1	Predicting soil water repellency by hydrophobic organic
2	compounds and their vegetation origin
3	
4	J. Mao ^{1,2} , K.G.J. Nierop ² , M. Rietkerk ¹ , and S.C. Dekker ¹
5	¹ Copernicus Institute of Sustainable Development- Environmental Sciences, Faculty of
6	Geosciences, Utrecht University, Heidelberglaan 2, PO Box 80115, 3508 TC Utrecht, The
7	Netherlands.
8	² Department of Earth Sciences-Organic Geochemistry, Faculty of Geosciences, Utrecht
9	University, Heidelberglaan 2, PO Box 80115, 3508 TC Utrecht, The Netherlands.
10	
11	
12	Correspondence to: J. Mao (J.Mao@uu.nl)
13	
14	

15 Abstract

16 It is widely accepted that soil water repellency (SWR) is mainly caused by plant-derived 17 hydrophobic organic compounds in soils; such hydrophobic compounds are defined as SWR-18 markers. However, the detailed influence of SWR-markers on SWR is yet unclear and the 19 knowledge of their original sources is still limited. The aims of this study are to select 20 important SWR-markers to predict SWR based on their correlation with SWR and to 21 determine their origin. In our study, sandy soils with different SWR were collected, along 22 with their covering vegetation, i.e. plant leaves/needles and roots. A sequential extraction 23 procedure was applied to the soils to obtain three organic fractions: DCM/MeOH soluble 24 fraction (D), DCM/MeOH insoluble fraction of IPA/NH₃ extract (AI) and DCM/MeOH 25 soluble fraction of IPA/NH3 extract (AS), which were subdivided into ten dominant SWR-26 marker groups: (D) fatty acid, (D) alcohol, (D) alkane, (AI) fatty acid, (AI) alcohol, (AI) ω-27 hydroxy fatty acid, (AI) a, w-dicarboxylic acid, (AS) fatty acid, (AS) alcohol and (AS) w-28 hydroxy fatty acid. Waxes and biopolyesters of the vegetation were also sequentially 29 extracted from plants. The soils with higher SWR have significantly higher relative 30 concentrations of (AS) alcohols. A number of indications suggest that (AS) alcohols are 31 mainly derived from roots and most likely produced by microbial hydrolysis of biopolyesters 32 (mainly suberins). In addition, the strong correlation between the biomarkers of plant tissues 33 and SWR-markers in soils suggests that it is more accurate to predict SWR of topsoils using 34 ester-bound alcohols from roots, and to predict SWR of subsoils using root-derived ω -35 hydroxy fatty acids and α,ω -dicarboxylic acids. Considering the sandy soils studied here, our 36 relations obtained need to be tested for other types of soils. Our analysis indicates that plant roots have a primary role influencing SWR relative to plant leaves. 37

38

39 **1. Introduction**

40 Soil water repellency (SWR) is one of the important properties that can interrupt soil water infiltration and potentially lead to soil erosion, and occurs globally in a wide range of soil 41 42 types under various kinds of vegetation (Franco et al., 1995, 2000; Doerr et al., 2000, 2005; Michel et al., 2001; Poulenard et al., 2004; Hansel et al., 2008; de Blas et al., 2010). SWR is 43 44 caused by hydrophobic organic compounds in soils. These compounds originate from vegetation (McGhie and Posner, 1981; Bisdom et al., 1993; de Blas et al., 2010; Horne and 45 46 McIntosh, 2000) or microorganisms (Bond and Harris, 1964; McGhie and Posner, 1980) and 47 have been defined as SWR-markers by Mao et al. (2014). Different groups of SWR-markers 48 have been isolated from water repellent soils by a number of extraction techniques with 49 selective organic solvents and have been identified by using several types of analytical 50 instruments in previous research (Ma'shum et al., 1988; Franco et al., 1995, 2000; Hansel et 51 al., 2008; Atanassova and Doerr, 2010; de Blas et al., 2010; Mao et al., 2014).

52 Although numerous SWR-markers have been identified, the relation between these 53 markers and the severity of SWR is still not clear. Significantly more organic matter was 54 found in water repellent soils than in wettable soils, but there was no clear correlation between the extracted amounts of organic matter and SWR severity (Atanassova and Doerr, 55 56 2010; Mainwaring et al., 2004, 2013). Few studies have attempted to explain the possible 57 relation between hydrophobic organic compounds and SWR. De Blas et al. (2013) found a 58 significant correlation between the amount of free lipids and SWR; however, the amount of 59 bound lipids did not correlate with soil hydrophobicity. Ester-bound biopolymers (in 60 particular suberins) have been shown to lead to relatively stronger SWR compared to free 61 lipids in sandy soils (Mao et al, 2014). Hence, it is clear that not only the amount but also the 62 type of SWR-markers affect the severity of SWR (Contreras et al., 2008; de Blas et al., 63 2013).

64 The severity of SWR significantly varies depending on vegetation species and soil depths 65 (Doerr et al., 2002, 2005; Buckzo et al., 2005; de Blas et al., 2010, 2013; Neris et al., 2012; 66 Mao et al., 2014; Zavala et al., 2014). For instance, soil under eucalyptus always showed 67 more severe water repellency than under pine during dry periods in northwest Spain (Rodríguez-Alleres and Benito, 2011, 2012). Morley et al. (2005) found large variation in 68 69 SWR from extreme repellent to non-repellent sandy soil under grasses, at depths ranging 70 from 0 to 40 cm. As vegetation is the primary input of organic matter in soils (Van Bergen et 71 al., 1997; Kögel-Knabner, 2002), it is now well accepted that SWR is mainly the result of 72 accumulated hydrophobic organic compounds in soils originally derived from vegetation 73 (Bisdom et al., 1993; DeBano, 2000; Doerr et al., 2000; Horne and McIntosh, 2000; Hansel et 74 al., 2008; de Blas et al., 2010, 2013) and to a smaller extent from microbes (Hallett and 75 Young, 1999; Feeney et al., 2006).

76 In this paper we aim to predict SWR based on the occurrence of different types and 77 amounts of SWR-markers in sandy soils and to understand and link the SWR-markers to their 78 origin, i.e. the vegetation type (leaf or root). We therefore use sandy soils under different 79 vegetation types similar to our previous study (Mao et al., 2014), in which the soils contain 80 more than 100 different SWR-markers. Sandy soils have been chosen because they contain 81 hardly any organo-mineral complexes, leading to negligible interactions between soil 82 particles and organic matter, in contrast to clay or silt soils (Schulten and Leinweber, 2000; 83 Kleber et al., 2007). To predict SWR from specific leaf/root biomarkers, we apply linear 84 regression data analysis to the SWR-markers both as individual compounds and combined in 85 compound groups from the three different fractions: DCM/MeOH soluble fraction (D), 86 DCM/MeOH insoluble fraction of IPA/NH₃ extract (AI) and DCM/MeOH soluble fraction of 87 IPA/NH₃ extract (AS), as analysed by Mao et al. (2014).

88

89 **2. Materials and methods**

90 **2.1 Sampling**

91 The sand dunes of the Zuid-Kennemerland National Park in The Netherlands were chosen as 92 a sampling site. Soils and vegetation samples were collected along two perpendicular 93 transects, with a variety of vegetation cover. All the soils were classified as Cambic 94 Arenosols (FAO, 2006), and more details about the soil characteristics and transects are given 95 in Mao et al. (2014). The soils were sampled from maximal three different soil horizons at 96 spots under different types of vegetation (Table 1). The living plant leaves and roots were 97 taken separately from each vegetation species, except for sheep fescue, of which the roots 98 found in the filed were very fine and therefore the leaves and roots were decided to be 99 collected together. All collected soils were oven-dried at 30°C for 48 hours, and passed a 1.4 100 mm diameter sieve to remove large leaf and root fragments. All vegetation samples were 101 freeze-dried and stored in a dry place prior to further analysis.

102

2.2 Soil characteristics measurements

A 1:2.5 (w/w) soil to water ratio was used to determine soil pH value (Metson, 1956), which was measured by using a pH meter (Consort C830). To determine total organic carbon (TOC) and total nitrogen (TN), all soils were decalcified using 1 M HCl to remove inorganic carbon (Van Wesemael, 1955) and ground into fine powder by using planetary ball mills (Pulverisette[®]5, Fritsch). The TOC and TN contents of the soils were measured using a CNS analyser (Fisons Instruments NA1500).

110

111 **2.3 Water repellency assessment**

The water drop penetration time (WDPT) test is widely accepted and used to evaluate the
extent of SWR (Van't Woudt, 1959; Krammes and DeBano, 1965; Wessel, 1988; Dekker and

Ritsema, 1994; Doerr et al., 2005). To obtain the WDPT of all oven-dried soils before extraction, the WDPT value of each soil was determined based on the average penetration time of twenty individual water droplets. Based on the WDPT method, the severity of SWR was classified as follows: wettable (<5 s), slightly repellent (5-60 s), strongly repellent (60-600 s), severely repellent (600-3600 s) and extremely repellent (>3600 s) (Bisdom et al., 1993; Dekker and Ritsema, 1996). The repellency classes of all the soils are presented in Table 1.

