: Effects of Biodiversity on Ecosystem Functioning: A
746 Consensus of Current Knowledge, *Ecological Monographs*, 75, 3–35, doi:10.1890/04-
747 0922, 2005.

748 Hupp, C. R., Osterkamp, W. R., and Howard, A. D. (Eds.): Biogeomorphology, terrestrial and
749 freshwater systems: Proceedings of the 26th Binghamton Symposium in Geomorphology,
750 held October 6-8, 1995, Elsevier, Amsterdam, New York, 1 online resource (viii, 347,
751 1995).

752 IUSS: World reference base for soil resources 2006: A framework for international
753 classification, correlation and communication, 2006th ed., World soil resources reports,
754 103, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, ix, 128, 2006.

755 Jacob, M., Viedenz, K., Polle, A., and Thomas, F. M.: Leaf litter decomposition in temperate
756 deciduous forest stands with a decreasing fraction of beech (*Fagus sylvatica*), *Oecologia*,
757 164, 1083–1094, doi:10.1007/s00442-010-1699-9, 2010.

758 Jiao, J., Zhang, Z., Bai, W., Jia, Y., and Wang, N.: Assessing the Ecological Success of
759 Restoration by Afforestation on the Chinese Loess Plateau, *Restoration Ecology*, 20, 240–
760 249, doi:10.1111/j.1526-100X.2010.00756.x, 2012.

761 Kelty, M. J.: The role of species mixtures in plantation forestry, *Forest Ecology and*
762 *Management*, 233, 195–204, doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2006.05.011, 2006.

763 Kim, J. K., Onda, Y., Kim, M. S., and Yang, D. Y.: Plot-scale study of surface runoff on well-
764 covered forest floors under different canopy species, *Quaternary International*, 344, 75–85,
765 doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2014.07.036, 2014.

766 Körner, C. and Spehn, E. M.: Mountain biodiversity: A global assessment, Parthenon Pub.
767 Group, Boca Raton, xiv, 336, 2002.

768 Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P. B., and Christensen, R. H.: lmerTest: Tests in Linear Mixed
769 Effects Models, available at: <http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/lmerTest/index.html>,
770 (last access: 22 June 2015), 2014.

771 Lang, A. C., Härdtle, W., Baruffol, M., Böhnke, M., Bruelheide, H., Schmid, B., Wehrden, H.
772 von, von Oheimb, G., and Acosta, A.: Mechanisms promoting tree species co-existence:
773 Experimental evidence with saplings of subtropical forest ecosystems of China, *J Veg Sci*,
774 23, 837–846, doi:10.1111/j.1654-1103.2012.01403.x, 2012.

775 Lang, A. C., Härdtle, W., Bruelheide, H., Geißler, C., Nadrowski, K., Schuldt, A., Yu, M.,
776 and von Oheimb, G.: Tree morphology responds to neighbourhood competition and slope
777 in species-rich forests of subtropical China, *Forest Ecology and Management*, 260, 1708–
778 1715, doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2010.08.015, 2010.

779 Lavorel, S. and Garnier, E.: Predicting changes in community composition and ecosystem
780 functioning from plant traits: revisiting the Holy Grail, *Funct Ecology*, 16, 545–556,
781 doi:10.1046/j.1365-2435.2002.00664.x, 2002.

782 Li, Y., Härdtle, W., Bruelheide, H., Nadrowski, K., Scholten, T., Wehrden, H. von, and von
783 Oheimb, G.: Site and neighborhood effects on growth of tree saplings in subtropical
784 plantations (China), *Forest Ecology and Management*, 327, 118–127,
785 doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2014.04.039, 2014.

786 Loreau, M.: Biodiversity and Ecosystem Functioning: Current Knowledge and Future
787 Challenges, *Science*, 294, 804–808, doi:10.1126/science.1064088, 2001.

788 Martin, C., Pohl, M., Alewell, C., Körner, C., and Rixen, C.: Interrill erosion at disturbed
789 alpine sites: Effects of plant functional diversity and vegetation cover, *Basic and Applied*
790 *Ecology*, 11, 619–626, doi:10.1016/j.baae.2010.04.006, 2010.

