

The soil N cycle: new insights and key challenges

J. W. van Groenigen¹, D. Huygens^{2,3,4}, P. Boeckx², Th. W. Kuyper¹, I. M. Lubbers¹, T. Rütting⁵ and P. M. Groffman⁶

¹Department of Soil Quality, Wageningen University, PO Box 47, 6700AA Wageningen, the Netherlands.

²Isotope Bioscience Laboratory (ISOFYs), Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, Ghent University, Coupure Links 653, B-9000 Gent, Belgium

³Instituto Multidisciplinario de Biología Vegetal - IMBIV, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas de Argentina, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Casilla de Correo 495, 5000 Córdoba, Argentina

⁴Institute of Agricultural Engineering and Soil Science, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, Chile

⁵Department of Earth Sciences, University of Gothenburg, Box 460, 40530 Göteborg, Sweden

⁶Cary Institute of Ecosystem Studies, 2801 Sharon Turnpike, PO Box AB, Millbrook NY 12545-0129, USA

Correspondence to: Jan Willem van Groenigen (JanWillem.vanGroenigen@wur.nl)

22 **Abbreviations:** **BNF**: Biological Nitrogen Fixation, **S-BNF**: Symbiotic Biological Nitrogen
23 Fixation; **F-BNF**: free-living Biological Nitrogen Fixation, **DNRA**: Dissimilatory Nitrate
24 Reduction to Ammonia.

Abstract

The study of soil N cycling processes has been, is, and will be at the center of attention in soil science research. The importance of N as a nutrient for all biota; the ever increasing rates of its anthropogenic input in terrestrial (agro)ecosystems; its resultant losses to the environment; and the complexity of the biological, physical, and chemical factors that regulate N cycling processes all contribute to the necessity of further understanding, measuring and altering the soil N cycle. Here, we review important insights with respect to the soil N cycle that have been made over the last decade, and present a personal view on the key challenges for future research. We identified three key challenges with respect to basic N cycling processes producing gaseous emissions:

1. Quantifying the importance of nitrifier denitrification and its main controlling factors;
2. Characterizing the greenhouse gas mitigation potential and microbiological basis for N₂O consumption;
3. Characterizing hot-spots and hot-moments of denitrification;

Furthermore, we identified a key challenge with respect to modelling:

1. Disentangling gross N transformation rates using advanced ¹⁵N/¹⁸O tracing models;

Finally, we propose four key challenges related to how ecological interactions control N cycling processes:

1. Linking functional diversity of soil fauna to N cycling processes beyond mineralization;
2. Determining the functional relationship between root traits and soil N cycling;
3. Characterizing the control that different types of mycorrhizal symbioses exert on N cycling;
4. Quantifying the contribution of non-symbiotic pathways to total N fixation fluxes in natural systems;

47 We postulate that addressing these challenges will constitute a comprehensive research agenda
48 with respect to the N cycle for the next decade. Such an agenda would help us to meet future
49 challenges on food and energy security, biodiversity conservation, water and air quality and
50 climate stability.

51

1. Introduction

Humankind's relationship with soil nitrogen (N) has been a long and troubled one. For most of agricultural history, farmers have struggled to maintain soil fertility levels in their fields, relying mostly on biological N fixation (BNF), decomposition of soil organic matter and redistribution of organic materials to provide N to their crops. With the onset of large-scale application of mineral fertilizers in the 1950's, the main focus in large parts of the world has gradually shifted towards minimizing harmful losses to the environment resulting from the large amounts of N entering the global food production system (Galloway et al., 2013).

The history of research on the soil N cycle reflects this shift. The study of N cycling processes started after Carl Sprengel's discovery (popularized by Justus Von Liebig) of the importance of N as a factor limiting the growth of crop plants in the mid-19th century (Gorham, 1991). More than 150 years of research has demonstrated that this element limits ecosystem productivity over large areas of the globe and is highly sensitive to changes in temperature, precipitation, atmospheric CO₂ and disturbance regimes (Galloway et al., 2008). Since the 1960s, following the realization that excess N has negative effects on water, air and ecosystem and human health (Compton et al., 2011; Davidson et al., 2012), the study of the N cycle has intensified, focusing on N loss pathways next to the more traditional study topics such as plant N uptake. Most recently, the realization that the response of ecosystems to global environmental change would to a large extent depend on N dynamics (Van Groenigen et al., 2006; Luo et al., 2011) has generated further interest in the soil N cycle.

The need for more information on soil N cycling process rates is highlighted by large amounts of 'missing N' that dominate N balances at all scales. Inputs of N through fertilization, BNF, atmospheric deposition and human- and animal waste have been found to be substantially

higher than hydrological outputs of N in many studies, at many scales (Howarth et al., 1996; Boyer et al., 2002; Groffman, 2008). There is much uncertainty about the fate of this excess N (Van Breemen et al., 2002). Is it stored in soils or vegetation? Is it converted to gas, and if so, in which forms? This uncertainty is particularly compelling in agricultural systems which receive high rates of N input. The air and water quality impacts of the N exports in these systems are a cause for great concern (Davidson et al., 2012). In other ecosystems, on the other hand, there is concern about missing N inputs. Unexplained accumulation of N in aggrading forests (Bernal et al., 2012; Yanai et al., 2013) or in vegetation exposed to elevated levels of atmospheric CO₂ (Zak et al., 2003; Finzi et al., 2007) suggest unmeasured inputs of N via BNF (Cleveland et al., 2010) or uncharacterized mechanisms of soil N turnover and mineralization (Drake et al., 2011; Phillips et al., 2011; Phillips et al., 2012).

A particularly pressing need in N cycling research has been in the area of gaseous emissions, especially of those that contribute to global warming. The role of soil biogeochemists is to generate field data on terrestrial greenhouse emissions, but high uncertainties in soil N₂O and N₂ budgets still exist. Much of this uncertainty arises from a lack of information about the importance of the variety of N gas forming processes occurring in the soil and the methodological constraints on flux measurements (Ambus et al., 2006). Evidence is emerging that processes, other than nitrification and denitrification, are far more important than previously assumed for gaseous N production from soils. Processes such as nitrifier denitrification (Wrage et al., 2001), in-situ N₂O reduction (Schlesinger, 2013), anammox (Mulder et al., 1995), feammox (Sawayama, 2006), dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA) (Tiedje, 1988), and codenitrification (Spott et al., 2011) have all been hypothesized to play a role in the gaseous N cycle. Novel and fascinating efforts to extract DNA and RNA and to define microbial

communities have recently produced new information on the agents that carry out many of these processes (Isobe and Ohte, 2014). Yet, information on process rates and their dynamics in response to a myriad of environmental factors are clearly lacking. Such information is vital however, as gene presence is a proxy for *potential* activity, but is not a final proof of the occurrence of ecologically significant process rates.

One of the reasons that it has been so difficult to quantify and characterize N cycling processes is that they are to a large extent controlled by indirect, biotic interactions. It is becoming increasingly clear that ecological interactions play a major role in the terrestrial N cycle. The realization that global change may alter the nature and timing of biotic interactions and thereby their effects on the N cycle only increases the need for their study (Díaz et al., 1998; Chapin et al., 2000). In some ecosystems, N inputs to terrestrial ecosystems are dominantly mediated by mutualistic associations between plants and specific N-fixing microbial groups (Batterman et al., 2013a). More generally, plant species have an overarching impact on soil N cycling by directly mediating energy and material fluxes to soil microbial communities and/or by altering abiotic conditions that regulate microbial activity. For example, the type of mycorrhizal fungi that colonizes the plant root has been shown to correlate with organic N depolymerisation as fungal groups produce a specific set of enzymes. Also soil fauna have both a direct and indirect role in the soil N cycle as grazing may strongly affect microbial N release as well as alter soil physical properties. All these ecological interactions have a high degree of specificity and sensitivity to global change, which increases the probability that a change in plant-, microbial- or faunal- community composition will have cascading effects on the rest of the system and on the overall soil N cycle (Chapin et al., 2000).

Here, we review important insights with respect to the soil N cycle that have been made over the last decade, and present our view on the key challenges for future soil research (Fig. 1).

The approach adopted in this paper is three-fold:

1. To identify and critically review specific N transformation pathways related to the production of N_2O and N_2 . We focus on nitrifier denitrification (Section 2.1), which is a potentially important source of N_2O ; and N_2O reduction (Section 2.2), the important but little-understood final step of denitrification. We focus on these two processes as we believe that sufficient literature information is available to demonstrate that these processes are key unknowns with respect to the emission rates of gaseous N forms. Additionally, we discuss challenges with respect to measuring hot-spots and hot-moments of denitrification (Section 2.3);

2. To present methodological developments on ^{15}N tracing models that should further aid studies on the production of gaseous N forms in soils (Section 3); and

3. To review mechanisms on how ecological interactions impact soil N cycling. Specifically, we focus on soil faunal effects (Section 4.1), plant root controls (Section 4.2), mycorrhizal symbioses (Section 4.3), and biological N fixation (Section 4.4). Although other nutrient cycles can have strong effects on all aspects of the N cycle (e.g. Baral et al., 2014), we consider stoichiometric relations to be mostly outside the scope of this paper and do not exhaustively review them.

Although all authors agree with the contents of the final paper, some freedom has been given to express a somewhat personal view on developments within our respective fields of expertise (see Author Contribution section). This paper is not meant as a comprehensive literature review of soil N cycling research in the past. Instead, we have tried to be judicious with respect to referencing older studies, only citing some key papers and focusing instead on more

recent work. As such, we hope that our paper will spark discussion and inspire further research on the elusive aspect of soil N cycling.

2. Emerging insights on gaseous nitrogenous emissions

2.1. Nitrifier denitrification

The study of nitrifier denitrification as a significant biogeochemical N₂O-producing process in soils has been severely hampered by two persistent problems: one related to *terminology*, the other to *methodology*.

With respect to *terminology*, it took a landmark paper (Wrage et al., 2001) to clearly identify nitrifier denitrification as a distinct pathway for N₂O production, as it was often confused- or combined with two other N₂O production pathways: nitrifier nitrification and nitrification coupled denitrification (which is actually a combination of two classical processes rather than a novel one: nitrifier nitrification followed by classical denitrification; Fig. 2). Nitrifier denitrification is the production of N₂O by autotrophic ammonia oxidizing bacteria by reduction of NO₂⁻. The process is therefore carried out by the same organisms that can produce N₂O through nitrification. However, the two N₂O producing pathways are fundamentally different; during nitrification N₂O is formed as a byproduct of a chemical process: the spontaneous oxidation of one of the intermediate N species (hydroxylamine). Nitrifier denitrification, on the other hand, is a stepwise reduction controlled by enzymes during which N₂O is one of the intermediate products that might escape to the atmosphere. In fact, the enzymes responsible for this stepwise reduction during nitrifier denitrification are remarkably

similar to those of canonical denitrification (possibly due to lateral gene transfer); they do not appear to differ phylogenetically from NiR and NOR found in denitrifying organisms (Casciotti and Ward, 2001; Garbeva et al., 2007).

Despite the similarity with classical denitrification, there are good reasons to assume that nitrifier denitrification is controlled by different factors and should therefore be considered a distinct source of N₂O emissions from soil. The main reason for this is that denitrifiers are heterotrophic, whereas ammonia oxidizing bacteria are chemo-autotrophic. It is not entirely clear yet why ammonia-oxidizing bacteria perform nitrifier denitrification. One hypothesis is that it is a response to NO₂⁻ toxicity under marginally aerobic conditions (Shaw et al., 2006). Alternatively, the energetic gain from coupling NH₄⁺ oxidation to NO₂⁻ reduction is similar to that from using O₂, making nitrifier denitrification energetically attractive under marginally aerobic conditions (Shaw et al., 2006).

The process was described by early pure culture studies in the 1960s and 1970s (Hooper, 1968; Ritchie and Nicholas, 1972). Since then, it has been reported several times (e.g. Poth and Focht, 1985; Schmidt et al., 2004), but always in pure cultures. Despite suggestions that nitrifier denitrification could be an important contributor to soil N₂O emissions (Granli and Bøckman, 1994; Webster and Hopkins, 1996), and that conventional methods of ‘nitrification N₂O’ measurements such as ¹⁵N tracing or inhibition with O₂ or acetylene might actually include nitrifier denitrification (Granli and Bøckman, 1994; Mosier et al., 1998), proof of its occurrence in actual soils has remained elusive.

The main challenge to evaluating the importance of nitrifier denitrification in soils is *methodology*. As the N in N₂O produced from both nitrification and nitrifier denitrification originates from the same NH₄⁺ pool, it is impossible to distinguish between these two processes

with conventional ^{15}N tracing methods (Stevens et al., 1997) alone. Methods using inhibition of specific steps of (de)nitrification were proposed as a method to quantify nitrifier denitrification (Webster and Hopkins, 1996), but a series of studies showed that inhibition was unreliable due to problems with effectiveness and selectivity (Tilsner et al., 2003; Beaumont et al., 2004; Wrage et al., 2004a; Wrage et al., 2004b).

Various efforts have been undertaken to employ advanced stable isotope analysis to determine the contribution of nitrifier denitrification as an N_2O source. Sutka et al. (2006) suggested that the intramolecular distribution of ^{15}N within the asymmetrical N_2O molecule (site preference) might be employed. In pure culture studies, they showed that the site preference signature of nitrifier denitrification and denitrification differed significantly from that of classical nitrification (Sutka et al., 2006) as well as fungal denitrification (Ostrom and Ostrom, 2011). However, in a recent assessment Decock and Six (2013) concluded that huge challenges remain (related to process rates, heterogeneity, unaccounted-for processes, among others) before such an analysis can be reliably applied to soils. They conclude that analysis of site preference will likely remain a qualitative indicator of mechanisms underlying N_2O emissions, and recommend more studies to systematically characterize variation in site preference as a function of ecosystem, soil parameters as well as biogeochemical processes. Such studies are currently being conducted (e.g. Koster et al., 2013; Lewicka-Szczebak et al., 2014; Yano et al., 2014).

Wrage et al. (2005) proposed an alternative method based on artificially enriched stable isotope tracing. They combined ^{15}N with ^{18}O tracing to isolate nitrifier denitrification, utilizing the fact that all O in nitrifier-derived N_2O originates from O_2 , but half of the O from nitrifier denitrification is derived from H_2O . However, their method, employing ^{18}O -enriched H_2O as well as $^{15}\text{N}\text{-NO}_3^-$ and $^{15}\text{N}\text{-NH}_4^+$, did not take into account O exchange between H_2O and

intermediates of the (de)nitrification pathways (Kool et al., 2007; Kool et al., 2009). This exchange can be quantified using ^{18}O labelled NO_3^- (Kool et al., 2010; Kool et al., 2011b). With the help of a revised method, Kool et al. (2011a) showed that nitrifier denitrification exceeded 'classical nitrification' as a dominant source of NH_4^+ -derived N_2O emission, and was a dominant pathway of total N_2O production at low and intermediate soil moisture contents. Other studies using this method have confirmed that nitrifier denitrification was indeed the dominant pathway for NH_4^+ derived N_2O emissions (Zhu et al., 2013). With terminology established and a method developed, nitrifier denitrification is now ready to be studied in detail in soils. However, methodological constraints still exist, as the dual isotope method is elaborate and includes a relatively large number of assumptions.

2.2. Nitrous oxide consumption

Both net atmospheric and *in situ* N_2O consumption occur in the soil, reducing both atmospheric lifetimes of N_2O and net N_2O effluxes. Consumption of N_2O is enzymatically and energetically feasible. Net atmospheric consumption of N_2O has been sporadically reported for several terrestrial ecosystems, but mostly for wetlands and peatlands. A recent review by Schlesinger (2013) reports a net N_2O uptake range of $<1 - 207 \mu\text{g N m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$, but almost all uptake fluxes fall between $1 \text{ and } 10 \mu\text{g N m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$, with a median of $4 \mu\text{g N m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$. The latest IPCC report (Stocker et al., 2013) mentions a global surface N_2O sink of $0 - 1 \text{ Tg N}_2\text{O-N yr}^{-1}$. Another recent review (Majumdar, 2013) reported *in situ* N_2O consumption rates in rice fields ranging from $0.13 - 191 \mu\text{g N m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$. For that purpose, Yang et al. (2011) developed an $^{15}\text{N}_2\text{O}$ isotope dilution method that allows for calculation of gross N_2O production and consumption rates. These authors observed a relative N_2O yield of 0.84, indicating that 16% of the gross N_2O production was

consumed *in situ*. However, Well and Butterbach-Bahl (2013) question the validity of the latter experimental approach. Understanding the role of *in situ* N₂O reduction for attenuation of the net soil N₂O release warrants careful attention because of a recently identified microbial guild capable of N₂O reduction (Sanford et al., 2012).