121

122 **2.4 Soil and vegetation extraction**

123 To investigate different fractions of SWR-markers, sequential extraction methods have been 124 applied to all the soils (see for details Mao et al. (2014)) and vegetation samples. To isolate 125 free lipids from the soils and the plants, the oven-dried soils, leaves and roots were weighed 126 and extracted by dichloromethane/methanol (DCM/MeOH (9:1, v:v)) by using a Soxhlet 127 apparatus for 24 hours to give the D fraction (Bull et al., 2000; Nierop et al., 2005; Jansen et 128 al., 2006). The residual soils were air-dried and extracted using a Soxhlet apparatus 129 containing iso-propanol/ammonia solution (IPA/NH₃, 7:3 (v:v), 32% ammonia solution) for 130 48 hours. The soils became wettable after IPA/NH₃ extraction. The soluble lipids (AS 131 fraction) were separated from the dried IPA/NH₃ extracts by DCM/MeOH (9:1), and the 132 residues resulted into so-called AI fractions, which involved ester bonds.

All the D and AS fractions of the soils and DCM/MeOH extracts of the plants were methylated using diazomethane (CH₂N₂). The AI fractions and the lipid-free air-dried leaves and roots were depolymerised by trans-methylation using BF₃-MeOH at 70 °C for 16 hours (Riederer et al., 1993). Prior to analysis, all the aliquots were eluted through a small silicagel 60 column (0.063-0.2 mm diameter, 79-230 mesh) with ethyl acetate and silylated using N,O*bis* (trimethylsilyl) trifluoroacetamide (BSTFA) in pyridine at 60°C for 20 min. 139

140 **2.5 Gas Chromatography (GC) and GC- Mass Spectrometry (MS) analysis**

141 A HP 6890 Series GC fitted with a flame ionisation detector (FID) was used to analyse 142 derivatised extracts. A CP-Sil 5 CB capillary column (Agilent Technologies, 30 m length \times 143 0.32 mm diameter, 0.10 µm film thickness) was used to separate compounds, using helium as 144 carrier gas with a constant pressure at 100 kPa. The oven heating programme started with an 145 initial temperature of 70 °C, increased to 130 °C at 20 °C min⁻¹, then heated from 130 °C to 146 320 °C at 4 °C min⁻¹, and finally held at 320 °C for 20 min.

GC-MS analysis of extracts was performed on a Thermo Trace GC Ultra GC connected to Finnigan Trace DSQ mass spectrometer with a mass range of m/z 50-800, using helium at a 1.0 ml min⁻¹ flow rate as the carrier gas. The GC-MS was equipped with a similar capillary column as the GC-FID, and the same oven temperature mode was used as for the GC-FID analysis.

152 Based on GC-FID and GC-MS analyses, the relative response factors of compound groups 153 (alkanes, alcohols, fatty acids, ω -hydroxy fatty acids and α , ω -dicarboxylic acids) were rather 154 similar and hardly discriminating between various types of compounds. Therefore, a known 155 amount of squalane as an internal standard was added to extracts to quantify compounds by 156 peak area integration from GC-MS chromatograms to correct for possible co-eluting 157 compounds. Both for GC-FID and GC-MS analyses, 1 µl of derivatised extracts were 158 injected onto the column. Compound identification was conducted on mass spectra using a 159 NIST library or by interpretation of the spectra, and combined with their retention times or by 160 comparison with literature data.

161

162 **2.6 Statistical data analysis**

163 The correlation between SWR-markers and SWR can be clearly interpreted by linear 164 regression analysis. Here we applied simple linear regression between measured SWR value 165 (i.e. the WDPT) at log scale (log (s)) to the concentrations of individual SWR-markers and 166 each compound group. To assess both the quantitative and qualitative effects, we carried out regression analysis on the absolute amount ($\mu g g^{-1}$ soil) and the relative amount ($\mu g g^{-1}TOC$) 167 168 of SWR-markers. In our study the quantity of every compound group was defined as absolute amount (µg g⁻¹soil) and the quality as the ratio of the concentrations of two different 169 170 compound groups (Group1/Group2, [-]). We will distinguish these functional compound 171 groups, based on the extraction type (D, AI and AS) and their compound types, i.e. alkanes, 172 fatty acids, alcohols, ω -hydroxy fatty acids or α , ω -dicarboxylic acids.

173 **3. Results**

174 **3.1 Single compounds analysis**

175 **3.1.1 Single SWR-markers from soils**

For all soils, the majority of compounds had negative but no significant correlations between their relative concentrations ($\mu g g^{-1}TOC$) and SWR. In Table 2 only the significant correlations between relative concentrations of individual markers and SWR are given, in which we analysed this for 1) all soils, 2) topsoils and 3) subsoils, respectively.

For all soils (n=15), in the D fraction we only found that C_{24} alcohol significantly positively related to SWR (log₁₀ WDPT; Table 2; r=0.575, p=0.025). For the AS fraction, three even-numbered alcohols (C_{20} , C_{24} and C_{30}) and C_{20} ω -hydroxy fatty acid had significant positive relations with SWR. Other, in general short-chain fatty acids, alcohols and alkanes from different fractions exhibited significant negative relations with SWR (Table 2).

185 For all the topsoils (n=10) the longer chain AS-alcohols (C₂₀, C₂₄ and C₃₀), which had 186 significant relations with SWR for all soils, were no longer significant in the topsoils. Only 187 negatively related compounds were found for the topsoils. For the AI-fraction, similar 188 significant negatively correlated markers for the topsoils were found as compared to all soils. 189 For the AS fraction C₂₂, C₂₃ and C₂₄ fatty acids had significant negative correlations with 190 SWR for all the topsoils, which could not be found for all soils. In contrast, AS alcohols did 191 not show significant relations with SWR for the topsoils. For all the subsoils (n=5), short-192 chain alcohols (C₁₆ and C₁₈) in the D fraction and fatty acids (C₁₈ and C₂₁) in the AI fraction 193 showed negatively significant correlations with SWR, while none of the compounds in the 194 AS fraction had a significant correlation with SWR.

195

3.1.2 Single biomarkers from vegetation

197 The compound groups fatty acids, alcohols and alkanes were identified in DCM/MeOH 198 extracts from plant leaves and roots (Fig. 1a-c). Besides these three main groups mentioned 199 above, β -situates and roots, but was found in soils 200 with much lower abundance and had an insignificant correlation with SWR, as similar as 201 other identified sterols (e.g. stigmasterol in mosses). Other typical biomarkers were found in 202 leaves and roots of one or more species but hardly found in all soils, for instance, 203 dehydroabietic acid in black pine needles, in the leaves of oak and sea-buckthorn, therefore 204 those biomarkers were not taken into account as an SWR marker to predict SWR.

205 For the fatty acids in all leaves and roots a strong even-over-odd preference was found, in 206 which chain lengths of most plant extracts ranged between C_{16} - C_{32} . The sheep fescue and 207 hypnum moss clearly showed the largest range of abundant fatty acids, in which C₂₈ was 208 most abundant for both species. For sea-buckthorn and hawthorn, roots had more different 209 kinds of fatty acids than the leaves. C₃₀ was most abundant in leaves of hawthorn, C₂₄ in roots 210 of hawthorn, C₂₂ in both leaves and roots of sea-buckthorn. For pine needles, C₁₆ and C₁₈ 211 fatty acids were the only fatty acids found, while the pine roots contained a large range with 212 C₂₄ as dominating one. Long-chain even-numbered fatty acids were more abundant in the 213 leaves (with C₂₀ as most dominant) than in the roots of common oak, with C₁₆ as most 214 dominant. In summary the number of different fatty acids found in roots was larger than in 215 leaves, with highest concentrations in sea-buckthorn roots and oak leaves.

In contrast to fatty acids, the alcohols observed in plants ranged between C_{16} - C_{32} and were only even-numbered (Fig. 1b). The most abundant alcohol in sheep fescue and hypnum moss was C_{26} . C_{22} was the most dominating in sea-buckthorn leaves while in their roots C_{18} , C_{22} and C_{26} alcohols had similar predominance. For hawthorn, C_{26} was most the abundant in leaves and C_{24} in roots. C_{24} alcohol was predominant in pine needles and oak leaves while their roots showed a more uniform distribution (C_{18} - C_{24} and C_{18} - C_{26} , respectively). To summarise, the number of different alcohols found in roots was larger than in the leaves,
which is similar as found for the fatty acids, but abundance of the alcohols in the leaves was
much higher.

225 Only long-chain odd-numbered alkanes $(C_{21}-C_{31})$ were observed in the leaves, except for 226 pine needles in which no alkanes were found (Fig. 1c). C₂₇ dominated oak leaves, C₂₉ 227 dominated all the other leaves and roots except sea-buckthorn roots that were dominated by 228 C₂₁ and had a larger range of alkanes than all other plant tissues. Fatty acids, alcohols, ω-229 hydroxy fatty acids, and α, ω -dicarboxylic acids were released from the ester-bound lipids 230 (cutin and suberin) upon BF₃-MeOH hydrolysis of all leaves and roots (Fig. 2 a-d). In 231 addition, several di- and trihydroxy fatty acids, common cutin and suberin monomers, were 232 identified, but as they were hardly or not found in our soils (Mao et al., 2014) they do not 233 play a major role in our correlation analysis. Therefore, we limited ourselves to the 234 previously mentioned compound groups.