791 Morgan, R. P. C.: *Soil erosion and conservation*, 3rd ed., Blackwell Pub., Malden, MA, x,
792 304, 2005.

793 Mutchler, C. K., Murphree, C. E., and McGregor, K. C.: Laboratory and field plots for
794 erosion research, in: *Soil erosion research methods*, 2nd ed, Lal, R. (Ed.), St. Lucie Press;
795 Soil and Water Conservation Society, Delray Beach, Fla., Ankeny, IA, 11–38, 1994.

796 [Nanko, K., Hotta, N., and Suzuki, M.: Evaluating the influence of canopy species and](#)
797 [meteorological factors on throughfall drop size distribution, *Journal of Hydrology*, 329,](#)
798 [422–431, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2006.02.036, 2006.](#)

799 Nanko, K., Mizugaki, S., and Onda, Y.: Estimation of soil splash detachment rates on the
800 forest floor of an unmanaged Japanese cypress plantation based on field measurements of
801 throughfall drop sizes and velocities, *CATENA*, 72, 348–361,
802 doi:10.1016/j.catena.2007.07.002, 2008.

803 Nanko, K., Watanabe, A., Hotta, N., and Suzuki, M.: Physical interpretation of the difference
804 in drop size distributions of leaf drips among tree species, *Agricultural and Forest*
805 *Meteorology*, 169, 74–84, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2012.09.018, 2013.

806 Onda, Y., Gomi, T., Mizugaki, S., Nonoda, T., and Sidle, R. C.: An overview of the field and
807 modelling studies on the effects of forest devastation on flooding and environmental
808 issues, *Hydrol. Process.*, 24, 527–534, doi:10.1002/hyp.7548, 2010.

809 [Parsons, A. J., Wainwright, J., Schlesinger, W. H., and Abrahams, A. D.: The role of overland](#)
810 [flow in sediment and nitrogen budgets of mesquite dunefields, southern New Mexico,](#)
811 [Journal of Arid Environments, 53, 61–71, doi:10.1006/jare.2002.1021, 2003.](#)

812 Pérez-Harguindeguy, N., Díaz, S., Garnier, E., Lavorel, S., Poorter, H., Jaureguiberry, P.,
813 Bret-Harte, M. S., Cornwell, W. K., Craine, J. M., Gurvich, D. E., Urcelay, C., Veneklaas,
814 E. J., Reich, P. B., Poorter, L., Wright, I. J., Ray, P., Enrico, L., Pausas, J. G., de Vos, A.
815 C., Buchmann, N., Funes, G., Quétier, F., Hodgson, J. G., Thompson, K., Morgan, H. D.,
816 ter Steege, H., Sack, L., Blonder, B., Poschlod, P., Vaieretti, M. V., Conti, G., Staver, A.
817 C., Aquino, S., and Cornelissen, J. H. C.: New handbook for standardised measurement of
818 plant functional traits worldwide, *Aust. J. Bot.*, 61, 167, doi:10.1071/BT12225, 2013.

819 Pimentel, D.: World soil erosion and conservation, 1st ed., Cambridge studies in applied
820 ecology and resource management, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, XII, 349 S.,
821 1993.

822 Pimentel, D., Harvey, C., Resosudarmo, P., Sinclair, K., Kurz, D., McNair, M., Crist, S.,
823 Shpritz, L., Fitton, L., Saffouri, R., and Blair, R.: Environmental and Economic Costs of
824 Soil Erosion and Conservation Benefits, *Science*, 267, 1117–1123,
825 doi:10.1126/science.267.5201.1117, 1995.

826 Pimentel, D. and Kounang, N.: Ecology of Soil Erosion in Ecosystems, *Ecosystems*, 1, 416–
827 426, doi:10.1007/s100219900035, 1998.

828 Pohl, M., Alig, D., Körner, C., and Rixen, C.: Higher plant diversity enhances soil stability in
829 disturbed alpine ecosystems, *Plant Soil*, 324, 91–102, doi:10.1007/s11104-009-9906-3,
830 2009.