Based on recent evidence from the literature we have identified three possible routes for N₂O consumption. First, in addition to the ‘typical’ nitrous oxide reductase (nosZ I) that reduces N₂O during denitrification, a recently identified microbial guild is suggested to mediate the soil N₂O sink (Sanford et al., 2012; Jones et al., 2014). Newly discovered non-denitrifier, ‘atypical’ N₂O reductase (nosZ II) gene diversity and abundance potentially play a significant role in N₂O consumption in soil. Orellana et al. (2014) indicated that ‘atypical’ nosZ outnumber typical nosZ in soil.

Second, some bacteria that perform dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonia (DNRA) are capable of N₂O reduction to N₂ as they carry a *nos* gene encoding for N₂O reductase (N₂OR) (Simon et al., 2004). Mania et al. (2014) indicated that, depending on the environmental conditions, these bacteria may reduce N₂O that is provided by other bacteria or that they produced themselves as a by-product during DNRA.

Third, there is evidence that both direct assimilatory N₂O fixation via nitrogenase (Vieten et al., 2008; Ishii et al., 2011; Farías et al., 2013) or indirect N₂O fixation via a combination of N₂O reduction and N₂ fixation can account for N₂O consumption. Itakura et al. (2013) showed that inoculation of soil grown with soybean with a non-genetically modified mutant of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* with a higher N₂O reductase activity (nosZ++) reduced N₂O emission. In farm-scale experiments on an Andosol, an N₂O mitigation of ca. 55% was achieved with such inoculation. Desloover et al. (2014) identified a *Pseudomonas stutzeri* strain that was

able to grow on N₂O as the only source of N and electron acceptor. *Pseudomonas stutzeri* is known to possess both nitrogenase and nitrous oxide reductase (nosZ I) (Pomowski et al., 2011). A ¹⁵N labelling study showed that N₂O is immobilized into microbial biomass via N₂O reduction to N₂ followed by re-uptake of the released N₂ and subsequent fixation into NH₄⁺ via nitrogenase (Desloover et al., 2014).

In conclusion, five possible pathways for N₂O consumption have been identified (Fig. 3): (1) dissimilatory N₂O reduction to N₂ via typical, denitrifier nosZ I, (2) atypical, non-denitrifier nos Z II, (3) DNRA that produces N₂O as a by-product, (4) direct assimilatory N₂O fixation via nitrogenase to NH₃, and (5) indirect assimilatory N₂O fixation (N₂O reduction to N₂ followed by N₂ fixation). Clearly, NO₃⁻ reduction in soil is handled by a network of actors (Kraft et al., 2011) and has a more modular character than the classical linear presentation of denitrifying enzymes suggests (Simon and Klotz, 2013). Moreover, a high degree of metabolic versatility is observed for many organisms; genes encoding for denitrification, DNRA, and atmospheric N fixation have, for instance, been found in a single bacterial species (Simon, 2002; Mania et al., 2014). Finally, Verbaendert et al. (2014) showed that molecular tools that have been developed to identify denitrifying bacteria are biased towards Gram-negative denitrifiers. Hence, we propose that the analysis of expression of novel, recently discovered genes involved in N₂O consumption in conjunction with quantification of N₂O fluxes in various soil types is required to advance our understanding of microbial and physicochemical controls on N₂O consumption, and ultimately to develop improved biogeochemical models of soil N₂O sink function.

2.3. Denitrification

Denitrification, the anaerobic microbial conversion of the nitrate (NO_3^-) and nitrite (NO_2^-) to the gases nitric oxide (NO), nitrous oxide (N_2O) and dinitrogen (N_2) (Seitzinger et al., 2006; Groffman, 2012) is an extremely challenging process to measure. This process is of great interest because it can significantly reduce pools of reactive N (and thus productivity) in ecosystems and because NO_3^- , NO and N_2O cause diverse air and water pollution problems (Davidson et al., 2012). Denitrification is difficult to quantify because of problematic measurement techniques (especially for its end product N_2), high spatial and temporal variability, and a lack of methods for scaling point measurements to larger areas (e.g. Groffman et al., 2006). A particular challenge is the fact that small areas (hotspots) and brief periods (hot moments) frequently account for a high percentage of N gas flux activity, and that it is increasingly recognized that denitrification is in many ways a modular rather than a singular process. This presents a variety of problems related to measurement, modelling and scaling (Groffman et al., 2009). Global mass balance analyses (Seitzinger et al., 2006) suggest that the biggest global sink for anthropogenic N must be terrestrial denitrification, yet there are few direct measurements to support these results. Modelling efforts estimate that global N_2 production from denitrification may increase from 96 Tg yr^{-1} in 2000 to 142 Tg yr^{-1} in 2050 due to increased N inputs in the global agricultural system (Bouwman et al., 2013). Questions about ‘missing N’ and denitrification are particularly dramatic and compelling in agricultural ecosystems, landscapes and regions, where most industrially derived N is applied and the opportunity for large terrestrial denitrification fluxes exists.

Addressing the challenge of denitrification requires advances in three main areas; 1) improved methods for quantifying N gas fluxes (see also section 2.2); 2) experimental designs

that incorporate hotspot and hot moment phenomena; and 3) approaches for temporal and spatial scaling that account for hotspot and hot moment phenomena at multiple scales.

Denitrification has always been a challenging process to measure (Groffman et al., 2006), primarily due to the difficulty of quantifying the flux of N_2 from soil against the high natural atmospheric background of this gas (Yang and Silver, 2012; Yang et al., 2014). Most denitrification methods therefore involve alteration of physical or chemical conditions through the use of inhibitors (e.g., acetylene) or amendments (e.g., ^{15}N) that produce inaccurate or unrealistic estimates of rates. However, there have been recent advances in methods for quantifying N_2 flux and in isotope-based methods that provide area and time-integrated denitrification estimates that are more relevant to ecosystem-scale questions.

Our understanding of the N_2 flux associated with denitrification has been improved at least somewhat by the development of soil core-based gas recirculation systems that involve replacement of the natural soil N_2/O_2 atmosphere with a He/O_2 atmosphere, followed by direct measurement of N_2 and N_2O production as well as their ratio (Swerts et al., 1995; e.g. Wang et al., 2011; Kulkarni et al., 2014). It is important to note that these new methods are based on extracted soil cores, incubated over extended periods, which can create artificial conditions (Frank and Groffman, 2009). However, some confidence in the flux estimates from cores can be developed by comparing estimates of CO_2 and N_2O fluxes in the cores and *in situ* field chambers.

The new soil core incubation systems, along with new soil O_2 sensors, have also advanced our understanding of hot moments of denitrification. Because it is possible to vary the O_2 concentration of the recirculation stream in the new incubation systems, denitrification versus O_2 relationships can be established and linked with continuous estimates of soil O_2 from the new sensors to produce continuous estimates of flux (Burgin and Groffman, 2012; Duncan et al.,

2013). Recent studies have shown that these relationships are more complex than previously thought. For example, in northern hardwood forests in north-eastern North America, denitrification rates have been found to be higher at 5% or 10% O₂ than under completely anaerobic conditions, suggesting that there is tight coupling between NO₃⁻ production by nitrification and denitrification in these soils (Morse et al., 2014a).

As our ability to quantify denitrification has improved, our understanding of the factors that control the occurrence of hotspots and hot moments of activity has also increased. Riparian zones have been studied in this regard for several decades (e.g. Lowrance et al., 1997; Mayer et al., 2007). This has resulted in efforts to protect and restore riparian zones to decrease N delivery to receiving waters in many locations. Still, there is great uncertainty about just how much N is denitrified in riparian zones and through other N control practices, and how much N remains in the soils and vegetation of these areas where it is susceptible to later conversion back to NO₃⁻ or N₂O (Woli et al., 2010).

There has long been recognition of the potential for hotspots and hot moments denitrification to occur within crop fields or pastures. Periods of transient saturation low in the soil profile can support significant amounts of denitrification that are missed in sampling programs that focus on surface soils (Werner et al., 2011; Morse et al., 2014b). Areas of wet soil, low soil O₂ and possibly high denitrification are also common at the transition between fall and winter and between winter and spring (Walter et al., 2000). Animal grazing and excretion can create hotspots of N deposition, mineralization, nitrification, denitrification and N₂O flux (de Klein et al., 2014).

Experiments incorporating new ideas about hotspots and hot moments can benefit from recent studies that have characterized diversity in denitrifying phenotypes that reflect adaptation

to prevailing environmental conditions with consequences for denitrification activity (Bergaust et al., 2011). These ideas have the potential to improve these experiments by allowing for more mechanistic, hypothesis-driven approaches that underlie more ‘black-box’ ideas based on proximal drivers of denitrification.

Estimates of denitrification produced by direct measurement in soil cores can be validated using isotope measurements and models. Shifts in $^{15}\text{N-NO}_3^-$ have been used to indicate denitrification in soils, riparian zones, agricultural streams, and large rivers (e.g. Kellman and Hillaire-Marcel, 1998; Vidon and Hill, 2004). Dual natural isotope ($\delta^{18}\text{O-}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{NO}_3^-$) analysis has been used to estimate denitrification in aquifers (Wassenaar, 1995), agricultural (Burns et al., 2009) and urban (Kaushal et al., 2011) catchments as well as in tropical forest soils (Houlton et al. 2006).

The time is thus ripe for ecosystem, landscape and regional-scale studies of denitrification. We have new methods capable of producing well constrained estimates of denitrification at the ecosystem scale and new ideas about the occurrence of hotspots and hot moments at ecosystem and landscape scales. In combination with independent approaches for validation of denitrification estimates, our estimates of this important process are likely to improve markedly over the next decade.

3. ^{15}N tracing modelling for understanding N cycling processes

This section will focus on how ^{15}N enrichment in combination with process oriented modeling (Rütting et al., 2011b; Huygens et al., 2013) has helped to advance our understanding of N cycling dynamics in soils, and will be able to do so further in the future.

The stable isotope ^{15}N has been used as a tracer for the quantification of gross N transformation rates for 60 years. In their two seminal papers Kirkham and Bartholomew (1954, 1955) developed the isotope pool dilution technique, enabling for the first time the quantification of gross transformation rates of N cycling processes. Quantification of gross rates has deepened our understanding of the terrestrial N cycle tremendously. For example, Davidson et al. (1992) showed that old-growth forests exhibit high gross mineralization rates, challenging the paradigm (based on net mineralization rate measurements) that these ecosystems have low mineralization activity. The isotope pool dilution technique is still widely used, even though it has some important limitations. The most crucial disadvantage is that only total production and consumption rates of a labelled N pool can be quantified, which may be the result of several simultaneously occurring N processes (Schimel, 1996). For example, gross nitrification as quantified by the isotope pool dilution technique can be comprised of two separate processes, autotrophic (NH_4^+ oxidation) and heterotrophic (the oxidation of organic N to NO_3^-) nitrification. To overcome this limitation, ^{15}N labelling can be done in conjunction with numerical ^{15}N tracing models (Rütting et al., 2011b). These models describe the flow of N and ^{15}N through the various soil N pools (e.g. NH_4^+ , NO_3^- and organic N), whereby transformations are represented by kinetic equations (e.g. zero- or first-order kinetics). The first ^{15}N tracing model which could separate autotrophic from heterotrophic nitrification was presented by Myrold and Tiedje (1986). Subsequent studies using ^{15}N tracing models have shown that heterotrophic nitrification can be a significant or even the dominant NO_3^- production pathway in forest and grassland soils (Barracough and Puri, 1995; Rütting et al., 2008; Taylor et al., 2013). In addition, ^{15}N tracing models have been shown to be useful for investigating the importance of DNRA in various soils (Rütting et al., 2011a). Moreover, they can be used to distinguish DNRA from alternative

pathways such as remineralization and plant efflux (Burger and Jackson, 2004). Recently an ^{15}N amino acid pool dilution approach has been developed (Wanek et al., 2010), which can be a useful tool for investigating whether depolymerization or N mineralization is the rate limiting step of the terrestrial N cycle (Schimel and Bennett, 2004), particularly if incorporated in numerical ^{15}N tracing models.

In addition to quantification of gross N transformation rates, ^{15}N enrichment has proven useful for partitioning nitrous oxide (N_2O) emission sources. Using a two-source mixing model, Stevens et al. (1997) investigated the contribution of NO_3^- reduction (i.e. denitrification) and NH_4^+ oxidation (i.e. autotrophic nitrification) to N_2O emission. Subsequent work, however, suggested that organic N can be a third substrate for N_2O production. Indeed, ^{15}N studies using a triplet tracer approach and either analytical (Stange et al., 2009) or numerical (Stange et al., 2013; Müller et al., 2014) ^{15}N tracing models showed a significant or even dominant contribution of oxidation of organic N (heterotrophic nitrification) to N_2O production in soils. The numerical models have the additional advantage that gross N_2O production rates can be quantified. Using oxygen isotopes (^{18}O) as an additional tracer allows the separation of NH_4^+ derived N_2O emission between NH_4^+ oxidation and nitrifier-denitrification (Kool et al., 2011a). The limitations and opportunities of this approach are discussed in Section 2.1. A further step for understanding sources of N_2O emission from soil would be to incorporate ^{18}O into numerical tracing models, i.e. development of a combined ^{15}N - ^{18}O -tracer model. Overall, stable isotope labeling approaches (^{15}N and ^{18}O) have greatly increased our understanding of the diverse N cycle processes contributing to N_2O production in soils. Moreover, these studies have confirmed the importance of NO_2^- dynamics for N_2O production (Stange et al., 2013; Müller et al., 2014) and for the soil N cycle in general (Rütting and Müller, 2008; Isobe et al., 2012).

4. Ecological interactions and N cycling processes

4.1. Soil fauna

Until recently, the influence of soil fauna on the soil N cycle in agroecosystems has been mostly neglected. Nitrogen transformation processes and -loss pathways have almost exclusively been related to the interplay between microbial dynamics in the soil and abiotic factors. At first glance this seems logical: micro-organisms dominate the biomass of soil life to a large degree, and many conversions in the N cycle (e.g. nitrification, denitrification, nitrifier-denitrification, N fixation, DNRA) are the exclusive domain of micro-organisms. Biochemical as well as physical processes, such as nitrification and N leaching are controlled by abiotic factors (e.g. pH, porosity and temperature). In turn, both microbial dynamics and abiotic factors can be changed by human influences such as N deposition in natural systems and fertilization, liming, soil tillage and animal husbandry in agricultural systems (Fig. 4a).

What important role do soil fauna then have in the N cycle? Like the effect of humans, their role can be dramatic but is essentially indirect: through trophic interactions and burrowing activities they may strongly affect microbial dynamics in the soil as well as soil physical properties (Fig. 4b).

The only part of the soil N cycle where the role of soil fauna has been reasonably well established is N mineralization and subsequent plant uptake. Soil fauna affects N mineralization by a combination of activities, including trophic interactions (grazing on micro-organisms, predation) as well as fragmentation of organic matter, mixing organic matter into the soil,

excreting nutrient-rich compounds and dispersing microbial propagules (Bardgett and Chan, 1999).

In a literature study across natural and agricultural systems, Verhoef and Brussaard (1990) found a relatively stable faunal contribution to N mineralization of around 30%. Different functional groups of soil fauna, however, contribute to N mineralization differently, with the largest contributions provided by bacterial-feeding micro-fauna (nematodes and amoeba), followed by earthworms and potworms, and minor contributions by fungal-feeding nematodes and micro-arthropods (De Ruiter et al., 1993). Among meso- and macro-fauna, the role of earthworms has been most extensively studied (e.g. Postma-Blaauw et al., 2006; Van Groenigen et al., 2014). As ‘ecosystem engineers’, they are well-known to affect soil structure and litter redistribution, thereby affecting many aspects of the N cycle as well as other soil processes (Shipitalo and Le Bayon, 2004; Blouin et al., 2013). In a recent meta-analysis, Van Groenigen et al. (2014) showed that in agricultural systems earthworms increase crop yield on average by 25%. This effect was consistent between different functional groups of earthworms, but increased with earthworm density and crop residue application rates. Because this beneficial effect disappeared with adequate N fertilization, it was mainly ascribed to increased N mineralization from crop residue and soil organic matter. In tropical ecosystems soil-feeding termites are known to have a similarly large impact on N mineralization (Ji and Brune, 2006). Termites are also able to volatilize ammonia from their gut as well as from their faeces. However, this has only been shown to lead to high NH_3 concentrations in their nest atmosphere. It is not yet clear whether the NH_3 accumulating in the internal nest atmosphere can escape into the ambient air (Ji and Brune, 2006).