The even-over-odd-numbered fatty acids (C_{16} - C_{30}) dominated all leaves and roots (Fig. 2a). Interestingly, C_{16} fatty acid was the most dominating ester-bound fatty acid for all aboveground plant tissues in relative high concentrations, in contrast to the roots. All roots had a large range of fatty acids, dominated by C_{24} , except for hawthorn that contained only C_{20} and C_{22} fatty acids.

Compared to leaves, a larger number of ester-bound alcohols in greater abundance were found in the roots. For sheep fescue, C_{20} alcohol was the dominant one, while C_{18} was the only one found in hypnum moss (Fig. 2b). No ester-bound alcohol was found in sea buckthorn and hawthorn leaves. Pine needles only showed C_{24} , while oak leaves showed only C_{20} . The most dominant ester-bound alcohol in the roots of sea-buckthorn and pine was C_{16} , while in those of hawthorn and oak C_{24} and C_{20} were, respectively. Sheep fescue showed a large range of ω -hydroxy fatty acids dominated by C_{18:1} (Fig. 2c), whereas hypnum moss contained only C₁₆. The roots of sea-buckthorn had the widest range of ω -hydroxy fatty acids, from C₁₆ to C₂₈, while the roots of hawthorn had the narrowest range from C₁₆ to C₂₂ excluding C_{18:1}. C₂₄ was most dominant for sea-buckthorn roots while in hawthorn roots C₂₀ was most abundant. C₁₂ and C₁₄ ω -hydroxy fatty acids were only observed in pine needles, whereas longer-chain ones (> C₁₈) were present only in its roots maximising at C₂₂. C_{18:1} ω -hydroxy fatty acid predominated in both oak leaves and roots.

Even-numbered α, ω -dicarboxylic acids (C₁₆-C₂₈) as typical suberin-derived biomarkers were only found in the plant roots (Fig. 2d). No α, ω -dicarboxylic acids were found in sheep fescue and hypnum moss while in the roots of the other species the dominating α, ω dicarboxylic acid differs: sea buckthorn (C_{18:1}), hawthorn (C₁₆), oak (C₁₆) and pine (C₂₂).

257

258 **3.1.3 Soil-vegetation link based on single compounds**

Compared to leaves, roots contained a larger number of different extractable and ester-bound
biomarkers, except for the alkanes. The concentrations of most extractable lipids in roots
were lower than in leaves, while the opposite was generally true for ester-bound lipids.

Comparing the D fraction with extractable lipids of plants, C_{16} , C_{17} and C_{18} fatty acids in the D fraction of soils are negatively related to SWR for all soils and the topsoils (Table 2), which were most abundant in sheep fescue (Fig. 1a). The oak leaves contained the highest concentration of C_{24} alcohol, which in the D fraction was the only compound that positively related to SWR. Alcohols C_{20} and C_{24} in the ester-bound lipids of the hawthorn roots were most abundant and can clearly be related to C_{20} and C_{24} alcohols in the AI fraction of soils.

268

269 **3.2 Compound groups analysis**

270 **3.2.1 SWR-marker groups from soils**

271 To get a more general view on the relation between certain compounds and SWR, we have 272 analysed compound groups (i.e. sum of all compounds of the same type). For all soils, the 273 absolute total amounts of the main compound groups in the D, AI and AS fractions ranged from 1.61 to 63.80 mg g⁻¹soil, from 0.84 to 62.18 mg g⁻¹soil and from 0.27 to 40.24 mg 274 g¹soil, respectively. For all soils, all compound groups, i.e. (D) fatty acid, (D) alcohol, (D) 275 276 alkane, (AI) fatty acid, (AI) alcohol, (AI) ω -hydroxy fatty acid, (AI) α, ω -dicarboxylic acid, 277 (AS) fatty acid, (AS) alcohol and (AS) ω -hydroxy fatty acid, had significant positive relations between quantity ($\log_{10} (\mu g g^{-1} soil)$) and SWR ($\log_{10} WDPT$) (Table 3). For all the topsoils, 278 279 all compound groups significantly correlated to SWR except (AI) a, w-dicarboxylic acid and 280 (AS) fatty acid. For all the subsoils less compound groups had significant relations with 281 SWR. For the high TOC soils, no group had a significant correlation with SWR, while for the 282 low TOC soils, all groups significantly related to SWR except (AI) fatty acid and (AS) ω -283 hydroxy fatty acid.

284 As absolute values highly correlate with organic matter content and therefore with SWR, 285 relative amounts are more interesting to understand the importance of one component over 286 the other. For all soils, the relative total amounts of the main compound groups in the D, AI and AS fractions ranged from 0.74 to 2.74 mg g⁻¹TOC, from 0.48 to 2.01 mg g⁻¹TOC and 287 from 0.24 to 1.43 mg g^{-1} TOC, respectively. To this end the correlation between the relative 288 concentrations ($\log_{10} (\mu g g^{-1}TOC)$) of compound groups and SWR was analysed. Only (AS) 289 290 alcohol group had a positive significant correlation for all soils and the subsoils (Table 3). 291 The other groups either had a negative or positive relation with SWR but not significant. No 292 compound group significantly related to SWR for the topsoils.

293

3.2.2 Vegetation biomarker groups

295 Considering the biomarker groups of extractable lipids of sea-buckthorn, hawthorn, pine and 296 oak, oak leaves had much more abundant fatty acids and alcohols than the leaves of other 297 plants (Table 4). The roots of sea-buckthorn were richer in fatty acids and alcohols than the 298 other roots. Alkanes were observed in all leaves except pine needles, whereas a relatively 299 small amount of alkanes was found in pine roots. The leaves of hawthorn had the highest 300 amount of alkane while no alkanes were found in its roots. Sea-buckthorn was the only plant 301 species containing alkanes in both its leaves and roots.

302 Ester-bound fatty acids and ω -hydroxy fatty acids occurred in all leaves and roots, 303 whereas the leaves and roots of hawthorn had the highest abundance of fatty acids of all 304 leaves and the highest ω -hydroxy fatty acids of all roots (Table 4). Much less ester-bound 305 alcohols were observed in leaves than in roots. The roots of hawthorn had the most abundant 306 alcohol group. As expected, no α, ω -dicarboxylic acids were present in leaves but only in 307 roots.

308

309 **3.2.3 Soil-vegetation link based on compound groups**

310 Fig. 3 shows the relative concentrations of the compound groups subdivided between top-311 and subsoils. Interestingly, although the composition within each compound group is 312 different, there is almost no significant difference between the concentrations of compound 313 groups in top- and subsoils. The relative abundance of (AI) α, ω -dicarboxylic acids in the 314 topsoils was significantly higher than in the subsoils (p=0.013), while such compounds only 315 derive from roots. There was no significant difference between relative abundances of all 316 other summed compound groups between top- and subsoils. Although more extractable fatty 317 acids were found in leaves than in roots, except for sea-buckthorn (Table 4), no clear 318 differences for (D) fatty acids were observed between top- and subsoils (Fig. 3). The amounts 319 of (D) alkanes in top- and subsoils were almost equal, while leaves had much more alkanes than roots. Comparing the AI fraction, AI-fatty acids was equal in the topsoils and subsoils (Fig. 3) while the ester-bound fatty acids were more abundant in leaves than in roots (Table 4). The ω -hydroxy fatty acids were slightly lower in the topsoils than in the subsoils, whereas the concentration of this group was lower in leaves than in roots.

324

325 **3.3 Quality relation of two compound groups to SWR**

From the above analysis, individual compound groups in absolute concentrations (µg g⁻¹soil) 326 327 were in general able to understand the SWR behaviour, while using the relative amounts (µg g⁻¹TOC) were not. As a next step, we analysed the ratio of two different compound groups 328 329 reflecting a quality parameter of SWR markers in relation to SWR. To understand if this 330 quality factor is able to describe the SWR, the linear correlation of such a ratio and SWR was 331 analysed. For all soils, (AS) alcohol was essential for a significant combination (Table 5). 332 When (AS) alcohol was the numerator, the correlation between the ratio of two groups and 333 SWR was positive, otherwise, it was negatively correlated. Also for the topsoils and the 334 subsoils, (AS) alcohol occurred in all significant combinations and had a positive relation 335 when (AS) alcohol was the numerator. In contrast to all soils, for the topsoils, not all the 336 groups that combined with (AS) alcohol showed a significant relation. Among those 337 significant combinations, all three compound groups from the D fraction were included; 338 however, (AI) alcohol was the only group from the AI fraction, while (AS) fatty acid was the 339 only one from the AS fraction. For the subsoils it is interesting that significant combinations 340 coincided with all AI compound groups except (AI) alcohol. None of the significant 341 combinations were the same for the topsoils and subsoils. All the significant combinations for the top-/subsoils were also obtained in those for all soils. Similar to all soils, (AS) alcohol as 342 343 the numerator achieved positive correlations between the quality ratios and SWR for the 344 topsoils.