831 Pohl, M., Graf, F., Buttler, A., and Rixen, C.: The relationship between plant species richness
832 and soil aggregate stability can depend on disturbance, *Plant Soil*, 355, 87–102,
833 doi:10.1007/s11104-011-1083-5, 2012.

834 Puettmann, K. J., Coates, K. D., and Messier, C. C.: A critique of silviculture: Managing for
835 complexity, Island Press, Washington, DC, 1 online resource (xvi, 189, 2009).

836 Puigdefábregas, J.: The role of vegetation patterns in structuring runoff and sediment fluxes in
837 drylands, *Earth Surf. Process. Landforms*, 30, 133–147, doi:10.1002/esp.1181, 2005.

838 Quijas, S., Jackson, L. E., Maass, M., Schmid, B., Raffaelli, D., and Balvanera, P.: Plant
839 diversity and generation of ecosystem services at the landscape scale: expert knowledge
840 assessment, *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 49, 929–940, doi:10.1111/j.1365-
841 2664.2012.02153.x, 2012.

842 R Core Team: R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, R Foundation for
843 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2013.

844 Razafindrabe, B. H., He, B., Inoue, S., Ezaki, T., and Shaw, R.: The role of forest stand
845 density in controlling soil erosion: implications to sediment-related disasters in Japan,
846 *Environmental monitoring and assessment*, 160, 337–354, doi:10.1007/s10661-008-0699-
847 2, 2010.

848 Richter, G. (Ed.): Bodenerosion: Analyse und Bilanz eines Umweltproblems,
849 Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft, Darmstadt, 264 S., 1998.

850 Romero-Diaz, A., Belmonte-Serrato, F., and Ruiz-Sinoga, J. D.: The geomorphic impact of
851 afforestations on soil erosion in Southeast Spain, *Land Degrad. Dev.*, 21, 188–195,
852 doi:10.1002/ldr.946, 2010.

853 Scherer-Lorenzen, M.: Biodiversity and Ecosystem Functioning: Basic Principles, in:
854 Biodiversity: Structure and Function: Encyclopedia of Life Support Systems (EOLSS).
855 Developed under the Auspices of the UNESCO., Barthlott, W., Linsenmair, K. E., and
856 Porembski, S. (Eds.), Eolss Publishers, Oxford, 2005.

857 Scherer-Lorenzen, M.: The functional role of biodiversity in the context of global change, in:
858 Forests and global change, Coomes, D. A., Burslem, D. F. R. P., and Simonson, W. D.
859 (Eds.), *Ecological reviews*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, New York,
860 195–238, 2014.

861 Seitz, S., Goebes, P., Zumstein, P., Assmann, T., Kühn, P., Niklaus, P. A., Schuldt, A., and
862 Scholten, T.: The influence of leaf litter diversity and soil fauna on initial soil erosion in
863 subtropical forests, *Earth Surf. Process. Landforms*, 40, 1439–1447, doi:10.1002/esp.3726,
864 2015.

865 Stokes, A., Atger, C., Bengough, A. G., Fourcaud, T., and Sidle, R. C.: Desirable plant root
866 traits for protecting natural and engineered slopes against landslides, *Plant Soil*, 324, 1–30,
867 doi:10.1007/s11104-009-0159-y, 2009.

868 Swanson, M. E., Franklin, J. F., Beschta, R. L., Crisafulli, C. M., DellaSala, D. A., Hutto, R.
869 L., Lindenmayer, D. B., and Swanson, F. J.: The forgotten stage of forest succession:
870 early-successional ecosystems on forest sites, *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*,
871 9, 117–125, doi:10.1890/090157, 2011.

Formatiert: Deutsch (Deutschland)

872 Thornes, J. B.: Vegetation and erosion: Processes and environments, British
873 Geomorphological Research Group symposia series, J. Wiley, Chichester, West Sussex,
874 England, New York, NY, USA, xvii, 518, 1990.

