



Fire affects root decomposition, soil food web structure, and carbon flow in tallgrass prairie

E. Ashley Shaw^{1,2}, Karolien Denef³, Cecilia Milano de Tomasel^{1,2}, M. Francesca Cotrufo^{2,4}, and Diana H. Wall^{1,2}

¹Department of Biology, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA

²Natural Resource Ecology Laboratory, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA

³Central Instrument Facility, Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA

⁴Department of Soil and Crop Sciences, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA

Correspondence to: E. Ashley Shaw (elizabeth.shaw@colostate.edu)

Received: 18 August 2015 – Published in SOIL Discuss.: 10 September 2015

Revised: 29 March 2016 – Accepted: 9 April 2016 – Published: 19 May 2016

Abstract. Root litter decomposition is a major component of carbon (C) cycling in grasslands, where it provides energy and nutrients for soil microbes and fauna. This is especially important in grasslands where fire is common and removes aboveground litter accumulation. In this study, we investigated whether fire affects root decomposition and C flow through the belowground food web. In a greenhouse experiment, we applied ¹³C-enriched big bluestem (*Andropogon gerardii*) root litter to intact tallgrass prairie soil cores collected from annually burned (AB) and infrequently burned (IB) treatments at the Konza Prairie Long Term Ecological Research (LTER) site. Incorporation of ¹³C into microbial phospholipid fatty acids and nematode trophic groups was measured on six occasions during a 180-day decomposition study to determine how C was translocated through the soil food web. Results showed significantly different soil communities between treatments and higher microbial abundance for IB. Root decomposition occurred rapidly and was significantly greater for AB. Microbes and their nematode consumers immediately assimilated root litter C in both treatments. Root litter C was preferentially incorporated in a few groups of microbes and nematodes, but depended on burn treatment: fungi, Gram-negative bacteria, Gram-positive bacteria, and fungivore nematodes for AB and only omnivore nematodes for IB. The overall microbial pool of root-litter-derived C significantly increased over time but was not significantly different between burn treatments. The nematode pool of root-litter-derived C also significantly increased over time, and was significantly higher for the AB treatment at 35 and 90 days after litter addition. In conclusion, the C flow from root litter to microbes to nematodes is not only measurable but also significant, indicating that higher nematode trophic levels are critical components of C flow during root decomposition, which, in turn, is significantly affected by fire. Not only does fire affect the soil community and root decomposition, but the lower microbial abundance, greater root turnover, and the increased incorporation of root litter C by microbes and nematodes for AB suggests that annual burning increases root-litter-derived C flow through the soil food web of the tallgrass prairie.

1 Introduction

Soils contain an immense diversity of soil microorganisms and soil fauna, and are of key importance to terrestrial ecosystems nutrient cycling and carbon (C) storage (Wall et al., 2010; Wall, 2004; Bardgett, 2005; Smith et al., 2015). Understanding the roles of the soil food web in regulating belowground processes of decomposition, nutrient cycling, and C cycling is recognized as a hot topic of research in soil ecology (Bardgett and Cook, 1998; Holtkamp et al., 2008, 2011; Carrillo et al., 2011; Osler and Sommerkorn, 2007; Bardgett et al., 2013; van der Putten et al., 2013). This is especially because we still lack a clear understanding of how soil fauna contributes to these ecosystem processes and the ecosystem services they provide (Nielsen et al., 2011; Carrillo et al., 2011; Brussaard, 1998; Bardgett and Cook, 1998; Smith et al., 2015). Within the soil fauna, nematodes, which can occur at densities of approximately 1 million to 10 million m^{-2} in grasslands (Bardgett et al., 1997; Yeates et al., 1997), are thought to play a fundamental yet poorly understood role in soil C dynamics (Staddon, 2004; Nielsen et al., 2011; Wall et al., 2008; Osler and Sommerkorn, 2007).

In tallgrass prairie ecosystems, fire is a historical disturbance that has ecosystem level effects on plant dynamics and other processes (Knapp et al., 1998). Frequent fires can have large effects on plant productivity, plant community composition, and root properties (Kitchen et al., 2009; Knapp et al., 1998), which can significantly alter ecosystem processes such as litter decomposition and C cycling (Ojima et al., 1994; Johnson and Matchett, 2001; Soong and Cotrufo, 2015). Litter decomposition is an important component of belowground C cycling and root litter C provides a major energy source for soil biota (Eisenhauer and Reich, 2012). Since fire removes aboveground litter, and enhances root growth and belowground C allocation, root detrital input may be an even more important energy source for decomposer food webs in frequently burned grasslands (Seastedt et al., 1991; O'Lear et al., 1996). Furthermore, root decomposition studies have been highlighted as crucial because root litter is a major source of soil C (Rasse et al., 2005), contributing more than aboveground litter, and very little research has been done on the topic (Schimel and Schaeffer, 2012).

The belowground effects of fire have additional impacts on soil biodiversity and their functions. Burning causes changes in the soil surface energy budget by removing plant litter accumulation (O'Lear et al., 1996; Knapp and Seastedt, 1986). This leads to changes in soil conditions, such as nitrogen content, carbon content, temperature, and moisture, which could impact microbial and faunal activities or change detritivore community composition. Microbial community compositional changes have been reported as a result of fire: for example, fire alters microbial community composition by reducing Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria (Docherty et al., 2011) and increasing arbuscular mycorrhizae (Hamman et al., 2007). Also, fire initially impacts the overall abun-

dance of nematodes negatively (Whitford et al., 2014), but this rebounds quickly and certain groups, such as colonizing bacterivore nematodes, respond positively after fire (Jones et al., 2006; Todd, 1996). Such changes in soil community composition have been shown to impact litter decomposition (Verhoef and Brussaard, 1990). While most litter decomposition is ultimately the product of soil fungal and bacterial metabolic activities, soil fauna also plays a role in litter decomposition by influencing these microbial activities and altering litter chemical composition (Coleman and Crossley, 1996; Verhoef and Brussaard, 1990; Petersen and Luxton, 1982; Xin et al., 2012; Mamilov, 2000; Coleman and Hendrix, 2000; Carrillo et al., 2011; Swift et al., 1979; Soong et al., 2016). However, little is known about how fire impacts both soil microbial and faunal community function or if frequently burned grasslands' soil communities are more specialized to decompose root litter than unburned soil communities.