345 For the topsoils, all the groups from the D fraction were included in the significant 346 combinations. Linking those groups to the extractable lipids of the plant leaves, oak leaves 347 had the highest concentrations of both fatty acids and alcohols. All the D fraction groups 348 were abundantly present in the roots of sea-buckthorn. The leaves and the roots of hawthorn 349 had the highest abundances of ester-bound alcohols. For the subsoils, among the significant 350 combinations, all three AI groups, i.e. fatty acid, ω -hydroxy fatty acid and α , ω -dicarboxylic 351 acid, occurred in the ester-bound lipids of vegetation. The ester-bound fatty acids were most 352 abundant in the leaves of hawthorn and the roots of sea-buckthorn, respectively (Table 4). 353 Hawthorn roots were richer in ω -hydroxy fatty acids than the other plant roots, whereas pine 354 needles had the highest ω -hydroxy fatty acids for all leaves. α, ω -Dicarboxylic acids were 355 richest in oak roots.

4. Discussion

357 4.1 Single SWR-markers

358 As known, the extracted SWR-markers are all hydrophobic (Hansel et al., 2008; Atanassova 359 and Doerr, 2010; de Blas et al., 2013); however, still significant negative correlations have 360 been shown as relative abundances. For all soil categories, compared to long-chain 361 compounds, the short-chain ones showed more negative linear relations with SWR. 362 Atanassova and Doerr (2010) also detected more abundant short-chain dicarboxylic acids in 363 less water repellent soils. Mainwaring et al. (2004) mentioned low molecular weight polar 364 compounds diffuse quickly through soil water. Referring to that, a possible explanation of 365 those more negative relations is that the short-chain compounds are supposed to be more 366 mobile and less hydrophobic, inducing a relative lower SWR. Since the measured SWR is an 367 average value reflecting the contribution of all components, the contribution of the short-368 chain compounds to cause SWR is apparently relatively smaller than the average contribution 369 induced by all SWR-markers resulting in negative relations. In addition, it also implies that 370 other long-chain compounds have a relatively larger contribution to SWR, which is supported 371 by the positive relations. Soil organic matter composition and hence SWR-markers differ 372 between soils under various vegetation. From either ecological or chemical point of view, the 373 influence of single SWR-markers on SWR cannot be accurately quantified, and thus, single 374 compounds are not good SWR-markers to predict the extent of SWR well.

375

4.2 Role of compound groups

377 Since single SWR-markers may not be capable to predict SWR, we analysed the possible 378 correlations between compound groups and SWR. We are the first to discuss about the 379 quantity and quality of SWR-markers to predict SWR. For all soils, the positive relations 380 between the absolute amounts of all the compound groups and SWR follow the significant 381 positive relation between TOC and SWR shown by Mao et al. (2014). Therefore, it is not 382 surprising that the absolute quantity of the single SWR-marker groups showed its potential of 383 predicting SWR. However, the quality of compounds is more important than the quantity by 384 influencing SWR (Lozano et al., 2013). Regarding the relative concentrations of SWR-385 marker groups, (AS) alcohol was the only group to show a significant relation with SWR for 386 all soils and the subsoils, respectively. In addition, alcohols have been detected in water 387 repellent soils and associate with SWR (Mainwaring et al., 2004; Hansel et al., 2008; 388 Atanassova and Doerr, 2010). As (AS) alcohol does not comprise an abundant group in all 389 AS extracts, the relation between compound groups and SWR might not be simply explained 390 only by a single compound group. Therefore, the ratio of two different groups, namely the 391 quality of the compound groups in our study, was used to demonstrate the significant 392 combinations predicting SWR for different soil categories.

393 For the topsoils, there are fewer groups from AI and AS fractions combined with (AS) 394 alcohol that significantly related to SWR than for all soils. For instance, α, ω -dicarboxylic 395 acids in the AI fraction and ω-hydroxy fatty acids in both AI and AS fractions in combination 396 with (AS) alcohols did not predict SWR well in topsoils. It is reasonable that those 397 combinations were no longer significant because of the different original sources of SWR-398 markers. The main source of SWR-markers in the topsoils is most likely plant leaves (Bull et 399 al., 2000a; Naafs et al., 2004a), whereas both α, ω -dicarboxylic acids and ω -hydroxy fatty 400 acids are typically derived from roots (Kolattukudy et al., 1981, 2001; Pollard et al., 2008). 401 For the subsoils, the entire D fraction originating from leaf waxes were not involved in the 402 significant combinations with (AS) alcohol, suggesting little contribution of organic 403 compounds to the sandy subsoils is from leaves (Nierop and Verstraten, 2004). All three 404 groups that successfully combined with (AS) alcohol are from the root-derived AI fraction revealing that the primary source of organic matter in subsoils is roots (Bull et al., 2000b;
Nierop et al., 2006) and those combinations could well predict the subsoil SWR.

407 (AI) alcohol was not on the list of significant group combinations for the subsoils but was 408 the only AI group present in one significant combination for the topsoils, potentially 409 implying that (AI) alcohol combined with (AS) alcohol can be a good predictor of SWR in 410 the topsoils. Based on the analysis of the significant combinations of the top- and subsoils, 411 the original source of SWR-markers probably plays a vital role on selecting best 412 combinations to predict soil SWR. However, the relations observed between SWR-marker 413 groups and SWR may not be directly applicable to other types of soils with different soil 414 texture, structure and vegetation cover (Bisdom et al., 1993; Doerr et al., 2000; De Blas et al., 415 2010).

416

417 **4.3 Role of the AS fraction**

418 Interestingly, only (AS) alcohol positively related to SWR significantly. It implies that SWR 419 is higher when the soil organic matter contains relatively more (AS) alcohol. In addition, 420 (AS) alcohol was most frequently appearing in significant group combinations. Although the 421 AS fraction seems an important SWR fraction, compared to the other two fractions, the AS 422 fraction contained the least amount of extracted SWR-markers, the AS fraction as such and 423 its origin is poorly understood. Mao et al. (2014) speculated that the AS fraction physically 424 blocked by the suberin-derived AI fraction are mainly from leaves and a smaller part from 425 roots. However, in this paper:

426 1. As observed earlier, there were no alkanes occurring in the AS fractions (Mao et al.,
427 2014), while in the present study alkanes was one of the main groups present in leaves while
428 hardly or not in roots, suggesting a negligible leaf signal in the AS fraction.

429 2. The ω -hydroxy fatty acids in the AS fraction were mainly C₂₂ and C₂₄, which are typical 430 of suberin-derived compounds from roots (Kolattukudy et al., 1980; Nierop et al., 2006; 431 Spielvogel et al., 2014).

432 3. For the subsoils, only the ratios of (AS) alcohol/ (AI) compounds had significant 433 positive relations with SWR. Here (AI) compounds included (AI) fatty acid, (AI) ω -hydroxy 434 fatty acid and (AI) α,ω -dicarboxylic acid, which are suberin-derived compounds (Mao et al., 435 2014). Those significant combinations suggest that the origin of (AS) alcohol may be 436 relevant to the origin of the (AI) fraction, namely roots. (AS) alcohol/(AI) alcohol was the 437 only ratio of AS alcohol/AI compounds that did not predict SWR in the subsoil well, 438 implying that (AI) alcohol is different to some degree from the other (AI) groups when it is associated with (AS) alcohol. 439

440 4. For the topsoils, the ratio of (AS) alcohol/ (AI) compounds (except (AI) alcohol) did not
441 have strong correlations with SWR. (AI) compounds mainly originate from roots,
442 demonstrating that roots-derived compounds possibly do not respond to the SWR of the
443 topsoils. For the topsoils, the ratio of (AS) alcohol/ (AI) alcohol significantly related to SWR,
444 implying that the relation between (AS) alcohol and (AI) alcohol is unique and different than
445 the relations between (AS) alcohol and other (AI) compounds.

5. ω -Hydroxy fatty acid group in the AI fraction had a positive significant relation (r=0.58, p=0.02) with (AS) alcohol, but none of the compound groups in the D fraction well correlated to (AS) alcohol. As previously pointed out, the D fraction and AI fraction are mainly derived from leaf-waxes and roots, respectively (Mao et al., 2014). The correlations reflect that the (AS) alcohol did not have the same original source as (D) compounds but probably originate from the same source as (AI) compounds. All arguments together suggest that roots are the likely main original source of the AS fraction. 453 As described in our previous study, the AS fraction does not directly have contact with 454 water in soils as it is physically blocked by the AI fraction by definition (Mao et al., 2014). 455 The DCM-MeOH insoluble, larger ester-bound components in the AI fraction can be turned 456 into an AS fraction by microbial hydrolysis producing monomeric compounds that are 457 extractable (Fernando et al., 1984; Martins et al., 2014). Kolattukudy (2001) proposed a 458 structure of suberin, in which ω -hydroxy fatty acids and α, ω -dicarboxylic acids are ester 459 bonded to form (linear) polymers. Possessing only one functional group, alcohols are likely 460 bound on the edge of such large molecules. Upon degradation, these alcohols could be 461 hydrolysed easier to become monomers than ω -hydroxy fatty acids and α, ω -dicarboxylic 462 acids which both contain two functional groups that occur more inside the polymers. α,ω -463 Dicarboxylic acids were not found in the AS fraction, which may imply that their position 464 within the suberin polymers is apparently different from that of the ω -hydroxy fatty acids 465 through which they are less easily hydrolysed than the other groups.