The effect of faunal diversity rather than single faunal groups is complex. Combinations of functionally dissimilar soil fauna can increase the N-mineralization rate due to facilitative interactions (Heemsbergen et al., 2004). These include one group benefitting from the activity of another group, for example through changes in soil structure or litter shredding by isopods promoting microbial growth (Wardle, 2006). Yet, competitive interactions may also positively influence mineralization rates (Loreau, 1998). For instance, predatory mites in the soil feed on fungivorous mites and potworms as well as springtails and nematodes (De Ruiter et al., 1995), and can thereby influence microbial activities through trophic cascades (induced positive effects on microbes by feeding on microbial feeders). Even though empirical evidence of such trophic cascades in soil food webs is scarce (Mikola and Setälä, 1998; Bardgett and Wardle, 2010), the presence of predatory mites can potentially influence the behavior of fungivorous mites and potworms in terms of their feeding rate and spatial distribution. Such interactions (both facilitative and competitive), within and across trophic levels, have not yet been explored for most N cycling processes, including N loss pathways.

Among the relatively few studies that have focused on processes other than N mineralization, earthworms are again by far the most studied group. They have been shown to affect microbial N immobilization (Brown et al., 1998) as well as nitrification and denitrification (e.g. Parkin and Berry, 1999; Rizhiya et al., 2007). A growing body of literature shows that earthworms can considerably increase N₂O emissions (Lubbers et al., 2013). A recent meta-analysis on the effect of earthworms on soil greenhouse gas emissions reported an average earthworm-induced increase in N₂O emissions of 42% (Lubbers et al., 2013). This was hypothesized to be the result of effects on the denitrifier community as well as changes in soil structure affecting gas diffusivity and anaerobicity (Drake and Horn, 2006; Drake and Horn,

2007; Nebert et al., 2011). Further work on soil microbiology and soil structure is needed to determine what the exact effects are of earthworm activity on microbial producers and consumers of N₂O as well as on net soil N₂O emission. Molecular microbial analysis and soil X-ray tomography are state-of-the-art experimental techniques that may shed more light on the mechanisms behind earthworm effects on N₂O emission.

Evidence for involvement of other faunal groups in these processes is scarce. Potworms, phylogenetically related to earthworms and with similar foraging and burrowing habits (albeit at a smaller scale), have been recognized as vectors for microbial colonization (Rantalainen et al., 2004) and may influence both nitrification and denitrification processes (Van Vliet et al., 2004). High soil NO₃ levels in the presence of potworms have been linked to increased nitrification potential (Liiri et al., 2007). Recent work has shown that trophic interactions involving springtails, fungivorous mites and predatory mites can strongly affect N₂O emissions (Kuiper et al., 2013; Thakur et al., 2014), although the exact pathways remain unclear - both 'real' trophic relations as well as altered behavior due to sensing of the presence of predators may play a role.

Changes in soil structure (porosity, aggregation) by faunal activity can affect soil physical processes as well. Burrowing activities of earthworms may create preferential flow pathways that increase leachate volume and consequently the total leaching loss of inorganic N and dissolved organic N (e.g. Dominguez et al., 2004). Interactions between other soil faunal species have received little attention with regard to their effects on soil physical properties. Smaller fauna such as potworms, springtails, mites and nematodes are often assumed to have negligible direct effects on larger-scale soil structure, because they are usually confined to pre-existing voids in litter or soil (Lee and Foster, 1991; Whalen and Sampedro, 2010). However, these small fauna can significantly alter soil microstructure by producing faecal pellets, and

potworms can also increase soil porosity and pore continuity by their burrowing activity (Topoliantz et al., 2000; Van Vliet et al., 2004).

Overall, soil biota are essential for maintaining healthy soils and providing ecosystem services, such as N mineralization and plant uptake for food, fuel and fiber production. However, it is not clear whether they are able to do so without creating detrimental effects on N loss pathways such as N leaching and N₂O emissions. Understanding the role of soil fauna in soil N research should therefore focus on potential trade-offs between the need to produce enough food, fuel and fiber on the one hand, and the need to mitigate global warming and avoid biodiversity loss due to eutrophication on the other. So far, mechanistic knowledge on the controlling factors for possible mitigation options is largely lacking. Addressing the question of how to reap the benefits of a diverse soil community while avoiding the drawbacks will provide fundamental insights that can be used to design future sustainable agricultural systems.

4.2. Rhizodeposition and plant traits

Soil microbial communities depend almost exclusively on plant derived resources for their energy and nutrient supply. For a long time, it was presumed that plant litter was the most relevant organic matter input for the soil food web, and that plant effects on soil biogeochemistry were mainly mediated via the indirect impacts of plant inputs on relatively inert soil properties. Therefore, most of our initial understanding of soil biogeochemistry was based on experiments with root-free soils.

The impact of spatially and temporarily dynamic processes occurring in the rhizosphere on N cycling has rarely been considered (Frank and Groffman, 2009; Rütting et al., 2011b). Nevertheless, an important share of the energy for microbial metabolism is delivered by

belowground plant parts through root exudation, cell sloughing, and root and mycorrhizal fungal turnover (Nguyen, 2003). Healthy growing roots pass a large proportion of the C they receive to the soil as root exudates. This includes a range of materials, but soluble compounds, consisting of organic acids, carbohydrates and amino acids comprise the largest component (Farrar et al., 2003). The total amount and composition of root exudates varies between plant species and genotypes, and is influenced by plant phenology and environmental conditions (Nguyen, 2003). Moreover, fine root turnover, caused by the production, mortality and decay of short-lived C-rich roots, is another key pathway of significant nutrient flux in terrestrial ecosystems that may equal or even exceed that of above-ground litter fall in certain ecosystems (Gill and Jackson, 2000; Yuan and Chen, 2010).

There are several mechanisms through which plant roots can affect rhizosphere N cycling (reviewed in Paterson, 2003; Dijkstra et al., 2013; Cheng et al., 2014). Rhizodeposition may enhance microbial growth and activity and stimulates production of microbial exoenzymes that mine for more complex soil organic N compounds, a process often referred to as ‘priming’ (Paterson, 2003). Nitrogen immobilized by the microbial community may temporarily reduce soil N availability, but immobilized N can become available in the rhizosphere due to microbial turnover and the grazing of rhizosphere microorganisms by soil micro-fauna (See Section 4.1). The quality of rhizodeposition is an important determinant for soil microbial communities; any shifts in their composition may affect decomposition processes through the production of distinct sets of extracellular enzymes (Dennis et al., 2010; Kaiser et al., 2010). Nevertheless, under conditions of low N availability, plant N uptake may limit microbial substrate N availability and reduce microbial growth and decomposition activity (Dijkstra et al., 2010; Blagodatskaya et al., 2014). Moreover, the production of specific metabolites that act as signaling molecules could

accelerate or retard soil N cycling if they act upon certain functional microbial taxa (De-la-Pena and Vivanco, 2010). Finally, specific N cycling processes, such as denitrification or N fixation could be altered in the rhizosphere due to altered microbial substrate conditions, encompassing C, O₂ and NO₃⁻ availabilities (Philippot et al., 2009). Altogether, rhizodeposition mostly causes an increase in microbial activity and soil N decomposition compared to bulk soils. Nevertheless, nutrient availability in the rhizosphere and competitive interactions between plant and microbial communities may shift the magnitude and direction of N cycling processes. This holds especially true for those processes that are performed by phylogenetically less diverse microbial functional groups; processes such as nitrification and methane uptake should therefore be much more sensitive to shifts than N mineralization (Philippot et al., 2009; Dijkstra et al., 2013).

Although the quality and quantity of rhizodeposits clearly influence rhizosphere N cycling, a major challenge lies in determining to what extent plant community characteristics explain the observed variations of rhizosphere impacts (Cheng et al., 2014). Considering the great difficulties in assessing rhizodeposition under field conditions (Pausch et al., 2013a), a prospective approach may involve measuring ‘soft’ plant traits that are relatively easy to observe and quantify (Fry et al., 2014). There are several traits that are good candidates due to their putative intimate relationship with rhizodeposition. For example, root exudation is linked to the intensity of canopy photosynthetic activity and photo-assimilate supply (Kuzyakov and Cheng, 2001). Fast-growing, acquisitive plants with high specific leaf area and short life span are thus thought to be associated with a larger rhizosphere effect (Wardle et al., 2004). Because root exudation is concentrated at the apices of the roots and at the nodes where lateral roots emerge (Jaeger et al., 1999), root architectural traits determine the expansion of the rhizosphere and exudate fluxes per unit of root biomass. A densely branched root system with high biomass and a

rapid turnover thus contributes large quantities of exudates (Van der Krift et al., 2001). The chemistry of rhizodeposits is a key controlling variable of rhizosphere dynamics, as microbial communities may shift their N use efficiency in response to substrate stoichiometry, leading to changes in soil N cycling fluxes (Moorhammer et al., 2014).

Several studies have examined presumed relationships between N cycling parameters and plant traits, especially of aboveground plant organs (e.g. Wedin and Tilman, 1990; Orwin et al., 2010; Garcia-Palacios et al., 2013; Grigulis et al., 2013). Soil N cycling processes appear to be primarily driven by traits of the most abundant species (the biomass ratio hypotheses; Grime, 1998), although complex effects may arise due to interspecies interactions and non-additive species effects (Grigulis et al., 2013; Pausch et al., 2013b). These studies confirm that plant characteristics, including under-investigated root traits, exert a key control over soil microbial communities, and modify the fundamental physiologies that drive soil N cycling. Nevertheless, the lack of clear-cut relationships between specific plant traits and N cycling parameters indicates the necessity for more research on plant communities to establish consistent links between plant traits and N cycling variables, especially under field conditions.

4.3. Mycorrhizal associations

This section will focus on the extent to which the main types of mycorrhizal symbioses, arbuscular mycorrhiza and ectomycorrhiza, differentially affect the soil N cycle. Early conceptual models linked the replacement of arbuscular mycorrhizal plants by ectomycorrhizal plants to succession (Read, 1991) or to latitudinal and altitudinal gradients from warmer to colder climates (Read and Perez-Moreno, 2003). This was considered to be driven by shifts from P to N limitation, where simultaneously an increasing fraction of the N and P was present in

organic forms to which ectomycorrhizal fungi were supposed to have better access than arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. However, Dickie et al. (2013) noted a poor fit between these models and actual data on primary succession and suggested that nutrient limitation shifts from N- to P-limitation in retrogressive succession. Although a new model of general applicability has not yet been proposed, the underlying idea of a fundamental difference between arbuscular mycorrhiza-dominated ecosystems with more open, inorganic nutrient cycles and ectomycorrhiza-dominated ecosystems with more closed, organic nutrient cycles has persisted, especially for forests in temperate regions (Phillips et al., 2013; Bradford, 2014). We note that the same distinction was proposed between bacterial- and fungal-dominated agro-ecosystems by De Vries and Bardgett (2012). Their conceptual model is apparently not applicable for the tropics, where both arbuscular mycorrhizal and ectomycorrhizal forests are characterized by an open N cycle (Kuyper, 2012; Tedersoo et al., 2012). This geographical contrast raises the question to what extent the nature of the mycorrhizal symbiosis is causally relevant for differences in forest ecosystem functioning, or whether plant traits other than the mycorrhizal symbiosis cause these differences. Arguments that the mycorrhizal symbiosis is causally relevant for soil N cycling are connected to the claim that ectomycorrhizal fungi, contrary to arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, possess extensive saprotrophic activity and are therefore able to make N available in the soil ('mining') (Koide et al., 2008; Talbot et al., 2008), and therefore could access organic sources of N and phosphorus.

Several authors have compared uptake of various amino acids by arbuscular and ectomycorrhizal plants. The ability to depolymerize large N-containing molecules (proteins) into smaller fragments that can be taken up (Schimel and Bennett, 2004) and the ability to increase access to these large molecules, which are often bound to phenolics and other recalcitrant

compounds, have been mainly studied for ectomycorrhizal fungi. Talbot and Treseder (2010) demonstrated widespread ability among ectomycorrhizal fungi to take up amino acids and noted that the relative benefit of the symbiosis was largest for the most common amino acids. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi also have widespread ability to take up amino acids (Whiteside et al., 2012). Arbuscular mycorrhizal plants took up significantly larger amounts of eight amino acids (phenylalanine, lysine, asparagine, arginine, histidine, methionine, tryptophan, and cysteine) than non-mycorrhizal plants and significantly smaller amounts in the case of aspartic acid. Contrary to the hypothesis by (Talbot and Treseder, 2010) for ectomycorrhizal plants, the authors noted that the mycorrhizal effect on uptake was inversely related to the abundance of that amino acid in the database of all known proteins. The authors speculated that preferential use of rare amino acids by arbuscular mycorrhizal plants may reduce competition with ectomycorrhizal plants for amino acids. However, the extent to which this form of niche differentiation would reduce competition depends on the rate at which amino acids become available in the soil solution and hence to what extent the two preceding steps (increased access to protein - phenolic complexes; depolymerization of proteins) are rate-limiting. It is therefore necessary to assess the mycorrhizal role in those two steps.

Lindahl et al. (2007) showed an increased C:N ratio in deeper humus layers, and this effect was attributed to selective N mining by ectomycorrhizal fungi. Several studies have provided explicit support that ectomycorrhizal fungi can mine humus layers for N and have identified the relevant ectomycorrhizal fungi (Hobbie et al., 2013; Rineau et al., 2013; Bödeker et al., 2014). Wu (2011) on the other hand claimed that direct access by ectomycorrhizal fungi to N from the protein – polyphenol complex is likely limited and attributed a major role for interactions between saprotrophic and ectomycorrhizal fungi. Current evidence suggests that

arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi have neither the ability to degrade humus for N-rich compounds nor the ability to depolymerize proteins into amino acids. The widespread ability of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi to take up amino acids may therefore not be related to closed nutrient cycles with a major role for uptake of organic nutrients, but may rather function as a scavenging mechanism to re-absorb exudates, including amino acids. More information about the role of arbuscular mycorrhiza in the uptake of organic N is provided in recent reviews by Veresoglou et al. (2012) and Hodge and Storer (2014).

The stable isotope ^{15}N has been used to study the role of mycorrhizal symbioses in accessing different N pools. Whereas early studies had examined the congruence between the ^{15}N signal of a potential N source and that of mycorrhizal fungi as evidence for uptake from that source, recent studies have emphasized the importance of N partitioning between fungus and plant (fractionation of N-depleted chitin or enriched proteins that are transferred to the plant) as a major control of isotopic composition (Hobbie and Högberg, 2012). Both the ability to take up N from organic sources (proteolytic fungi) and a relatively large transfer from fungus to plant are consistent with ^{15}N enrichment of ectomycorrhizal fungi. Both mechanisms are likely correlated as fungi in more N-limited sites transfer relatively more N per unit C at the symbiotic interface. Further study of both traits is needed to better understand ectomycorrhizal fungal isotopic signatures, and especially cases of extreme enrichment (up to 20‰) where the nature of the N source is unknown.

A corollary of the conceptual model of Phillips et al. (2013) and of earlier models is that arbuscular mycorrhizal and ectomycorrhizal plants differ in their carbon and nutrient cycling traits (decomposability and nutrient release). Data by Cornelissen et al. (2001) were consistent with that prediction, showing that the mycorrhizal trait is a predictor for the so-called ‘fast –

slow' spectrum (Reich, 2014). However, the comparison involved plant species that are not only different with regard to the mycorrhizal trait but also with regard to a number of other traits. Koele et al. (2012) applied phylogenetic correction, by comparing sister clades that differed only in their mycorrhizal habit. Their data, based on 17 pairs of taxa, indicate no differences in leaf N or phosphorus status after phylogenetic correction and imply that the mycorrhizal trait is correlated rather than causally related with these functional differences. Other claims about differences in N cycling between arbuscular mycorrhizal and ectomycorrhizal forests in the northern temperate zone may similarly indicate problems of establishing whether mycorrhizal status is a causally relevant or only a correlated trait. Thomas et al. (2010) showed a larger positive response to N deposition by arbuscular mycorrhizal than ectomycorrhizal trees, suggesting that the ability of the latter group to acquire organic N was traded off against the possibility of benefitting from increased inorganic N. Midgley and Phillips (2014) reported higher NO_3^- leaching in arbuscular mycorrhizal forests than in ectomycorrhizal forests, but as most of the data on arbuscular mycorrhizal forests pertain to maple (*Acer saccharum*) forests, the generality of that pattern needs further study.