Addition of ^{13}C -enriched plant litter to soil allows tracing litter-derived C into soil microbial and faunal groups during decomposition. This technique has been used to study plant-C utilization by microbial communities in soils by examining ^{13}C incorporation into microbial phospholipid fatty acids (PLFAs; e.g., Deneff et al., 2009; Rubino et al., 2010; Kohl et al., 2015; Soong et al., 2016). Also, natural abundances of ^{13}C and ^{15}N have been useful for studying structures of soil faunal communities (e.g., collembolans, earthworms, enchytraeids, arthropods, gastropods, and nematodes; Chahartaghi et al., 2005; Albers et al., 2006; Goncharov et al., 2014; Crotty et al., 2014; Kudrin et al., 2015). Furthermore, C flow through soil faunal trophic groups can be traced and quantified using ^{13}C in labeling experiments (Albers et al., 2006; Pollierer et al., 2007; Elfstrand et al., 2008; Ostle et al., 2007; D'Annibale et al., 2015; Gilbert et al., 2014). However, root turnover and aboveground litter inputs are the main basis for soil faunal trophic groups in the chiefly detrital-based grassland soil food webs (Ostle et al., 2007), and these previous studies often focus only on C from recent photosynthate, ignore some of the most abundant soil fauna groups (e.g., nematodes), and do not consider how disturbances, such as fire, might affect C pathways belowground.

This project was designed to trace C from decomposing root litter into components of the soil food web over time for annually burned (AB) and infrequently burned (IB) prairie soils. Our conceptual approach included the production of a ^{13}C -enriched tallgrass (big bluestem, *Andropogon gerardii*) root litter, its incubation in intact AB and IB prairie soil cores in a greenhouse, and quantifying the incorporation of root litter C within the soil food web over time. We hypothesized that (1) the soil community would be less abundant and less diverse in the AB treatment due to the disturbance of fire, which removes surface organic inputs, increases soil temperatures, and decreases soil moisture; (2) root litter mass loss would be greater and occur faster for AB; and (3) root litter would be a more important C source for microorganisms and

nematodes from AB prairie, which would thus incorporate root-litter-derived C more quickly and in greater amounts than those from IB prairie.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Site description and soil collection

Soil samples were taken from historically unplowed tall-grass prairie at the Konza Prairie Long Term Ecological Research (LTER) station in eastern Kansas, USA (39°05' N, 96°35' W). Average monthly temperatures range from -2.7°C in January to 26.6°C in July, with 835 mm of total annual precipitation on average. Following a similar sampling design of a concurrent field study by Soong and Cotrufo (2015), we used soils from two fire treatment areas at Konza Prairie LTER: annual spring burn and 20-year burn. Each treatment area is approximately 60 ha and has silty-clay textured Argiustoll soils. The two treatment areas are in close proximity to one another with minimal geological and edaphic differences. The annual spring burn treatment area (labeled SpB by the Konza Prairie LTER) was burned yearly each spring from 1972, and was burned prior to soil collection on 26 April 2011. The annual spring burn treatment area had soil pH 6.2. The 20-year burn treatment area (labeled 20B by the Konza Prairie LTER) was last burned by an unprescribed wildfire on 5 April 1991; previously, a prescribed burn occurred on 3 May 1975. The 20-year burn treatment had a soil pH of 6.1. For specific soil characterization data for these sites including % C, % N, pyrogenic organic C content and bulk density, see Soong and Cotrufo (2015). Soil from the annual spring burn treatment area will be referred to as annually burned (AB) and the 20-year burn as infrequently burned (IB) for the remainder of this paper.

Soil cores (10 cm deep \times 10 cm diameter) were extracted from upland soil of the two fire treatment areas at KPBS on 14 June 2011. Sampling was spread out within each of these areas to capture site variability. Specifically, cores were taken every 3 m in a 24 m \times 18 m grid for a total of 48 soil cores from each treatment area. For both treatment areas, soil cores were taken beneath the dominant grass, *Andropogon gerardii*. These soil cores were extracted by driving PVC collars (10 cm diameter) in to a depth of 10 cm soil, and carefully digging out the collars while preserving soil core structure. The soil cores, or mesocosms, intact in PVC collars, were packed into sterile plastic bags in the field, kept in coolers with ice packs, and transported to greenhouses at Colorado State University (CSU), Fort Collins, CO, USA, for the decomposition experiment. Every effort was made to minimize disturbance to the soil.

Field temperature and moisture were measured at time of soil collection for both AB and IB soils. Soil temperature was recorded in the field and daily during the greenhouse incubation using a temperature probe coupled to a PP system

(PP Systems, SRC-1). Initial soil moisture was determined by gravimetric water content (GWC) by subtracting the oven-dry weight of soil (105°C) from the field moist weight. All soil pots were weighed and % GWC was estimated based on initial field levels. Soil moisture was maintained daily at 20 % GWC by weighing the cores every other day and adding deionized water as needed to bring up soil moisture levels.

2.2 Production of ^{13}C -enriched root litter

Prior to experiment setup, *Andropogon gerardii* was grown from rhizomes in soil-free potting mix for one growing season in a continuous labeling chamber at 4 atom % ^{13}C - CO_2 atmosphere, fertilized weekly for 21 weeks with a ^{15}N - KNO_3 solution (7 atom %) (Soong et al., 2014). After the growing season, plants were harvested and roots were separated from shoots. Roots were then washed, air-dried, and a subsample analyzed for % C, % N, and ^{13}C and ^{15}N enrichment by an elemental analyzer (EA; Carlo Erba NA 1500) connected to a continuous-flow isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS; VG Isochrom, Isoprime Inc., Manchester, UK). The root litter had a C and N concentration of 44.37 and 1.49 %, respectively, and an isotopic enrichment of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ 1882.37 ‰ (3.12 atom %) and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ 12147.21 ‰ (4.61 atom %).

2.3 Decomposition experiment

Our experimental design consisted of two burn treatments and two litter treatments in a fully factorial design (2 burn treatment \times 2 litter treatment \times 6 harvests \times 4 replicates = 96). Soil cores from AB and IB treatments were incubated inside the PVC collars with either of two different litter treatments: control (no litter) or litter addition (^{13}C -enriched root litter). A total of 48 nylon litterbags (8 cm \times 8 cm, 1 mm mesh size) were prepared, each containing approximately 1.5 g of the air-dried ^{13}C -enriched root litter, and buried in the soil (24 AB and 24 IB) for the litter addition treatment. Subsamples of root litter were dried in an oven at 70°C for oven-dry mass correction. To minimize disturbance to the soil, each soil core was carefully removed from the PVC collar and sliced in half horizontally (Sanaullah et al., 2010), a litterbag was placed in the center, and the two halves of the core were restored together into the PVC collar. The remaining cores were sliced in half then put back together, with no litterbag added, and established as control treatments. All PVC collars were established on top of sand to allow for drainage and were contained individually in pots to prevent cross-contamination. The experiment was conducted in a greenhouse at the Colorado State University Plant Growth Facility.

To assess decomposition and biotic community changes over time, six destructive harvests occurred over 180 days, i.e., at 3, 10, 21, 35, 90, and 180 days. At each harvest date, four replicates of each of the four treatments were harvested

for analyses of soil, root litter, and biota. Specifically, the litterbag was carefully removed from the soil and set aside and then each soil core was removed from the collar, placed into a sterile plastic bag, and well mixed to homogenize soil. Each homogenized soil sample was subsampled for PLFA analysis and nematode extraction. The roots were retrieved from the litterbag before drying in an oven at 45 °C for 5 days. Mass loss was assessed by subtracting the remaining mass of roots (oven-dried) from the initial mass of roots (oven-dry mass corrected). All litter samples were then analyzed for % C and ^{13}C as described above for the initial litter material. Only C dynamics are discussed in this study.