466 We speculate that an AI fraction is turned into an AS fraction by microbial hydrolysis. The 467 more microbial activity in soils, the more decomposed of organic matter becomes (Schnürer 468 and Rosswall, 1982), and as a result a larger amount of a given AI fraction could be 469 transformed into an AS fraction. Consequently, according to linear regression analysis, the 470 larger the AS fraction, the stronger SWR gets. Over time, when the AI fraction decreases by 471 microbial hydrolysis, the amount of the AS fraction increases, the SWR is raising until the 472 remaining AI fraction becomes too small to cover the whole AS fraction. As such, the ratio of 473 AS/AI fractions becomes a tipping point to indicate the optimal SWR. Once part of the AS 474 fraction is not blocked anymore by the AI fraction and becomes directly extractable by 475 DCM-MeOH, it automatically becomes part of the D fraction. Before that, the role of AS 476 fraction may be a kind of catalyst that binds (and is blocked by) the predominantly root477 derived AI fraction to mineral soil particles meanwhile inducing SWR. The proportion of the478 AS fraction in soil organic matter may be an important predictor of SWR.

479 If we extrapolate this from the molecular level to the level of young soils, their amount of 480 organic matter is small. Therefore, the microbial activity is also small and only a small 481 amount of (AS) fraction can be produced, and thus SWR is relatively small. When the soil 482 becomes more developed, there is more organic matter, and also more time to produce a 483 larger AS fraction, the SWR also becomes higher. Over time, when organic matter input and 484 output is in equilibrium, the size of the AS fraction may also become stable; the level of 485 SWR for that particular soil may become stable as well. As the AS fraction is mainly derived 486 from roots and is produced upon microbial hydrolysis of the predominantly root-derived AI 487 fractions, we expect plants with larger root biomass in older, more developed soils will lead 488 to highest SWR. Compared to shrubs and trees, smaller plants such as grasses and mosses 489 which have smaller and thinner root systems and produces smaller organic matter contents 490 will likely cause smaller SWR.

491

492 **4.4 Plant signals in soils**

493 Soil organic matter composition of different soils varies largely due to differences in 494 vegetation cover (Van Bergen et al, 1997; Nierop, 2001; Kögel-Knabner, 2002). In this study, 495 the main groups of the extractable and ester-bound lipids present in the leaves and roots were, 496 in general, all identified in D, AS and AI fractions of the soils under the given vegetation. No 497 significant difference between the summed relative abundances of the groups (except (AI) 498 α,ω -dicarboxylic acid) in the top- and subsoils was found in our study. This means that the 499 signals of leaves and roots are mixed in both top- and subsoils potentially due to a mixed 500 cover of vegetation sources or vegetation succession at the field site. In such a situation, (AI) 501 α, ω -dicarboxylic acids still showed significantly higher concentrations in the subsoils than in 502 the topsoils, strongly reflecting the root contribution to the subsoils.

503 The covering plants are the main sources of the SWR-markers and the extractable and 504 ester-bound lipids in soils reflect, therefore, the leaf and root signals of these plants (Nierop 505 et al., 2003; Naafs et al., 2004a). Within the extractable lipids, alkanes and alcohols are more 506 suitable than fatty acids to indicate the origin of the soil lipids, since fatty acids are not 507 sufficiently specific to be used as biomarkers (Van Bergen et al., 1997; Jansen et al., 2006). 508 The C_{27} and C_{29} alkanes are the dominating alkanes in all soils analysed (Mao et al., 2014); 509 they were also the major alkanes found in most of our vegetation leaves, strongly suggesting 510 a close relation between the soil alkanes and those occurring in plant leaves (Bull et al., 511 2000a; Naafs et al., 2004a; Nierop et al., 2006). Since C₂₆ alcohol is typical of grass (Walton, 512 1990; Van Bergen et al., 1997), which predominated both the sheep fescue and the soils 513 under sheep fescue (Mao et al., 2014), implying that C₂₆ alcohol in the soils most likely 514 indeed originated mainly from grasses. Similarly, C₂₄ alcohol, which is an indicator of oak 515 leaves (Bull et al., 2000), was abundantly present in the soils under oak. Regarding the 516 alcohol group, more alcohols were observed in leaves than in roots and more alcohols were 517 found in the topsoils than in the subsoils, suggesting a large contribution of extractable lipids 518 from plant leaf waxes to the directly underlying (top)soils.

The ester-bound lipid biomarkers represent the cutin and suberin-derived compounds in the plant leaves/needles and roots, respectively. α, ω -Dicarboxylic acids are typically derived from suberins (Kolattukudy, 2001), which were only found in roots, and similar to the esterbound alcohols and ω -hydroxy fatty acids, they were more enriched in subsoils rather than in topsoils, implying that the organic matter in the sandy subsoils well reflects a root origin (e.g. Nierop et al., 2006). The small amounts of α, ω -dicarboxylic acids in the topsoils may derive from shallow roots plants such as grasses providing suberins to the topsoils. An alternative

- 526 source may be bark which also contains suberins albeit their contribution to soils is smaller
- 527 than that of roots (Preston et al., 1994). As aforementioned, most likely the AS fraction has
- 528 mainly the same root origin as the AI fraction.

529 **5. Conclusion**

530 The prediction of SWR from the quantity of the SWR-markers follows the relation between 531 soil TOC and SWR. The relative amounts of the most single short-chain SWR-markers 532 negatively relate to SWR, while the long-chain markers have positive but insignificant 533 relations with SWR. It implies that a single SWR-marker is not suitable to explain and 534 predict the behaviour of SWR. The analysis of the quality of SWR-marker groups suggests 535 that (AS) alcohol combined with suberin-derived ω -hydroxy fatty acids and α, ω -dicarboxylic 536 acids can well predict the SWR of subsoils. For the topsoils, the combination (AS) alcohol/ 537 (AI) alcohol is a good predictor of the SWR. The relatively more (AS) alcohol a soil 538 contains, the more water repellent it becomes. The relations between the SWR of sandy soils 539 and SWR-markers may not be entirely suitable for other types of soils, as soil textures and 540 structures may impact it differently. A combined number of indications suggest that in this 541 study the AS fraction is mainly root-derived and likely produced by microbial hydrolysis of 542 ester-bound lipids. Together, roots produce markers that induce SWR stronger than above-543 ground plant tissues, and root-derived compounds more sufficiently predict SWR. To what 544 extent this holds for other soil types with different texture and structure needs further 545 research.

546

547 Acknowledgements

- 548 This study is funded by the Earth and Life Science and Research Council (ALW) with
- 549 financial aid from the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) (Grant
- 550 821.01.004). The authors thank PWN for permitting our research in the Zuid-Kennemerland
- 551 National Park and Jos A. Hageman for helping with the geostatistical analysis of data.

552 **References**

- Atanassova, I., and Doerr, S.: Organic compounds of different extractability in total solvent
 extracts from soils of contrasting water repellency, Eur. J. Soil Sci., 61, 298–313,
- 555 doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2389.2009.01224.x , 2010.
- 556 Bisdom, E. B. A., Dekker, L.W., and Schoute, J. F. Th.: Water repellency of sieve fractions
- from sandy soils and relationships with organic material and soil structure, Geoderma, 56,

558 105–118, doi: 10.1016/0016-7061(93)90103-R, 1993.

- Bond, R. D., and Harris, J. R.: The influence of the microflora on physical properties of soils.
- 560 I. Effects associated with filamentous algae and fungi, Aust. J. Soil Res., 2, 111–122,
 561 1964.
- Buczko, U., Bens, O., and Hüttl, R. F.: Variability of soil water repellency in sandy forest
 soils with different stand structure under Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*) and beech (*Fagus sylvatica*), Geoderma, 126, 317–336, doi: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2004.10.003, 2005.
- 565 Bull, I. D., Van Bergen, P. F., Nott, C. J., Poulton, P. R., and Evershed, R. P.: Organic
 566 geochemical studies of soils from the Rothamsted Classical Experiments V. The fate of
- 567 lipids in different long-term soil experiments, Org. Geochem., 31, 389–408, doi:
 568 10.1016/S0146-6380(00)00008-5, 2000a.
- Bull, I. D., Nott, C. J., Bergen, P. F. Van, Poulton, P. R., and Evershed, R. P.: Organic
 geochemical studies of soils from the Rothamsted classical experiments VI. The
 occurrence and source of organic acids in an experimental grassland soil, Soil Biol.
 Biochem., 32, 1367–1376, doi:10.1016/S0038-0717(00)00054-7, 2000b.
- 573 DeBano, L.F.: The role of fire and soil heating on water repellency in wildland environments:
- 574 a review, J. Hydrol. 231–232, 195–206, doi: 10.1016/S0022-1694(00)00194-3, 2000.