Averill et al. (2014) reported that competition between ectomycorrhizal fungi / plants and decomposer microbiota results in N-limitation for the latter group, which retards litter breakdown and hence results in increased C storage. They noted 70% more C storage per unit N in ectomycorrhizal forests than in forests dominated by arbuscular mycorrhizal trees and suggested that mycorrhizal status exerts a much larger control over soil C than climatic variables at the global scale. However, this effect appears to be mainly driven by boreal trees (there is a dominance in the database of ectomycorrhizal trees belonging to the Pinales and Fagales, both orders that are characteristic for nutrient-poor soils) and the effect is only marginally significant

when the analysis is performed on temperate and tropical forests (Averill et al., 2014). Therefore, plant traits that are inherently associated to mycorrhizal status should further be considered when assessing the key drivers of the differential C:N stoichiometry and C storage.

Nitrogen immobilization in the mycorrhizal mycelium may also have a large impact on the N cycle by reducing mineral N availability for plants. The general claim that mycorrhizal symbioses are beneficial for the plant and that cases of a negative plant performance in the mycorrhizal condition are explained by C costs of the symbiosis was refuted by C  rrea et al. (2012), who concluded that smaller plant size was caused by lower N uptake. Lower N content of the ectomycorrhizal plant could be due to mycorrhiza-driven progressive N limitation (Luo et al., 2004). Alberton et al. (2007) showed this to be the case as plant N content was significantly negatively correlated with hyphal length. N  sholm et al. (2013) showed that immobilization of N in the ectomycorrhizal mycelium can aggravate plant N limitation. They modelled competition between plant and fungus for N in a market model, and concluded that at N limitation the symbiosis does not alleviate plant N limitation but in fact even reduces plant growth (Franklin et al., 2014; Kuyper and Kiers, 2014). Yet, despite this negative effect on plant performance, a non-mycorrhizal strategy is competitively inferior, and therefore trees are trapped as they cannot terminate the association. Because the biomass of the arbuscular mycelium is usually one or two orders of magnitude smaller than that of the ectomycorrhizal mycelium, the amount of N immobilized by the arbuscular mycorrhizal mycelium is sometimes hypothesized to be quantitatively unimportant from the plant's perspective. However, recent studies (Hodge and Fitter, 2010; Grman and Robinson, 2013) indicate that N uptake and immobilization by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi can also reduce plant performance.

Other pathways through which the mycorrhizal symbiosis may affect soil N cycling are modification of root exudation, root architecture, and fine root turnover (Churchland and Grayston, 2014). It is important to determine which of these differences are caused by the symbiosis and which by other root trait differences among species. For example, Comas et al. (2014) found that, after accounting for phylogenetic relations, ectomycorrhizal plants have thinner roots and greater branching intensity than arbuscular mycorrhizal plants. It is therefore still a matter of debate whether differences with respect to the mycorrhiza-associated nutrient economy (Phillips et al., 2013) are controlled by the mycorrhizal trait, or whether the mycorrhizal trait is instead correlated with causally relevant plant and climate traits.

4.4. N₂ fixation

An important share of bioavailable N enters the biosphere via biological fixation of atmospheric N₂ (BNF) (Vitousek et al., 2013). Biological N fixation can be natural (e.g. N₂ fixing trees that are present in forest ecosystems) or anthropogenic (e.g. N₂ fixation by leguminous agricultural crops). Two types of BNF, both using the nitrogenase enzyme, are present in nature: symbiotic N₂ fixation (S-BNF) and free-living N₂ fixation (F-BNF). Symbiotic N₂ fixation is here defined via the infection of plant roots by bacteria - such as *Rhizobia*, *Bradyrhizobia* or actinomycetes - followed by the formation of nodules. All other forms of BNF are regarded as free-living N₂ fixation (including e.g. fixation by bacteria in soil and litter, but also N-fixation in lichens) (Reed et al., 2011). Here we highlight the importance of N₂ fixation for N budgets in pristine tropical forest, peatlands and cryptogamic soil crusts, as well as for sustainable production of biofuels.

Nitrogen demand in young successional tropical forest is high. The large fraction of leguminous plant species that forms symbiosis with N₂-fixing bacteria has recently been identified as a key element of functional diversity to overcome ecosystem-scale N deficiencies in tropical forest successions (Batterman et al., 2013a). Symbiotic fixation is thus considered to relieve N limitations and safeguard forest regrowth and CO₂-accrual as an ecosystem service. Nevertheless, S-BNF has also been postulated as the reason why mature tropical forest, having a lower N-demand than early succession stands, become relatively rich in N and as a consequence loses (sometimes large amounts of) bioavailable N (Hedin et al., 2009) via NO₃⁻ leaching (e.g. Brookshire et al., 2012) or gaseous N loss (e.g. Werner et al., 2007).

However, a plant-level physiological perspective counters this assumption, as numerous experiments have shown that symbiotic S-BNF by leguminous species is mostly facultative and down-regulated when located in an N-rich environment. Tropical leguminous species thus have the potential to fix atmospheric N₂, but it is likely that they only do so actively in young forest successions or disturbed ecosystems, and far less in mature forests. Secondly, only a part of the *Fabaceae* family has nodule-forming capacities (mainly belonging to the *Mimosoideae* and *Papilionoideae* subfamilies). This consideration decreases the omnipresence and abundance of potential N-fixers in tropical forests, making their role as a vital chain in the tropical N-cycle less credible. Therefore, Hedin et al. (2009) have suggested a possible mechanism for explaining this tropical N paradox via a 'leaky nitrostat model' (Fig. 5). This concept brings forward the importance of F-BNF, which is hypothesized to take place, even in N-rich ecosystems, in localized N-poor microsites, such as litter layers, topsoil, canopy leaves, lichens or bryophytes on stems, etc. Combined, these free-living N₂ fixers would bring high amounts of N in the system, resulting in high N availability. However, spatially explicit data are virtually absent and

largely based on geographically biased, indirect measurements using the acetylene reduction assay rather than direct $^{15}\text{N}_2$ incubation measurements.

A recent spatial sampling method to assess total BNF indicated that tropical forest BNF is likely much lower than previously assumed (Sullivan et al., 2014). These authors reported mean rates of total BNF in primary tropical forests of $1.2 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, while previous empirical or modeled data ranged between 11.7 and $31.9 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. Secondary successional forests, as mentioned above, had higher total BNF than primary forest ($6.2 - 14.4 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$). Sullivan et al. (2014) proposed a time-integrated total BNF rate of $5.7 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for primary forest in Costa Rica, of which 20-50% is attributed to S-BNF. It remains to be shown whether this BNF rate from primary tropical forest and proportions between S-BNF and F-BNF are valid for the pan-tropics. But if total BNF in tropical forests is indeed much lower than previously thought, this will fundamentally alter our assessment of tropical forest N cycles and the relative contribution of anthropogenic inputs (Sullivan et al., 2014). There is indeed emerging evidence that anthropogenic N deposition in tropical ecosystems is more substantial than assumed, as a result of biomass burning, dust and biogenic deposition (Chen et al., 2010; European Commission-Joint Research Center, 2014; Cizungu et al., unpublished data). Hence, the relative contribution of human perturbation (e.g. wild fire, livestock fossil fuel combustion) to the tropical N cycle is likely much larger and warrants careful attention, e.g., by increasing N deposition measurement networks in tropical forests (Matson et al., 1999). Moreover, there is only limited understanding of the effects of proximate (N-, P- and Mo-availability) controls (Barron et al., 2009; Wurzbürger et al., 2012; Batterman et al., 2013b), and the impact of global change factors (temperature, moisture, N-deposition) on F-BNF.

In boreal forests, symbiosis between cyanobacteria and feather mosses provides an

important N-input (DeLuca et al., 2002; Gundale et al., 2012). In peatlands, which contain approximately 30% of global soil carbon, *Sphagnum* mosses living in close association with methanotrophic bacteria, which can stimulate BNF and constitutes an important mechanism for N accumulation in peatlands (Larmola et al., 2014). These authors found N₂ fixation rates between 1 and 29 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, up to 10 times larger than current atmospheric N deposition rates. This also shows that N₂ fixation contributes considerably to the N budget of peatlands. Cyptogamic covers that consist of cyanobacteria, algae, fungi, lichens and bryophytes are suggested to account for ca. half (49 Tg N) of the biological N₂ fixation on land (Elbert et al., 2012). From a sustainable agronomic management point of view, associative N₂ fixation could be promoted in certain crops. For example, field experiments with sugar cane and *Miscanthus* with little N input showed that a substantial portion of new plant N was derived from N₂ fixation (Keymer and Kent, 2014).

While large uncertainties exist regarding the temporal and spatial variability, dominant determinants, and the magnitude and impact of BNF on terrestrial ecosystems functions and services; even less is known regarding its future trajectories in view of global change.

5. Conclusions

This is an exciting time to study the soil N cycle. Years of surprising findings on unanticipated pathways and mechanisms have expanded the horizons of researchers. These findings have stimulated efforts to develop and test new methods for quantifying these processes. This has resulted in a better understanding of the complexity of soil N cycling processes as well as powerful tools for future exploration.

Critical challenges remain. Many processes are still difficult to quantify and variability and heterogeneity hamper our ability to provide well constrained estimates relevant to water and air quality issues. We postulate that addressing the issues formulated above would constitute a comprehensive research agenda with respect to the N cycle for the next decade. Particularly, we urge the following blueprint for action:

1. Abandoning the long-disproven but persistent assumption that gaseous N production in soils is the exclusive result of the interplay between nitrification and denitrification, and to focus on a better assessment of alternative pathways;

2. Dedicating scientific efforts to the continuing development of improved techniques for the characterization, quantification, and modelling of alternative N transformation pathways, eventually in conjunction with state-of-the-art molecular techniques to determine the functional microbial communities involved; and

3. Consider ecological interactions and trophic cascades as indirect but essential drivers of soil N cycling, in particular in response to global change.

Success will require interactions between soil science and other disciplines that address both smaller (e.g., molecular and microbial) and larger (ecosystem, landscape and regional) scales. We believe that such an agenda would help us meet future challenges on food and energy security, biodiversity conservation as well as climate stability.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the editors of SOIL for the invitation to write this review. J.W.V.G. and I.M.L. were financially supported by an Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO; grant # 823.01.016.). P.G. was partially supported by U.S. National Science Foundation grant (grant # NSF DEB 0919131). D.H. and P.B. acknowledge the EU's Seventh Framework Program for Research (grant # PIOF-GA-2011-301443) and the Fund for Scientific Research - Flanders (FWO). T.R. is financially supported by the Swedish strategic research area 'Biodiversity and Ecosystem services in a Changing Climate - BECC'. Finally, we are thankful to three anonymous reviewers for their extensive and constructive comments on an earlier version of our paper.

Author contributions

All authors contributed to selecting the topics addressed in this manuscript. P.B. wrote the sections on BNF and N₂O consumption; T.R. wrote the section on ¹⁵N models; D.H. and Th.W.K co-wrote the section on mycorrhizal associations; D.H. wrote the section on rhizodeposition and plant traits; I.M.L and J.W.V.G. co-wrote the section on soil fauna; J.W.V.G wrote the section on nitrifier denitrification; P.G. wrote the section on denitrification. J.W.V.G., D.H. and P.G. co-wrote the remaining sections. All authors commented on the final draft.

841 **Literature cited**

- 842 Alberton, O., Kuyper, T. W., and Gorissen, A.: Competition for nitrogen between *Pinus*
843 *sylvestris* and ectomycorrhizal fungi generates potential for negative feedback under elevated
844 CO₂, *Plant Soil*, 296, 159-172, 10.1007/s11104-007-9306-5, 2007.
- 845 Ambus, P., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: Sources of nitrous oxide
846 emitted from European forest soils, *Biogeosciences*, 3, 135-145, 2006.
- 847 Averill, C., Turner, B. L., and Finzi, A. C.: Mycorrhiza-mediated competition between plants
848 and decomposers drives soil carbon storage, *Nature*, 505, 543-545, 10.1038/nature12901, 2014.
- 849 Baral, B. R., Kuyper, T. W., and Van Groenigen, J. W.: Liebig's law of the minimum applied to a
850 greenhouse gas: alleviation of P-limitation reduces soil N₂O emission, *Plant Soil*, 374, 539-548,
851 2014.
- 852 Bardgett, R. D., and Chan, K. F.: Experimental evidence that soil fauna enhance nutrient
853 mineralization and plant nutrient uptake in montane grassland ecosystems, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*,
854 31, 1007-1014, 1999.
- 855 Bardgett, R. D., and Wardle, D. A.: Aboveground-Belowground Linkages: Biotic Interactions,
856 Ecosystem Processes, and Global Change, edited by: Bardgett, R. D., and Wardle, D. A., Oxford
857 University Press, New York, USA, 320 pp., 2010.
- 858 Barraclough, D., and Puri, G.: The use of ¹⁵N pool dilution and enrichment to separate the
859 heterotrophic and autotrophic pathways of nitrification, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 27, 17-22, 1995.
- 860 Barron, A. R., Wurzbarger, N., Bellenger, J. P., Wright, S. J., Kraepiel, A. M. L., and Hedin, L.
861 O.: Molybdenum limitation of asymbiotic nitrogen fixation in tropical forest soils, *Nat. Geosci.*,
862 2, 42-45, 10.1038/ngeo366, 2009.
- 863 Batterman, S. A., Hedin, L. O., van Breugel, M., Ransijn, J., Craven, D. J., and Hall, J. S.: Key
864 role of symbiotic dinitrogen fixation in tropical forest secondary succession, *Nature*, 502, 224-+,
865 10.1038/nature12525, 2013a.
- 866 Batterman, S. A., Wurzbarger, N., and Hedin, L. O.: Nitrogen and phosphorus interact to control
867 tropical symbiotic N₂ fixation: a test in *Inga punctata*, *J. Ecol.*, 101, 1400-1408, 10.1111/1365-
868 2745.12138, 2013b.
- 869 Beaumont, H. J. E., van Schooten, B., Lens, S. I., Westerhoff, H. V., and van Spanning, R. J. M.:
870 *Nitrosomonas europaea* expresses a nitric oxide reductase during nitrification, *J. Bacteriol.*, 186,
871 4417-4421, 10.1128/jb.186.13.4417-4421.2004, 2004.
- 872 Bergaust, L., Bakken, L. R., and Frostegard, A.: Denitrification regulatory phenotype, a new
873 term for the characterization of denitrifying bacteria, *Biochem. Soc. Trans.*, 39, 207-212,
874 10.1042/bst0390207, 2011.

875 Bernal, S., Hedin, L. O., Likens, G. E., Gerber, S., and Buso, D. C.: Complex response of the
876 forest nitrogen cycle to climate change, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 109, 3406-3411,
877 10.1073/pnas.1121448109, 2012.

878 Blagodatskaya, E., Littschwager, J., Lauerer, M., and Kuzyakov, Y.: Plant traits regulating N
879 capture define microbial competition in the rhizosphere, *Eur. J. Soil Biol.*, 61, 41-48,
880 10.1016/j.ejsobi.2014.01.002, 2014.

881 Blouin, M., Hodson, M. E., Delgado, E. A., Baker, G., Brussaard, L., Butt, K. R., Dai, J.,
882 Dendooven, L., Peres, G., Tondoh, J. E., Cluzeau, D., and Brun, J. J.: A review of earthworm
883 impact on soil function and ecosystem services, *Eur. J. Soil Sci.*, 64, 161-182,
884 10.1111/ejss.12025, 2013.

885 Bödeker, I. T. M., Clemmensen, K. E., de Boer, W., Martin, F., Olson, A., and Lindahl, B. D.:
886 Ectomycorrhizal *Cortinarius* species participate in enzymatic oxidation of humus in northern
887 forest ecosystems, *New Phytol.*, 203, 245-256, 10.1111/nph.12791, 2014.