875 Tsujimura, M., Onda, Y., and Harada, D.: The Role of Horton Overland Flow in Rainfall-
876 runoff Process in an Unchanneled Catchment Covered by Unmanaged Hinoki Plantation,
877 Journal of Japan Society of Hydrology & Water Resources, 19, 17–24,
878 doi:10.3178/jjshwr.19.17, 2006.

879 ~~Vilà, M., Vayreda, J., Comas, L., Ibáñez, J. J., Mata, T., and Obón, B.: Species richness and~~
880 ~~wood production: a positive association in Mediterranean forests, Ecology letters, 10,~~
881 ~~241–250, doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01016.x, 2007.~~

882 Wainwright, J., Parsons, A. J., and Abrahams, A. D.: Plot-scale studies of vegetation,
883 overland flow and erosion interactions: case studies from Arizona and New Mexico,
884 Hydrol. Process., 14, 2921–2943, doi:10.1002/1099-
885 1085(200011/12)14:16/17<2921:AID-HYP127>3.0.CO;2-7, 2000.

886 ~~Wendt, R. C., Alberts, E. E., and Hjelmfelt, A. T.: Variability of Runoff and Soil Loss from~~
887 ~~Fallow Experimental Plots, Soil Science Society of America Journal, 50, 730,~~
888 ~~doi:10.2136/sssaj1986.03615995005000030035x, 1986.~~

889 Wischmeier, W. H. and Smith, D. D.: Predicting rainfall erosion losses: a guide to
890 conservation planning, Agriculture handbook, 537, Washington, D.C., 1978.

891 Xu, X.-L., Ma, K.-M., Fu, B.-J., Song, C.-J., and Liu, W.: Influence of three plant species
892 with different morphologies on water runoff and soil loss in a dry-warm river valley, SW
893 China, Forest Ecology and Management, 256, 656–663, doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2008.05.015,
894 2008.

895 Yin, S., Xie, Y., Nearing, M. A., and Wang, C.: Estimation of rainfall erosivity using 5- to 60-
896 minute fixed-interval rainfall data from China, CATENA, 70, 306–312,
897 doi:10.1016/j.catena.2006.10.011, 2007.

898 Zhao, G., Mu, X., Wen, Z., Wang, F., and Gao, P.: Soil Erosion, Conservation, and eco-
899 environment Changes in the Loess Plateau of China, *Land Degrad. Develop.*, 499–510,
900 doi:10.1002/ldr.2246, 2013.

901 ~~Zhao, H. L., He, Y. H., Zhou, R. L., Su, Y. Z., Li, Y. Q., and Drake, S.: Effects of~~
902 ~~desertification on soil organic C and N content in sandy farmland and grassland of Inner~~
903 ~~Mongolia, *CATENA*, 77, 187–191, doi:10.1016/j.catena.2008.12.007, 2009.~~

904 Zheng, J.-J., He, X.-B., Walling, D., Zhang, X.-B., Flanagan, D., and Qi, Y.-Q.: Assessing
905 Soil Erosion Rates on Manually-Tilled Hillslopes in the Sichuan Hilly Basin Using ¹³⁷Cs
906 and ²¹⁰Pb_{ex} Measurements, *Pedosphere*, 17, 273–283, doi:10.1016/S1002-
907 0160(07)60034-4, 2007.

908 Zhou, G., Wei, X., and Yan, J.: Impacts of eucalyptus (*Eucalyptus exserta*) plantation on
909 sediment yield in Guangdong Province, Southern China—a kinetic energy approach,
910 *CATENA*, 49, 231–251, doi:10.1016/S0341-8162(02)00030-9, 2002.