2.4 Microbial community

Microbial community structure was assessed by phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA) analysis. We ran three out of the four replicates (chosen at random) for PLFA analysis due to the expense and time required to run these analyses. Soil subsamples for PLFA analysis were sieved to 2mm, with any visibly remaining plant material carefully removed with forceps. The PLFA extraction, quantification, and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ analysis methods were based on previous studies (Bossio and Scow, 1995; Deneff et al., 2007; Gomez et al., 2014). For all treatments, approximately 6 g soil subsamples from the bulk soil were lyophilized and extracted in duplicate using a modified Bligh–Dyer method (Gomez et al., 2014) at each harvest. Fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) derivatives were analyzed by capillary gas chromatography–combustion–isotope ratio mass spectrometry (GC-C-IRMS) (GC-C/TC DELTAplus XP, Thermo Scientific) via a GC/C III interface. PLFA identifications were based on the retention times of two standard mixtures, a Supelco FAME mix (47885-U: Supelco 37 component FAME mix, Sigma-Aldrich) and a bacterial acid methyl ester mix (47080-U: BAME mix, Sigma-Aldrich). Representative samples were analyzed by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS; Shimadzu QP-2010SE) and spectral matching was completed using the NIST 2011 mass spectral library (Shimadzu) to identify PLFAs that are not available in standard mixtures,

A number of PLFAs were selected as biomarkers for different microbial groups to investigate the soil microbial community composition (Frostegård and Bååth, 1996; Zelles, 1999). The PLFAs i15:0, a15:0, i16:0, a17:0, and i17:0 were selected to estimate the abundance of Gram-positive bacteria, and cy17:0, cis16:1n9, 18:1n11, and cy19:0 for Gram-negative bacteria. Fungal abundance was based on cis18:1n9 and cis18:2n9,12, and methylated PLFAs 10Me-16:0, 10Me-17:0, and 10Me-18:0 were used as indicators of actinobacteria.

The abundance of individual PLFAs was calculated (ng g^{-1} soil) and used as a proxy for microbial biomass. Changes in the microbial community composition were evaluated based on relative PLFA abundance data, which were calculated as in Gomez et al. (2014).

2.5 Nematode community

For both AB and IB treatments, soil nematodes were extracted from each soil sample by a modified Baermann funnel method in deionized water after Hooper (1970). A subsample of 100 g of soil was placed onto the Baermann funnels and an aliquot of water and nematodes removed daily for 3 days.

Nematodes were counted, identified, and sorted using an inverted microscope (Olympus CKX41, 200× magnification) into five different trophic groups (bacterivore, fungivore, plant parasite, omnivore, and predator), based on Yeates et al. (1993), and trophic groups sorted into separate microcentrifuge tubes (0.5 mL). For elemental and isotopic analysis, 75 individuals from each trophic group were then hand-picked using an eyelash (superfine eyelash with handle, Ted Pella, Inc., prod no. 113) under a dissecting microscope (Olympus SZX10, 30× magnification), and transferred to a pre-weighed tin capsule (8 × 5 mm, Elemental Microanalysis BN/170056) containing 120 μL of deionized water. The tin capsules containing the different nematode trophic groups were desiccated for 3 days, weighed again to obtain final sample weights, and then prepared for analysis. The tin capsules containing nematode samples were analyzed for % C and ^{13}C using a CE-1110 EA coupled via ConFlo II interface to an IRMS (Thermo Finnigan DELTAplus).

The absolute abundance of individual nematode groups was calculated (number nematodes per kilogram dry soil). Changes in the nematode community composition were evaluated based on relative nematode abundance data, which were calculated by dividing the absolute abundance of a nematode group by the sum of the absolute abundance of all nematode groups.

2.6 Data analyses

The isotope ratios are reported in terms of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (‰) values (Brenna et al., 1997), i.e.,

$$\delta^{13}\text{C}(\text{‰}) = (R_{\text{sample}} - R_{\text{standard}}) / (R_{\text{standard}}) \times 10^3,$$

where R_{sample} is the $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ ratio of the sample and R_{standard} refers to the reference standard, Pee Dee Belemnite.

The proportion of root litter carbon incorporated into nematode and microbial tissue (f_R) was calculated by a two-source mixing model with

$$f_R = (\delta_{\text{BioR}} - \delta_{\text{BioC}}) / (\delta_R - \delta_{\text{BioC}}).$$

δ_{BioR} and δ_{BioC} refer to the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ signature of a group in the root litter addition and the corresponding control, respectively, and δ_R to the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ signature of the initial root litter.

The amount of root-derived C incorporated into individual PLFAs and nematode groups was calculated by multiplying the f_R value by the absolute PLFA or nematode concentration (per g soil) for each individual PLFA or nematode group. The relative incorporation within each microbial group was calculated:

$$\text{PLFA} - C_{\text{root-derived/group}} = \frac{(\Sigma \text{PLFA} - C_{\text{group}} \cdot 100)}{\Sigma \text{PLFA} - C_{\text{root-derived all}}}$$

The effects of time, soil burning treatment, and litter addition on microbial PLFA abundance, nematode densities, and microbial and nematode incorporation of root-litter-derived ^{13}C were analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) methods using a generalization of the general linear model (GLM) in the Proc Mixed procedure. Statistical analyses were completed with SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina). Data were analyzed using a three-factor model, where $y = \text{time} + \text{soil} + \text{litter addition}$. Time, soil, and litter addition were treated as categorical variables. Data were tested to meet assumptions of normality and residuals were log-transformed to achieve normality if necessary. Significance was accepted at a level of probability (P) of < 0.05 .

A distance-based redundancy analysis (dbRDA) was used to evaluate differences in microbial and nematode community composition among fire and litter treatments. The dbRDA is a multivariate approach that is widely accepted and used for ecological studies to evaluate multispecies responses to several factors (Legendre and Anderson, 1999). For our dbRDAs, PLFA and nematode relative abundance data (mol% of each identified PLFA or nematode group) were used in two dbRDA models. A distance matrix was calculated for each community using the Bray–Curtis measure to model the species matrix. A principal coordinate analysis was performed on the distance matrix and the resulting eigenvalues were applied to a redundancy analysis. Ordination plots were drawn with ellipsoids (representing a 95% confidence interval) around the multivariate community groups. The dbRDA and subsequent drawing of ordination plots were performed using R (R Core Team, Vienna, Austria).