888 Bouwman, A. F., Beusen, A. H. W., Griffioen, J., Van Groenigen, J. W., Hefting, M. M.,
889 Oenema, O., Van Puijenbroek, P., Seitzinger, S., Slomp, C. P., and Stehfest, E.: Global trends
890 and uncertainties in terrestrial denitrification and N₂O emissions, *Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. B Biol.*
891 *Sci.*, 368, 10.1098/rstb.2013.0112, 2013.

892 Boyer, E. W., Goodale, C. L., Jaworski, N. A., and Howarth, R. W.: Anthropogenic nitrogen
893 sources and relationships to riverine nitrogen export in the northeastern USA, *Biogeochemistry*,
894 57, 137-169, 2002.

895 Bradford, M. A.: Good dirt with good friends, *Nature*, 505, 486-487, 2014.

896 Brookshire, E. N. J., Hedin, L. O., Newbold, J. D., Sigman, D. M., and Jackson, J. K.: Sustained
897 losses of bioavailable nitrogen from montane tropical forests, *Nat. Geosci.*, 5, 123-126,
898 10.1038/ngeo1372, 2012.

899 Brown, G. G., Hendrix, P. F., and Beare, M. H.: Earthworms (*Lumbricus rubellus*) and the fate
900 of ¹⁵N in surface-applied sorghum residues, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 30, 1701-1705, 1998.

901 Burger, M., and Jackson, L. E.: Plant and microbial nitrogen use and turnover: Rapid conversion
902 of nitrate to ammonium in soil with roots, *Plant Soil*, 266, 289-301, 2004.

903 Burgin, A. J., and Groffman, P. M.: Soil O₂ controls denitrification rates and N₂O yield in a
904 riparian wetland, *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci.*, 117, G01010, 10.1029/2011jg001799, 2012.

905 Burns, D. A., Boyer, E. W., Elliott, E. M., and Kendall, C.: Sources and transformations of
906 nitrate from streams draining varying land uses: Evidence from dual isotope analysis, *J. Environ.*
907 *Qual.*, 38, 1149-1159, 10.2134/jeq2008.0371, 2009.

908 Casciotti, K. L., and Ward, B. B.: Dissimilatory nitrite reductase genes from autotrophic
909 ammonia-oxidizing bacteria, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 67, 2213-2221, 10.1128/aem.67.5.2213-
910 2221.2001, 2001.

911 Chapin, F. S., Zavaleta, E. S., Eviner, V. T., Naylor, R. L., Vitousek, P. M., Reynolds, H. L.,
912 Hooper, D. U., Lavorel, S., Sala, O. E., Hobbie, S. E., Mack, M. C., and Diaz, S.: Consequences
913 of changing biodiversity, *Nature*, 405, 234-242, 10.1038/35012241, 2000.

914 Chen, Y., Randerson, J. T., van der Werf, G. R., Morton, D. C., Mu, M. Q., and Kasibhatla, P. S.:
915 Nitrogen deposition in tropical forests from savanna and deforestation fires, *Glob. Change Biol.*,
916 16, 2024-2038, 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02156.x, 2010.

917 Cheng, W. X., Parton, W. J., Gonzalez-Meler, M. A., Phillips, R., Asao, S., McNickle, G. G.,
918 Brzostek, E., and Jastrow, J. D.: Synthesis and modeling perspectives of rhizosphere priming,
919 *New Phytol.*, 201, 31-44, 10.1111/nph.12440, 2014.

920 Churchland, C., and Grayston, S. J.: Specificity of plant-microbe interactions in the tree
921 mycorrhizosphere biome and consequences for soil C cycling, *Front. Microbiol.*, 5,
922 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00261, 2014.

923 Cleveland, C., Houlton, B., Neill, C., Reed, S., Townsend, A., and Wang, Y.: Using indirect
924 methods to constrain symbiotic nitrogen fixation rates: a case study from an Amazonian rain
925 forest, *Biogeochemistry*, 99, 1-13, 10.1007/s10533-009-9392-y, 2010.

926 Comas, L. H., Callahan, H. S., and Midford, P. E.: Patterns in root traits of woody species
927 hosting arbuscular and ectomycorrhizas: implications for the evolution of belowground strategies,
928 *Ecol. Evol.*, 4, 2979-2990, 10.1002/ece3.1147, 2014.

929 Compton, J. E., Harrison, J. A., Dennis, R. L., Greaver, T. L., Hill, B. H., Jordan, S. J., Walker,
930 H., and Campbell, H. V.: Ecosystem services altered by human changes in the nitrogen cycle: a
931 new perspective for US decision making, *Ecol. Lett.*, 14, 804-815, 10.1111/j.1461-
932 0248.2011.01631.x, 2011.

933 Cornelissen, J. H. C., Aerts, R., Cerabolini, B., Werger, M. J. A., and van der Heijden, M. G. A.:
934 Carbon cycling traits of plant species are linked with mycorrhizal strategy, *Oecologia*, 129, 611-
935 619, 10.1007/s004420100752, 2001.

936 Côrrea, A., Gurevitch, J., Martins-Loucao, M. A., and Cruz, C.: C allocation to the fungus is not
937 a cost to the plant in ectomycorrhizae, *Oikos*, 121, 449-463, 10.1111/j.1600-0706.2011.19406.x,
938 2012.

939 Davidson, E. A., Hart, S. C., and Firestone, M. K.: Internal cycling of nitrate in soils of a mature
940 coniferous forest, *Ecology*, 73, 1148-1156, 1992.

941 Davidson, E. A., David, M. B., Galloway, J. N., Goodale, C. L., Haeuber, R., Harrison, J. A.,
942 Howarth, R. W., Jaynes, D. B., Lowrance, R. R., Nolan, B. T., Peel, J. L., Pinder, R. W., Porter,
943 E., Snyder, C. S., Townsend, A. R., and Ward, M. H.: Excess nitrogen in the U.S. environment:
944 Trends, risks, and solutions, *Issues in Ecology*, 15, 1-16, 2012.

945 De-la-Pena, C., and Vivanco, J. M.: Root-Microbe Interactions: The Importance of Protein
946 Secretion, *Curr. Proteomics*, 7, 265-274, 10.2174/157016410793611819, 2010.

947 de Klein, C. A. M., Shepherd, M. A., and van der Weerden, T. J.: Nitrous oxide emissions from
 948 grazed grasslands: interactions between the N cycle and climate change - a New Zealand case
 949 study, *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 9-10, 131-139,
 950 10.1016/j.cosust.2014.09.016, 2014.

951 De Ruiter, P. C., Van Veen, J. A., Moore, J. C., Brussaard, L., and Hunt, H. W.: Calculation of
 952 nitrogen mineralization in soil food webs, *Plant Soil*, 157, 263-273, 10.1007/bf00011055, 1993.

953 De Ruiter, P. C., Neutel, A.-M., and Moore, J. C.: Energetics, Patterns of Interaction Strengths,
 954 and Stability in Real Ecosystems, *Science*, 269, 1257-1260, 10.1126/science.269.5228.1257,
 955 1995.

956 De Vries, F. T., and Bardgett, R. D.: Plant-microbial linkages and ecosystem nitrogen retention:
 957 lessons for sustainable agriculture, *Front. Ecol. Environ.*, 10, 425-432, 10.1890/110162, 2012.

958 Decock, C., and Six, J.: How reliable is the intramolecular distribution of N-15 in N₂O to source
 959 partition N₂O emitted from soil?, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 65, 114-127,
 960 10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.05.012, 2013.

961 DeLuca, T. H., Zackrisson, O., Nilsson, M. C., and Sellstedt, A.: Quantifying nitrogen-fixation in
 962 feather moss carpets of boreal forests, *Nature*, 419, 917-920, 10.1038/nature01051, 2002.

963 Dennis, P. G., Miller, A. J., and Hirsch, P. R.: Are root exudates more important than other
 964 sources of rhizodeposits in structuring rhizosphere bacterial communities?, *FEMS Microbiol.*
 965 *Ecol.*, 72, 313-327, 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.00860.x, 2010.

966 Desloover, J., Roobroeck, D., Heylen, K., Puig, S., Boeckx, P., Verstraete, W., and Boon, N.:
 967 Pathway of nitrous oxide consumption in isolated *Pseudomonas stutzeri* strains under anoxic and
 968 oxic conditions, *Environ. Microbiol.*, in press., 10.1111/1462-2920.12404, 2014.

969 Díaz, S., Fraser, L. H., Grime, J. P., and Falczuk, V.: The impact of elevated CO₂ on plant-
 970 herbivore interactions: experimental evidence of moderating effects at the community level,
 971 *Oecologia*, 117, 177-186, 10.1007/s004420050646, 1998.

972 Dickie, I. A., Martinez-Garcia, L. B., Koele, N., Grelet, G. A., Tylianakis, J. M., Peltzer, D. A.,
 973 and Richardson, S. J.: Mycorrhizas and mycorrhizal fungal communities throughout ecosystem
 974 development, *Plant Soil*, 367, 11-39, 10.1007/s11104-013-1609-0, 2013.

975 Dijkstra, F. A., Morgan, J. A., Blumenthal, D., and Follett, R. F.: Water limitation and plant
 976 inter-specific competition reduce rhizosphere-induced C decomposition and plant N uptake, *Soil*
 977 *Biol. Biochem.*, 42, 1073-1082, 10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.02.026, 2010.

978 Dijkstra, F. A., Carrillo, Y., Pendall, E., and Morgan, J. A.: Rhizosphere priming: a nutrient
 979 perspective, *Front. Microbiol.*, 4, 10.3389/fmicb.2013.00216, 2013.

980 Dominguez, J., Bohlen, P. J., and Parmelee, R. W.: Earthworms increase nitrogen leaching to
 981 greater soil depths in row crop agroecosystems, *Ecosystems*, 7, 672-685, 10.1007/s10021-004-
 982 0150-7, 2004.

983 Drake, H. L., and Horn, M. A.: Earthworms as a transient heaven for terrestrial denitrifying
984 microbes: A review, *Eng. Life Sci.*, 6, 261-265, 2006.

985 Drake, H. L., and Horn, M. A.: As the Worm Turns: The Earthworm Gut as a Transient Habitat
986 for Soil Microbial Biomes, *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.*, 61, 169-189, 2007.

987 Drake, J. E., Gallet-Budynek, A., Hofmockel, K. S., Bernhardt, E. S., Billings, S. A., Jackson, R.
988 B., Johnsen, K. S., Lichter, J., McCarthy, H. R., McCormack, M. L., Moore, D. J. P., Oren, R.,
989 Palmroth, S., Phillips, R. P., Phippen, J. S., Pritchard, S. G., Treseder, K. K., Schlesinger, W. H.,
990 DeLucia, E. H., and Finzi, A. C.: Increases in the flux of carbon belowground stimulate nitrogen
991 uptake and sustain the long-term enhancement of forest productivity under elevated CO₂, *Ecol.*
992 *Lett.*, 14, 349-357, 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01593.x, 2011.

993 Duncan, J. M., Band, L. E., and Groffman, P. M.: Towards closing the watershed nitrogen
994 budget: Spatial and temporal scaling of denitrification, *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci.*, 118, 1-15,
995 10.1002/jgrg.20090, 2013.

996 Elbert, W., Weber, B., Burrows, S., Steinkamp, J., Buedel, B., Andreae, M. O., and Poeschl, U.:
997 Contribution of cryptogamic covers to the global cycles of carbon and nitrogen, *Nature*
998 *Geoscience*, 5, 459-462, 10.1038/ngeo1486, 2012.

999 European Commission-Joint Research Center: EDGAR 4.2: Emissions database for global
1000 atmospheric research, available at: <http://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/index.php>, 2014.

1001 Farías, L., Faundez, J., Fernandez, C., Cornejo, M., Sanhueza, S., and Carrasco, C.: Biological
1002 N₂O fixation in the eastern south pacific ocean and marine cyabobacterialk cultures, *Plos One*, 8,
1003 10.1371/journal.pone.0063956, 2013.

1004 Farrar, J., Hawes, M., Jones, D., and Lindow, S.: How roots control the flux of carbon to the
1005 rhizosphere, *Ecology*, 84, 827-837, 2003.

1006 Finzi, A. C., Norby, R. J., Calfapietra, C., Gallet-Budynek, A., Gielen, B., Holmes, W. E.,
1007 Hoosbeek, M. R., Iversen, C. M., Jackson, R. B., Kubiske, M. E., Ledford, J., Liberloo, M., Oren,
1008 R., Polle, A., Pritchard, S., Zak, D. R., Schlesinger, W. H., and Ceulemans, R.: Increases in
1009 nitrogen uptake rather than nitrogen-use efficiency support higher rates of temperate forest
1010 productivity under elevated CO₂, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 104, 14014-14019,
1011 10.1073/pnas.0706518104, 2007.

1012 Frank, D. A., and Groffman, P. M.: Plant rhizospheric N processes: what we don't know and why
1013 we should care, *Ecology*, 90, 1512-1519, 10.1890/08-0789.1, 2009.

1014 Franklin, O., Näsholm, T., Högborg, P., and Högborg, M. N.: Forests trapped in nitrogen
1015 limitation - an ecological market perspective on ectomycorrhizal symbiosis, *New Phytol.*, 203,
1016 657-666, 10.1111/nph.12840, 2014.

1017 Fry, E. L., Power, S. A., and Manning, P.: Trait-based classification and manipulation of plant
1018 functional groups for biodiversity-ecosystem function experiments, *J. Veg. Sci.*, 25, 248-261,
1019 10.1111/jvs.12068, 2014.

1020 Galloway, J. N., Townsend, A. R., Erisman, J. W., Bekunda, M., Cai, Z. C., Freney, J. R.,
1021 Martinelli, L. A., Seitzinger, S. P., and Sutton, M. A.: Transformation of the nitrogen cycle:
1022 Recent trends, questions, and potential solutions, *Science*, 320, 889-892,
1023 10.1126/science.1136674, 2008.

1024 Galloway, J. N., Leach, A. M., Bleeker, A., and Erisman, J. W.: A chronology of human
1025 understanding of the nitrogen cycle, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. B: Biol. Sci.*, 368, 11,
1026 10.1098/rstb.2013.0120, 2013.

1027 Garbeva, P., Baggs, E. M., and Prosser, J. I.: Phylogeny of nitrite reductase (nirK) and nitric
1028 oxide reductase (norB) genes from *Nitrosospora* species isolated from soil, *FEMS Microbiol.*
1029 *Lett.*, 266, 83-89, 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2006.00517.x, 2007.

1030 Garcia-Palacios, P., Maestre, F. T., and Milla, R.: Community-aggregated plant traits interact
1031 with soil nutrient heterogeneity to determine ecosystem functioning, *Plant Soil*, 364, 119-129,
1032 10.1007/s11104-012-1349-6, 2013.

1033 Gill, R. A., and Jackson, R. B.: Global patterns of root turnover for terrestrial ecosystems, *New*
1034 *Phytol.*, 147, 13-31, 2000.

1035 Gorham, E.: Biogeochemistry - its origins and development, *Biogeochemistry*, 13, 199-239,
1036 1991.

1037 Granli, T., and Bøckman, O. C.: Nitrous oxide from agriculture, *Norw. J. Agric. Sci.*,
1038 Supplement No. 12, 1-128, 1994.

1039 Grigulis, K., Lavorel, S., Krainer, U., Legay, N., Baxendale, C., Dumont, M., Kastl, E., Arnoldi,
1040 C., Bardgett, R. D., Poly, F., Pommier, T., Schlöter, M., Tappeiner, U., Bahn, M., and Clément,
1041 J.-C.: Relative contributions of plant traits and soil microbial properties to mountain grassland
1042 ecosystem services, *J. Ecol.*, 101, 47-57, 10.1111/1365-2745.12014, 2013.

1043 Grime, J. P.: Benefits of plant diversity to ecosystems: immediate, filter and founder effects, *J.*
1044 *Ecol.*, 86, 902-906, 1998.

1045 Grman, E., and Robinson, T. M. P.: Resource availability and imbalance affect plant-mycorrhizal
1046 interactions: a field test of three hypotheses, *Ecology*, 94, 62-71, 2013.