911 ~~Zhu, T. X. and Zhu, A. X.: Assessment of soil erosion and conservation on agricultural~~
912 ~~sloping lands using plot data in the semi-arid hilly loess region of China, *Journal of*~~
913 ~~*Hydrology: Regional Studies*, 2, 69–83, doi:10.1016/j.ejrh.2014.08.006, 2014.~~

914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922

923 **Table 1: Characteristics of rainfall events considered erosive (threshold 12.7 mm) in Xingangshan, Jiangxi Province,**
924 **PR China in May and June 2013.**

Event	Mean intensity (mm h ⁻¹)	Peak intensity (mm h ⁻¹)	Total rainfall amount (mm)
E 1	1.38	11.4	20.29
E 2	2.34	23.04	25.74
E 3	3.19	45.24	54.42
E 4	14.60	83.04	34.01

925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944

945 Table A2: Results of the basic linear mixed effect model for sediment discharge (**: p<0.01, *: p<0.05, . : p<0.1, n.s. : not significant; n=334). Crown cover was highly correlated with the four other vegetation
 946 characteristics and therefore, they have been exchanged and fitted in separate models.
 947

		<u>denDF</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>Pr</u>	<u>estimates</u>
<u>Fixed</u>	<u>Surface runoff</u>	<u>204</u>	<u>49.0</u>	<u><0.001 ***</u>	<u>(-) 0.33</u>
<u>effects</u>	<u>Crown cover</u>	<u>120</u>	<u>7.25</u>	<u>0.008 **</u>	<u>(-) 0.18</u>
	<u>Slope</u>	<u>141</u>	<u>1.33</u>	<u>0.250 n.s.</u>	<u>(-) 0.05</u>
	<u>Surface cover</u>	<u>140</u>	<u>56.1</u>	<u><0.001 ***</u>	<u>(-) 0.46</u>
	<u>Soil organic matter</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>5.61</u>	<u>0.022 *</u>	<u>(-) 0.07</u>
	<u>Precipitation</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>0.12</u>	<u>0.733 n.s.</u>	<u>(-) 0.01</u>
	<u>Tree species richness</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>0.30</u>	<u>0.589 n.s.</u>	<u>(-) 0.05</u>
		<u>sd</u>	<u>variance</u>		
<u>Random</u>	<u>Precipitation event : plot</u>	<u>0.204</u>	<u>0.042</u>		
<u>effects</u>	<u>Tree composition</u>	<u>0.332</u>	<u>0.110</u>		
	<u>Site</u>	<u>0.577</u>	<u>0.333</u>		
	<u>Plot : rop</u>	<u>0.503</u>	<u>0.253</u>		
	<u>Vegetation characteristics fitted in exchange to crown cover</u>				
	<u>Leaf area index</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>5.16</u>	<u>0.026 *</u>	<u>(-) 0.17</u>
	<u>Tree height</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>3.58</u>	<u>0.069 .</u>	<u>(-) 0.10</u>
	<u>Tree stem diameter</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>0.20</u>	<u>0.661 n.s.</u>	<u>(-) 0.04</u>
	<u>Tree crown width</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>0.79</u>	<u>0.383 n.s.</u>	<u>(-) 0.08</u>

948
 949
 950
 951
 952
 953
 954
 955

956 Table A33: Mean sediment discharge in g m⁻² and surface runoff volume in L m⁻² (standard deviation in brackets,
 957 n=334) for tree species richness in May and June 2013.

	<u>Diversity</u> <u>0-24</u>	<u>Diversity</u> <u>0</u>	<u>Diversity</u> <u>1-24</u>	<u>Diversity</u> <u>1</u>	<u>Diversity</u> <u>8</u>	<u>Diversity</u> <u>16</u>	<u>Diversity</u> <u>24</u>
<u>Sediment</u>	<u>199</u>	<u>361</u>	<u>188</u>	<u>202</u>	<u>103</u>	<u>135</u>	<u>204</u>
<u>discharge</u>	<u>(106)</u>	<u>(187)</u>	<u>(90)</u>	<u>(105)</u>	<u>(57)</u>	<u>(123)</u>	<u>(107)</u>
<u>Runoff</u>	<u>32.6</u>	<u>47.8</u>	<u>29.8</u>	<u>31.9</u>	<u>27.5</u>	<u>22.5</u>	<u>30.2</u>
<u>volume</u>	<u>(21.4)</u>	<u>(32.1)</u>	<u>(18.5)</u>	<u>(20.9)</u>	<u>(14.5)</u>	<u>(15.7)</u>	<u>(19.7)</u>

958
 959
 960
 961
 962
 963
 964
 965
 966
 967
 968
 969
 970
 971
 972
 973
 974
 975
 976

977 | **Table 24:** Discharge rates and growth characteristics (means) of tree species with significant differences in sediment
 978 | discharge at the experimental site in Xingangshan, Jiangxi Province, PR China.