3 Results

3.1 Effects of fire and root litter addition on the soil community

Burn treatment had a significant effect on the soil community. The dbRDA revealed that AB and IB community compositions of microbes and nematodes were significantly different (Fig. 1a and b, respectively). For microbes, the differences in community composition were driven by biomarkers for fungi (*cis*-C18:1n9, *cis*-18:2n9,12), Gram-negative bacteria (*cy*19:0), and Gram-positive bacteria (*a*17:0) (Fig. 1a). The total average PLFA abundance for AB was significantly lower than IB treatment ($P < 0.05$). Specifically, there were lower proportions of PLFA biomarkers for Gram-positive bacteria and fungi for AB (Fig. 2). Total nematode abundance did not differ between the AB and IB treatment ($P = 0.39$), but community structure was significantly different (Fig. 1b). While the differences for the AB soil were driven by fun-




Figure 1. Community structure plots depicted from results of the distance-based redundancy analysis performed on relative abundance of PLFA biomarkers (a) and on nematode trophic groups (b); groups with top species scores are plotted along with ellipsoids. Ellipsoids represent 95% confidence intervals. The first and second capscales are depicted by axis 1 and axis 2, respectively. Percentage of variance explained by each capscale is indicated. Treatments are indicated by AB, annually burned; IB, infrequently burned; and +L, litter addition. For nematode trophic groups: BF, bacterivore; FF, fungivore; OM, omnivore; PP, plant parasite; and PR, predator.

givores and plant parasitic nematodes, the IB soil community was influenced by omnivore and predator nematodes (Fig. 1b).

With the addition of root litter to the soil, microbial and nematode communities were changed (Fig. 1). The dbRDA revealed that the microbial community structure of AB changed significantly with the addition of root litter, while IB did not (Fig. 1a). Also, the AB and IB microbial communities became slightly more similar with root litter addition,




Figure 2. Abundances of PLFA biomarkers for the annual burn (a) and infrequent burn (b) treatments with litter addition for the day 0 and final 180 day harvest. Data are averages ($n = 3$) with standard error bars. For PLFA groups: F, fungi; G+, Gram-positive bacteria; G-, Gram-negative bacteria; NS, non-specific bacteria; Act, actinobacteria.

yet these were still significantly different (Fig. 1a). As for abundance, 180 days after litter addition, there were no significant differences in abundance for any functional group for the IB or AB treatment relative to the control (Fig. 2).

Neither AB nor IB nematode community composition was significantly changed with the addition of root litter, but there was a general shift in the community (Fig. 1b) and total abundance of nematodes differed significantly through time (Fig. 3). The shift in the litter addition communities was largely driven by bacterivore nematodes (Fig. 1b), and the abundance of bacterivore nematodes significantly increased with root litter addition for both treatments ($P = 0.033$) through time. Additionally, for the litter addition treatment there were some differences between burn treatment, such as that fungivore nematodes were significantly more abundant for AB than IB at 90 days ($P = 0.032$); conversely, omnivore nematodes were significantly more abundant for IB at 180 days ($P = 0.047$). There were no significant differ-




Figure 3. Change in nematode trophic group abundance (nematodes/kg dry soil) over time for both (a) annual burn and (b) infrequent burn treatments with litter addition. Day 0 indicates the initial densities of nematode trophic groups before the greenhouse incubation with root litter addition. Asterisks (*) indicate significantly different total abundance of nematodes between litter treatments ($n = 4$). For nematode trophic groups: BF, bacterivore; FF, fungivore; OM, omnivore; PP, plant parasite; and PR, predator.

ences in abundance of plant parasitic or predator nematodes between AB and IB after litter addition.

3.2 Effects of fire on root decomposition and root-C dynamics

Significantly more root litter mass was lost for the AB treatment than the IB treatment ($P = 0.028$). Decomposition occurred rapidly ($> 30\%$ mass loss) in the first 10 days and progressed slowly for the remainder of the experiment. By day 180, the percent of root litter mass remaining for the AB and IB treatment was $53.0 \pm 2.3\%$ and $57.9 \pm 2.2\%$, respectively, and likewise, more root litter C was lost from the AB treatment ($P = 0.03$). Both time and burn treatment had significant effects on the root litter C pool dynamics (Fig. 4a).

3.3 Effects of fire on soil community utilization of root C

Soil biota (both microbial PLFA biomarkers and nematodes) assimilated root litter ^{13}C for both AB and IB. All microbial groups and the microbivore nematode groups utilized root litter C immediately after root litter addition and throughout the experiment for both treatments. However, this C was translocated differently through the soil communities for AB and IB treatments (Fig. 5). Plant parasitic nematodes did not have a significant amount of root litter C incorporated into their biomass in either treatment. Higher trophic levels (omnivore and predator nematodes) began to have root litter C incorporated into their biomass by 21 (IB, Fig. 5a) and 35 (AB, Fig. 5b) days. This amount increased by the final harvest with IB omnivore and predator nematodes having greater root litter C incorporated than AB by the final harvest (Fig. 5).

The microbial biomarkers assimilation of root litter C increased significantly over time for both treatments (Fig. 4b). Despite higher total PLFA concentration in the infrequent burn treatment, the microbial pool of root litter C was not different between treatments. While there was generally more root-litter-derived C in the PLFAs initially (days 3, 10, 21) for IB and a lag in root litter C uptake for AB (Fig. 4b), the effect of burn treatment and the interaction of burn treatment and time was not significant for this pool of C. Also, the flow of C through the different groups of the microbial community was similar for each burn treatment (Fig. 5). In general, Gram-negative bacteria dominated the C uptake initially (days 3 to 21), and this shifted to Gram-positive dominance by 35 days for both burn treatments (Fig. 5). Fungal use of root litter C differed slightly for the burn treatments, with fungi from the AB treatment increasing in root litter C over time (Fig. 5c and d).

The nematodes' assimilation of root litter C also increased significantly over time for both treatments (Fig. 4c). While the burn treatment alone was not significant, the interaction of time and burn treatment was highly significant for the nematode C pool. At day 35 and 90, the nematode root-litter-derived C pool was significantly higher for AB than the IB treatment (Fig. 4c). The flow of C through the nematode community also differed somewhat (Fig. 5a and b). For both treatments, bacteria and, correspondingly, bacterivore nematodes played a dominant role in root litter C utilization for both AB and IB soils (Fig. 5). Bacterivore nematodes dominated the nematode community in abundance and incorporated the greatest amount of root litter C overall; however, the other trophic groups differed between burning treatment. For the IB treatment, omnivore and predator nematodes utilized a significant portion of root litter C by 35 days after litter addition, but not for AB. For the AB treatment, fungivore nematodes significantly incorporated root litter C from day 3, but not for the IB treatment.