1047 Groffman, P., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Fulweiler, R., Gold, A., Morse, J., Stander, E., Tague, C.,
1048 Tonitto, C., and Vidon, P.: Challenges to incorporating spatially and temporally explicit
1049 phenomena (hotspots and hot moments) in denitrification models, *Biogeochemistry*, 92, 49-77,
1050 2009.

1051 Groffman, P.: Terrestrial denitrification: challenges and opportunities, *Ecol. Proc.*, 1, 11, 2012.

1052 Groffman, P. M., Altabet, M. A., Bohlke, J. K., Butterbach-Bahl, K., David, M. B., Firestone, M.
1053 K., Giblin, A. E., Kana, T. M., Nielsen, L. P., and Voytek, M. A.: Methods for measuring
1054 denitrification: Diverse approaches to a difficult problem, *Ecol. Appl.*, 16, 2091-2122, 2006.

1055 Groffman, P. M.: Nitrogen balances at ecosystem, landscape, regional and global scales, in:
 1056 Nitrogen in Agricultural Soils, edited by: Schepers, J., and Raun, W., Soil Science Society of
 1057 America, Madison, 731-758, 2008.

1058 Gundale, M. J., Wardle, D. A., and Nilsson, M. C.: The effect of altered macroclimate on N-
 1059 fixation by boreal feather mosses, *Biol. Lett.*, 8, 805-808, 10.1098/rsbl.2012.0429, 2012.

1060 Hedin, L. O., Brookshire, E. N. J., Menge, D. N. L., and Barron, A. R.: The nitrogen paradox in
 1061 tropical forest ecosystems, *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.*, 40, 613-635,
 1062 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.37.091305.110246, 2009.

1063 Heemsbergen, D. A., Berg, M. P., Loreau, M., van Hal, J. R., Faber, J. H., and Verhoef, H. A.:
 1064 Biodiversity effects on soil processes explained by interspecific functional dissimilarity, *Science*,
 1065 306, 1019-1020, 10.1126/science.1101865, 2004.

1066 Hobbie, E. A., and Högberg, P.: Nitrogen isotopes link mycorrhizal fungi and plants to nitrogen
 1067 dynamics, *New Phytol.*, 196, 367-382, 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04300.x, 2012.

1068 Hobbie, E. A., Ouimette, A. P., Schuur, E. A. G., Kierstead, D., Trappe, J. M., Bendiksen, K.,
 1069 and Ohenoja, E.: Radiocarbon evidence for the mining of organic nitrogen from soil by
 1070 mycorrhizal fungi, *Biogeochemistry*, 114, 381-389, 10.1007/s10533-012-9779-z, 2013.

1071 Hodge, A., and Fitter, A. H.: Substantial nitrogen acquisition by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi
 1072 from organic material has implications for N cycling, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 107, 13754-
 1073 13759, 10.1073/pnas.1005874107, 2010.

1074 Hodge, A., and Storer: Arbuscular mycorrhiza and nitrogen: implications for individual plants
 1075 through to ecosystems, *Plant Soil*, in press, 10.1007/s11104-11014-12162-11101, 2014.

1076 Hooper, A. B.: A nitrite-reducing enzyme from *Nitrosomonas europaea* - preliminary
 1077 characterization with hydroxylamine as electron donor, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 162, 49-&,
 1078 10.1016/0005-2728(68)90213-2, 1968.

1079 Howarth, R. W., Billen, G., Swaney, D., Townsend, A., Jaworski, N., Lajtha, K., Downing, J. A.,
 1080 Elmgren, R., Caraco, N., Jordan, T., Berendse, F., Freney, J., Kudeyarov, V., Murdoch, P., and
 1081 Zhu, Z. L.: Regional nitrogen budgets and riverine N&P fluxes for the drainages to the North
 1082 Atlantic Ocean: Natural and human influences, *Biogeochemistry*, 35, 75-139, 1996.

1083 Huygens, D., Trimmer, M., Rütting, T., Müller, C., Heppell, C. M., Lansdown, K., and Boeckx,
 1084 P.: Biogeochemical Nitrogen Cycling in Wetland Ecosystems: Nitrogen-15 Isotope Techniques,
 1085 in: *Methods in Biogeochemistry of Wetlands*, edited by: DeLaune, R. D., Reddy, K. R.,
 1086 Richardson, C. J., and Megonigal, J. P., Soil Science Society of America, Inc., Madison,
 1087 Wisconsin, 553-591, 2013.

1088 Ishii, S., Ohno, H., Tsuboi, M., Otsuka, S., and Senoo, K.: Identification and isolation of active
 1089 N₂O reducers in rice paddy soil, *Isme J.*, 5, 1936-1945, 10.1038/ismej.2011.69, 2011.

1090 Isobe, K., Koba, K., Suwa, Y., Ikutani, J., Kuroiwa, M., Fang, Y., Yoh, M., Mo, J., Otsuka, S.,
1091 and Senoo, K.: Nitrite transformations in an N-saturated forest soil, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 52, 61-
1092 63, 2012.

1093 Isobe, K., and Ohte, N.: Ecological perspectives on microbes involved in N-cycling, *Microb.*
1094 *Environ.*, 29, 4-16, 10.1264/jsme2.ME13159, 2014.

1095 Itakura, M., Uchida, Y., Akiyama, H., Hoshino, Y. T., Shimomura, Y., Morimoto, S., Tago, K.,
1096 Wang, Y., Hayakawa, C., Uetake, Y., Sanchez, C., Eda, S., Hayatsu, M., and Minamisawa, K.:
1097 Mitigation of nitrous oxide emissions from soils by *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* inoculation, *Nat.*
1098 *Clim. Change*, 3, 208-212, 10.1038/nclimate1734, 2013.

1099 Jaeger, C. H., Lindow, S. E., Miller, S., Clark, E., and Firestone, M. K.: Mapping of sugar and
1100 amino acid availability in soil around roots with bacterial sensors of sucrose and Tryptophan,
1101 *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 65, 2685-2690, 1999.

1102 Ji, R., and Brune, A.: Nitrogen mineralization, ammonia accumulation, and emission of gaseous
1103 NH₃ by soil-feeding termites, *Biogeochemistry*, 78, 267-283, 2006.

1104 Jones, C. M., Spor, A., Brennan, F. P., Breuil, M. C., Bru, D., Lemanceau, P., Griffiths, B.,
1105 Hallin, S., and Philippot, L.: Recently identified microbial guild mediates soil N₂O sink
1106 capacity, *Nat. Clim. Change*, 4, 801-805, 2014.

1107 Kaiser, C., Koranda, M., Kitzler, B., Fuchslueger, L., Schnecker, J., Schweiger, P., Rasche, F.,
1108 Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., Sessitsch, A., and Richter, A.: Belowground carbon allocation by
1109 trees drives seasonal patterns of extracellular enzyme activities by altering microbial community
1110 composition in a beech forest soil, *New Phytol.*, 187, 843-858, 10.1111/j.1469-
1111 8137.2010.03321.x, 2010.

1112 Kaushal, S. S., Groffman, P. M., Band, L. E., Elliott, E. M., Shields, C. A., and Kendall, C.:
1113 Tracking nonpoint source nitrogen pollution in human-impacted watersheds, *Environ. Sci.*
1114 *Technol.*, 45, 8225-8232, 10.1021/es200779e, 2011.

1115 Kellman, L., and Hillaire-Marcel, C.: Nitrate cycling in streams: using natural abundances of
1116 NO₃⁻-d¹⁵N to measure in-situ denitrification, *Biogeochemistry*, 43, 273-292, 1998.

1117 Keymer, D. P., and Kent, A. D.: Contribution of nitrogen fixation to first year *Miscanthus x*
1118 *giganteus*, *Global Change Biology Bioenergy*, 6, 577-586, 10.1111/gcbb.12095, 2014.

1119 Kirkham, D., and Bartholomew, W. V.: Equations for following nutrient transformations in soil,
1120 utilizing tracer data, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc.*, 18, 33-34, 1954.

1121 Kirkham, D., and Bartholomew, W. V.: Equations for following nutrient transformations in soil,
1122 utilizing tracer data: II, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc.*, 19, 189-192, 1955.

1123 Koele, N., Dickie, I. A., Oleksyn, J., Richardson, S. J., and Reich, P. B.: No globally consistent
1124 effect of ectomycorrhizal status on foliar traits, *New Phytol.*, 196, 845-852, 10.1111/j.1469-
1125 8137.2012.04297.x, 2012.

1126 Koide, R. T., Sharda, J. N., Herr, J. R., and Malcolm, G. M.: Ectomycorrhizal fungi and the
 1127 biotrophy-saprotrophy continuum, *New Phytol.*, 178, 230-233, 10.1111/j.1469-
 1128 8137.2008.02401.x, 2008.

1129 Kool, D. M., Wrage, N., Oenema, O., Dolfing, J., and Van Groenigen, J. W.: Oxygen exchange
 1130 between (de)nitrification intermediates and H₂O and its implications for source determination of
 1131 N₂O and NO₃⁻: a review, *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.*, 21, 3569-3578, 2007.

1132 Kool, D. M., Müller, C., Wrage, N., Oenema, O., and Van Groenigen, J. W.: Oxygen exchange
 1133 between nitrogen oxides and H₂O can occur during nitrifier pathways, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 41,
 1134 2009.

1135 Kool, D. M., Wrage, N., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., Pfeffer, M., Brus, D. J., Oenema, O., and
 1136 Van Groenigen, J. W.: Nitrifier denitrification can be a source of N₂O from soil: a revised
 1137 approach to the dual isotope labelling method, *Eur. J. Soil Sci.*, 61, 759-772, 2010.

1138 Kool, D. M., Dolfing, J., Wrage, N., and Van Groenigen, J. W.: Nitrifier denitrification as a
 1139 distinct and significant source of nitrous oxide from soil, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 43, 174-178,
 1140 10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.09.030, 2011a.

1141 Kool, D. M., Van Groenigen, J. W., and Wrage, N.: Source determination of nitrous oxide based
 1142 on nitrogen and oxygen isotope tracing: dealing with oxygen exchange, *Methods Enzymol.*, 496,
 1143 139-160, 2011b.

1144 Koster, J. R., Well, R., Dittert, K., Giesemann, A., Lewicka-Szczebak, D., Muhling, K. H.,
 1145 Herrmann, A., Lammel, J., and Senbayram, M.: Soil denitrification potential and its influence on
 1146 N₂O reduction and N₂O isotopomer ratios, *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.*, 27, 2363-2373,
 1147 10.1002/rcm.6699, 2013.

1148 Kraft, B., Strous, M., and Tegetmeyer, H. E.: Microbial nitrate respiration - Genes, enzymes and
 1149 environmental distribution, *J. Biotechnol.*, 155, 104-117, 10.1016/j.jbiotec.2010.12.025, 2011.

1150 Kuiper, I., de Deyn, G. B., Thakur, M. P., and van Groenigen, J. W.: Soil invertebrate fauna
 1151 affect N₂O emissions from soil, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 19, 2814-2825, 10.1111/gcb.12232, 2013.

1152 Kulkarni, M. V., Burgin, A. J., Groffman, P. M., and Yavitt, J. B.: A comparison of
 1153 denitrification rates as measured using direct flux and ¹⁵N tracer methods in northeastern forest
 1154 soils, *Biogeochemistry*, 117, 359-373, 2014.

1155 Kuyper, T. W.: Ectomycorrhiza and the open nitrogen cycle in an afrotropical rainforest, *New
 1156 Phytol.*, 195, 728-729, 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04246.x, 2012.

1157 Kuyper, T. W., and Kiers, E. T.: The danger of mycorrhizal traps?, *New Phytol.*, 203, 352-354,
 1158 10.1111/nph.12883, 2014.

1159 Kuzyakov, Y., and Cheng, W.: Photosynthesis controls of rhizosphere respiration and organic
 1160 matter decomposition, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 33, 1915-1925, 10.1016/s0038-0717(01)00117-1,
 1161 2001.

1162 Larmola, T., Leppanen, S. M., Tuittila, E. S., Aarva, M., Merila, P., Fritze, H., and Tiirola, M.:
 1163 Methanotrophy induces nitrogen fixation during peatland development, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*
 1164 *USA*, 111, 734-739, 10.1073/pnas.1314284111, 2014.

1165 Lee, S. Y., and Foster, R. C.: Soil Fauna and Soil Structure, *Aust. J. Soil Res.*, 29, 745-775, 1991.

1166 Lewicka-Szczebak, D., Well, R., Koster, J. R., Fuss, R., Senbayram, M., Dittert, K., and Flessa,
 1167 H.: Experimental determinations of isotopic fractionation factors associated with N₂O production
 1168 and reduction during denitrification in soils, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 134, 55-73,
 1169 10.1016/j.gca.2014.03.010, 2014.

1170 Liiri, M., Ilmarinen, K., and Setälä, H.: Variable impacts of enchytraeid worms and
 1171 ectomycorrhizal fungi on plant growth in raw humus soil treated with wood ash, *Appl. Soil Ecol.*,
 1172 35, 174-183, 2007.

1173 Lindahl, B. D., Ihrmark, K., Boberg, J., Trumbore, S. E., Hogberg, P., Stenlid, J., and Finlay, R.
 1174 D.: Spatial separation of litter decomposition and mycorrhizal nitrogen uptake in a boreal forest,
 1175 *New Phytol.*, 173, 611-620, 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2006.01936.x, 2007.

1176 Loreau, M.: Ecosystem development explained by competition within and between material
 1177 cycles, *Proc. Royal Soc. London. B Biol. Sci.*, 265, 33-38, 10.1098/rspb.1998.0260, 1998.

1178 Lowrance, R., Altier, L. S., Newbold, J. D., Schnabel, R. R., Groffman, P. M., Denver, J. M.,
 1179 Correll, D. L., Gilliam, J. W., Robinson, J. L., Brinsfield, R. B., Staver, K. W., Lucas, W., and
 1180 Todd, A. H.: Water quality functions of riparian forest buffers in Chesapeake Bay watersheds,
 1181 *Environ. Manage.*, 21, 687-712, 1997.

1182 Lubbers, I. M., van Groenigen, K. J., Fonte, S. J., Six, J., Brussaard, L., and van Groenigen, J.
 1183 W.: Greenhouse-gas emissions from soils increased by earthworms, *Nat. Clim. Change*, 3, 187-
 1184 194, 2013.

1185 Luo, Y., Su, B., Currie, W. S., Dukes, J. S., Finzi, A. C., Hartwig, U., Hungate, B., McMurtrie, R.
 1186 E., Oren, R., Parton, W. J., Pataki, D. E., Shaw, M. R., Zak, D. R., and Field, C. B.: Progressive
 1187 nitrogen limitation of ecosystem responses to rising atmospheric carbon dioxide, *Bioscience*, 54,
 1188 731-739, 10.1641/0006-3568(2004)054[0731:pnloer]2.0.co;2, 2004.

1189 Luo, Y., Melillo, J., Niu, S., Beier, C., Clark, J. S., Classen, A. T., Davidson, E., Dukes, J. S.,
 1190 Evans, R. D., Field, C. B., Czimczik, C. I., Keller, M., Kimball, B. A., Kueppers, L. M., Norby,
 1191 R. J., Pelini, S. L., Pendall, E., Rastetter, E., Six, J., Smith, M., Tjoelker, M. G., and Torn, M. S.:
 1192 Coordinated approaches to quantify long-term ecosystem dynamics in response to global change,
 1193 *Global Change Biol.*, 17, 843-854, 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02265.x, 2011.

1194 Majumdar, D.: Biogeochemistry of N₂O Uptake and Consumption in Submerged Soils and Rice
 1195 Fields and Implications in Climate Change, *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 43, 2653-2684,
 1196 10.1080/10643389.2012.694332, 2013.