	Sediment discharge (g m ⁻²)	Crown cover (%)	Leaf area index	Tree height (m)	Stem diameter (m)	Crown width (m)
Mean	199	32	0.75	1.84	0.03	0.94
Monocultures	202	22	0.63	1.63	0.02	0.78
Tree mixtures	135	44	1.18	2.30	0.04	1.26
<i>Ch. axillaris</i>	566	90	2.27	7.40	0.07	2.21
<i>C. glauca</i>	556	51	0.93	1.25	0.02	0.65
<i>R. chinensis</i>	502	47	0.85	1.82	0.03	1.62
<i>K. bipinnata</i>	378	19	0.30	1.97	0.03	1.15
<i>M. yuyuanensis</i>	64	11	0.14	1.62	0.04	0.95
<i>L. glaber</i>	114	20	0.28	2.32	0.03	1.09
<i>E. chinensis</i>	66	64	1.02	2.19	0.05	0.97
<i>L. formosana</i>	91	15	0.19	2.28	0.04	1.64

979
 980
 981
 982
 983
 984
 985
 986
 987
 988
 989
 990

991 | Table 35: Growth characteristics of the 20 tree species analysed and associated plot characteristics in Xingangshan,
 992 | Jiangxi Province, PR China (mean, standard deviation (sd), maximum (max) and minimum (min)).

	Mean	Sd	Max	Min
<i>Vegetation</i>				
Crown cover (%)	37	31	93	1
Leaf area index (LAI)	0.88	1.08	4.20	0.03
Tree height (m)	2.55	1.64	7.40	1.16
Stem diameter (m)	0.04	0.02	0.07	0.02
Crown width (m)	1.25	0.61	3.00	0.40
<i>Site</i>				
Soil surface cover (%)	16	14	55	1
Bulk soil density (g cm^{-3})	0.99	0.05	1.12	0.91
Soil organic matter (%)	6.4	1.4	9.4	4.3
pH	3.68	0.24	4.39	3.25
Slope (°)	27	5	35	19

993 | Crown cover: proportion of soil surface area covered by crowns of live trees (%), leaf area index: one-sided green leaf area per unit
 994 | soil surface area (dimensionless), tree height: distance from stem base to apical meristem (m), stem diameter: cross-section
 995 | dimension of the tree stem at 5 cm above ground (m), crown width: length of longest spread from edge to edge across the crown (m),
 996 | soil surface cover: proportion of soil surface area covered by stones, biocrusts and litter (%), soil organic matter: fraction of organic
 997 | carbon containing substances in the soil (%), slope: inclination (°).

998
 999
 1000
 1001
 1002
 1003
 1004
 1005
 1006
 1007
 1008

1009 Table A1: 22 selected tree species used in the experiment according to the Flora of China (<http://www.efloras.org>).

Feldfunktion geändert

1010 Asterisks (*) mark species which only appear in mixtures.

Species name and author

<i>Ailanthus altissima</i> (Miller) Swingle	<i>Koelreuteria bipinnata</i> Franch.
<i>Alniphyllum fortunei</i> (Hemsl.) Makino	<i>Liquidambar formosana</i> Hance
<i>Betula luminifera</i> H. Winkl.	<i>Lithocarpus glaber</i> (Thunb.) Nakai
<i>Castanea henryi</i> (Skan) Rehd. et Wils.	<i>Magnolia yuyuanensis</i> Hu
<i>Castanopsis fargesii</i> Franch.	<i>Nyssa sinensis</i> Oliver *
<i>Castanopsis sclerophylla</i> (Lindl.) Schott.	<i>Rhus chinensis</i> Mill.
<i>Choerospondias axillaris</i> (Roxb.) Burtt et Hill.	<i>Sapindus saponaria</i> Gaertn.
<i>Cyclobalanopsis glauca</i> (Thunb.) Oerst.	<i>Schima superba</i> Gardn. et Champ.
<i>Elaeocarpus chinensis</i> Gardn. et Chanp.	<i>Triadica sebifera</i> (L.) Roxb.
<i>Elaeocarpus glabripetalus</i> Merr.	<i>Quercus fabri</i> Hance
<i>Elaeocarpus japonicus</i> Sieb. et Zucc.	<i>Quercus phillyreoides</i> A. Gray *