When we looked at the proportions of root litter C incorporated into individual group's biomass, there were differences between burn treatments. Overall, fungivore ne-




Figure 4. Root litter C dynamics during incubation for the annual burn and infrequent burn treatments. Data are averages with standard error bars. The root litter carbon (a), root-litter-derived carbon incorporated in microbial phospholipid fatty acids (PLFA) (b), and root-litter-derived carbon incorporated in nematodes (c) are reported.

matodes, saprotrophic fungi (*cis*-18:2n9,12), Gram-negative bacteria (18:1n11), and Gram-positive bacteria (a17:0 and i16:0) incorporated significantly more root litter C for the AB treatment than the IB treatment (Table 1). Only omnivore nematodes incorporated more root litter C for the IB treatment (Table 1).

4 Discussion

4.1 Effects of fire on the soil community

Burning has significant impacts on the belowground community, including soil microbes and soil nematodes. We found




Figure 5. Root litter C incorporation into microbial PLFAs and nematode trophic groups. Panels (a) and (c) are infrequent burn treatment and (b) and (d) are annual burn treatment. Panels (a) and (b) show the percentage of total litter-derived C (^{13}C) incorporated into the total nematode signature quantified at each time point, and (c) and (d) show the percentage of total litter-derived C (^{13}C) incorporated into the total PLFA signature at each time point. For nematode trophic groups: BF, bacterivore; FF, fungivore; OM, omnivore; PP, plant parasite; and PR, predator.

that both soil microbial and nematode community structure differed with long-term burn treatments (Fig. 1), with the AB treatment also showing reduced microbial biomass (via PLFA methods). These findings support our first hypothesis, that different burn treatments would house different soil communities, and confirmed previous observations. In particular, Todd (1996) showed that bacterivore nematodes respond positively to frequent fire, while predator nematodes do not. Jones et al. (2006) later corroborated that study via molecular methods. Additionally, fire has been shown to reduce overall microbial biomass and specifically affects Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria and fungi (Docherty et al., 2011; Ajwa et al., 1999). Such differences in the soil communities have implications for ecosystem function, such as impacts on organic matter decomposition (Verhoef and Brussaard, 1990).

4.2 Effects of fire on root decomposition and root-C dynamics

Root litter mass loss was greater for the AB treatment, confirming our second hypothesis, i.e., that decomposition would be greater for the AB treatment. These results were in agreement with the observed higher aboveground litter respiration in the AB as compared to the IB site (Soong and Cotrufo, 2015). Yet, in a root decomposition study by Reed et al. (2009) there were no significant main effects of burning on root decomposition; however, low precipitation may

have masked the effects of burning on decomposition for that study. Other studies have compared belowground decomposition in areas of contrasting burning treatments and have found that wood decomposed significantly faster in annually burned tallgrass prairie compared to unburned prairie (Reed et al., 2005; O’Lear et al., 1996). Faster decomposition in annually burned prairie soil could be due to the indirect effects of burning on the soil community composition or to the direct effects on soil conditions (i.e., heat, moisture, nutrients), which would impact decomposition processes (O’Lear et al., 1996). For example, relative to unburned tallgrass prairie soils, the soil conditions of frequently burned areas are often N-limited (Blair, 1997; Ojima et al., 1994), causing microbes to scavenge for N before beginning decomposition (Soong and Cotrufo, 2015; Craine et al., 2007). N mining by microbes in N-limited areas has been shown to increase decomposition rates in other areas (Craine et al., 2007).

4.3 Effects of fire on soil community utilization of root C

Corroborating part of our third hypothesis, we found that, overall, a significantly higher amount of ^{13}C was incorporated into the total soil community for AB, indicating greater utilization of root litter C in this frequently burned soil. In particular, fungivore nematodes and specific biomarkers for fungi, Gram-negative bacteria, and Gram-positive bacteria had a significantly higher proportion of their biomass composed of root litter C, suggesting that root litter C was a

Table 1. Overall mean fraction (f), or percent, of root litter C in PLFA C and nematode C with (standard errors), $n = 18$. The relative contribution of root litter C was calculated only for the PLFA biomarkers and nematode trophic groups from root litter addition samples that were significantly different in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ relative to the control. Bold indicates a significantly higher f value for a burn treatment.

Functional group		AB mean f -root litter \times 100	IB mean f -root litter \times 100
PLFA biomarker			
Fungi SAP	<i>cis</i> -C18:1n9	0.4 (0.14)	0.3 (0.05)
	<i>cis</i> -C18:2n9,12	1.6 (0.37)	1.1 (0.15)
Gram –	<i>cis</i> -C16:1n9	0.6 (0.11)	0.3 (0.07)
	C17:0cy	0.6 (0.09)	0.4 (0.10)
	C18:1n11	0.7 (0.10)	0.4 (0.06)
	C19:0cy	0.1 (0.06)	0.1 (0.03)
Gram +	aC15:0	0.4 (0.08)	0.3 (0.05)
	aC17:0	0.3 (0.06)	0.1 (0.03)
	iC15:0	0.3 (0.12)	0.2 (0.05)
	iC16:0	0.4 (0.08)	0.2 (0.05)
Actinobacteria	10Me-C16:0	0.3 (0.08)	0.1 (0.04)
	10Me-C17:0	0.2 (0.07)	0.1 (0.03)
	10Me-C18:0	0.3 (0.08)	0.3 (0.06)
Trophic group			
Nematodes	Bacterivore	8.2 (1.4)	6.4 (1.4)
	Fungivore	7.5 (1.8)	ns
	Omnivore	0.5 (0.2)	1.7 (0.7)
	Predator	0.5 (0.3)	0.4 (0.2)

more important C source for the AB soil food web. Additionally, despite significantly lower microbial abundance for the AB treatment, there was no difference in the amount of root-litter-derived C in the total microbial pool between AB and IB treatment. In this way, our study offers some support for the hypothesis that decomposition is strongly affected by decomposer community composition instead of the abundance (Wickings et al., 2012). In other words, distinct decomposer communities (such as the significantly different AB and IB communities) could have differing metabolic or functional capabilities. Perhaps the AB community incorporates a greater proportion of the root litter C into biomass because those biota are predisposed to take advantage of this C source due to the recurrent impacts of fire. This may also indicate different mechanisms such as higher microbial turnover or increased microbial grazing by nematodes during decomposition of roots for the AB treatment.

We also hypothesized that root C would be incorporated more quickly for AB. Yet, despite the overall greater amount of root C incorporation by AB, microbes and nematodes both immediately incorporated root C for both treatments (Fig. 4b and c). There was a slight lag in microbial uptake of root litter

C for AB, but not for IB (Fig. 4b). This lag could correspond to the time microbes needed to scavenge N in the N-limited AB soil before commencing root decomposition (Manzoni et al., 2012). Yet, through time, evidence exists for greater cycling of root litter C to the microbivore nematodes of the AB food web. The root-litter-derived nematode-C pool was significantly greater in the AB treatment at 35 and 90 days after root addition. This accumulation of C in nematodes indicates a greater or faster flow of root litter C from the microbes to their nematode consumers. Others have suggested that most energy from detritus flows to microbes and only a negligible amount of energy flows to the higher trophic levels of the soil food web (Setälä, 2005). Our study opposes this view, as we show that per gram of soil, nematodes can hold as much as half of root-litter-derived C as microbes do (Fig. 4b and c).

