Dear Steven Sleutel

Thank you for the decision to reconsider after minor revisions. We have made suggested the corrections.

L193 insert space between MS and column

This is corrected (L 175 is text with no mark-ups)

L258 delete ‘seemed to’. I follow your argumentation about significant and biologically meaningful

This is corrected L 238: ‘… and gross FAA production declined with..’

L260 ‘tested’

This is corrected L 240: ‘…could not be tested statistically.’

L290 delete ‘a’, dynamics is plural

This is corrected L 260: ‘This indicates more complex mineralization dynamics’

L297 This sentence is still poorly formulated, please rephrase more clearly

The sentence is rephrased L 262: ‘Warming had, unlike our hypotheses, no significant effect on any of the measured N rates, which may also be related to the warming of 0.5 °C, which was possibly too low to have a significant impact, even though it was conducted over 14 years.’

L301 omit comma after ‘rates’

Done

L303 omit comma after ‘study’

Done

L307-308 Poorly formulated sentence. Please rephrase

The sentence is rephrased L 273: ‘The relative contribution from FAA mineralization to gross N mineralization rate was differently affected by drought and warming treatments.’