- de Blas, E., Rodríguez-Alleres, M., and Almendros, G.: Speciation of lipid and humic
 fractions in soils under pine and eucalyptus forest in northwest Spain and its effect on
 water repellency, Geoderma, 155, 242–248, doi: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2009.12.007, 2010.
- 578 de Blas, E., Almendros, G., and Sanz, J.: Molecular characterization of lipid fractions from
- 579 extremely water-repellent pine and eucalyptus forest soils, Geoderma, 206, 75–84, doi:
- 580 10.1016/j.geoderma.2013.04.027, 2013.
- 581 Contreras, S., Cantón, Y., and Solé-Benet, A.: Sieving crusts and macrofaunal activity control
 582 soil water repellency in semiarid environments: Evidences from SE Spain, Geoderma, 145,
- 583 252–258. doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2008.03.019, 2008
- Dekker, L. W. and Ritsema, C. J.: How water moves in a water repellent sandy soil: 1.
 Potential and actual water repellency, Water Resour. Res., 30, 2507–2517,
 doi: 10.1029/94WR00749, 1994.
- 587 Dekker, L. W. and Ritsema, C. J.: Preferential flow paths in a water repellent clay soil with
 588 grass cover, Water Resour. Res. 32, 1239–1249, doi: 10.1029/96WR00267, 1996.
- 589 Doerr, S. H., Shakesby, R. A., and Walsh, R. P. D.: Soil water repellency: its causes, 590 characteristics and hydro-geomorphological significance, Earth-Sci. Rev. 51, 33–65, doi:
- 591 10.1016/S0012-8252(00)00011-8, 2000.
- 592 Doerr, S. H., Llewellyn, C. T., Douglas, P., Morley, C. P., Mainwaring, K. A., Haskins, C.,
- Johnsey, L., Ritsema, C. J., Stagnitti, F., Allinson, G., Ferreira, A. J. D., Keizer, J. J.,
- Ziogas, A. K., and Diamantis, J.: Extraction of compounds associated with water
- repellency in sandy soils of different origin, Aust. J. Soil Res., 43, 225-237,
- 596 doi:10.1071/SR04091, 2005.
- 597 FAO: World reference base for soil resources 2006, Rome, 2006.

- 598 Feeney, D. S., Hallett, P. D., Rodger, S., Bengough, a. G., White, N. A., and Young, I. M.:
- 599 Impact of fungal and bacterial biocides on microbial induced water repellency in arable
 600 soil, Geoderma, 135, 72–80, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2005.11.007, 2006.
- 601 Fernando, G., Zimmermann, W., and Kolattukudy, P. E.: Suberin-grown Fusarium solani f.
- sp *pisi* generates a cutinase-like esterase which depolymerizes the aliphatic components of
- 603 suberin, Physiol. Plant Pathol., 24, 143-155, doi:10.1016/0048-4059(84)90022-5, 1984.
- Franco, C. M. M., Tate, M. E., and Oades, J.M.: Studies on non-wetting sands. I. The role of
 intrinsic particulate organic-matter in the development of water-repellency in non-wetting
 sands, Aust. J. Soil Res., 33, 253–263, doi:10.1071/SR9950253, 1995.
- Franco, C. M. M., Clarke, P. J., Tate, M. E., and Oades, J. M.: Hydrophobic properties and
 chemical characterisation of natural water repellent materials in Australian sands, J.
 Hydrol., 231–232, 47–58, doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(00)00182-7, 2000.
- Hallett, P. D., and Young, I. M.: Changes to water repellence of soil aggregates caused by
 substrate-induced microbial activity, Eur. J. Soil Sci., 50, 35-40, doi: 10.1046/j.13652389.1999.00214.x 1999.
- 613 Hansel, F. A., Aoki, C. T., Maia, C. M. B. F., Cunha Jr., A., Dedecek, R. A.: Comparison of 614 two alkaline treatments in the extraction of organic compounds associated with water 615 repellency 148, in soil under Pinus taeda, Geoderma, 167-172, 616 doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2008.10.002, 2008.
- Horne, D. J. and McIntosh, J. C.: Hydrophobic compounds in sands in New
 Zealand–extraction, characterisation and proposed mechanisms for repellency expression,
 J. Hydrol., 231–232, 35–46, doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(00)00181-5, 2000.
- 620 Jansen, B., Nierop, K. G. J., Hageman, J. A., Cleef, A. M., and Verstraten, J. M.: The straight-
- 621 chain lipid biomarker composition of plant species responsible for the dominant biomass

622 production along two altitudinal transects in the Ecuadorian Andes, Org. Geochem., 37,

623 1514–1536, doi:10.1016/j.orggeochem.2006.06.018, 2006.

- Kleber, M., Sollins, P., and Sutton, R.: A conceptual model of organo-mineral interactions in
 soils: self-assembly of organic molecular fragments into zonal structures on mineral
- 626 surfaces, Biogeochemistry, 85, 9–24, doi:10.1007/s10533-007-9103-5, 2007.
- Kögel-Knabner, I.: The macromolecular organic composition of plant and microbial residues
 as inputs to soil organic matter, Soil Biol. Biochem., 34, 139–162, 2002.
- 629 Kolattukudy, P. E.: Biopolyester membranes of plants : cutin and suberin, Science, 208, 990–
- 630 1000, doi: 10.1126/science.208.4447.990, 1980.
- 631 Kolattukudy, P. E.: Structure, biosynthesis and biodegradation of cutin and suberin, Ann. Rev.
- 632 Plant Physio., 32, 539–567, doi: 10.1146/annurev.pp.32.060181.002543, 1981.
- Kolattukudy, P. E.: Polyesters in higher plants, in: Advances in Biochemical
 Engineering/Biotechnology, Scheper, T. (Ed.), Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1–49, 2001.
- Krammes, J. S. and DeBano, L. F.: Soil Wettability: A neglected factor in watershed
 management. Water Resour. Res., 1, 283–286, doi: 10.1029/WR001i002p00283, 1965.
- 637 Lozano, E., Jiménez-Pinilla, P., Mataix-Solera, J., Arcenegui, V., Bárcenas, G. M., González-
- 638 Pérez, García-Orenes, F., Torres, M. P., and Mataix-Beneyto, J.: Biological and chemical
- factors controlling the patchy distribution of soil water repellency among plant species in a
- 640 Mediterranean semiarid forest, Geoderma, 207-208, 212–220, doi:
 641 10.1016/j.geoderma.2013.05.021, 2013.
- 642 Mainwaring, K. A., Morley, C. P., Doerr, S. H., Douglas, P., Llewellyn, C. T., Llewellyn, G.,
- 643 Matthew, I., and Stein, B. K.: Role of heavy polar organic compounds for water repellency
- of sandy soils, Environ. Chem. Lett., 2, 35–39, doi:10.1007/s10311-004-0064-9, 2004.

- Mainwaring, K., Hallin, I. L., Douglas, P., Doerr, S. H., and Morley, C. P.: The role of
 naturally occurring organic compounds in causing soil water repellency, Eur. J. Soil Sci.,
 64, 667–680, doi:10.1111/ejss.12078, 2013.
- 648 Mao, J., Nierop, K. G. J., Sinninghe Damsté, J. S., and Dekker, S. C.: Roots induce stronger
- soil water repellency than leaf waxes, Geoderma, 232-234, 328–340,
 doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2014.05.024, 2014.
- Martins, I., Hartmann, D. O., Alves, P. C., Martins, C., Garcia, H., Leclercq, C. C., Ferreira.,
- R., He, J., Renaut, J., Becker J.D., and Silva Pereira, C.: Elucidating how the
 saprophytic fungus *Aspergillus nidulans* uses the plant polyester suberin as carbon
 source, BMC Genomics, 15, 613, doi:10.1186/1471-2164-15-613, 2014.
- Ma'Shum, M., Tate, M. E., Jones, P., and Oades, J. M.: Extraction and characterization of
 water-repellent materials from Australian soils, J. Soil Sci., 39, 99–110,
 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2389.1988.tb01198.x, 1988.
- Metson, A. J.: Methods of chemical analysis for soil survey samples, New Zealand Soil
 Bureau Bulletin 12, Government Printer, Wellington, 22, 1956.
- McGhie, D. A. and Posner, A. M.: Water repellence of a heavy textured Western Australian
 surface soil, Aust. J. soil Res., 18, 309–323, doi:10.1071/SR9800309, 1980.
- 662 McGhie, D. A. and Posner, A. M.: The effect of plant top material on the water repellence of
- 663 fired sands and water-repellent soils, Aust. J. Agric. Res., 32, 609–620,
 664 10.1071/AR9810609, 1981.
- 665 Michel, J. C., Riviere, L. M., and Bellon-Fontaine, M. N.: Measurement of the wettability of
- organic materials in relation to water content by the capillary rise method. Eur. J. Soil Sci.
- 667 52, 459–467, doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2389.2001.00392.x, 2001.