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025 ~~Table A2: Results of the basic linear mixed effect model for sediment discharge (***) $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$,~~
 1026 ~~† $p < 0.1$, n.s. † not significant; n=334). Crown cover was highly correlated with the four other vegetation~~
 1027 ~~characteristics and therefore, they have been exchanged and fitted in separate models.~~

		denDF	F	Pr	estimates
Fixed	Surface runoff	204	49.0	≤ 0.001 ***	(-) 0.33
effects	Crown cover	120	7.25	= 0.008 **	(-) 0.18
	Slope	141	1.33	= 0.250 n.s.	(-) 0.05
	Surface cover	140	56.1	≤ 0.001 ***	(-) 0.46
	Soil organic matter	42	5.61	= 0.022 *	(-) 0.07
	Precipitation	70	0.12	= 0.733 n.s.	(-) 0.01
	Tree species richness	25	0.30	= 0.589 n.s.	(-) 0.05
		sd	variance		
Random	Precipitation event \pm plot	0.204	0.042		
effects	Tree composition	0.332	0.110		
	Site	0.577	0.333		
	Plot \pm rep	0.503	0.253		
Vegetation characteristics fitted in exchange to crown cover					
	Leaf area index	95	5.16	= 0.026 *	(-) 0.17
	Tree height	31	3.58	= 0.069 †	(-) 0.10
	Tree stem diameter	30	0.20	= 0.661 n.s.	(-) 0.04
	Tree crown width	31	0.79	= 0.383 n.s.	(-) 0.08

1028
 1029
 1030
 1031
 1032
 1033

1034 **Table A3: Mean sediment discharge in g m^{-2} and surface runoff volume in L m^{-2} (standard deviation in brackets,**
 1035 **$n=334$) for tree species richness in May and June 2013.**

	Diversity 0-24	Diversity 0	Diversity 1-24	Diversity 1	Diversity 8	Diversity 16	Diversity 24
Sediment	199	361	188	202	103	135	204
discharge	(106)	(187)	(90)	(105)	(57)	(123)	(107)
Runoff	32.6	47.8	29.8	31.9	27.5	22.5	30.2
volume	(21.4)	(32.1)	(18.5)	(20.9)	(14.5)	(15.7)	(19.7)

1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043

1044

1045

1046

1047

1048

1049

1050

1051

1052

1053

1054

1055 **Figure 1: Measurement setup showing a runoff plot (ROP, 0.4 m × 0.4 m) with reservoir and rainfall gauge on the**
1056 **experimental site in Xingangshan, Jiangxi Province, PR China.**

1057

1058 **Figure 2: Sediment discharge and runoff volume at five diversity levels based on four rainfall events in May and June**
1059 **2013 in Xingangshan, Jiangxi Province, PR China (n.s.: not significant, n=334). Horizontal line within boxplot**
1060 **represents median and diamond represents mean.**

1061

1062 **Figure 3: Sediment discharge under 20 tree species in monocultures based on four rainfall events in May and June**
1063 **2013 in Xingangshan, Jiangxi Province, PR China. Dashed line indicates mean sediment discharge of all 20 species.**
1064 **Horizontal lines within boxplot represent median and diamonds represent mean values found for a respective species.**

1065

1066 **Figure 4: Effects of tree functional traits and site characteristics on sediment discharge. Analyses were based on four**
1067 **rainfall events in May and June 2013 in Xingangshan, Jiangxi Province, PR China. Black lines symbolize linear**
1068 **trends.**