5 Conclusions

Our results provide evidence that frequent fire affects decomposition processes and adds a temporal dynamic of C flow through the soil food web. We have shown that decomposing roots are an important C source for microbes and nematodes in this tallgrass prairie soil. ^{13}C originating from root litter was traced into different nematode trophic groups, indicating that they had utilized root-derived C by feeding on bacteria, fungi, protists, other nematodes, or other soil organisms. Our study shows not only that fire affects the soil community composition but also that there is greater root-litter-derived C flow through the soil food web for the AB treatment. Evidence for this includes lower microbial abundance, greater root turnover, and increased incorporation of root litter C by fungi, Gram-negative bacteria, Gram-positive bacteria, and fungivore nematodes for the AB treatment compared to the IB treatment. Until now, nematodes' contribution to root litter decomposition was inconclusive, but we have shown that nematodes incorporate a significant amount of root litter C across trophic levels and that this differs by fire treatment. Overall, our study suggests that annual burning increases root litter-derived C flow through the soil food web of the tallgrass prairie.

Acknowledgements. This project was funded by the National Science Foundation under grant no. 0918482. We are grateful to the Konza Prairie LTER site for making this research possible. We thank the Wall Lab, especially K. Ivanovich and E. Bernier, for assistance with work in the field and laboratory. We thank the staff of Colorado State University's EcoCore Analytical Facility (<http://ecocore.nrel.colostate.edu/>) and Kansas State University's Stable Isotope Mass Spectrometry Laboratory (http://www.k-state.edu/simsl/SIMSL_Home.html) for their support with analyses.

Edited by: S. Billings

References

- Ajwa, H., Dell, C., and Rice, C.: Changes in enzyme activities and microbial biomass of tallgrass prairie soil as related to burning and nitrogen fertilization, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 31, 769–777, 1999.
- Albers, D., Schaefer, M., and Scheu, S.: Incorporation of plant carbon into the soil animal food web of an arable system, *Ecology*, 87, 235–245, 2006.
- Bardgett, R. D.: *The Biology of Soil: A Community and Ecosystem Approach*, Oxford University Press, New York, 25–85, 2005.
- Bardgett, R. D. and Cook, R.: Functional aspects of soil animal diversity in agricultural grasslands, *Appl. Soil Ecol.*, 10, 263–276, 1998.
- Bardgett, R. D., Leemans, D. K., Cook, R., and Hobbs, P. J.: Seasonality of soil biota of grazed and ungrazed hill grasslands, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 29, 1285–1294, 1997.
- Bardgett, R. D., Manning, P., Morriën, E., De Vries, F. T., and van der Putten, W.: Hierarchical responses of plant-soil interactions to climate change: consequences for the global carbon cycle, *J. Ecol.*, 101, 334–343, doi:10.1111/1365-2745.12043, 2013.
- Blair, J. M.: Fire, N Availability, and Plant Response in Grasslands: A Test of the Transient Maxima Hypothesis, *Ecology*, 78, 2359–2368, 1997.
- Bossio, D. A. and Scow, K. M.: Impact of carbon and flooding on the metabolic diversity of microbial communities in soils, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 61, 4043–4050, 1995.
- Brenna, J. T., Corso, T. N., Tobias, H. J., and Caimi, R. J.: High-precision continuous-flow isotope ratio mass spectrometry, *Mass Spectrom. Rev.*, 16, 227–258, 1997.
- Brussaard, L.: Soil fauna, guilds, functional groups and ecosystem processes, *Appl. Soil Ecol.*, 9, 123–135, 1998.
- Carrillo, Y., Ball, B. A., Bradford, M. A., Jordan, C. F., and Molina, M.: Soil fauna alter the effects of litter composition on nitrogen cycling in a mineral soil, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 43, 1440–1449, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.03.011, 2011.
- Chahartaghi, M., Langel, R., Scheu, S., and Ruess, L.: Feeding guilds in Collembola based on nitrogen stable isotope ratios, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 37, 1718–1725, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.02.006, 2005.
- Coleman, D. C. and Crossley, D. A.: *Fundamentals of Soil Ecology*, Academic Press, New York, 216–220, 1996.
- Coleman, D. C. and Hendrix, P. F.: *Invertebrates as Webmasters in Ecosystems*, CAB International Press, New York, 2000.
- Craine, J. M., Morrow, C., and Fierer, N.: Microbial Nitrogen Limitation Increases Decomposition, *Ecology*, 88, 2105–2113, 2007.
- Crotty, F. V., Blackshaw, R. P., Adl, S. M., Inger, R., and Murray, P. J.: Divergence of feeding channels within the soil food web determined by ecosystem type, *Ecol. Evol.*, 4, 1–13, doi:10.1002/ece3.905, 2014.
- D’Annibale, A., Larsen, T., Sechi, V., Cortet, J., Strandberg, B., Vincze, É., Filser, J., Audisio, P. A., and Krogh, P. H.: Influence of elevated CO₂ and GM barley on a soil mesofauna community in a mesocosm test system, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 84, 127–136, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.02.009, 2015.
- Denef, K., Bubenheim, H., Lenhart, K., Vermeulen, J., Van Cleemput, O., Boeckx, P., and Müller, C.: Community shifts and carbon translocation within metabolically-active rhizosphere microorganisms in grasslands under elevated CO₂, *Biogeosciences*, 4, 769–779, doi:10.5194/bg-4-769-2007, 2007.
- Denef, K., Roobroeck, D., Manimel Wadu, M. C. W., Lootens, P., and Boeckx, P.: Microbial community composition and rhizodeposit-carbon assimilation in differently managed temperate grassland soils, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 41, 144–153, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.10.008, 2009.
- Docherty, K. M., Balsler, T. C., Bohannan, B. J. M., and Gutknecht, J. L. M.: Soil microbial responses to fire and interacting global change factors in a California annual grassland, *Biogeochemistry*, 109, 63–83, doi:10.1007/s10533-011-9654-3, 2011.
- Eisenhauer, N. and Reich, P. B.: Above- and below-ground plant inputs both fuel soil food webs, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 45, 156–160, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.10.019, 2012.
- Elfstrand, S., Lagerlöf, J., Hedlund, K., and Mårtensson, A.: Carbon routes from decomposing plant residues and living roots into soil food webs assessed with ¹³C labelling, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 40, 2530–2539, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.06.013, 2008.
- Frostegård, A. and Bååth, E.: The use of phospholipid fatty acid analysis to estimate bacterial and fungal biomass in soil, *Biol. Fert. Soils*, 22, 59–65, 1996.
- Gilbert, K. J., Fahey, T. J., Maerz, J. C., Sherman, R. E., Bohlen, P., Dombroskie, J. J., Groffman, P. M., and Yavitt, J. B.: Exploring carbon flow through the root channel in a temperate forest soil food web, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 76, 45–52, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.05.005, 2014.
- Gomez, J. D., Denef, K., Stewart, C. E., Zheng, J., and Cotrufo, M. F.: Biochar addition rate influences soil microbial abundance and activity in temperate soils, *Eur. J. Soil Sci.*, 65, 28–39, doi:10.1111/ejss.12097, 2014.
- Goncharov, A. A., Khramova, E. Y., and Tiunov, A. V.: Spatial variations in the trophic structure of soil animal communities in boreal forests of Pechora-Ilych Nature Reserve, *Eurasian Soil Sci.*, 47, 441–448, doi:10.1134/s106422931405007x, 2014.
- Hamman, S. T., Burke, I. C., and Stromberger, M. E.: Relationships between microbial community structure and soil environmental conditions in a recently burned system, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 39, 1703–1711, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2007.01.018, 2007.
- Holtkamp, R., Kardol, P., van der Wal, A., Dekker, S. C., van der Putten, W. H., and de Ruiter, P. C.: Soil food web structure during ecosystem development after land abandonment, *Appl. Soil Ecol.*, 39, 23–34, doi:10.1016/j.apsoil.2007.11.002, 2008.
- Holtkamp, R., van der Wal, A., Kardol, P., van der Putten, W. H., de Ruiter, P. C., and Dekker, S. C.: Modelling C and N mineralisation in soil food webs during secondary succession on ex-arable land, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 43, 251–260, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.10.004, 2011.
- Hooper, D. J.: Extraction of free-living stages from soil, in: *Laboratory methods for work with plant and soil nematodes*, 6th Edn., edited by: Southey, J. F., Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, London, 5–30, 1970.
- Johnson, L. C. and Matchett, J. R.: Fire and grazing regulate below-ground processes in tallgrass prairie, *Ecology*, 82, 3377–3389, 2001.
- Jones, K. L., Todd, T. C., Wall-Beam, J. L., Coolon, J. D., Blair, J. M., and Herman, M. A.: Molecular approach for assessing responses of microbial-feeding nematodes to burning and chronic nitrogen enrichment in a native grassland, *Molecular Ecology*, 15, 2601–2609, doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2006.02971.x, 2006.