- 668 Morley, C. P., Mainwaring, K. A., Doerr, S. H., Douglas, P., Llewellyn, C. T., and Dekker, L.
- W.: Organic compounds at different depths in a sandy soil and their role in water
 repellency, Aust. J. Soil Res., 43, 239–249, 2005.
- 671 Naafs, D. F. W., Van Bergen, P. F., Boogert, S. J., and De Leeuw, J. W.: Solvent-extractable
- 672 lipids in an acid andic forest soil; variations with depth and season. Soil Biol. Biochem.
- 673 36, 297–308, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2003.10.005, 2004.
- 674 Neris, J., Jiménez, C., Fuentes, J., Morillas, G., and Tejedor, M.: Vegetation and land-use
- 675 effects on soil properties and water infiltration of Andisols in Tenerife (Canary Islands,
- 676 Spain), Catena, 98, 55–62, doi:10.1016/j.catena.2012.06.006, 2012.
- 677 Nierop, K. G.J.: Temporal and vertical organic matter differentiation along a vegetation
- succession as revealed by pyrolysis and thermally assisted hydrolysis and methylation, J.
- 679 Anal. Appl. Pyrol, 61, 111–132. doi:10.1016/S0165-2370(01)00132-2, 2001.
- 680 Nierop, K. G. J., Naafs, D. F. W., and Verstraten, J. M.: Occurrence and distribution of ester-
- bound lipids in Dutch coastal dune soils along a pH gradient, Org. Geochem., 34, 719–
- 682 729. doi:10.1016/S0146-6380(03)00042-1, 2003.
- 683 Nierop, K. G. J. and Verstraten, J. M.: Rapid molecular assessment of the bioturbation extent
- in sandy soil horizons under pine using ester-bound lipids by on-line thermally assisted
- 685 hydrolysis and methylation-gas chromatography/mass spectrometry, Rapid Commun.
- 686 Mass Spectrom., 18, 1081–1088. doi:10.1002/rcm.1449, 2004.
- Nierop, K. G. J., Naafs, D. F. W., Van Bergen, P. F.: Origin, occurrence and fate of
 extractable lipids in Dutch coastal dune soils along a pH gradient, Org. Geochem., 36,
- 689 555–566, doi:10.1016/j.orggeochem.2004.11.003, 2005.
- 690 Nierop, K. G. J., Jansen, B., Hageman, J. A., and Verstraten, J. M.: The complementarity of
- 691 extractable and ester-bound lipids in a soil profile under pine, Plant Soil, 286, 269–285,
- 692 doi: 10.1007/s11104-006-9043-1, 2006.

- 693 Preston, C. M., Hempfling, R., Schulten, H. R., Schnitzer, M., Trofymow, J. A., and Axelson,
- D. E.: Characterization of organic matter in a forest soil of coastal British Columbia by
- 695 NMR and pyrolysis-field ionization mass spectrometry, Plant Soil, 158, 69–82,
 696 doi:10.1007/BF00007919, 1994.
- Pollard, M., Beisson, F., Li, Y., and Ohlrogge, J. B.: Building lipid barriers: biosynthesis of
 cutin and suberin, Trends Plant Sci., 13, 236–46. doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2008.03.003, 2008.
- Poulenard, J., Michel, J. C., Bartoli, F., Portal, J. M., and Podwojewski, P.: Water repellency
 of volcanic ash soils from Ecuadorian paramo: effect of water content and characteristics
 of hydrophobic organic matter, Eur. J. Soil Sci. 55 (3), 487–496, doi: 10.1111/j.13652389.2004.00625.x, 2004.
- Riederer, M., Matzke, K., Ziegler, F., and Kögel-Knabner, I.: Occurrence, distribution and
 fate of the lipid plant biopolymers cutin and suberin in temperate forest soils, Org.
 Geochem. 20, 1063–1076, doi:10.1016/0146-6380(93)90114-Q, 1993.
- Rodríguez-Alleres, M. and Benito, E.: Spatial and temporal variability of surface water
 repellency in sandy loam soils of NW Spain under *Pinus pinaster* and *Eucalyptus globulus*plantations, Hydrol. Process, 25, 3649–3658, doi: 10.1002/hyp.8091, 2011.
- 709 Rodríguez-Alleres, M., and Benito, E.: Temporal fluctuations of water repellency in forest
- soils of Galicia, NW Spain. Do soil samples dried at laboratory reflect the potential soil
- 711 water repellency? Hydrol. Process, 26, 1179–1187. doi:10.1002/hyp.8209, 2012.
- Schnurer, J. and Rosswall, T.: Fluorescein diacetate hydrolysis as a measure of total
 microbial activity in soil and litter, Appl. environ. microb., 43, 1256–1261, 1982.
- 714 Schulten, H. R. and Leinweber, P.: New insights into organic-mineral particles: composition,
- properties and models of molecular structure, Biol. Fert. Soils. 30, 399–432, doi:
 10.1007/s003740050020, 2000.

- Spielvogel, S., Prietzel, J., Leide, J., Riedel, M., Zemke, J., and Kögel-Knabner, I.:
 Distribution of cutin and suberin biomarkers under forest trees with different root systems,
 Plant Soil, 381, 95–110. doi:10.1007/s11104-014-2103-z, 2014.
- 720 Van Bergen, P. F., Bull, I. D., Poulton, P. R., and Evershed, R. P.: Organic geochemical
- studies of soils from the Rothamsted classical experiments I. Total lipid extracts, solvent
- insoluble residues and humic acids from Broadbalk Wilderness, Org. Geochem., 26, 117–
- 723 135, doi: 10.1016/S0146-6380(96)00134-9, 1997.
- Van't Woudt, B.D.: Particle coatings affecting the wettability of soils. J. Geophys. Res., 64,
 263–267, doi: 10.1029/JZ064i002p00263, 1959.
- Van Wesemael, J. C. H.: De bepaling van het Calciumcarbonaatgehalte van Gronden,
 Chemisch Weekblad, 51, 35–36, 1955.
- Walton T.J.: Waxes, cutin and suberin, in: Methods in Plant Biochemistry, Harwood, J.L. and
 Bowyer, J.R. (Eds), Academic Press, London, 105–158, 1999.
- Wessel, A.T.: On using the effective contact angle and the water drop penetration time for
 classification for water repellency in dune soils, Earth Surf. Proc. Land, 13, 555–561, doi:
 10.1002/esp.3290130609, 1988.
- 733 Zavala, L. M., García-Moreno, J., Gordillo-Rivero, Á. J., Jordán, A., and Mataix-Solera, J.:
- 734 Natural soil water repellency in different types of Mediterranean woodlands, Geoderma,
- 735 226-227, 170–178. doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2014.02.009, 2014.
- 736

Profile	Sample label	Sampling depth (cm)	Horizon	pН	TOC (mg g ⁻¹ soil) ^c	TN (mg g ⁻¹ soil ⁾	C/N ratio	WDPT (s)	log ₁₀ WDPT (s)	Repellency class	Vegetation	Vegetation sampled	
	WRC-1 ^a	0 – 7	А	8.79	0.76	0.16	4.82	0	-1.00	wettable	<i>Festuca sp.</i> (sheep fescue)	Leaves	
1	WRC-2	7 - 14	Ahb ^b	8.33	4.83	0.51	9.54	35	1.55	slight	Festuca sp.	combined	
	WRC-3	14 - 20	В	8.72	1.40	0.25	5.66	0.3	-0.48	wettable	Festuca sp.	WITH TOOLS	
2	WRC-6	0 – 1	А	8.26	3.47	0.38	9.20	1	0.00	wettable	Algae	None	
3	WRC-8	0 – 5	Ah	7.87	5.49	0.49	11.15	148	2.17	strong	Hypnum Laconosum (hypnum moss)	Whole	
	WRC-9	5 – 10	В	8.70	1.57	0.25	6.21	2	0.36	wettable	Hypnum Laconosum	moss	
4	WRC-10	0 – 10	Ah	6.92	26.80	2.00	13.42	18	1.25	slight	Hypnum Laconosum	piants	
5	WRC-13	0 – 16	Ah	5.84	14.98	1.01	14.80	240	2.38	strong	<i>Pinus nigra</i> (black pine)	Green needles and roots	
c	WRC-14	0-9	Ah	7.09	31.08	2.40	12.96	417	2.62	strong	Crataegus sp. (hawthorn)	Leaves	
0	WRC-15	9 – 15	В	7.55	5.02	0.53	9.49	550	2.74	strong	Crataegus sp.	and roots	
7	WRC-25	0 – 7	Ah	7.66	10.22	0.82	12.47	4786	3.68	extreme	<i>Hippophae rhamnoides</i> (sea-buckthorn)	Leaves	
	WRC-26	7– 12	В	8.10	4.77	0.45	10.57	331	2.52	strong	Hippophae rhamnoides	and roots	
	WRC-30	0-2	Ah1	5.76	87.44	6.35	13.77	1905	3.28	severe	Q <i>uercus robur</i> (common oak)		
8	WRC-31	2 - 4.5	Ah2	5.79	20.71	1.59	13.04	2512	3.40	severe	Quercus robur	and roots	
	WRC-32	4.5 – 20	В	8.08	2.46	0.27	9.05	14	1.14	slight	Quercus robur		