- 1197 Mania, D., Heylen, K., Van Spanning, R. J., and Frostegard, Å.: The nitrate-ammonifying and
1198 nosZ carrying bacterium *Bacillus vireti* is a potent source and sink for nitric and nitrous oxide
1199 under high nitrate conditions, *Environ. Microbiol.*, in press, 10.1111/1462-2920.12478, 2014.
- 1200 Matson, P. A., McDowell, W. H., Townsend, A. R., and Vitousek, P. M.: The globalization of N
1201 deposition: ecosystem consequences in tropical environments, *Biogeochemistry*, 46, 67-83,
1202 10.1023/a:1006152112852, 1999.
- 1203 Mayer, P. M., Reynolds, S. K., McCutchen, M. D., and Canfield, T. J.: Meta-analysis of nitrogen
1204 removal in riparian buffers, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 36, 1172-1180, 10.2134/jeq2006.0462, 2007.
- 1205 Midgley, M. G., and Phillips, R. P.: Mycorrhizal associations of dominant trees influence nitrate
1206 leaching responses to N deposition, *Biogeochemistry*, 117, 241-253, 10.1007/s10533-013-9931-
1207 4, 2014.
- 1208 Mikola, J., and Setälä, H.: No evidence of trophic cascades in an experimental microbial-based
1209 soil food web, *Ecology*, 79, 153-164, 10.2307/176871, 1998.
- 1210 Moorshammer, M., Wanek, W., Hämmerle, I., Fuchslueger, L., Hofmhansl, F., Knoltsch, A.,
1211 Schneckner, J., Takriti, M., Watzka, M., Wild, B., Keiblinger, K. M., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S.,
1212 and Richter, A.: Adjustment of microbial nitrogen use efficiency to carbon:nitrogen imbalance
1213 regulates soil nitrogen cycling, *Nat. Commun.*, in press, 2014.
- 1214 Morse, J. L., Durán, J., Beall, F., Enanga, E., Creed, I. F., Fernandez, I. J., and Groffman, P. M.:
1215 Soil denitrification fluxes from three northeastern North American forests ranging in nitrogen
1216 availability, *Oecologia*, In press, 2014a.
- 1217 Morse, J. L., Werner, S. F., Gillen, C., Bailey, S. W., McGuire, K. J., and Groffman, P. M.:
1218 Searching for biogeochemical hotspots in three dimensions: Soil C and N cycling in
1219 hydopedologic units in a northern hardwood forest, *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci.*, Submitted,
1220 2014b.
- 1221 Mosier, A. R., Duxbury, J. M., Freney, J. R., Heinemeyer, O., and Minami, K.: Assessing and
1222 mitigating N₂O emissions from agricultural soils, *Clim. Change*, 40, 7-38,
1223 10.1023/a:1005386614431, 1998.
- 1224 Mulder, A., Vandegraaf, A. A., Robertson, L. A., and Kuenen, J. G.: Anaerobic ammonium
1225 oxidation discovered in a denitrifying fluidized bed reactor, *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*, 16, 177-183,
1226 10.1111/j.1574-6941.1995.tb00281.x, 1995.
- 1227 Müller, C., Laughlin, R. J., Spott, O., and Rütting, T.: Quantification of N₂O emission pathways
1228 via a ¹⁵N tracing model, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 72, 44-54, 2014.
- 1229 Myrold, D. D., and Tiedje, J. M.: Simultaneous estimation of several nitrogen cycle rates using
1230 ¹⁵N: theory and application, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 18, 559-568, 1986.
- 1231 Näsholm, T., Högberg, P., Franklin, O., Metcalfe, D., Keel, S. G., Campbell, C., Hurry, V.,
1232 Linder, S., and Högberg, M. N.: Are ectomycorrhizal fungi alleviating or aggravating nitrogen

- 1233 limitation of tree growth in boreal forests?, *New Phytol.*, 198, 214-221, 10.1111/nph.12139,
1234 2013.
- 1235 Nebert, L. D., Bloem, J., Lubbers, I. M., and Van Groenigen, J. W.: Association of earthworm -
1236 denitrifier interactions with increased emissions of nitrous oxide from soil mesocosms amended
1237 with crop residue, *Appl. Environm. Microbiol.*, 77, 4097-4104, 2011.
- 1238 Nguyen, C.: Rhizodeposition of organic C by plants: mechanisms and controls, *Agronomie*, 23,
1239 375-396, 10.1051/agro:2003011, 2003.
- 1240 Orellana, L. H., Rodriguez-R, L. M., Higgins, S., Chee-Sanford, J. C., Sanford, R. A., Ritalahti,
1241 K. M., Löffler, F. E., and Konstantinidis, K. T.: Detecting nitrous oxide reductase (nosZ) genes
1242 in soil metagenomes: methods development and implications for the nitrogen cycle, *Mbio*, 5,
1243 10.1128/mBio.01193-14, 2014.
- 1244 Orwin, K. H., Buckland, S. M., Johnson, D., Turner, B. L., Smart, S., Oakley, S., and Bardgett, R.
1245 D.: Linkages of plant traits to soil properties and the functioning of temperate grassland, *J. Ecol.*,
1246 98, 1074-1083, 10.1111/j.1365-2745.2010.01679.x, 2010.
- 1247 Ostrom, N. E., and Ostrom, P. H.: The isotopomers of nitrous oxide: analytical considerations
1248 and application to resolution of microbial production pathways, in: *Handbook of environmental*
1249 *isotope geochemistry*, edited by: Baskaran, M., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 453-476, 2011.
- 1250 Parkin, T. B., and Berry, E. C.: Microbial nitrogen transformations in earthworm burrows, *Soil*
1251 *Biol. Biochem.*, 31, 1765-1771, 1999.
- 1252 Paterson, E.: Importance of rhizodeposition in the coupling of plant and microbial productivity,
1253 *Eur. J. Soil Sci.*, 54, 741-750, 2003.
- 1254 Pausch, J., Tian, J., Riederer, M., and Kuzyakov, Y.: Estimation of rhizodeposition at field scale:
1255 upscaling of a C-14 labeling study, *Plant Soil*, 364, 273-285, 10.1007/s11104-012-1363-8, 2013a.
- 1256 Pausch, J., Zhu, B., Kuzyakov, Y., and Cheng, W.: Plant inter-species effects on rhizosphere
1257 priming of soil organic matter decomposition, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 57, 91-99,
1258 10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.08.029, 2013b.
- 1259 Philippot, L., Hallin, S., Borjesson, G., and Baggs, E. M.: Biochemical cycling in the rhizosphere
1260 having an impact on global change, *Plant Soil*, 321, 61-81, 10.1007/s11104-008-9796-9, 2009.
- 1261 Phillips, R. P., Finzi, A. C., and Bernhardt, E. S.: Enhanced root exudation induces microbial
1262 feedbacks to N cycling in a pine forest under long-term CO₂ fumigation, *Ecol. Lett.*, 14, 187-194,
1263 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01570.x, 2011.
- 1264 Phillips, R. P., Meier, I. C., Bernhardt, E. S., Grandy, A. S., Wickings, K., and Finzi, A. C.:
1265 Roots and fungi accelerate carbon and nitrogen cycling in forests exposed to elevated CO₂, *Ecol.*
1266 *Lett.*, 15, 1042-1049, 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01827.x, 2012.

- 1267 Phillips, R. P., Brzostek, E., and Midgley, M. G.: The mycorrhizal-associated nutrient economy:
1268 a new framework for predicting carbon-nutrient couplings in temperate forests, *New Phytol.*, 199,
1269 41-51, 10.1111/nph.12221, 2013.
- 1270 Pomowski, A., Zumft, W. G., Kroneck, P. M. H., and Einsle, O.: N₂O binding at a 4Cu:2S
1271 copper-sulphur cluster in nitrous oxide reductase, *Nature*, 477, 234-U143, 10.1038/nature10332,
1272 2011.
- 1273 Postma-Blaauw, M. B., Bloem, J., Faber, J. H., Van Groenigen, J. W., De Goede, R. G. M., and
1274 Brussaard, L.: Earthworm species composition affects the soil bacterial community and net
1275 nitrogen mineralization, *Pedobiologia*, 50, 243-256, 2006.
- 1276 Postma-Blaauw, M. B., de Goede, R. G. M., Bloem, J., Faber, J. H., and Brussaard, L.:
1277 Agricultural intensification and de-intensification differentially affect taxonomic diversity of
1278 predatory mites, earthworms, enchytraeids, nematodes and bacteria, *Appl. Soil Ecol.*, 57, 39-49,
1279 2012.
- 1280 Poth, M., and Focht, D. D.: N-15 kinetic - analysis of N₂O production by *Nitrosomonas*
1281 *Europaea* - an examination of nitrifier denitrification, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 49, 1134-1141,
1282 1985.
- 1283 Rantalainen, M.-L., Fritze, H., Haimi, J., Kiikkilä, O., Pennanen, T., and Setälä, H.: Do
1284 enchytraeid worms and habitat corridors facilitate the colonisation of habitat patches by soil
1285 microbes?, *Biol. Fertility Soils*, 39, 200-208, 10.1007/s00374-003-0687-1, 2004.
- 1286 Read, D. J.: Mycorrhizas in ecosystems, *Experientia*, 47, 376-391, 10.1007/bf01972080, 1991.
- 1287 Read, D. J., and Perez-Moreno, J.: Mycorrhizas and nutrient cycling in ecosystems - a journey
1288 towards relevance?, *New Phytol.*, 157, 475-492, 10.1046/j.1469-8137.2003.00704.x, 2003.
- 1289 Reed, S. C., Cleveland, C. C., and Townsend, A. R.: Functional ecology of free-living nitrogen
1290 fixation: A contemporary perspective, *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.*, 42, 489-512,
1291 10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102710-145034, 2011.
- 1292 Reich, P. B.: The world-wide 'fast-slow' plant economics spectrum: a traits manifesto, *J. Ecol.*,
1293 102, 275-301, 2014.
- 1294 Rineau, F., Shah, F., Smits, M. M., Persson, P., Johansson, T., Carleer, R., Troein, C., and Tunlid,
1295 A.: Carbon availability triggers the decomposition of plant litter and assimilation of nitrogen by
1296 an ectomycorrhizal fungus, *Isme J.*, 7, 2010-2022, 10.1038/ismej.2013.91, 2013.
- 1297 Ritchie, G. A. F., and Nicholas, D. J.: Identification of sources of nitrous-oxide produced by
1298 oxidate and reductive processes in *Nitrosomonas Europaea*, *Biochem. J.*, 126, 1181-&, 1972.
- 1299 Rizhiya, E., Bertora, C., Van Vliet, P. C. J., Kuikman, P. J., Faber, J. H., and Van Groenigen, J.
1300 W.: Earthworm activity as a determinant for N₂O emission from crop residue, *Soil Biol.*
1301 *Biochem.*, 39, 2058-2069, 2007.

- 1302 Rütting, T., Huygens, D., Müller, C., Van Cleemput, O., Godoy, R., and Boeckx, P.: Functional
1303 role of DNRA and nitrite reduction in a pristine south Chilean *Nothofagus* forest,
1304 Biogeochemistry, 90, 243-258, 2008.
- 1305 Rütting, T., and Müller, C.: Process-specific analysis of nitrite dynamics in a permanent
1306 grassland soil by using a Monte Carlo sampling technique, Eur. J. Soil Sci., 59, 208-215, 2008.
- 1307 Rütting, T., Boeckx, P., Müller, C., and Klemetsson, L.: Assessment of the importance of
1308 dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium for the terrestrial nitrogen cycle, Biogeosciences, 8,
1309 1779–1791, 2011a.
- 1310 Rütting, T., Huygens, D., Staelens, J., Müller, C., and Boeckx, P.: Advances in ^{15}N tracing
1311 experiments: new labelling and data analysis approaches, Biochem. Soc. Trans., 39, 279-283,
1312 2011b.
- 1313 Sanford, R. A., Wagner, D. D., Wu, Q. Z., Chee-Sanford, J. C., Thomas, S. H., Cruz-Garcia, C.,
1314 Rodriguez, G., Massol-Deya, A., Krishnani, K. K., Ritalahti, K. M., Nissen, S., Konstantinidis, K.
1315 T., and Löffler, F. E.: Unexpected nondenitrifier nitrous oxide reductase gene diversity and
1316 abundance in soils, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 109, 19709-19714, 10.1073/pnas.1211238109,
1317 2012.
- 1318 Sawayama, S.: Possibility of anoxic ferric ammonium oxidation, J. Biosci. Bioeng., 101, 70-72,
1319 2006.
- 1320 Schimel, J.: Assumptions and errors in the $^{15}\text{NH}_4^+$ pool dilution technique for measuring
1321 mineralization and immobilization, Soil Biol. Biochem., 28, 827-828, 1996.
- 1322 Schimel, J. P., and Bennett, J.: Nitrogen mineralization: challenges of a changing paradigm,
1323 Ecology, 85, 591-602, 2004.
- 1324 Schlesinger, W. H.: An estimate of the global sink for nitrous oxide in soils, Glob. Change Biol.,
1325 19, 2929-2931, 10.1111/gcb.12239, 2013.
- 1326 Schmidt, I., van Spanning, R. J. M., and Jetten, M. S. M.: Denitrification and ammonia oxidation
1327 by *Nitrosomonas europaea* wild-type, and NirK- and NorB-deficient mutants, Microbiology-Sgm,
1328 150, 4107-4114, 10.1099/mic.0.27382-0, 2004.
- 1329 Seitzinger, S., Harrison, J. A., Bohlke, J. K., Bouwman, A. F., Lowrance, R., Peterson, B.,
1330 Tobias, C., and Van Drecht, G.: Denitrification across landscapes and waterscapes: A synthesis,
1331 Ecol. Appl., 16, 2064-2090, 2006.
- 1332 Shaw, L. J., Nicol, G. W., Smith, Z., Fear, J., Prosser, J. I., and Baggs, E. M.: *Nitrosospira* spp.
1333 can produce nitrous oxide via a nitrifier denitrification pathway, Environ. Microbiol., 8, 214-222,
1334 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00882.x, 2006.
- 1335 Shipitalo, M. J., and Le Bayon, R. C.: Quantifying the Effects of Earthworms on Soil
1336 Aggregation and Porosity, in: Earthworm Ecology, edited by: Edwards, C. A., CRC Press LLC,
1337 Boca Raton, FL, 183-200, 2004.

1338 Simon, J.: Enzymology and bioenergetics of respiratory nitrite ammonification, FEMS Microbiol.
1339 Rev., 26, 285-309, 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2002.tb00616.x, 2002.

1340 Simon, J., Einsle, O., Kroneck, P. M. H., and Zumft, W. G.: The unprecedented *nos* gene cluster
1341 of *Wolinella succinogenes* encodes a novel respiratory electron transfer pathway to cytochrome c
1342 nitrous oxide reductase, FEBS Lett., 569, 7-12, 10.1016/j.febslet.2004.05.060, 2004.

1343 Simon, J., and Klotz, M. G.: Diversity and evolution of bioenergetic systems involved in
1344 microbial nitrogen compound transformations, Biochim. Biophys. Acta Bioenerg., 1827, 114-
1345 135, 10.1016/j.bbabi.2012.07.005, 2013.

1346 Spott, O., Russow, R., and Stange, C. F.: Formation of hybrid N₂O and hybrid N₂ due to
1347 codenitrification: First review of a barely considered process of microbially mediated N-
1348 nitrosation, Soil Biol. Biochem., 43, 1995-2011, 10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.06.014, 2011.

1349 Stange, C. F., Spott, O., and Müller, C.: An inverse abundance approach to separate soil nitrogen
1350 pools and gaseous nitrogen fluxes into fractions related to ammonium, nitrate and soil organic
1351 nitrogen, Eur. J. Soil Sci., 60, 907-915, 2009.

1352 Stange, C. F., Spott, O., and Russow, R.: Analysis of the coexisting pathways for NO and N₂O
1353 formation in Chernozem using the ¹⁵N-tracer SimKIM-Advanced model, Isotop. Environm.
1354 Health Stud., 49, 503-519, 2013.

1355 Stevens, R. J., Laughlin, R. J., Burns, L. C., Arah, J. R. M., and Hood, R. C.: Measuring the
1356 contributions of nitrification and denitrification to the flux of nitrous oxide from soil, Soil Biol.
1357 Biochem., 29, 139-151, 1997.

1358 Stocker, T. E., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia,
1359 Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M.: Climate Change 2013: The physical science basis. Contribution
1360 of working group I to the fifth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
1361 Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2013.

1362 Sullivan, B. W., Smith, W. K., Townsend, A. R., Nasto, M. K., Reed, S. C., Chazdon, R. L., and
1363 Cleveland, C. C.: Spatially robust estimates of biological nitrogen (N) fixation imply substantial
1364 human alteration of the tropical N cycle, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 111, 8101-8106,
1365 10.1073/pnas.1320646111, 2014.

1366 Sutka, R. L., Ostrom, N. E., Ostrom, P. H., Breznak, J. A., Gandhi, H., Pitt, A. J., and Li, F.:
1367 Distinguishing nitrous oxide production from nitrification and denitrification on the basis of
1368 isotopomer abundances, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 72, 638-644, 10.1128/aem.72.1.638-
1369 644.2006, 2006.