- Kitchen, D. J., Blair, J. M., and Callahan, M.: Annual fire and mowing alter biomass, depth distribution, and C and N content of roots and soil in tallgrass prairie, *Plant Soil*, 323, 235–247, 2009.
- Knapp, A. K. and Seastedt, T. R.: Detritus accumulation limits productivity of tallgrass prairie, *Bioscience*, 36, 662–668, 1986.
- Knapp, A. K., Briggs, J. M., Hartnett, D. C., and Collins, S. L.: *Grassland Dynamics: Longterm Ecological Research in Tallgrass Prairie*, Oxford University Press, New York, 193–221, 1998.
- Kohl, L., Laganière, J., Edwards, K. A., Billings, S. A., Morrill, P. L., Van Biesen, G., and Ziegler, S. E.: Distinct fungal and bacterial $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ signatures as potential drivers of increasing $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of soil organic matter with depth, *Biogeochemistry*, 124, 13–26, doi:10.1007/s10533-015-0107-2, 2015.
- Kudrin, A. A., Tsurikov, S. M., and Tiunov, A. V.: Trophic position of microbivorous and predatory soil nematodes in a boreal forest as indicated by stable isotope analysis, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 86, 193–200, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.03.017, 2015.
- Legendre, P. and Anderson, M. J.: Distance-Based Redundancy Analysis: Testing Multispecies Responses in Multifactorial Ecological Experiments, *Ecol. Monogr.*, 69, 1–24, 1999.
- Mamilov, A. S.: Regulation of the biomass and activity of soil microorganisms by microfauna, *Microbiology*, 69, 612–621, 2000.
- Manzoni, S., Taylor, P., Richter, A., Porporato, A., and Agren, G. I.: Environmental and stoichiometric controls on microbial carbon-use efficiency in soils, *New Phytol.*, 196, 79–91, doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04225.x, 2012.
- Nielsen, U. N., Ayres, E., Wall, D. H., and Bardgett, R. D.: Soil biodiversity and carbon cycling: a review and synthesis of studies examining diversity-function relationships, *Eur. J. Soil Sci.*, 62, 105–116, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2389.2010.01314.x, 2011.
- Ojima, D. S., Schimel, D. S., Parton, W. J., and Owensby, C. E.: Long- and short-term effects of fire on nitrogen cycling in tallgrass prairie, *Biogeochemistry*, 24, 67–84, 1994.
- O’Lear, H. A., Seastedt, T. R., Briggs, J. M., Blair, J. M., and Raimundo, R. A.: Fire and topographic effects on decomposition rates and N dynamics of buried wood in tallgrass prairie, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 28, 323–329, 1996.
- Osler, G. H. R. and Sommerkorn, M.: Toward a complete soil C and N cycle: Incorporating the soil fauna, *Ecology*, 88, 1611–1621, 2007.
- Ostle, N., Briones, M. J. L., Ineson, P., Cole, L., Staddon, P., and Sleep, D.: Isotopic detection of recent photosynthate carbon flow into grassland rhizosphere fauna, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 39, 768–777, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2006.09.025, 2007.
- Petersen, H. and Luxton, M.: A comparative analysis of soil fauna populations and their role in decomposition processes, *Oikos*, 39, 288–388, 1982.
- Pollierer, M. M., Langel, R., Körner, C., Maraun, M., and Scheu, S.: The underestimated importance of belowground carbon input for forest soil animal food webs, *Ecol. Lett.*, 10, 729–736, doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01064.x, 2007.
- Rasse, D. P., Rumpel, C., and Dignac, M.-F.: Is soil carbon mostly root carbon? Mechanisms for a specific stabilisation, *Plant Soil*, 269, 341–356, doi:10.1007/s11104-004-0907-y, 2005.
- Reed, H. E., Seastedt, T. R., and Blair, J. M.: Ecological consequences of C4 grass invasion of a C4 grassland: a dilemma for management, *Ecol. Appl.*, 15, 1560–1569, 2005.
- Reed, H. E., Blair, J. M., Wall, D. H., and Seastedt, T. R.: Impacts of management legacies on litter decomposition in response to reduced precipitation in a tallgrass prairie, *Appl. Soil Ecol.*, 42, 79–85, doi:10.1016/j.apsoil.2009.01.009, 2009.
- Rubino, M., Dungait, J. A. J., Evershed, R. P., Bertolini, T., De Angelis, P., D’Onofrio, A., Lagomarsino, A., Lubritto, C., Merola, A., Terrasi, F., and Cotrufo, M. F.: Carbon input belowground is the major C flux contributing to leaf litter mass loss: Evidences from a ^{13}C labelled-leaf litter experiment, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 42, 1009–1016, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.02.018, 2010.
- Sanaullah, M., Chabbi, A., Leifeld, J., Bardoux, G., Billou, D., and Rumpel, C.: Decomposition and stabilization of root litter in top- and subsoil horizons: what is the difference?, *Plant Soil*, 338, 127–141, doi:10.1007/s11104-010-0554-4, 2010.
- Schimel, J. and Schaeffer, S.: Microbial control over carbon cycling in soil, *Front. Microbiol.*, 3, 1–11, 2012.
- Seastedt, T. R., Briggs, J. M., and Gibson, D. J.: Controls of nitrogen limitation in tallgrass prairie, *Oecologia*, 87, 72–79, 1991.
- Setälä, H.: Does Biological Complexity Relate to Functional Attributes of Soil Food Webs?, in: *Dynamic Food Webs: Multi-species Assemblages, Ecosystem Development and Environmental Change*, Theoretical Ecology Series, edited by: de Ruiter, P., Volters, V., and Moore, J., Academic Press, Burlington, MA, 308–320, 2005.
- Smith, P., Cotrufo, M. F., Rumpel, C., Paustian, K., Kuikman, P. J., Elliott, J. A., McDowell, R., Griffiths, R. I., Asakawa, S., Bustamante, M., House, J. I., Sobocká, J., Harper, R., Pan, G., West, P. C., Gerber, J. S., Clark, J. M., Adhya, T., Scholes, R. J., and Scholes, M. C.: Biogeochemical cycles and biodiversity as key drivers of ecosystem services provided by soils, *SOIL*, 1, 665–685, doi:10.5194/soil-1-665-2015, 2015.
- Soong, J. L. and Cotrufo, M. F.: Annual burning of a tallgrass prairie inhibits C and N cycling in soil, increasing recalcitrant pyrogenic organic matter storage while reducing N availability, *Global Change Biol.*, 21, 2321–2333, doi:10.1111/gcb.12832, 2015.
- Soong, J. L., Reuss, D., Pinney, C., Boyack, T., Haddix, M. L., Stewart, C. E., and Cotrufo, M. F.: Design and Operation of a Continuous ^{13}C and ^{15}N Labeling Chamber for Uniform or Differential, Metabolic and Structural, Plant Isotope Labeling, *J. Visual. Exp.*, 83, e51117, doi:10.37971/51117, 2014.
- Soong, J. L., Vandegehuchte, M. L., Horton, A. J., Nielsen, U. N., Deneff, K., Shaw, E. A., Milano de Tomasel, C., Parton, W., Wall, D. H., and Cotrufo, M. F.: Soil microarthropods support ecosystem productivity and soil C accrual: evidence from a litter decomposition study in the tallgrass prairie, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 92, 230–238, 2016.
- Staddon, P. L.: Carbon isotopes in functional soil ecology, *Trends Ecol. Evol.*, 19, 148–154, doi:10.1016/j.tree.2003.12.003, 2004.
- Swift, M. J., Heal, O. W., and Anderson, J. M.: Decomposition in Terrestrial Ecosystems, in: *Studies in Ecology*, edited by: Anderson, D. J., Greig-Smith, P., and Pitelka, F. A., University of California Press, Berkeley, 66–117, 1979.
- Todd, T. C.: Effects of management practices on nematode community structure in tallgrass prairie, *Appl. Soil Ecol.*, 3, 235–246, 1996.
- van der Putten, W. H., Bardgett, R. D., Bever, J. D., Bezemer, T. M., Casper, B. B., Fukami, T., Kardol, P., Klironomos, J. N., Kulmatiski, A., Schweitzer, J. A., Suding, K. N., Van de Voorde, T. F. J., Wardle, D. A., and Hutchings, M.: Plant-soil feedbacks: the