738

^a WRC-1 consisted of a top soil, which was formed by wind-blown sand deposition at a grass covered soil. ^b WRC-2 consisted of a dark brownish Ah horizon with grass roots, which was buried by wind-blown sand deposition. ^c Soil TOC had a significant positive correlation (r=0.76, p=0.001) with SWR (Mao et al., 2014): log₁₀WDPT(s) = 1.96* log₁₀TOC+ 0.01

			Soil ca	tegory			
SWR-marker ^a	All soils	(n=15)	Topsoils	s (n=10)	Subsoils (n=5)		
-	Coef. ^b	Sig. ^c	Coef.	Sig.	Coef.	Sig.	
(D)C ₁₆ fatty acid	-0.811	0	-0.905	0			
(D)C ₁₇ fatty acid	-0.612	0.015	-0.73	0.017			
(D)C ₁₈ fatty acid	-0.768	0.001	-0.811	0.004			
(D)C ₂₁ fatty acid	-0.555	0.032					
(D)C ₁₅ alcohol	-0.741	0.002	-0.873	0.001	-0.94	0.017	
(D)C ₁₆ alcohol	-0.675	0.006	-0.662	0.037			
(D)C ₁₇ alcohol	-0.729	0.002	-0.756	0.011			
(D)C ₁₈ alcohol	-0.581	0.023			-0.951	0.013	
(D)C ₂₄ alcohol	0.575	0.025					
(D)C ₂₀ alkane	-0.797	0.000	-0.819	0.004			
(D)C ₂₃ alkane	-0.571	0.026					
(D)C ₂₄ alkane	-0.67	0.006	-0.713	0.021			
(AI)C ₁₆ fatty acid	-0.547	0.035	-0.659	0.038			
(AI)C ₁₈ fatty acid	-0.733	0.002	-0.668	0.035	-0.909	0.033	
(AI)C ₂₁ fatty acid	-0.773	0.001	-0.726	0.018	-0.925	0.025	
(AS)C ₂₂ fatty acid			-0.687	0.028			
(AS)C ₂₃ fatty acid			-0.639	0.047			
(AS)C ₂₄ fatty acid			-0.653	0.040			
(AS)C ₂₀ alcohol	0.596	0.019					
(AS)C24 alcohol	0.613	0.015					
(AS)C ₃₀ alcohol	0.532	0.041					
S)C ₂₀ ω-hydroxy fatty acid	0.524	0.045					

Table 2. The relative concentrations (log (μ g g⁻¹TOC)) of single SWR-markers significantly related to SWR

^aD, AS and AI refers to DCM/MeOH soluble fraction, DCM/MeOH soluble fraction of IPA/NH₃ extract and DCM/MeOH insoluble fraction of IPA/NH₃ extract, respectively. ^blinear correlation coefficient. ^csignificance;

Soil actorgony	Absolute amount (lo	og (µg g⁻¹soil))		Relative	amount (log (µg g ⁻¹	TOC))
Solicatergory	SWR-marker ^a	Coef. ^b	Sig. ^c	SWR-marker	Coef.	Sig.
	(D) fatty acid	0.797	0.000			
	(D) alcohol	0.777	0.001			
	(D) alkane	0.778	0.001			
	(AI) fatty acid	0.694	0.004			
	(AI) alcohol	0.758	0.001	(AC) clockel	0.706	0.003
All Solis	(AI) ω-hydroxy fatty acid	0.701	0.004	(AS) alconol		
	(AI) α, ω -dicarboxylic acid	0.650	0.009			
	(AS) fatty acid	0.624	0.013			
	(AS) alcohol	0.821	0.000			
	(AS) ω-hydroxy fatty acid	0.543	0.037			
	(D) fatty acid	0.796	0.006			
	(D) alcohol	0.780	0.008			
	(D) alkane	0.779	0.008			
Tap apila	(AI) fatty acid	0.688	0.028	Nana		
TOP SOILS	(AI) alcohol	0.740	0.014	None		
	(AI) ω-hydroxy fatty acid	0.675	0.032			
	(AS) alcohol	0.786	0.007			
	(AS) ω-hydroxy fatty acid	0.691	0.027			
	(D) fatty acid	0.937	0.019			
	(D) alcohol	0.907	0.034			
Subsoils	(D) alkane	0.882	0.048		0.904	0.035
Subsolis	(AI) fatty acid	0.903	0.036	(AS) alconol		
	(AI) alcohol	0.917	0.029			
	(AS) alcohol	0.969	0.006			

Table 3. Correlation coefficients of single SWR-marker groups significantly (< 0.05) related to SWR

^aD, AS and AI refers to DCM/MeOH soluble fraction, DCM/MeOH soluble fraction of IPA/NH₃ extract and DCM/MeOH insoluble fraction of IPA/NH₃ extract, respectively. ^blinear correlation coefficient. ^csignificance;

Table 4. The group abundances of both DCM/MeOH extractable lipids and ester-bound lipids upon BF3-MeOH hydrolysis of leaves and roots (µg g⁻¹ dried material)

		Vegetation species									
Lipid type	Compound name	Festuca ovina	Hypnum Lacunosum	Hippophae rhamnoides		Crataegus sp.		Pinus nigra		Quercus robur	
сіріа туре		(sheep fescue) (hypnum moss)		(sea-buckthorn)		(hawthorn)		(black pine)		(common oak)	
		Leaves+ roots	whole plants	leaves	roots	leaves	roots	needles	roots	leaves	roots
	fatty acid	771.5	103.1	125.3	902.4	49.2	145	35.2	27.8	598	109.6
Extractable	alcohol	632.6	55.7	413.7	236.9	394.7	53.3	65.6	25.7	1105.6	47.6
	alkane	109.3	18.0	284.3	84.9	2263.1	0.0	0.0	2.7	50.8	0.0
	fatty acid	1170.2	927.4	336.5	994.9	1320.6	128.7	566.8	327.2	574.1	97.4
Estar bound	alcohol	37.9	3.7	0.0	544.4	0.0	851.8	51.0	201.8	2.5	455.1
Ester-Dound	ω-hydroxy fatty acid	1382.6	51.1	39.8	821.6	274.0	1369.2	2053.6	229.4	161.6	1037.2
	α,ω-dicarboxylic acid	0.0	0.0	0.0	175.3	0.0	284.2	0.0	25.5	0.0	414.7

Table 5. Correlation coefficients and significance levels of combinations of two SWR-marker g	groups
significantly (< 0.05) related to SWR based on the quality factor (Group1/Group2)	

Soil category	Group1 ^a	Group2	Coef. ^b	Sig. ^c
	(D) fatty acid	(AS) alcohol	-0.710	0.003
	(AS) alcohol	(D) alcohol	0.658	0.008
	(AS) alcohol	(D) alkane	0.645	0.010
	(AS) alcohol	(AI) fatty acid	0.681	0.005
All soils	(AS) alcohol	(AI) alcohol	0.689	0.050
	(AS) alcohol	(AI) ω -hydroxy fatty acid	0.631	0.012
	(AS) alcohol	(AI) α,ω -dicarboxylic acid	0.654	0.008
	(AS) alcohol	(AS) fatty acid	0.607	0.016
	(AS) ω-hydroxy fatty acid	(AS) alcohol	-0.579	0.024
	(D) fatty acid	(AS) alcohol	-0.680	0.030
	(AS) alcohol	(D) alcohol	0.661	0.037
Top soils	(AS) alcohol	(D) alkane	0.637	0.048
	(AS) alcohol	(AI) alcohol	0.664	0.036
	(AS) alcohol	(AS) fatty acid	0.642	0.045
	(AS) alcohol	(AI) fatty acid	0.993	0.001
Subsoils	(AS) alcohol	(AI) ω -hydroxy fatty acid	0.955	0.011
	(AS) alcohol	(AI) α,ω-dicarboxylic acid	0.925	0.024

^aD, AS and AI refers to DCM/MeOH soluble fraction, DCM/MeOH soluble fraction of IPA/NH₃ extract and DCM/MeOH insoluble fraction of IPA/NH₃ extract, respectively. ^b linear correlation coefficient. ^csignificance;

Figure Captions

fig 01. Chain length distribution of DCM/MeOH extractable lipids ($\mu g g^{-1}$ dried material) of vegetation leaves and roots. a: fatty acids; b: alcohols; c: alkanes.

fig 02. Chain length distribution of ester-bound lipids ($\mu g g^{-1}$ dried material) upon BF₃-MeOH hydrolysis of vegetation leaves and roots. a: fatty acids; b: alcohols; c: ω -hydroxy fatty acids; d. α , ω -dicarboxylic acids.

fig 03. The relative average concentrations ($\mu g g^{-1}TOC$) of compound groups in the top- and subsoils. Error bars represent standard deviations of concentrations for compound groups. * means significant differences between top- and subsoils.



fig 01



fig 02



fig 03