1370 Swerts, M., Uytterhoeven, G., Merckx, R., and Vlassak, K.: Semicontinuous measurement of soil
1371 atmosphere gases with gas-flow soil core method, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 59, 1336-1342, 1995.

1372 Talbot, J. M., Allison, S. D., and Treseder, K. K.: Decomposers in disguise: mycorrhizal fungi as
1373 regulators of soil C dynamics in ecosystems under global change, Funct. Ecol., 22, 955-963,
1374 10.1111/j.1365-2435.2008.01402.x, 2008.

- 1375 Talbot, J. M., and Treseder, K. K.: Controls over mycorrhizal uptake of organic nitrogen,
1376 *Pedobiologia*, 53, 169-179, 10.1016/j.pedobi.2009.12.001, 2010.
- 1377 Taylor, A. E., Vajrala, N., Giguere, A. T., Gitelman, A. I., Arp, D. J., Myrold, D. D., Sayavedra-
1378 Soto, L., and Bottomley, P. J.: Use of Aliphatic n-Alkynes To Discriminate Soil Nitrification
1379 Activities of Ammonia-Oxidizing Thaumarchaea and Bacteria, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 79,
1380 6544-6551, 10.1128/aem.01928-13, 2013.
- 1381 Tedersoo, L., Naadel, T., Bahram, M., Pritsch, K., Buegger, F., Leal, M., Koljalg, U., and
1382 Poldmaa, K.: Enzymatic activities and stable isotope patterns of ectomycorrhizal fungi in relation
1383 to phylogeny and exploration types in an afrotropical rain forest, *New Phytol.*, 195, 832-843,
1384 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04217.x, 2012.
- 1385 Thakur, M. P., van Groenigen, J. W., Kuiper, I., and De Deyn, G. B.: Interactions between
1386 microbial-feeding and predatory soil fauna trigger N₂O emissions, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 70, 256-
1387 262, 10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.12.020, 2014.
- 1388 Thomas, R. Q., Canham, C. D., Weathers, K. C., and Goodale, C. L.: Increased tree carbon
1389 storage in response to nitrogen deposition in the US, *Nat. Geosci.*, 3, 13-17, 10.1038/ngeo721,
1390 2010.
- 1391 Tiedje, J. M.: Ecology of denitrification and dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium, in:
1392 *Biology of anaerobic microorganisms*, edited by: Zehnder, A. J. B., Wiley, New York, 179-244,
1393 1988.
- 1394 Tilsner, J., Wrage, N., Lauf, J., and Gebauer, G.: Emission of gaseous nitrogen oxides from an
1395 extensively managed grassland in NE Bavaria, Germany - II. Stable isotope natural abundance of
1396 N₂O, *Biogeochemistry*, 63, 249-267, 10.1023/a:1023316315550, 2003.
- 1397 Topoliantz, S., Ponge, J.-F., and Viaux, P.: Earthworm and enchytraeid activity under different
1398 arable farming systems, as exemplified by biogenic structures, *Plant Soil*, 225, 39-51,
1399 10.1023/a:1026537632468, 2000.
- 1400 Van Breemen, N., Boyer, E. W., Goodale, C. L., Jaworski, N. A., Paustian, K., Seitzinger, S. P.,
1401 Lajtha, K., Mayer, B., Van Dam, D., Howarth, R. W., Nadelhoffer, K. J., Eve, M., and Billen, G.:
1402 Where did all the nitrogen go? Fate of nitrogen inputs to large watersheds in the northeastern
1403 USA, *Biogeochemistry*, 57, 267-293, 2002.
- 1404 Van der Krift, T. A. J., Kuikman, P. J., Moller, F., and Berendse, F.: Plant species and
1405 nutritional-mediated control over rhizodeposition and root decomposition, *Plant Soil*, 228, 191-
1406 200, 10.1023/a:1004834128220, 2001.
- 1407 Van Groenigen, J. W., Lubbers, I. M., Vos, H. M. J., Brown, G., G., De Deyn, G. B., and Van
1408 Groenigen, K. J.: Earthworms increase plant production: a meta-analysis, *Sci. Rep.*, 4, 2014.
- 1409 Van Groenigen, K. J., Six, J., Hungate, B. A., de Graaff, M. A., Van Breemen, N., and Van
1410 Kessel, C.: Element interactions limit soil carbon storage, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 103,
1411 6571-6574, 10.1073/pnas.0509038103, 2006.

- 1412 Van Vliet, P. C. J., Beare, M. H., Coleman, D. C., and Hendrix, P. F.: Effects of enchytraeids
1413 (Annelida: Oligochaeta) on soil carbon and nitrogen dynamics in laboratory incubations, *Appl.*
1414 *Soil Ecol.*, 25, 147-160, 2004.
- 1415 Verbaendert, I., Hoefman, S., Boeckx, P., Boon, N., and De Vos, P.: Primers for overlooked nirK,
1416 qnorB, and nosZ genes of thermophilic Gram-positive denitrifiers, *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*, 89,
1417 162-180, 10.1111/1574-6941.12346, 2014.
- 1418 Veresoglou, S. D., Chen, B. D., and Rillig, M. C.: Arbuscular mycorrhiza and soil nitrogen
1419 cycling, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 46, 53-62, 10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.11.018, 2012.
- 1420 Verhoef, H. A., and Brussaard, L.: Decomposition and nitrogen mineralization in natural and
1421 agroecosystems: the contribution of soil animals, *Biogeochemistry*, 11, 175-211,
1422 10.1007/bf00004496, 1990.
- 1423 Vidon, P., and Hill, A. R.: Denitrification and patterns of electron donors and acceptors in eight
1424 riparian zones with contrasting hydrogeology, *Biogeochemistry*, 71, 259-283, 2004.
- 1425 Vieten, B., Conen, F., Seth, B., and Alewell, C.: The fate of N₂O consumed in soils,
1426 *Biogeosciences*, 5, 129-132, 2008.
- 1427 Vitousek, P. M., Menge, D. N. L., Reed, S. C., and Cleveland, C. C.: Biological nitrogen fixation:
1428 rates, patterns and ecological controls in terrestrial ecosystems, *Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. B Biol.*
1429 *Sci.*, 368, 10.1098/rstb.2013.0119, 2013.
- 1430 Walter, M. T., Walter, M. F., Brooks, E. S., Steenhuis, T. S., Boll, J., and Weiler, K.:
1431 Hydrologically sensitive areas: Variable source area hydrology implications for water quality
1432 risk assessment, *J. Soil Water Conserv.*, 55, 277-284, 2000.
- 1433 Wanek, W., Mooshammer, M., Blöchl, A., Hanreich, A., and Richter, A.: Determination of gross
1434 rates of amino acid production and immobilization in decomposing leaf litter by a novel ¹⁵N
1435 isotope pool dilution technique, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 42, 1293-1302, 2010.
- 1436 Wang, R., Willibald, G., Feng, Q., Zheng, X., Liao, T., Brüggemann, N., and Butterbach-Bahl,
1437 K.: Measurement of N₂, N₂O, NO, and CO₂ emissions from soil with the gas-flow-soil-core
1438 technique, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 45, 6066-6072, 10.1021/es1036578, 2011.
- 1439 Wardle, D. A., Bardgett, R. D., Klironomos, J. N., Setälä, H., van der Putten, W. H., and Wall, D.
1440 H.: Ecological linkages between aboveground and belowground biota, *Science*, 304, 1629-1633,
1441 2004.
- 1442 Wardle, D. A.: The influence of biotic interactions on soil biodiversity, *Ecol. Lett.*, 9, 870-886,
1443 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.00931.x, 2006.
- 1444 Wassenaar, L. I.: Evaluation of the origin and fate of nitrate in the Abbotsford Aquifer using
1445 isotopes of ¹⁵N and ¹⁸O in NO₃⁻, *Appl. Geochem.*, 10, 391-405, 1995.

- 1446 Webster, E. A., and Hopkins, D. W.: Contributions from different microbial processes to N₂O
1447 emission from soil under different moisture regimes, *Biol. Fertility Soils*, 22, 331-335,
1448 10.1007/s003740050120, 1996.
- 1449 Wedin, D. A., and Tilman, D.: Species effects on nitrogen cycling: a test with perennial grasses,
1450 *Oecologia*, 84, 433-441, 1990.
- 1451 Well, R., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: Comments on "A test of a field-based N-15-nitrous oxide
1452 pool dilution technique to measure gross N₂O production in soil" by Yang et al. (2011), *Global*
1453 *Change Biology*, 17, 3577-3588, *Global Change Biol.*, 19, 133-135, 10.1111/gcb.12005, 2013.
- 1454 Werner, C., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Haas, E., Hickler, T., and Kiese, R.: A global inventory of N₂O
1455 emissions from tropical rainforest soils using a detailed biogeochemical model, *Glob.*
1456 *Biogeochem. Cycl.*, 21, 10.1029/2006gb002909, 2007.
- 1457 Werner, S. F., Driscoll, C. T., Groffman, P. M., and Yavitt, J. B.: Landscape patterns of soil
1458 oxygen and atmospheric greenhouse gases in a northern hardwood forest landscape, *Biogeosci.*
1459 *Disc.*, 8, 10859-10893, doi:10.5194/bgd-8-10859-2011, 2011.
- 1460 Whalen, J. K., and Sampedro, L.: *Soil Ecology & Management*, Cambridge University Press,
1461 Cambridge, UK, 2010.
- 1462 Whiteside, M. D., Garcia, M. O., and Treseder, K. K.: Amino acid uptake in arbuscular
1463 mycorrhizal plants, *Plos One*, 7, 10.1371/journal.pone.0047643, 2012.
- 1464 Woli, K. P., David, M. B., Cooke, R. A., McIsaac, G. F., and Mitchell, C. A.: Nitrogen balance
1465 in and export from agricultural fields associated with controlled drainage systems and
1466 denitrifying bioreactors, *Ecol. Engin.*, 36, 1558-1566,
1467 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2010.04.024>, 2010.
- 1468 Wrage, N., Velthof, G. L., Van Beusichem, M. L., and Oenema, O.: Role of nitrifier
1469 denitrification in the production of nitrous oxide, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 33, 1723-1732, 2001.
- 1470 Wrage, N., Velthof, G. L., Laanbroek, H. J., and Oenema, O.: Nitrous oxide production in
1471 grassland soils: assessing the contribution of nitrifier denitrification, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 36,
1472 229-236, 10.1016/j.soilbio.2003.09.009, 2004a.
- 1473 Wrage, N., Velthof, G. L., Oenema, O., and Laanbroek, H. J.: Acetylene and oxygen as
1474 inhibitors of nitrous oxide production in *Nitrosomonas europaea* and *Nitrosospira briensis*: a
1475 cautionary tale, *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*, 47, 13-18, 10.1016/s0168-6496(03)00220-4, 2004b.
- 1476 Wrage, N., Van Groenigen, J. W., Oenema, O., and Baggs, E. M.: A novel dual-isotope labeling
1477 method for distinguishing between soil sources of N₂O, *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.*, 19,
1478 3298-3306, 2005.
- 1479 Wu, T. H.: Can ectomycorrhizal fungi circumvent the nitrogen mineralization for plant nutrition
1480 in temperate forest ecosystems?, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 43, 1109-1117,
1481 10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.02.003, 2011.

1482 Wurzburger, N., Bellenger, J. P., Kraepiel, A. M. L., and Hedin, L. O.: Molybdenum and
 1483 phosphorus interact to constrain asymbiotic nitrogen fixation in tropical forests, *Plos One*, 7,
 1484 10.1371/journal.pone.0033710, 2012.

1485 Yanai, R. D., Vadeboncoeur, M. A., Hamburg, S. P., Arthur, M. A., Fuss, C. B., Groffman, P. M.,
 1486 Siccama, T. G., and Driscoll, C. T.: From missing source to missing sink: Long-term changes in
 1487 the nitrogen budget of a northern hardwood forest, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 47, 11440-11448,
 1488 10.1021/es4025723, 2013.

1489 Yang, W. D. H., Teh, Y. A., and Silver, W. L.: A test of a field-based ^{15}N -nitrous oxide pool
 1490 dilution technique to measure gross N_2O production in soil, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 17, 3577-3588,
 1491 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02481.x, 2011.

1492 Yang, W. H., and Silver, W. L.: Application of the N_2/Ar technique to measuring soil-
 1493 atmosphere N_2 fluxes, *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.*, 26, 449-459, 10.1002/rcm.6124, 2012.

1494 Yang, W. H., McDowell, A. C., Brooks, P. D., and Silver, W. L.: New high precision approach
 1495 for measuring ^{15}N - N_2 gas fluxes from terrestrial ecosystems, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 69, 234-241,
 1496 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.11.009>, 2014.

1497 Yano, M., Toyoda, S., Tokida, T., Hayashi, K., Hasegawa, T., Makabe, A., Koba, K., and
 1498 Yoshida, N.: Isotopomer analysis of production, consumption and soil-to-atmosphere emission
 1499 processes of N_2O at the beginning of paddy field irrigation, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 70, 66-78,
 1500 10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.11.026, 2014.

1501 Yuan, Z. Y., and Chen, H. Y. H.: Fine root biomass, production, turnover rates, and nutrient
 1502 contents in boreal forest ecosystems in relation to species, climate, fertility, and stand age:
 1503 literature review and meta-analyses, *Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.*, 29, 204-221,
 1504 10.1080/07352689.2010.483579, 2010.

1505 Zak, D. R., Holmes, W. E., Finzi, A. C., Norby, R. J., and Schlesinger, W. H.: Soil nitrogen
 1506 cycling under elevated CO_2 : A synthesis of forest face experiments, *Ecol. Appl.*, 13, 1508-1514,
 1507 2003.

1508 Zhu, X., Burger, M., Doane, T. A., and Horwath, W. R.: Ammonia oxidation pathways and
 1509 nitrifier denitrification are significant sources of N_2O and NO under low oxygen availability,
 1510 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 110, 6328-6333, 10.1073/pnas.1219993110, 2013.

1511

1512

1513

1514 **Figure captions**

1515 **Figure 1. New insights and key challenges with respect to the soil N cycle, as identified in**
1516 **this paper.** These include three N cycling processes (Sections 2.1 - 2.3), a modelling challenge
1517 (Section 3) and four pathways through which ecological interactions might affect N cycling
1518 processes (Sections 4.1 - 4.4).

1519

1520 **Figure 2. Different pathways of N₂O production in soil.** Classical nitrification by autotrophic
1521 bacteria or archaea (nitrifier nitrification); nitrifier denitrification by the same group of
1522 autotrophic bacteria; nitrification followed by denitrification (nitrification-coupled denitrification)
1523 and direct denitrification of applied nitrogen fertilizer (fertilizer denitrification). Reproduced
1524 from Kool et al. (2011a).

1525

1526 **Figure 3. The N₂O production and consumption network showing five pathways for N₂O**
1527 **consumption.** Dissimilatory N₂O reduction to N₂ via typical, denitrifier nosZ I (1), atypical, non-
1528 denitrifier nos Z II (2), dissimilatory NO₃⁻ reduction to NH₃ (DNRA) (3), direct assimilatory N₂O
1529 fixation via nitrogenase to NH₃ (4), and indirect assimilatory N₂O fixation (N₂O reduction to N₂
1530 followed by N₂ fixation) (5); abiotic pathways that produce gaseous N (Feammox and chemo-
1531 denitrification are not shown).

1532

1533 **Figure 4. The influence of soil fauna on soil N processes and loss pathways.** Conventionally
1534 (a), these processes and loss pathways were often considered as the result of interactions between
1535 microbes and soil structure. More recently (b), it is recognized that many microbial and physical

properties are influenced by faunal diversity through trophic relations and through changes in the soil structure by ecosystem engineers.

Figure 5. The 'leaky nitrostat' model adapted from Hedin et al. (2009). This model indicates the importance of symbiotic (S-BNF) and free-living (F-BNF) biological N₂ fixation along a forest successional gradient, from young (green) to mature (red) forest stands. At the initial stages of ecosystem succession, the N supply via S-BNF, F-BNF and N deposition supports high ecosystem N demands. In mature forest stands with a lower N demand, S-BNF is down-regulated, but N inputs via F-BNF and N deposition lead to ecosystem N losses via N leaching and gaseous N production.