- past, the present and future challenges, *J. Ecology*, 101, 265–276, doi:10.1111/1365-2745.12054, 2013.
- Verhoef, H. A. and Brussaard, L.: Decomposition and nitrogen mineralization in natural and agroecosystems – the contribution of soil animals, *Biogeochemistry*, 11, 175–211, 1990.
- Wall, D. H.: *Sustaining Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services in Soils and Sediments*, Island Press, Washington, 1–12, 2004.
- Wall, D. H., Bradford, M. A., St. John, M. G., Trofymow, J. A., Behan-Pelletier, V., Bignell, D. E., Dangerfield, J. M., Parton, W. J., Rusek, J., Voigt, W., Wolters, V., Gardel, H. Z., Ayuke, F. O., Bashford, R., Beljakova, O. I., Bohlen, P. J., Brauman, A., Flemming, S., Henschel, J. R., Johnson, D. L., Jones, T. H., Kovarova, M., Kranabetter, J. M., Kutny, L. E. S., Lin, K.-C., Maryati, M., Masse, D., Pokarzhevskii, A., Rahman, H., Sabar, M. G., Salamon, J.-A., Swift, M. J., Varela, A., Vasconcelos, H. L., White, D. O. N., and Zou, X.: Global decomposition experiment shows soil animal impacts on decomposition are climate-dependent, *Global Change Biol.*, 14, 2661–2677, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01672.x, 2008.
- Wall, D. H., Bardgett, R. D., and Kelly, E. F.: Biodiversity in the dark, *Nat. Geosci.*, 3, 296–298, doi:10.1038/ngeo860, 2010.
- Whitford, W. G., Pen-Mouratov, S., and Steinberger, Y.: The effects of prescribed fire on soil nematodes in an arid juniper savanna, *Open J. Ecol.*, 04, 66–75, doi:10.4236/oje.2014.42009, 2014.
- Wickings, K., Grandy, A. S., Reed, S. C., and Cleveland, C. C.: The origin of litter chemical complexity during decomposition, *Ecol. Lett.*, 15, 1180–1188, doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01837.x, 2012.
- Xin, W. D., Yin, X. Q., and Song, B.: Contribution of soil fauna to litter decomposition in Songnen sandy lands in northeastern China, *J. Arid Environ.*, 77, 90–95, doi:10.1016/j.jaridenv.2011.10.001, 2012.
- Yeates, G. W., Bongers, T., de Goede, R. G. M., Freckman, D. W., and Georgieva, S. S.: Feeding habits in soil nematode families and genera – an outline for soil ecologists, *J. Nematol.*, 25, 315–331, 1993.
- Yeates, G. W., Bardgett, R. D., Cook, R., Hobbs, P. J., Bowling, P. J., and Potter, J. F.: Faunal and microbial diversity in three Welsh grassland soils under conventional and organic management regimes, *J. Appl. Ecol.*, 34, 453–470, 1997.
- Zelles, L.: Fatty acid patterns of phospholipids and lipopolysaccharides in the characterisation of microbial communities in soil: a review, *Biol. Fert. Soils*, 29, 111–129, 1999.